

File No. 260142

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 43

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____

Date: _____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: March 3, 2026

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Prepared by: Jocelyn Wong

Date: February 27, 2026

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

1 [Emergency Preparedness - Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning System]

2

3 **Resolution urging the Department of Emergency Management and Department of**
4 **Technology to prioritize the restoration of San Francisco's outdoor public warning**
5 **system in tsunami evacuation zones and coastal areas, and to allocate funding for this**
6 **critical public safety infrastructure.**

7

8 WHEREAS, San Francisco's outdoor public warning system, consisting of
9 approximately 119 sirens located throughout the City, was taken offline in December 2019
10 due to cybersecurity concerns, and has remained non-operational for over six years; and

11 WHEREAS, An operational outdoor public warning system is a valuable tool for alerting
12 residents and visitors to imminent dangers, including tsunamis, earthquakes, and other
13 emergencies, particularly when cell phone-based alerts may be insufficient; and

14 WHEREAS, On December 5, 2024, a 7.0 magnitude earthquake off the coast of
15 Humboldt County triggered a tsunami warning for San Francisco, during which the City lacked
16 a functional outdoor warning system to supplement cell phone alerts, requiring first
17 responders to physically drive to Ocean Beach with loudspeakers to warn residents; and

18 WHEREAS, The December 20, 2025, power outage, which affected approximately
19 130,000 San Francisco customers for up to 48 hours, demonstrated the vulnerability of relying
20 solely on cell phone-based emergency alerts, as residents' phones lost battery power and
21 with it, their ability to receive emergency notifications or contact 911; and

22 WHEREAS, On July 4, 2025, catastrophic flash floods along the Guadalupe River in
23 Texas killed at least 119 people in Kerr County, which lacked a warning siren system, while
24 the nearby community of Comfort, Texas, which had operational warning sirens, experienced
25 zero fatalities despite significant flooding; and

1 WHEREAS, Kerr County, Texas had previously rejected a warning system costing
2 approximately \$1 million due to budget concerns, and in the wake of the tragedy, the Texas
3 Legislature appropriated \$50 million for flood warning systems, underscoring the
4 consequences of delayed investment in emergency warning infrastructure; and

5 WHEREAS, The August 2023 Maui wildfires, which killed over 100 people in Lahaina,
6 demonstrated both the limitations of cell phone-based alerts during power outages and the
7 need for outdoor warning systems capable of broadcasting specific evacuation instructions;
8 and

9 WHEREAS, San Francisco's AlertSF system, while valuable, has only approximately
10 195,000 subscribers out of more than 800,000 residents, leaving the majority of the population
11 without opt-in emergency notifications; and

12 WHEREAS, Cell phone-based alerts, while useful, have significant limitations: they
13 may not reach people who are sleeping, outdoors, or in areas with poor cellular reception, and
14 they do not effectively reach tourists, unhoused residents, elderly individuals, or others who
15 may not have smartphones; and

16 WHEREAS, Emergency management experts emphasize the importance of
17 redundancy in warning systems, and outdoor sirens provide a critical backup when other
18 communication methods fail; and

19 WHEREAS, The estimated cost to restore San Francisco's outdoor public Warning
20 system has continued to escalate the longer the project has been delayed; and

21 WHEREAS, San Francisco faces ongoing risks from earthquakes, tsunamis, severe
22 weather events, and other emergencies that require rapid public notification; now, therefore,
23 be it

24 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Capital Planning Committee to
25 identify funding for restoration of the outdoor public warning system, beginning with sirens in

1 tsunami evacuation zones and coastal areas, through unallocated Earthquake Safety and
2 Emergency Response (ESER) bond funds or other existing emergency preparedness
3 resources; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Department of
5 Emergency Management to pursue state and federal grant opportunities, including through
6 FEMA and the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services, to offset costs of modern
7 warning system infrastructure; and, be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That upon identification of funding, the Board of Supervisors
9 urges the Department of Emergency Management and Department of Technology to develop
10 and present a plan and timeline for restoration of the system, prioritizing tsunami evacuation
11 zones and coastal areas; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors supports the restoration of the
13 outdoor public warning system as an important component of a comprehensive emergency
14 alert strategy for protecting the lives and safety of San Francisco residents, workers, and
15 visitors, and that further delay in addressing this critical infrastructure gap is unacceptable.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

From: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Testimony on ear File 251245, Charter Amendment - Lifetime Term Limits for Mayor and Members of the Board of Supervisors – Oppose
Date: Monday, February 23, 2026 9:52:51 AM
Attachments: [File No 260142 Testimony to Board of Supes Restore Outdoor Public Warning System.pdf](#)

For FAWCR item

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** pmonette-shaw <pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 20, 2026 9:26 PM  
**To:** Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Bilal.Mamood@sfgov.org; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Alan.Wong1@sfgov.org

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressooffice@sfgov.org>; Lee, Tiff (BOS) <tiff.lee@sfgov.org>; Jessica Cen (BOS) <jessica.cen@sfgov.org>; Sinn, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.sinn@sfgov.org>; Lee, Derek (BOS) <derek.lee@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Testimony on ear File 251245, Charter Amendment - Lifetime Term Limits for Mayor and Members of the Board of Supervisors – Oppose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6

San Francisco, CA 94109

Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: [pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net](mailto:pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net)

February 20, 2026

### Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3

The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5

The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7

The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9

The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10

The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on File 260142 — Resolution: Emergency**

### Preparedness

### **Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning Sirens System**

Dear President Mandelman and Board of Supervisors,

Regarding File #**260142 Resolution: Emergency Preparedness Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning System**, I urge each District Supervisor to rapidly sign on as a co-sponsor of Supervisor Wong's proposed resolution, and pass this Resolution unanimously. Then, get to work to identify funding to rapidly repair the noon warning sirens and bring them back on-line by the end of calendar year 2026! ***Voters in each District are closely watching your action — or inaction — on this!***

The siren syst *must* be declared an urgent matter for the City, and funding be identified and dedicated rapidly to bring the sirens back on-line, as *Priority Number 1* for the City. They should

not have been offline for seven years already! *It's way past time the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) stop "studying" this problem and move on to taking real action!*

And the Capital Planning Committee must be told to rapidly identify funding sources for the noon sirens in the upcoming Fiscal Year 2026–2027 City budget.

After all, if the siren system were to cost \$7.5 *million*, that represents just 0.047% of the City's current \$15.9 *billion*-dollar City budget. Alternatively, even if restoring all of the City's 119 sirens into service were to cost \$20 million, that again represents just 0.1257% — just over one-tenth of one percent — of the \$15.9 *billion annual* City budget, This is a ridiculously small percentage of spending to help all San Franciscans be more adequately alerted in the event of any number of public disasters. It's the **least** the City should be doing, and can surely afford!

The outdoor warning sirens need to be based on using the City's 800 MHz radio system DEM that operates at 1011 Turk Street as a highly secure, resilient backbone as the most efficient platform on which to host the analog siren system! After all, the *AlertSF* system was to be a redundant backup system to the sirens, the latter of which came first!

DEM has wrongly "*framed*" the outdoor warning system as being a **backup** system, as a redundancy matter. That's completely backwards. The siren system had come **first**, and all of the radio, broadcast TV, and digital alert systems connecting to the Internet and eventually to cell phones came later, as additional tools to **bolster the warning siren system**. The sirens were installed back in 1942 to warn residents of potential air raids during World War II. The City's *AlertSF* system became operational 50 years **later** in 2011 or 2012. So, *AlertSF* is the actual "*additional tool*," and is somewhat redundant to the long history of developing our public alert warning systems.

As Supervisor Wong's Resolution in File # #260142 notes, San Francisco's outdoor public warning system, consisting of approximately 119 sirens located throughout the City, was taken offline in December 2019 due to **potential** cybersecurity concerns, because the sirens were susceptible to being hacked and manipulated, and has remained non-operational for six years. Not an **actual** risk of being hacked or manipulated, but a **potential** for being at susceptible risk of being hacked.

On December 5, 2024, the 7.0 magnitude earthquake off the coast of Humboldt County triggered a tsunami warning for San Francisco, during which the City lacked a functional outdoor warning system to supplement cell phone alerts, requiring first responders to physically drive to Ocean Beach with loudspeakers to warn residents.

San Francisco's December 20, 2025 power outage, which affected approximately 130,000 San Francisco PG&E customers for up to 48 hours, demonstrated the vulnerability of relying solely on cell phone-based emergency alerts, as residents' phones lost battery power and with it, their ability to receive emergency notifications or contact 9–1–1.

The August 2023 Maui wildfires, which killed over 100 people in Lahaina, demonstrated both the limitations of cell phone-based alerts during power outages and the need for outdoor warning systems capable of broadcasting specific evacuation instructions.

Supervisor Wong's proposed Resolution indicates San Francisco's *AlertSF* system, while valuable, has only approximately 195,000 subscribers out of more than 800,000 residents, leaving the majority of the population without opt-in emergency notifications.

San Francisco's Department of Emergency Management (DEM) claimed in December 2024 *AlertSF* only had 195,000 San Francisco residents subscribe to "*AlertSF*, out of 809,000 City residents, just 24.1% of San Franciscans. That leaves 75.9% of San Franciscans vulnerable in the event of any

disaster — and they won't receive any kind of alerts!

But in response to a records request placed on December 5, 2024 DEM provided an Excel file that showed *AlertSF* had just 48,192 subscribers — just 5.96% of San Franciscans. By analyzing the Zip Codes and City names, only 36,152 of the 48,192 live in San Francisco — **reducing *AlertSF* subscribers to just 4.5% of San Franciscans** (36,152 of 809,000).

That *AlertSF* may actually only reach 4.5% of San Franciscans is unacceptable, and suggests that the City's failure to urgently fund and bring the Outdoor Public Warning Siren system back on line is placing hundreds of thousands of San Franciscans' lives at great risk.

Since the sirens went silent, we have seen projected costs escalate from \$3 million to \$7 million, then to at last count perhaps \$20 million. But it's hard to believe the veracity of these figures, because its not clear whether DEM's RFI process that began in April 2024 ever advanced to issuing an actual competitive-bid RFP by 2025 — or if the project hit another snag and stalled for all of 2025.

A City Hall press release on August 24, 2023 reported that then-Mayor Breed had joined then-Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin to announce plans to prioritize upgrading the City's Outdoor Public Warning System (OPWS) during an August 2023 Mayor's Disaster Council meeting.

The press release noted:

*“Anyone who lived in San Francisco before December 2019 knows all too well the familiar Tuesday noon sirens test and the assurance that came with this safety message that boomed throughout the City,” said Mayor London Breed. “The recent devastation in Maui was a tragic example of how important emergency notifications to residents, businesses and tourists are, and although we historically have had minimal need to use the sirens in San Francisco, we must be ready when the time comes. This additional tool will bolster our City's existing comprehensive alert and warning system.”*

*“While I was initially disappointed that this critical investment in our public safety infrastructure was not funded in the City's Capital Plan, I am delighted that we were able to collaborate and find funds to finally get the Warning System back up and running,” said Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin.*

According to that press release, funds to reactivate the sirens had reportedly been identified by Breed and Peskin. So why hasn't the siren system been turned back on yet? **What happened to those funds identified in 2023?**

The Board of Supervisors **must** support the restoration of the outdoor public warning system as an important component of a comprehensive emergency alert strategy for protecting the lives and safety of San Franciscans, not just those who live in tsunami evacuation zones and coastal areas, since emergencies are typically citywide, not just confined to specific San Francisco neighborhoods.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

**Patrick Monette-Shaw**  
*Columnist/Reporter*

*Westside Observer* Newspaper

cc: The Honorable Daniel Lurie, Mayor of San Francisco  
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office

## Patrick Monette-Shaw

---

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6

San Francisco, CA 94109

Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

February 20, 2026

Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1

The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2

The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3

The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4

The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5

The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6

The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7

The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9

The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10

The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

---

**“ That *AlertSF* may actually only reach 4.5% of San Franciscans is unacceptable, and suggests that the City’s failure to urgently fund and bring the *Outdoor Public Warning Siren (OPWS)* system back on line is placing hundreds of thousands of San Franciscans’ lives at great risk. ”**

**Bring back the ‘noon sirens’!**

---

Re: **Testimony on File 260142 — Resolution: Emergency Preparedness Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning Sirens System**

---

Dear President Mandelman and Board of Supervisors,

Regarding File #**260142 Resolution: Emergency Preparedness Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning System**, I urge each District Supervisor to rapidly sign on as a co-sponsor of Supervisor Wong’s proposed resolution, and pass this Resolution unanimously. Then, get to work to identify funding to rapidly repair the noon warning sirens and bring them back on-line by the end of calendar year 2026! ***Voters in each District are closely watching your action — or inaction — on this!***

The siren syst *must* be declared an urgent matter for the City, and funding be identified and dedicated rapidly to bring the sirens back on-line, as *Priority Number 1* for the City. They should not have been offline for seven years already! *It’s way past time the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) stop “studying” this problem and move on to taking real action!*

And the Capital Planning Committee must be told to rapidly identify funding sources for the noon sirens in the upcoming Fiscal Year 2026–2027 City budget.

After all, if the siren system were to cost \$7.5 million, that represents just 0.047% of the City’s current \$15.9 billion-dollar City budget. Alternatively, even if restoring all of the City’s 119 sirens into service were to cost \$20 million, that again represents just 0.1257% — just over one-tenth of one percent — of the \$15.9 billion annual City budget. This is a ridiculously small percentage of spending to help all San Franciscans be more adequately alerted in the event of any number of public disasters. It’s the **least** the City should be doing, and can surely afford!

The outdoor warning sirens need to be based on using the City’s 800 MHz radio system DEM that operates at 1011 Turk Street as a highly secure, resilient backbone as the most efficient platform on which to host the analog siren system! After all, the *AlertSF* system was to be a redundant backup system to the sirens, the latter of which came first!

DEM has wrongly “framed” the outdoor warning system as being a **backup** system, as a redundancy matter. That’s completely backwards. The siren system had come **first**, and all of the radio, broadcast TV, and digital alert systems connecting to the Internet and eventually to cell phones came later, as additional tools to **bolster the warning siren system**. The sirens were installed back in 1942 to warn residents of potential air raids during World War II. The City’s *AlertSF* system became operational 50 years **later** in 2011 or 2012. So, *AlertSF* is the actual “additional tool,” and is somewhat redundant to the long history of developing our public alert warning systems.

As Supervisor Wong’s Resolution in File # #260142 notes, San Francisco's outdoor public warning system, consisting of approximately 119 sirens located throughout the City, was taken offline in December 2019 due to **potential** cybersecurity

concerns, because the sirens were susceptible to being hacked and manipulated, and has remained non-operational for six years. Not an **actual** risk of being hacked or manipulated, but a **potential** for being at susceptible risk of being hacked.

On December 5, 2024, the 7.0 magnitude earthquake off the coast of Humboldt County triggered a tsunami warning for San Francisco, during which the City lacked a functional outdoor warning system to supplement cell phone alerts, requiring first responders to physically drive to Ocean Beach with loudspeakers to warn residents.

San Francisco's December 20, 2025 power outage, which affected approximately 130,000 San Francisco PG&E customers for up to 48 hours, demonstrated the vulnerability of relying solely on cell phone-based emergency alerts, as residents' phones lost battery power and with it, their ability to receive emergency notifications or contact 9-1-1.

The August 2023 Maui wildfires, which killed over 100 people in Lahaina, demonstrated both the limitations of cell phone-based alerts during power outages and the need for outdoor warning systems capable of broadcasting specific evacuation instructions.

Supervisor Wong's proposed Resolution indicates San Francisco's **AlertSF** system, while valuable, has only approximately 195,000 subscribers out of more than 800,000 residents, leaving the majority of the population without opt-in emergency notifications.

San Francisco's Department of Emergency Management (DEM) claimed in December 2024 **AlertSF** only had 195,000 San Francisco residents subscribe to "**AlertSF**", out of 809,000 City residents, just 24.1% of San Franciscans. That leaves 75.9% of San Franciscans vulnerable in the event of any disaster — and they won't receive any kind of alerts!

But in response to a records request placed on December 5, 2024 DEM provided an Excel file that showed **AlertSF** had just 48,192 subscribers — just 5.96% of San Franciscans. By analyzing the Zip Codes and City names, only 36,152 of the 48,192 live in San Francisco — **reducing **AlertSF** subscribers to just 4.5% of San Franciscans (36,152 of 809,000).**

That **AlertSF** may actually only reach 4.5% of San Franciscans is unacceptable, and suggests that the City's failure to urgently fund and bring the Outdoor Public Warning Siren system back on line is placing hundreds of thousands of San Franciscans' lives at great risk.

Since the sirens went silent, we have seen projected costs escalate from \$3 million to \$7 million, then to at last count perhaps \$20 million. But it's hard to believe the veracity of these figures, because its not clear whether DEM's RFI process that began in April 2024 ever advanced to issuing an actual competitive-bid RFP by 2025 — or if the project hit another snag and stalled for all of 2025.

A City Hall press release on August 24, 2023 reported that then-Mayor Breed had joined then-Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin to announce plans to prioritize upgrading the City's Outdoor Public Warning System (OPWS) during an August 2023 Mayor's Disaster Council meeting.

The press release noted:

*"Anyone who lived in San Francisco before December 2019 knows all too well the familiar Tuesday noon sirens test and the assurance that came with this safety message that boomed throughout the City," said Mayor **London Breed**. "The recent devastation in Maui was a tragic example of how important emergency notifications to residents, businesses and tourists are, and although we historically have had minimal need to use the sirens in San Francisco, **we must be ready when the time comes**. This additional tool will bolster our City's existing comprehensive alert and warning system."*

*"While I was initially disappointed that this critical investment in our public safety infrastructure was not funded in the City's Capital Plan, I am delighted that we were able to collaborate and find funds to finally get the Warning System back up and running," said Board of Supervisors President Aaron Peskin.*

February 20, 2026

**File 260142 — Resolution: Emergency Preparedness Restoration of Outdoor Public Warning Sirens System**

Page 3

According to that press release, funds to reactivate the sirens had reportedly been identified by Breed and Peskin. So why hasn't the siren system been turned back on yet? **What happened to those funds identified in 2023?**

The Board of Supervisors **must** support the restoration of the outdoor public warning system as an important component of a comprehensive emergency alert strategy for protecting the lives and safety of San Franciscans, not just those who live in tsunami evacuation zones and coastal areas, since emergencies are typically citywide, not just confined to specific San Francisco neighborhoods.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

**Patrick Monette-Shaw**

*Columnist/Reporter*

*Westside Observer* Newspaper

cc: The Honorable Daniel Lurie, Mayor of San Francisco  
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office

**Introduction Form**

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2026 FEB 10 PM01:59

*JA*

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
*(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)*
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_ inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No. \_\_\_\_\_ from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No. \_\_\_\_\_
- 9. Reactivate File No. \_\_\_\_\_
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on \_\_\_\_\_

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission     Youth Commission     Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission     Building Inspection Commission     Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes                       No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Wong

Subject:

Restoration of San Francisco's Outdoor Public Warning System

Long Title or text listed:

Resolution urging funding and restoration of San Francisco's Outdoor Public Warning System, prioritizing coastal and tsunami evacuation zones.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

*[Handwritten Signature]*