



PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21809

HEARING DATE: September 11, 2025

Project Name: Family Zoning Plan (Housing Element Rezoning Program)
Case Number: 2021-005878GPA MAP PCA
Initiated by: Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Sauter, Supervisor Mahmood, and Supervisor Dorsey
BOS File No: 250700
Staff Contacts: Lisa Chen, Principal Planner
lisa.chen@sfgov.org, 628-652-7422
Reviewed by: Rachael Tanner, Director of Citywide Planning
Rachael.tanner@sfgov.org, 628-652-7471

RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE SAN FRANCISCO ZONING MAP OF THE PLANNING CODE TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE FAMILY ZONING PLAN, AMENDMENTS TO THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE CITY'S CERTIFIED LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM, AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTION 302, FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1, AND FINDINGS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2025, Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Sauter, Supervisor Mahmood, and Supervisor Dorsey introduced an ordinance for Zoning Map Amendments (Board File No 250700) as a part of the San Francisco Family Zoning Plan ("Family Zoning Plan" or "Plan"); and

WHEREAS, on July 29, 2025, Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Sauter, Supervisor Mahmood, and Supervisor Dorsey introduced a substitute ordinance for the same Zoning Map Amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Map Amendments along with the related Planning Code Amendments (Board File 250701), and General Plan Amendments (collectively "the 2025 Actions" or "the Project") implement the Housing Element, as it was finally adopted in January 2023 ("the Housing Element 2022 Update"); and

WHEREAS, the Housing Element 2022 Update was prepared pursuant to Government Code 65583, which, in part, requires that the Housing Element provide: (a) an assessment of housing needs and an inventory of resources and constraints relevant to meeting these needs; (b) a statement of community's goals, quantified objectives, and policies relative to affirmatively furthering fair housing and to the maintenance, preservation, improvement, and development of housing; and (c) a program setting forth a schedule of actions during the planning period to achieve the goals and objectives of the housing element, including the need to revise certain General Plan objectives and policies and rezone portions

of the City to increase development capacity to meet the City's housing needs; and

WHEREAS, the Housing Element 2022 Update was certified as compliant with state housing element law by the California Department of Housing and Community Development on February 1, 2023; and

WHEREAS, as required by the Housing Element 2022 Update, the Planning Department has embarked on a multi-year community-based planning effort to revise land use policies, the Planning Code and Zoning Maps to assure sufficient and equitable development capacity to create a variety of housing types in areas of the City that are particularly well suited to accommodate additional housing; the rezoning effort is commonly referred to as the Family Zoning Plan. As called for in the Housing Element 2022 Update, the rezoning focuses on the well-resourced neighborhoods of the western and northern portions of San Francisco (also referred to as Housing Opportunity Areas); and

WHEREAS, throughout this process, the Family Zoning Plan has been developed based on robust public input, including, but not limited to, four public open houses; 11 presentations at the Planning Commission; seven focus groups targeting populations facing greater housing insecurity; 62 housing education workshops in District 1 and District 4; five field walks; multiple presentations at City Commissions and Board of Supervisors committee hearings; two webinars open to a general audience; four online surveys; over 90 meetings with individual neighborhood organizations and advocacy groups; a robust interactive web page presence; a mailed notice to all residents, business owners and property owners within the rezoning area and within 300 feet of its boundary; and thousands of individual meetings, phone calls, and emails with stakeholders; and

WHEREAS, the Family Zoning Plan aims to expand housing affordability and diversity, including housing suitable for families, seniors, people with disabilities, essential workers, and low- and moderate-income households, to create a more predictable process to approve and build housing, to ensure inspiring urban design and architecture, to support small businesses and neighborhood vitality, and to plan for infrastructure and services to serve growth; and

WHEREAS, the Family Zoning Plan aims to expand housing affordability and diversity, including housing suitable for families, seniors, people with disabilities, essential workers, and low- and moderate-income households; to create a more predictable process to approve and build housing; to ensure inspiring urban design and architecture; to support small businesses and neighborhood vitality; and to plan for infrastructure and services to serve growth; and

WHEREAS, a draft ordinance, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 4.b., approved as to form by the City Attorney's office would amend the Zoning Maps of the San Francisco Planning Code. The draft ordinance incorporates by reference Zoning Tables which identify each parcel being affected by the Zoning Map Amendment, and are included in Board File 250700. The Zoning Map Amendments would: 1) reclassify certain properties currently zoned as various types of Residential to Residential Transit Oriented - Commercial (RTO-C); 2) reclassify properties currently zoned Residential Transit Oriented (RTO) to Residential Transit Oriented - 1 (RTO-1); 3) reclassify certain properties from Residential districts other than RTO to RTO-1; 4) reclassify certain properties currently zoned Neighborhood Commercial (NC) or Public (P) to Community Business (C-2); and 5) reclassify certain properties from Public to Mixed-Use or Neighborhood Commercial Districts; amend the Height and Bulk Map to: 1) reclassify properties in the Family Zoning Plan to R-4 Height and Bulk District; 2) change the height limits on certain lots in the R-4 Height and Bulk District; and 3) designating various parcels to be

included in the Non-Contiguous San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Sites Special Use District (SFMTA SUD). These changes correspond to conforming amendments to Sectional Maps ZN01, ZN02, ZN03, ZN04, ZN05, ZN06, ZN07, and ZN11; and HT01, HT02, HT03, HT04, HT05, HT06, HT07, and HT11 of the Zoning Maps of the City and County of San Francisco.; and

WHEREAS, collectively, the General Plan Amendments, the Zoning Map Amendments, and the Planning Code Amendments will affect approximately 92,000 of the approximately 150,000 parcels in the City where residential development is permitted; the Family Zoning Plan will allow increased density on all of those 92,000 parcels (approximately 60% of those 150,000 parcels), and increase the permitted heights on approximately 17% of parcels citywide; and

WHEREAS, the draft ordinance also proposes amendments to the Implementation Plan of the City's certified Local Coastal Program ("LCP"). On August 1, 2025 the Department issued a Notice of Availability of an LCP Amendment ("NOA") in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 13515 requirements for public participation and agency coordination. The Department mailed the NOA to all neighborhood organizations that requested notice of hearings and applications in the Coastal Zone; individuals who have made a specific written request to be notified of hearings and applications pertaining to the Coastal Zone; local governments contiguous with the area that is the subject of the LCP Amendment; regional, state, and federal agencies that may have an interest in or may be affected by the proposed LCP Amendment; and the local library. The Department has completed a Consistency Analysis of the proposed LCP Amendment, attached hereto as Exhibit 7, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 13511 and 13552; and

WHEREAS, the Commission certified the Housing Element 2022 Update EIR on November 17, 2022 in motion 21206, and adopted CEQA findings, a Statement of Overriding Considerations, and a Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting program (MMRP) for the Housing Element 2022 Update on December 15, 2022 in resolution 21220. The Planning Department has prepared an addendum to the Housing Element 2022 Update FEIR, which was published on September 3, 2025 and found that the proposed Family Zoning Plan, including the General Plan, Planning Code and Zoning Map amendments, would not create any new or substantially more severe significant impacts than those described in the FEIR; and

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2025, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the Zoning Map Amendments; and

WHEREAS, Planning Department staff recommends adoption of this Resolution adopting and recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve the Zoning Map Amendments with modifications; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, pursuant to Planning Section 302, that the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed Zoning Map Amendments.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The Zoning Map Amendments along with the Family Housing Zoning Plan will create development capacity for more than 36,200 additional housing units by 2030 by increasing realistic zoned capacity for housing and removing current constraints on new housing in the City's well-resourced neighborhoods, in satisfaction of Housing Element Action 7.1.1.
2. The Zoning Map Amendments will increase the supply of housing units, helping to alleviate the City's housing shortage and affordability crisis. Allowing for greater density and heights encourages the construction of a wide range of housing typologies, thereby providing greater accessibility to housing for residents of all incomes, household types, and needs throughout the city. The increased capacity will also generate more subsidized, permanently-affordable units through the City's inclusionary housing program and by increasing the geographic availability of sites zoned for the scale and type of buildings feasible for 100% affordable housing development.
3. The Zoning Map Amendments will advance the production of housing in state-designated Housing Opportunity Areas, where, over the past two decades, only about 10% of new housing units were built, even though they comprise more than 50% of the City's land. Building more residential units in these areas – which have higher incomes, good access to jobs, well-performing public schools, and low levels of environmental pollution – will create housing and economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income households.
4. The Zoning Map Amendments will enable increased housing production, which will generate more tax revenue and other public revenue to support public services and facilities. This increased revenue will ensure that vital infrastructure and services such as transit, schools, parks, and fire stations support healthy and complete communities, and that these facilities can increase in capacity as our population grows, in addition to supporting reinvestment in existing facilities.
5. The Zoning Map Amendments will include increasing housing capacity in mixed-use and commercial areas and corridors, which will benefit local businesses by generating more customers and demand for their goods and services.
6. The Zoning Map Amendments will include increasing housing capacity along major transit corridors and near job, schools and services, which will reduce reliance on automobile trips and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, while providing additional ridership to support higher levels of transit service to benefit both existing and future residents, workers and visitors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Planning Commission hereby find that the subject Zoning Map Amendments are consistent with the General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1 as provided in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21808 concerning the proposed General Plan Amendments related to the Family Zoning Plan, and incorporates those findings by reference; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission finds that the Zoning Map Amendments do not impose any new governmental constraints on the development of housing, as those terms are defined in Policies 7.1.1 and 8.1.6 of the 2022 Housing Element, nor do they lessen the intensity of land use within the meaning of Government Code Section 66300(h)(1). The Commission further finds that collectively, the General Plan Amendment, the Zoning Map Amendments and the Planning Code Amendments, provide capacity for more than 36,200 additional units, which would more than offset any constraint or reduction in intensity on specific parcels, if any; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission finds the amendments to the Implementation Plan of the City's certified LCP conform with the applicable provisions of the Coastal Act of 1976, and that the amendments are consistent with and adequate to carry out the provisions of the City's LCP Land Use Plan—the Western Shoreline Area Plan—for the reasons set forth in the Consistency Analysis, attached hereto as Exhibit 7. The Commission further finds that the amendments will be implemented in full conformance with the Coastal Act's provisions; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission has reviewed and considered the FEIR and CEQA Findings as modified by Addendum No. 1, and related findings previously adopted by the Commission for the Project, including the statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program, the findings as set forth in Addendum No. 1, and the findings related to amendments to adopted mitigation measures set out in Exhibit 8 to this Resolution. The Commission adopts the findings made in Addendum No. 1 and adopts the amendments to mitigation measures as proposed by Addendum No. 1 and identified in Exhibit 8; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission finds and determines that the Project as modified by the 2025 Actions is within the scope of the Project analyzed in the FEIR as modified by the subsequent Addendum No. 1 and require no further environmental review pursuant to CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines Section 15180, 15162, and 15163 for the following reasons: (1) implementation of the 2025 Actions does not require major revisions in said FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; and, (2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the actions analyzed in said FEIR will be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FEIR; and, (3) no new information of substantial importance to the actions analyzed in said FEIR has become available which would indicate that (A) the Project as modified by the 2025 Actions will have significant effects not discussed in the FEIR; (B) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (C) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (D) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FEIR, will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment; and,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on September 11, 2025.



Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: Campbell, McGarry, Braun, So

NOES: Williams, Imperial, Moore

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: September 11, 2025



EXHIBIT 7

FAMILY ZONING PLAN — LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENTS CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS

Purpose

This document provides an analysis of the consistency of amendments to the City's Local Coastal Program (LCP), proposed as part of the Family Zoning Plan, with relevant provisions of the California Coastal Act (Public Resources Code Division 20) and the certified LCP in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 13511 and 13552.

LCP Land Use Plan (LUP) Amendments

Summary of Proposed LUP Amendments

The proposed LUP amendments pertain to residential and commercial development in the Coastal Zone portions of residential neighborhoods in the Richmond and Sunset districts. The amendments revise Objective 11 of the LUP, which currently calls for preservation of the scale of development in those districts, to call for advancing housing and community development goals in a place-sensitive manner. Policy 1 under Objective 11, which currently calls for regulating the density and appearance of development in order to preserve the scale and character of residential neighborhoods, would be revised to call for regulation of the form, design, and use of development in a manner that takes into consideration both the Coast and the larger City context. The proposed amendments are necessary for the City to accommodate its Regional Housing Needs Allocation shortfall of 36,200 units under Housing Element law.

Standard of Review

Pursuant to Coastal Act Section 30512.2 (Chapter 6 Article 2), the standard of review for LUP amendments is that they must conform with the requirements of Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act to the extent necessary to achieve the basic state goals specified in Section 30001.5.

Relevant Coastal Act Requirements

The proposed LUP amendments concern high-level policy regarding regulation of residential and commercial development in existing developed areas located inland from the first public road. Relevant

Coastal Act requirements are therefore concentrated in Articles 6 (Development) and 2 (Public Access) of Chapter 3 (Coastal Resources Planning and Management):

Article 6: Development

Section 30250: Location; existing developed area

(a) New residential, commercial, or industrial development, except as otherwise provided in this division, shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to, existing developed areas able to accommodate it or, where such areas are not able to accommodate it, in other areas with adequate public services and where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources. In addition, land divisions, other than leases for agricultural uses, outside existing developed areas shall be permitted only where 50 percent of the usable parcels in the area have been developed and the created parcels would be no smaller than the average size of surrounding parcels.

(b) Where feasible, new hazardous industrial development shall be located away from existing developed areas.

(c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot feasibly be located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.

Section 30251: Scenic and visual qualities

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas. New development in highly scenic areas such as those designated in the California Coastline Preservation and Recreation Plan prepared by the Department of Parks and Recreation and by local government shall be subordinate to the character of its setting.

Section 30252: Maintenance and enhancement of public access

The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by (1) facilitating the provision or extension of transit service, (2) providing commercial facilities within or adjoining residential development or in other areas that will minimize the use of coastal access roads, (3) providing nonautomobile circulation within the development, (4) providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation, (5) assuring the potential for public transit for high intensity uses such as high-rise office buildings, and by (6) assuring that the recreational needs of new residents will not overload nearby coastal recreation areas by correlating the amount of development with local park acquisition and development plans with the provision of onsite recreational facilities to serve the new development.

Article 2: Public Access

Section 30211: Development not to interfere with access

Development shall not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use or legislative authorization, including, but not limited to, the use of dry sand and rocky coastal beaches to the first line of terrestrial vegetation.

Section 30212: New development projects

(a) Public access from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline and along the coast shall be provided in new development projects except where: (1) it is inconsistent with public safety, military security needs, or the protection of fragile coastal resources, (2) adequate access exists nearby, or (3) agriculture would be adversely affected. Dedicated accessway shall not be required to be opened to public use until a public agency or private association agrees to accept responsibility for maintenance and liability of the accessway. [...]

Section 30213: Lower cost visitor and recreational facilities; encouragement and provision; overnight room rentals

Lower cost visitor and recreational facilities shall be protected, encouraged, and, where feasible, provided. Developments providing public recreational opportunities are preferred.

The commission shall not: (1) require that overnight room rentals be fixed at an amount certain for any privately owned and operated hotel, motel, or other similar visitor-serving facility located on either public or private lands; or (2) establish or approve any method for the identification of low or moderate income persons for the purpose of determining eligibility for overnight room rentals in any such facilities.

Consistency Analysis

The proposed LUP amendments would apply to the Coastal Zone portions of residential neighborhoods in the Richmond and Sunset districts, which are existing developed areas extending well inland from the Coastal Zone and identified as well-resourced neighborhoods in the Housing Element of the San Francisco General Plan. As articulated in the Housing Element, it is the City's goal to expand housing choice within well-resourced neighborhoods and promote neighborhoods that are well-connected, healthy, and rich with community culture. The proposed amendment to LUP Objective 11 aims to ensure that development in these neighborhoods advances the City's housing and community development goals as appropriate for the location of each parcel. The proposed amendment to Policy 1 would further clarify that the location of each parcel relative to both the coast and the city context should be considered when establishing standards for development, and that the city context includes major commercial and transit corridors.

Article 6: Development

The proposed LUP amendments identify existing developed areas as locations for advancing the City's housing and community development goals, and are therefore consistent with Section 30250 which encourages infill development by calling for new residential and commercial development to be located within such areas.

The amendments require that both the coast and the city context be considered when establishing standards for development, thus reconciling the need for housing and community development in these neighborhoods with Section 30251's requirement that scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. The established street pattern

in the Sunset and Richmond districts is a rectilinear grid with frequent uninterrupted streets arrayed orthogonally to the coast. All existing developed areas are inland from the first public road, which is generally parallel to the coast, and all land between the coast and first public road is publicly owned open space. These street and land-use patterns ensures that public views to and along the coast, generally available from public streets and open space, are protected from development impacts consistent with Section 30251. Furthermore, by requiring consideration of the city context when establishing development standards, the amendments are compatible with Section 30251's requirement that development be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, where these surrounding areas are considered as established City neighborhoods with boundaries that extend well inland of the Coastal Zone.

Section 30252 requires that the location and amount of new development maintain and enhance public access to the coast, including via transit and other non-automotive modes. The Richmond and Sunset districts include several transit corridors with high-quality rail and bus lines that connect the Coastal Zone with the rest of the City and with regional transit. The City's housing and community development goals as articulated in the Housing Element call for expanding housing choices and neighborhood commercial activity along these corridors. Implementing these goals in the Coastal Zone neighborhoods, as called for in the proposed LUP amendments, would help support this transit service, consistent with Section 30252. Furthermore, the established neighborhood street grid is fine grained and highly walkable, thus providing residents and visitors with abundant opportunities for non-vehicular circulation as required by this Section.

Article 2: Public Access

The existing street pattern of the Sunset and Richmond districts, discussed above, ensures that development will not interfere with access to the sea consistent with **Section 30211**. Likewise, since the proposed LUP amendments pertain to existing developed areas that are all inland from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline, the proposed LUP amendments are consistent with **Section 30212**.

The coast adjacent to the Sunset and Richmond districts is publicly owned and includes beaches, parks, and other recreational facilities that are free to all, consistent with **Section 30213** which calls for the provision of lower cost recreational facilities. In addition, the Richmond and Sunset districts include several commercial corridors that extend into the Coastal Zone and include establishments that provide lower cost services useful to visitors, such as grocery stores and take-out food establishments. The proposed LUP amendments would require consideration of these existing commercial corridors when establishing development standards, facilitating the continued presence of such establishments in the Coastal Zone.

LCP Implementation Plan (IP) Amendments

Summary of Proposed IP Amendments

The proposed IP amendments would modify development controls in the westernmost portions of the City's Richmond and Sunset districts. These are existing developed areas, inland of the first public road, and are

largely residential with moderate amounts of neighborhood- and visitor-serving commercial uses. These areas are designated for residential and commercial uses under the current IP, and the proposed amendments would continue this designation while allowing more housing and complete neighborhood amenities as appropriate in both the City and Coastal context. In particular, the amendments would:

- create the Housing Choice – San Francisco (HC-SF) program which includes a local residential bonus program that is similar to the State Density Bonus law in that it allows additional residential development opportunities in certain circumstances. The HC-SF program also includes a Housing Sustainability District to encourage housing production on certain infill sites near public transportation;
- create the R-4 Height and Bulk District, which will provide for form-based density, and increased height limits for projects using the HC-SF Program;
- reclassify certain properties as Residential Transit Oriented, Commercial District (RTO-C), which permits a wide array of neighborhood-serving uses at limited sizes along with housing, subject to form-based density;
- require minimum densities in transit-rich locations and impose maximum size limits on residential units;
- create the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Special Use District (SFMTA SUD), which is comprised of parcels owned by the SFMTA, most of which are currently used as parking lots. The SUD allows development of market-rate and affordable housing consistent with each parcel's surrounding zoning district, as well as other zoning modifications specific to the SUD; and
- modify the zoning controls in Neighborhood Commercial (NC-1, NC-2, NC-S) Districts in the Coastal Zone to allow for form-based density and reclassify certain properties as NC-2.

Standard of Review

The standard of review for IP amendments is that they must be consistent with and adequate to carry out LCP Land Use Plan (LUP) provisions. The proposed IP amendments will be paired with LUP amendments that will be adopted locally and submitted for Coastal Commission certification concurrently with the IP amendments. The standard of review for the proposed IP amendments is therefore the LUP as amended by the accompanying LUP amendments.

Relevant LUP Provision

The proposed IP Amendments concern residential and commercial development in the Coastal Zone portions of residential neighborhoods in the Richmond and Sunset districts. The applicable LUP provisions are in the Richmond and Sunset Residential Neighborhoods section (Objective 11 and related policies, as proposed to be amended), with additional provisions in the Transportation section (Objective 1 and related policies) and the Coastal Hazards section (Objective 12 and related policies):

RICHMOND AND SUNSET RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS

OBJECTIVE 11: ENSURE DEVELOPMENT IN THE COASTAL ZONE ADVANCES HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GOALS APPROPRIATE FOR THE LOCATION OF EACH PARCEL.

Policy 1: Consider the location of each parcel relative to both the city context, including major commercial and transit corridors, as well as the coast, when establishing standards for the form, design, and use of new development.

Policy 2: Develop the former Playland-at-the-Beach site as a moderate density residential apartment development with neighborhood commercial uses to serve the residential community and, to a limited extent, visitors to the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Policy 3: Continue the enforcement of citywide housing policies, ordinances and standards regarding the provision of safe and convenient housing to residents of all income levels, especially low- and moderate-income people.

Policy 4: Strive to increase the amount of housing units citywide, especially units for low- and moderate-income people.

Policy 7: Maintain a community business district along Sloat Boulevard within the Coastal Zone to provide goods and services to residents of the outer Sunset and visitors to the Zoo and Ocean Beach.

TRANSPORTATION

OBJECTIVE 1: IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSIT ACCESS TO THE COAST.

Policy 1: Improve crosstown public transit connections to the coastal area, specifically Ocean Beach, the Zoo and the Cliff House.

Policy 5: Consolidate the Municipal Railway turnaround at the former Playland-at-the-Beach site.

COASTAL HAZARDS

OBJECTIVE 12: PRESERVE, ENHANCE, AND RESTORE THE OCEAN BEACH SHORELINE WHILE PROTECTING PUBLIC ACCESS, SCENIC QUALITY, NATURAL RESOURCES, CRITICAL PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE, AND EXISTING DEVELOPMENT FROM COASTAL HAZARDS.

Policy 12.4: Develop the Shoreline in a Responsible Manner.

Consistency Analysis

Richmond and Sunset Residential Neighborhoods section

The proposed IP amendments would establish eligibility for the HC-SF Local Program (Local Program), which qualifies eligible projects for certain height, density, and code flexibility. Eligible projects would generally be required to meet inclusionary housing requirements, thus increasing the amount of housing for residents of all income levels, especially low- and moderate-income people, consistent with Policies 3 and 4 of this section.

Allowable building heights under the current IP are generally 40 feet. The proposed IP amendments include moderate increases in allowable heights along transit and commercial corridors, mostly for projects using the Local Program. Most height limit increases proposed within the Coastal Zone are located at least one block inland from the first public road and are components of larger district-wide patterns that extend beyond the Coastal Zone, consistent with Objective 11 and Policy 1.

Consistent with Policy 2, the proposed IP amendments would facilitate redevelopment of under-developed portions of the former Playland-at-the-Beach site with moderate density residential and neighborhood commercial uses by allowing moderate height increases under the Local Program and for SFMTA Joint Development (see below), and by reclassifying certain properties from the Neighborhood Commercial Shopping Center (NC-S) zoning use district to the Small-Scale Neighborhood Commercial (NC-2) zoning use district.

The amendments would retain the existing NC-2 zoning use district along Sloat Boulevard, consistent with Policy 7. Furthermore, the amendments would reclassify certain properties along other established transit and commercial corridors from various residential zoning use districts to the RTO-C zoning use district, allowing for additional commercial uses to serve the residential community and visitors in addition to the two commercial clusters named in Policies 2 and 7.

Transportation

The proposed IP amendments would allow and encourage denser residential and mixed-use development in existing walkable neighborhoods that are well-served by existing high-quality public transit, particularly along crosstown transit corridors that connect the Coastal Zone to the rest of the city and to regional transit. The amendments are consistent with Objective 1 and related Policies since transit can achieve greater ridership and cost-effectiveness by serving areas with higher densities and other complementary elements such as mixed uses and pedestrian connectivity.

The SFMTA's La Playa/Cabrillo Terminal Loop at 780 La Playa Street is located at the former Playland-at-the-Beach site and supports crosstown public transit connections to the Coastal Zone including the northern portion of Ocean Beach and the Cliff House. The proposed IP amendments would reclassify the Terminal site from the Low Density Mixed Residential (RM-1) zoning use district to the NC-2 zoning use district, and into the Non-Contiguous SFMTA Special Use District in implementation of the SFMTA Joint Development Policy. The proposed zoning reclassification, base height increase to 50', and Local Program height increase to 85' would all facilitate residential mixed-use redevelopment of the site while retaining the ability to maintain and improve transit-related uses, consistent with Objective 1 and related Policies.

Coastal Hazards

Objective 12 and related Policies are mostly concerned with the shoreline, while the proposed IP amendments would only apply to existing developed areas inland from the first public road. Policy 12.4 states that development in the Coastal Zone should be sited to avoid coastal hazard areas when feasible, and requires design and construction mitigations where avoidance is not feasible. As of this time the City has not identified any coastal hazard areas overlapping the areas to which the proposed IP amendments apply, and the amendments are thus not in conflict with Policy 12.4. In accordance with California Senate Bill No. 272 the City is developing a Sea Level Rise Plan (SLR Plan), as part of the City's LCP, including vulnerability assessment, adaptation strategies, and recommended projects. The SLR Plan may identify new coastal hazard areas, in which case it may propose new development controls or other guidance to minimize impacts to public safety and property from relevant hazards.