## BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## **MEMORANDUM**

	Data	Il 2 2024				
Date:		July 3, 2024				
	To:	Planning Department/Planning Commis	sion			
	From: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee					
	Subject:	abject: Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 240727				
Planning Code - New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 7 Avenue		ng Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific				
		Tivelide				
$\boxtimes$	Californi	ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) De	termination			
		(California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.)				
		Ordinance / Resolution	The project meets the criterion under Assembly Bill 1449, therefore it is ministerial and no CEQA is required.			
		Ballot Measure	09/04/2024 Py Winner			
$\boxtimes$	Amendn	Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:				
	(Planning	(Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review)				
	⊠ Gen	☐ General Plan ☐ Planning Code, Section 101.1 ☐ Planning Code, Section 302				
	Amendn	Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning				
	(Board R	(Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)				
	General	General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments				
	(Charter,	(Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53)				
		(Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property;				
		subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for				
		public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements;				
	the annu	the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital				
	improve	improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)				
	Historic	Historic Preservation Commission				
		☐ Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3)				
		☐ Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23)				
		= 171110 Tiet Gotterment Gotte, minim Gotte, Gotterment Gotte, Gotterment Gotte, Gotterment Gotte, Gotterment Gotte, Gotterment Gotter, Gotter Gotter,				
		☐ Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (Planning Code, Article 11)				

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.





# **CEQA Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Projec	ct Address		Block/Lot(s)				
758 & 772 PACIFIC AVENUE			0161014, 0161015				
Case	No.		Permit No.				
2024-	004990PRJ						
Ad	dition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New				
Alt	eration	Category B Building)	Construction				
_	=	Planning Department approval.					
The proposed project is a 15-story, 100% affordable, senior housing project with 175 units to be built on the combined parcels of 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue in the Chinatown neighborhood. The City and County of San Francisco currently owns the 772 Pacific Avenue parcel and Chinatown Community Development Center ("CCDC"), the nonprofit developer, owns the adjacent 758 Pacific Avenue parcel. The City will acquire the 758 Pacific Avenue parcel from CCDC to merge the two parcels, then ground lease the combined parcel to CCDC to build the senior housing project over the ground floor banquet hall. The current CRNC zoning allows 65-N and CCDC, with support from the district supervisor, will submit a request for a height and density increase for a base height of 155 ft. to the top of roof slab per The New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District coupled with the State Density Bonus application for 100% affordable housing.							
	IPTION TYPE roject has been d	etermined to be exempt under the California En	vironmental Quality Act (CEQA).				
		g Facilities. (CEQA Guidelines section 15301) Interior	·				
	Class 3 - New Co	onstruction. (CEQA Guidelines section 15303) Up to the in one building; commercial/office structures; utilitrincipally permitted or with a CU.					
	Class 32 - In-Fill additions greater (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposed substantially surrection (c) The project s (d) Approval of the water quality.	Development. (CEQA Guidelines section 15332) New than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described to consistent with the applicable general plan designs with applicable zoning designation and regulation development occurs within city limits on a project rounded by urban uses. It has no value as habitat for endangered rare or the project would not result in any significant effects the adequately served by all required utilities and project.	cribed below: nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or				
		tion 21080.40 establishing a statutory exemption for sidential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential					
		Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)) bility of a significant effect on the environment.	(3)). It can be seen with certainty that				

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT						
Comments:						
Plan	ner Signature: Joy Navarrete					
PROI	PERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE					
	ERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:					
	Category A: Known Historical Resource.					
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age).					
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age).					
PROF	POSED WORK CHECKLIST					
Check	all that apply to the project.					
	Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.					
	Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.					
	Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards.					
	Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, or					
H	replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.					
Щ	Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.					
	Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.					
	<b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .					
	Addition(s) not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each					
	direction; or does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure, or does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.					
	cause the removal of architectural significant rooming reatures.					
	Façade or storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character -defining features.					
	Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic					
$\square$	photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.					
Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.						
	Project is not listed.					

Project involves scope of work listed above.

#### **ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW**

Check all that apply to the project.						
	Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I relevant analysis; requires Principal Preservation Planner approval)					
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C				
		Lacks Historic Integrity				
		Lacks Historic Significance				
	Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A)					
	Project does not substantially impact character-defining features of a historic resource (see Comments)					
	Project is compatible, yet differentiated, with a historic resource.					
	Project consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties					
	Note: If ANY box above is checked, a Preservatio	on Planner MUST sign below.				
	<b>Project can proceed with EXEMPTION REVIEW</b> . The project hat Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review.	s been reviewed by the				
Comments by Preservation Planner: Qualifies under AB 1449						
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Joy Navarrete					
FYF	EMPTION DETERMINATION					
	No further environmental review is required. The project is ex	empt under CEQA.				
_						
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:				
	BOS Legislation adoption	Joy Navarrete				
		09/09/2024				
	Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Pr	poerty Information Map. which can be				
	accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications					
	link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link.					
	Once signed and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and chapter 31 of					
	the San Francisco Administrative Code. Per chapter 31, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors shall be filed within 30 days after the approval action occurs at a noticed public hearing, or within 30					
	days after posting on the planning department's website ( <a href="https://sfplanning.org/resource/ceqa-exemptions">https://sfplanning.org/resource/ceqa-exemptions</a> ) a					

written decision or written notice of the approval action, if the approval is not made at a noticed public hearing.