Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant Program

PART A. APPLICATION INFORMATION FY 2024-25 Grant Category (select only one) Climate Adaptation (MPOs, RTPAs, Transit Agencies, Cities, Counties, Tribes, other Public Transportation Planning Entities) 11.47% Local Match requirement (Not Applicable to Native American Tribal Governments) Х Sustainable Communities (MPOs with sub-applicant, RTPAs, Strategic Partnerships (MPOs and RTPAs only) Transit Agencies, Cities, Counties, Tribes, other Public Transportation Planning Entities) Sustainable Communities Competitive Strategic Partnerships (FHWA SPR Part I) (11.47% Local Match requirement) (20% Local Match requirement) Sustainable Communities Competitive Technical Strategic Partnerships Transit (FTA 5304) (11.47% Local Match requirement) (11.47% Local Match requirement) **Application Submittal Type** (more than one may be selected) New **Prior Phases Re-Submittal** Continuation of a prior project. If so, list the Grant FY and project Re-submittal from a prior grant cycle. New title below. Х Application How many times has an application been submitted for this project, including this one?

PART B. PROJECT INFORMATION						
Project Title and Loc						
Project Title	SOMA Under Freeway Park					
Project Location (City)			Project Location (County)	San	n Francisco	
Funding Information						
 Is the applicant proposing to meet the minimum local match requirement or an over-match? Use the Match Calculator to determine the appropriate match. <u>Match Calculator</u> (posted online) Minimum Local Match Over-Match What is the source of Local Match funds being used? (MPOs - Federal Toll Credits, PL, and FTA 5303 <u>cannot</u> be used to match Sustainable Communities Competitive or Adaptation Planning grants) Local Transportation Funds Local Sales Tax Special Bond Measures 						
☑ Other, specify: In-Kind staff SFPUC and RPD + \$40,467 k SF Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)				(CIP)		
Grant Funds Requested	Local Match (Cash)	Local Match (In-Kind)	Total Local Mate	ch	% Local Match	Total Project Cost
\$626,000	\$40,467	\$40,638	\$81,105		11.47%	\$707,105



PART B. PROJECT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

Project Description (3-5 Sentences Max.)

Insert Application Narrative: 1. Project Description	The SOMA Under Freeway Park will explore the potential of the underutilized right-of-way between 4th and 6th St. between Harrison and Bryant St. to rectify SOMA's (South of Market) flooding, unsafe pedestrian and bike routes, inequitable urban heat island and air pollution that significantly impacts this communities' health. The site area is in the Greening Priority Zone per San Francisco's Heating and Air Quality Resilience Study (Attachment A) which illustrates that SOMA suffers from the highest heat, air pollution, and lowest tree canopy culminating in high rates of hospitalization for asthma and diabetes which are known comorbidities with extreme heat which will only increase with climate change. The construction of the I-80 expressway divided the community, increased air pollution, and created unsafe street conditions for pedestrians and cyclists due to its street design, ramps, and crossings. The project will not only right environmental inequities and make all modes of transportation in SOMA more resilient, but also provide access to recreation, improve biodiversity, expand the urban tree canopy, and celebrate cultural expression for this under-served neighborhood in transition.

Project Type

Choose the Project Type that best represents the focus of the proposed project. See Grant Application Guide for examples. Two max. may be selected.

- □ Active Transportation (Bicycle and Pedestrian)
- ☑ Climate Change (Infrastructure Adaptation, Vulnerability and Resiliency)
- □ Complete Streets (Multimodal specific type)
- □ Corridor (Local Streets or Highways)
- □ Freight/Goods Movement
- General Plan-Related (Circulation Element, Land Use Element, Specific Plan)
- Multimodal (Motorized and Active Transportation)
- Safety (Vision Zero, Safe Routes to Schools)
- □ Technical (Modeling, VMT Mitigation, ZEV Infrastructure, ZEB Transition, etc.)
- □ Transit (Bus, Light Rail, and Commuter Rail Service)
- \Box Other, specify:

Underserved Community Definitions

If applicable to the project, what tools were used to identify the underserved communities in the project area? Choose all that apply.

- \square Rural Communities of 50,000 or less and outside of urbanized areas
- □ Native American Tribal Governments
- ☑ Regionally/Locally Defined Underserved Communities
- ☑ At/Below 80% Assembly Bill 1550 (Gomez, Statutes of 2016)
- □ At/Above 75% California Department of Education, Free or Reduced Priced Meals Data
- □ At/Above 75% CalEnviroScreen Version 4.0
- □ At/Below 25% California Healthy Places Index



PART C. CONTACT INFORMATON*

	Primary Applicant	Sub-Applicant	Sub-Applicant
Organization (Legal name)	San Francisco Public Works		
Dept./Division	BDC Landscape Architecture		
Street Address	49 S. Van Ness Ave, 10 th Floor		
City	San Francisco		
Zip Code	94103		
Phone Number	628-271-3160		
Executive Director Name	Carla Short		
Title	Director		
Executive Director E-mail	Carla.short@sfdpw.org		
Financial Manager Name	Bruce Robertson		
Title	Deputy Director of Financial Management & Administration		
Financial Manager E-mail	Bruce.robertson@sfdpw.org		
Contact Person Name	Jennifer Cooper		
Title	Landscape Architecture Bureau Manager		
Contact Phone Number	415-819-3919		
Contact E-mail	Jennifer.cooper@sfdpw.org		

*Use additional pages if necessary.



PART D. COMPLIANT HOUSING ELEMENT

City/County Primary/Sub-Applicants for Sustainable Communities Grants	Yes	No
Does the City/County have a compliant Housing Element? If No, explain the current status:	x	
Has the City/County submitted Annual Progress Report to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for calendar years 2021 and 2022?	x	

PART E. OTHER FUNDING PROGRAMS

Applicants may leverage other program funds for this planning grant, as long as the activities are eligible.

	Yes	No	N/A
Is the applicant applying for the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) Climate Adaptation Planning Grant Program? Applicants should not submit the same project application to both funding programs. However, applicants may propose to leverage funds from one funding program to another. For instance, an applicant with a large project may propose to fund one component with Caltrans funds, and another with OPR funds. Applicants may also propose two entirely different projects to each funding program. If yes, identify the differences between each proposal, and briefly summarize the leverage opportunity if awarded both Caltrans and OPR funding:		X	
Is the applicant applying for any other funding programs to complete this project? If yes, list them here:		x	



PART F. LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION

Use the following link to determine the appropriate legislative members in the Project area.

Search by address: <u>http://findyourrep.legislature.ca.gov/</u>

State Senator(s)		Assembly Member(s)		
District	Name	District	Name	
11	Scott D. Weiner	17	Matt Haney	

PART G. LETTERS OF SUPPORT

List all letters of support received for the proposed project. Letters should be addressed to the applicant.

Name/Agency	Name/Agency
SFMTA	
SF Rec and Park	
Supervisor Matt Dorsey	
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural District	
Leather and LGBTQ Cultural District	
SOMA West Community Benefit District	
SF Planning Dept.	