3 4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

M oFile Capy Do Not Remove

[Ballot Argument] AUTHORIZING PROPONENT'S BALLOT ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION D, A CHARTER AMENDMENT CONCERNING CREATION OF TAXI COMMISSION.

IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That pursuant to Section 540 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a ballot argument in favor of Proposition D, a charter amendment concerning creation of Taxi Commission; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be as shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on Tuesday, November 3, 1998.

File No. 98-1307

Adopted - Board of Supervisors, San Francisco August 17, 1998

Supervisors Ammiano Bierman Brown Katz Kaufman Leno Ayes: Medina Newsom Yaki Yee

Absent: Supervisor Teng

I hereby certify that the foregoing motion was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Krancisco

23

24

Supervisor Newsom

SOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Undersigned authors of this Ballot Argument: ** FOR or __AGAINST, PROPOSITION D at the election to be held in San Francisco on November 3, 1998 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.

FILE NO. 98-1307

	FILE NO.	
Style	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
Notes	,	I wood the
B, I, or <i>BI</i>	Complaints about lack of taxi service have too long been the rule and not the	15
	exception.	/
	Recently the San Francisco Police Commission took a major step in reforming	//
	taxi service by giving neighborhood residents what they have been advocating	11
	for: increasing the number of cabs.	6
	The Police Commission has not been able to focus its undivided attention on	13
	taxi problems because it is the policy-making body for the Police Department.	13
	By default, the Police Department has had to deal with policy questions and	13
	administrative duties, thus rendering it incapable of providing solutions and	10
	enforcement that the public deserves.	5
В	The complex nature of taxi service necessitates ongoing attention from experts	11
_	within the various categories of drivers, customers, and the industry. Our	11
	present system has led San Francisco to convene a mayoral appointed task force	12
	each time we reach a critical point in the degeneration of the industry -	13
	approximately every decade. This results in big problems requiring immediate	10
	changes. Most affected by this lack of planning are those in outer	12
·	L	

Page 1 of 2 attach additional pages as needed

 Total # of Words =	298
Board of Supervisors	3
service: vote yes on Proposition D.	6
those who rely on better, safer taxi service in San Francisco. Improve taxi	12
by an accountable Taxi Commission that represents a diverse cross section of	12
Transit users including taxi riders in every neighborhood will be better served	12
continue to enforce criminal laws relating to taxis.	8
dispersed throughout the City bureaucracy. The Police Department would	9
relating to taxicabs and other vehicles for hire which are now inefficiently	12
The new Taxi Commission would consolidate all responsibilities and duties	10
labor community, hospitality; the general public; a driver; and a cab company.	12
representatives from: the senior or disabled community; the neighborhoods; the	10
Proposition D will create an appointed Taxi Commission required to include	//
enhanced and expanded taxi service.	5
 neighborhoods, seniors and the disabled, who desperately require improved.	9

Page 2_ of _2_

attach additional pages as needed