

1 [Zoning - Interim Moratorium on Medical Cannabis Dispensaries]

2

3 **Urgency ordinance approving an interim zoning moratorium on the approval of medical**  
4 **cannabis dispensaries for 45 days, in accordance with California Government Code,**  
5 **Section 65858; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California**  
6 **Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan,**  
7 **and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

8

9 NOTE: This ordinance requires a **four-fifths vote** of the Board of Supervisors for  
10 passage.

11 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.  
12 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
13 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.  
14 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.  
15 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.  
16 **Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code  
17 subsections or parts of tables.

18

19 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

20

21 Section 1. Findings.

22 **(a) General Findings.**

23 (1) In 2005, the City enacted the Medical Cannabis Act, Article 33 of the Health  
24 Code, authorizing the permitting and operation of medical cannabis dispensaries (“MCDs”) in  
25 the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”).

(2) Currently, the only businesses that lawfully may engage in the cultivation or  
distribution of cannabis in the City are MCDs that are licensed pursuant to the Medical  
Cannabis Act.

26

1 (3) In 2015, the Legislature enacted three bills - AB 243, AB 266, and SB 643 -  
2 that collectively establish a comprehensive state licensing system for commercial activities  
3 relating to medical cannabis.

4 (4) In November 2016, California voters approved Proposition 64, which, among  
5 other things, establishes a comprehensive state licensing system for commercial activities  
6 relating to non-medical (or "adult use") cannabis.

7 (5) On November 9, 2016, the Mayor issued Executive Directive 16-05,  
8 "Implementing Prop 64: Adult Use of Marijuana Act," directing the Department of Public Health  
9 and the Planning Department, in consultation with other departments, to move forward with  
10 legislation for the Board of Supervisors' consideration that would address land use, licensing,  
11 safety, and youth access issues related to adult use cannabis under Proposition 64. Pursuant  
12 to that Executive Directive, the City is working towards the development and introduction of  
13 comprehensive legislation that will establish permits for a broad range of cannabis  
14 businesses, and that will identify where, and under what conditions, they may operate.

15 (6) The City enacted an ordinance, contained in Board of Supervisors File No.  
16 170275, to create an Office of Cannabis that will be charged with overseeing the permitting of  
17 commercial cannabis businesses in the City.

18 (7) As of July 2017, there were approximately 46 MCDs operating in the City,  
19 and 16 applications for MCD permits under review by the Planning Department.

20 (8) Since the passage of Proposition 64, the City has received 9 applications for  
21 permits to operate MCDs, which reflects an increase in the rate of application in previous  
22 years.

23 **(b) Findings Directly Related to Imposition of an Interim Moratorium.**  
24  
25

1 (1) California Government Code Section 65858 provides that local jurisdictions,  
2 to protect the public safety, health, and welfare, may adopt as an urgency measure an interim  
3 ordinance prohibiting any uses that may be in conflict with a contemplated zoning proposal.

4 (2) The interim zoning moratorium provided for in this ordinance is intended to  
5 address and ameliorate the problems associated with the proliferation of MCDs in the City,  
6 and to address the potential displacement of other types of retail outlets caused by this  
7 proliferation, at a time when the cannabis industry is expanding rapidly and the state and local  
8 regulatory landscape is changing. The problems to be addressed include the land use  
9 impacts of MCDs that may seek to convert to adult use cannabis retail outlets, inappropriate  
10 zoning for MCDs, and over-concentration of MCDs in certain areas of the City, all occurring in  
11 the absence of a comprehensive system for the regulation of adult use cannabis businesses.

12 (3) There is an immediate threat to the public health, safety, and welfare caused  
13 by the continued issuance of MCD permits.

14 (A) The California Attorney General's August 2008 "Guidelines for the  
15 Security and Non-Diversion of Marijuana Grown for Medical Use" recognizes that the  
16 operation of MCDs without adequate security may increase the risk that nearby homes or  
17 businesses may be negatively impacted by nuisance activity such as loitering or crime.

18 (B) While experiences in the regulation of MCDs vary among localities,  
19 several California cities have experienced an increase in loitering, vehicular traffic, and  
20 congestion in the areas immediately surrounding MCDs. For example, in San Francisco, the  
21 Ingleside Police Station has reported receiving complaints about MCDs along the Ocean  
22 Avenue corridor. Specifically, residents and business owners have complained about youth  
23 consumption of cannabis, undesirable odors, loitering, and traffic congestion.

24 (C) Due to the City's current zoning limitations, MCDs tend to be  
25 clustered in a limited number of areas, such as Supervisorial Districts 3 and 6, concentrating

1 and heightening the adverse land use impacts in those areas, and creating the potential for  
2 dramatic and adverse changes in neighborhood character and composition.

3 (D) Since the passage of Proposition 64, there has been an increase in  
4 the number and rate of applications for MCD permits in San Francisco. This increase is  
5 driven in part by the desire of prospective MCD operators to establish MCDs under the City's  
6 current MCD controls, in order to convert those MCDs to non-medical cannabis retail  
7 establishments once state and local licenses for such businesses are available. However, the  
8 City has not yet established laws or policies regarding the potential conversion of MCDs to  
9 non-medical cannabis retail outlets, and has not yet evaluated the potential land use impacts  
10 of non-medical cannabis retail establishments compared to the land use impacts of MCDs. In  
11 addition, the City currently has no comprehensive system for the regulation of non-medical  
12 cannabis licensing or sales. The proliferation of MCDs in the absence of such comprehensive  
13 controls may ultimately result in cannabis retail establishments that create negative land use  
14 and other impacts, including impacts on neighborhood character, traffic, and safety, and that  
15 are inconsistent with City zoning and other regulations for adult use cannabis businesses that  
16 will be ultimately adopted.

17 (E) It is in the best interest of City residents for the City to establish a  
18 healthy balance of MCDs and non-medical cannabis retail outlets.

19 (4) The Board of Supervisors has considered the impact on the public health,  
20 safety, peace, and general welfare if the moratorium proposed herein is not imposed.

21 (5) The Board of Supervisors has determined that the public interest will be best  
22 served by the imposition of this moratorium at this time, to ensure that the legislative scheme  
23 that ultimately may be adopted for adult use cannabis businesses and MCDs is not  
24 undermined during the planning and legislative process for permanent controls, which process  
25 shall be completed within a reasonable time in light of the complexity of regulation in this area.

1 (6) A moratorium on the approval of new MCDs will provide the Board of  
2 Supervisors the time to consider the adoption of ordinances that will regulate the location and  
3 operation of MCDs and non-medical cannabis retail outlets, while still allowing for the  
4 operation of legally permitted MCDs, to ensure that qualified patients and their caregivers  
5 have access to medical cannabis, and to safeguard the public health, safety, and welfare.

6 **(c) Planning Code Section 101.1 Findings.**

7 This interim moratorium advances and is consistent with Priority Policy 1 of Planning  
8 Code Section 101.1 in that the ordinance attempts to preserve and enhance existing  
9 neighborhood-serving retail uses and opportunities for resident employment in and ownership  
10 of such businesses; advances and is consistent with Priority Policy 2 in that the ordinance  
11 attempts to conserve existing neighborhood character in order to preserve the cultural and  
12 economic diversity of the City's neighborhoods; and advances and is consistent with Priority  
13 Policy 4 in that the ordinance will prevent new impacts related to traffic, transit, and parking  
14 caused by MCDs.

15 As to Priority Policies 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of Planning Code Section 101.1, the interim  
16 zoning moratorium would not, at this time, have an effect upon these policies, and thus, will  
17 not conflict with them.

18 **(d) Environmental Findings.**

19 The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this  
20 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources  
21 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of  
22 Supervisors in File No. 170865 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms  
23 this determination.

24 Section 2. The following interim zoning moratorium shall be adopted as an Urgency  
25 Ordinance:

1 (a) Neither the Planning Department nor the Planning Commission shall issue an  
2 approval or authorization for any Medical Cannabis Dispensary use, as defined in Planning  
3 Code Section 102. Any project that, as of the effective date of this ordinance, has received  
4 approval or authorization from the Planning Department or the Planning Commission for use  
5 as a Medical Cannabis Dispensary, is not subject to this ordinance.

6 (b) This interim zoning moratorium shall remain in effect for 45 days, unless (1)  
7 extended in accordance with California Government Code Section 65858 or (2) permanent  
8 controls are adopted to address the proliferation of Medical Cannabis Dispensaries and the  
9 regulation of non-medical cannabis retail outlets, whichever comes first.

10 (c) Due to the urgency of establishing this interim zoning moratorium and  
11 notwithstanding the requirements of Planning Code Section 306.7(g), the Board of  
12 Supervisors finds that the standard public notice for Board of Supervisors hearings is  
13 adequate to inform the public of any hearing(s) on this ordinance.

14  
15 Section 3. Within 25 days of the Board’s adoption of this ordinance, the Planning  
16 Department shall submit to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a written report describing  
17 the measures taken to alleviate the conditions that led to the adoption of the ordinance. Upon  
18 receipt of the report, the Clerk shall calendar a motion for the full Board of Supervisors to  
19 consider and approve said report. Said hearing and action taken thereon shall occur no later  
20 than 35 days after this ordinance is effective.

21  
22 Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon  
23 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the

24 //

25 //

1 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board  
2 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance by a 4/5ths vote.

3

4 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
5 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

6

7 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
8 ANNE PEARSON  
9 Deputy City Attorney

10 n:\legana\as2017\1800017\01208880.docx

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25