File Number:(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)			220344 / EVTPPC-15	
Grant Resolution Information Form (Effective July 2011)				
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.				
The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:				
1. 2.	Grant Title: PaintCare Extended Producer Responsibility Program  Department: Department of the Environment			
3.	Contact Person: Rachel Buerkle	Telephone: 415-355	<u>5-3704</u>	
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):				
	[X] Approved by funding agency	[] Not yet approved		
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for:	\$718,717 (Funding amo history of paint recycling		
6a. Matching Funds Required: \$ No				
7a. Grant Source Agency: PaintCare Inc.				
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A.				
<ul> <li>8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: This grant provides payment for an annual incentive to increase collection of paint, batteries, and mercury-containing lights by specified amounts and, if established incentive goals are not met, to implement alternate projects to provide and promote safe disposal options for household hazardous waste, including pharmaceutical and other dangerous wastes discarded by San Francisco residents.</li> <li>9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:</li> </ul>				
	Start-Date: October 1, 2015 End-D	Date: June 2, 2016		
	10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$159,857     b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes     Contracts to consultants have been awarded and future contracts will be awarded based on standard City contracting processes.			
	<ul> <li>c. If so, will contract services help to further the goar requirements? <u>YES</u></li> <li>d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request</li> </ul>	·	. , ,	
11	a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	[X] Yes	[] No	
	b1. If yes, how much? \$100,500			
	b2. How was the amount calculated? Departments	al Indirect Rate		

c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?  [] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant [] Other (please explain):  c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs  12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:				
**Disability Access Checklist***(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)				
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):				
	Program(s) or Service(s) am(s) or Service(s)			
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:				
1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;				
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;				
<ol> <li>Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.</li> </ol>				
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:				
Comments:				
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:				
Claudia Molina, Departmental ADA Coordinator, Payroll Personnel Clerk				
Date Reviewed:(Signature Required)				
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:				
Deborah O. Raphael, Director, Department of the Environment				
Date Reviewed: (Signature Required)				