

FILE NO: 191059

Petitions and Communications received from September 30, 2019, through October 14, 2019, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on October 22, 2019.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making the following appointment: Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

Suzanne Giraud – Health Commission – term ending January 15, 2023

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.105, making the following appointment: Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

Susan Dimond – Planning Commission – term ending June 30, 2020

From the Department of Human Resources and the Municipal Transportation Agency, submitting three Administrative Code, Section 12B, waiver requests. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Human Services Agency, submitting a response relating to the United States Department of Agriculture's proposed rule change that threatens food security. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for September 2019. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Mari Eliza, regarding the proposed project at 344-14th Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From John-David Feldman, regarding the new BART cars. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Jordan Davis, regarding the Resolution passed for October to be named Italian Heritage Month. File No. 190986. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From concerned citizens, regarding the use of reasonable reliance in the evaluation of Operating and Maintenance (O&M) pass-through tenants. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Peter Belden, regarding protected bike lanes in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Aaron Goodman regarding empty storefronts and the perceived lack review of projects in Union Square. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Wayne Gordon, regarding Resolution No. 382-19 declaring the National Rifle Association as a domestic terrorist organization. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Mary Swisher, regarding public restrooms in the East Bay Terminal. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed project at 3333 California Street. File Nos. 190947, 190844, 190845. Copy: 2 Letters. Each Supervisor. (14)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Right to Rescue and Animal Bill of Rights. 97 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [GIVNER, JON \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral Appointment 3.100(18)
Date: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 6:36:00 PM
Attachments: [Clerks Memo.pdf](#)
[Mayoral Appointment.pdf](#)

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment package, pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18). Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 8, 2019
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Appointment

On October 8, 2019, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment package, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18):

- **Suzanne Giraud** - Health Commission - January 15, 2023

This appointment is effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors. Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the appointment as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you are interested in requesting a hearing on this appointment, please notify me in writing by **12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 16, 2019.**

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Jon Givner - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

October 7, 2019

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, make the following appointment:

Suzanne Giraud to the Health Commission for a four year term ending January 15, 2023, into the seat formerly held by Janet Reilly.

I am confident that Ms. Giraud will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Kanishka Cheng, at 415.554.6696

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2019 OCT -8 AM 11:19
BY _____

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); [Karunaratne, Kanishka \(MYR\)](#); [GIVNER, JON \(CAT\)](#)
Subject: Mayoral Nomination
Date: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 5:28:58 PM
Attachments: [Clerks Memo 10.9.19.pdf](#)
[Mayoral Nomination \(2\).pdf](#)

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete nomination package. Please see the attached memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 9, 2019
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Nomination

On October 9, 2019, the Mayor submitted the following complete nomination package pursuant to Charter, Section 4.105.

Susan Diamond - Planning Commission - term ending June 30, 2020

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.105, this nomination is subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors and shall be subject to a hearing and vote within 60 days from the date the notice of nomination is transmitted to the Clerk of the Board. If the Board fails to act on the nomination within 60 days, the nomination shall be deemed approved.

This nomination will be scheduled for a Rules Committee hearing, with final Board action within the 60-day deadline.

c: Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Jon Givner - Deputy City Attorney
Sophia Kittler - Mayor's Legislative Liaison

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



RECEIVED
LONDON N. BREED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR

2019 OCT -9 PM 3:14

Notice of Nomination

BY 

October 9, 2019

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.105, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination:

Susan Diamond to the Planning Commission to fill the remainder of an unexpired term ending June 30, 2020, formerly held by Richard Hillis.

I am confident that Ms. Diamond will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Commission Affairs, Kanishka Karunaratne Cheng, at 415.554.6696.



London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: No Potential Contractors Comply Waiver Requests
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:39:00 PM
Attachments: [Signed 12B waiver letter and form 8308.8310.pdf](#)
[Signed 12B waiver letter and form H32.pdf](#)

From: Cruz, Liezel (HRD) <liezel.cruz@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 11:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Winchester, Tamra (ADM) <tamra.winchester@sfgov.org>; Viterbo-Martinez, Domenic (ADM) <domenic.viterbo-martinez@sfgov.org>
Cc: Johnson, Dave (HRD) <dave.johnson@sfgov.org>
Subject: No Potential Contractors Comply Waiver Requests

Hello,

Please find the 2 attached requests for approval and let me know if you have additional questions.

Thank you,

Liezel Cruz, Sr. Human Resources Analyst

Public Safety Team

Department of Human Resources

One South Van Ness Ave., 4th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: 415-551-8947

Email: liezel.cruz@sfgov.org

Website: www.sfdhr.org

City and County of San Francisco
Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director



Department of Human Resources
Connecting People with Purpose
www.sfdhr.org

September 30, 2019

Andrico Penick, Director
Real Estate Department
25 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. Penick:

The DHR Public Safety Team is requesting approval to use the Holiday Inn Golden Gateway, 1500 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94109, from January 19 to January 25, 2020 for the ratings of the Fire Department's H-32 Fire Prevention/Fire Investigation exam.

The Holiday Inn Golden Gateway has been used effectively in the past to administer Public Safety examinations. The rooms will be needed for lodging the subject matter experts who are coming to San Francisco to participate in our examination ratings, and for the ratings which will take place in the Suite.

We selected this facility because they were able to accommodate our room specifications, ratings dates and security requirements at the most competitive rates. A copy of the waiver request form approved by the Human Rights Commission for our use of this facility is attached. The Holiday Inn Golden Gateway is offering a room rate of \$229.00/night and \$500.00/night for the Suite. The contract fee is detailed below:

January 19 to 25 (6 nights): (30 room nights x \$229.00) = \$6,870 + 2.75% tax = \$7,058.93
January 19 to 25 (6 nights): (6 suite nights x \$500.00) = \$3,000.00 + 2.75% tax = \$3,082.50

Total Cost: = \$10,141.43

If you have any questions regarding this request, please call Liezel Cruz of my staff at 415-551-8947. We would appreciate your returning this letter with the required approval as soon as possible so we can finalize the contract to reserve this facility for our use.

Sincerely,

Dave Johnson, Manager
Public Safety Team
Department of Human Resources



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B
WAIVER REQUEST FORM
(CMD-201)

Send completed waiver requests to:
CMD, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or
cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org

FOR CMD USE ONLY
Request Number:

Section 1. CCSF Department Information (all fields must be completed)
Department Head Signature: [Signature] 9/30/19
Name of Department: DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES
Department Address: 1 SOUTH VAN NESS AVENUE., 4TH FLOOR
Contact Person: LIEZEL CRUZ
Phone Number: 415-551-8947 E-mail: liezel.cruz@sfgov.org

Section 2. Contractor Information (all fields must be completed)
Contractor Name: HOLIDAY INN GOLDEN GATEWAY HOTEL
Bidder/Supplier No.: S#0000018762 Contractor Tax ID:
Contractor Address: 1500 VAN NESS AVENUE
Contact Person: KENDRA HAIMS Contact Phone No.: 415-447-3098

Section 3. Transaction Information (all fields must be completed)
Date Waiver Request Submitted: 9/30/19 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 14,060.26
Contract/Transaction Number: HIGG Contract Name: 8308/8310 RATINGS
Contract/Transaction Start Date: 03/01/20 Contract/Transaction End Date: 03/07/20

Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)
[X] Chapter 12B
Chapter 14B Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.

Section 5. Waiver Type (a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions)
A. Sole Source
B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or §21.15)
C. Public Entity
[X] D. No Potential Contractors Comply (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 9/30/19
E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
F. Sham/Shell Entity (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on:
G. Subcontracting Goals
H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) Note: For contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7(J)(2)

CMD ACTION - For CMD/HRC Use Only
12B Waiver Granted: 14B Waiver Granted:
12B Waiver Denied: 14B Waiver Denied:
Reason for Action:
CMD or HRC Staff: Date:
CMD or HRC Director: Date:

City and County of San Francisco
Micki Callahan
Human Resources Director



Department of Human Resources
Connecting People with Purpose
www.sfdhr.org

September 30, 2019

Andrico Penick, Director
Real Estate Department
25 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. Penick:

The DHR Public Safety Team is requesting approval to use the Holiday Inn Golden Gateway, 1500 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94109, from March 1 to March 7, 2020 for the ratings of the Sheriff Department's 8310 Lieutenant and 8308 Sergeant Exams.

The Holiday Inn Golden Gateway has been used effectively in the past to administer Public Safety examinations. The rooms will be needed for lodging the subject matter experts who are coming to San Francisco to participate in our examination ratings, and for the ratings which will take place in the Suite.

We selected this facility because they were able to accommodate our room specifications, ratings dates and security requirements at the most competitive rates. A copy of the waiver request form approved by the Human Rights Commission for our use of this facility is attached. The Holiday Inn Golden Gateway is offering a room rate of \$219.00/night and \$500.00/night for the Suite. The contract fee is detailed below:

March 1 to 7 (6 nights): (48 room nights x \$219.00) = \$10,512.00 + 2.75% tax = \$10,801.08

March 1 to 7 (6 nights): (6 suite nights x \$500.00) = \$3,000.00 + 2.75% tax = \$3,082.50

7 breakfast vouchers * \$25.24 per voucher = \$176.68

Total Cost: = \$14,060.26

If you have any questions regarding this request, please call Liezel Cruz of my staff at 415-551-8947. We would appreciate your returning this letter with the required approval as soon as possible so we can finalize the contract to reserve this facility for our use.

Sincerely,

Dave Johnson, Manager
Public Safety Team
Department of Human Resources

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Notification of proposed waiver
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:39:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[Hyatt Justification Memo.pdf](#)
[HYATT CMD Waiver Request Form.pdf](#)

From: Patel, Ashish <Ashish.Patel@sfmta.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 10:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winchester, Tamra (ADM) <tamra.winchester@sfgov.org>; Aseron, Lome (MTA) <Lome.Aseron@sfmta.com>
Subject: Notification of proposed waiver

Please see the attached notice of waiver request for the SFMTA to use Hyatt Regency for SMTA Safe Drivers Awards event.
This is being sent per Administrative code 12B.5-1(d)(4)(i).

If you have any questions, please call me at the number below.

Ashish Patel
Manager, Contracts & Procurement
Finance & Information Technology



Office 415.701.4297

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th floor
San Francisco, CA 94103



This e-mail message and any attachments may contain confidential information that is legally privileged and intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission and any attachments without reading or saving in any manner.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION

S.F. ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTERS 12B and 14B WAIVER REQUEST FORM (CMD-201)

Send completed waiver requests to:
CMD, 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94102 or
cmd.waiverrequest@sfgov.org

FOR CMD USE ONLY
Request Number: _____

▶ **Section 1. CCSF Department Information (all fields must be completed)**

Department Head Signature: *[Signature]*
 Name of Department: San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
 Department Address: 1 South Van Ness Ave., SF, CA 94103
 Contact Person: Cary Dare
 Phone Number: 415-701-4686 E-mail: cary.dare@sfmta.com

▶ **Section 2. Contractor Information (all fields must be completed)**

Contractor Name: Hyatt Regency
 Bidder/Supplier No.: 0000018591 Contractor Tax ID: _____
 Contractor Address: 5 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California, United States, 94111
 Contact Person: Justine Reighard Contact Phone No.: 415-291-6544 justine.reighard@hyatt.com

▶ **Section 3. Transaction Information (all fields must be completed)**

Date Waiver Request Submitted: 09/26/2019 Dollar Amount of Contract: \$ 42,178.29
 Contract/Transaction Number: 1000012535 Contract Name: Safe Drivers Award Dinner
 Contract/Transaction Start Date: 10/04/2019 Contract/Transaction End Date: 11/16/2019

▶ **Section 4. Administrative Code Chapter to be Waived (please check all that apply)**

Chapter 12B
 Chapter 14B *Note: Employment and LBE subcontracting requirements will still be in force even when a 14B Waiver Type A or B is granted.*

▶ **Section 5. Waiver Type (a justification must be attached; see Check List on the other side of this form for instructions)**

A. Sole Source
 B. Emergency (pursuant to Administrative Code §6.60 or §21.15)
 C. Public Entity
 D. No Potential Contractors Comply (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: 9/30/19
 E. Government Bulk Purchasing Arrangement..... (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
 F. Sham/Shell Entity (Required) Copy of waiver request sent to Board of Supervisors on: _____
 G. Subcontracting Goals
 H. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) *Note: For contracts in excess of \$5 million; see Admin. Code §14B.7(J)(2)*

CMD ACTION – For CMD/HRC Use Only	
12B Waiver Granted: _____	14B Waiver Granted: _____
12B Waiver Denied: _____	14B Waiver Denied: _____
Reason for Action: _____	
CMD or HRC Staff: _____	Date: _____
CMD or HRC Director: _____	Date: _____



London Breed, Mayor

Malcolm Heinicke, Chair
Gwyneth Borden, Vice Chair
Cheryl Brinkman, Director
Amanda Eaken, Director

Steve Heminger, Director
Cristina Rubke, Director
Art Torres, Director

Tom Maguire, Interim Director of Transportation

September 26, 2019

Romulus Asenloo, Director
Contract Monitoring Division
General Services Agency
30 Van Ness Avenue, 2nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Hyatt Regency San Francisco Sole Source Waiver Request

Dear Mr. Asenloo:

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) requests approval of a sole source waiver to have its annual Safe Drivers Award Dinner on November 16, 2019, at the Hyatt Regency in San Francisco. The Dinner will honor the dedicated group of front-line employees that has exemplified the term "safe driver" and that have consistently demonstrated excellence in transit service, continuing to further the SFMTA's ongoing commitment to the safety of the citizens of San Francisco.

The Hyatt Regency was selected as there are no hotel vendors other than the Hyatt Regency that has all the combination of space, food service, price, availability and a location that is easily accessible by public transit.

We have contacted the vendor of the outstanding issues for them to comply with the City's requirement to be an approved vendor in the future.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tom Maguire'.

Tom Maguire
Interim Director of Transportation

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 417-19 - Condemning the United States Department of Agriculture's Proposed Rule Change that Threatens Food Security
Date: Thursday, October 10, 2019 4:24:00 PM
Attachments: [USDA Federal Proposed Rule -- Elimination of BBCE \(CCSF\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

From: Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 4:02 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Smith, Susie (HSA) <susie.smith@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 417-19 - Condemning the United States Department of Agriculture's Proposed Rule Change that Threatens Food Security

Hi,

Thanks for forwarding the BOS resolution regarding the USDA's proposed rule elimination the categorical eligibility provision for Food Stamps.

Attached please find the a letter sent to the USDA on September 23rd regarding these proposed changes, written by HSA and signed by Mayor Breed and City Attorney Herrera, as requested in the BOS resolution that was adopted on September 24th.

At your earliest convenience, can you please forward this to the members of the Board with this email serving as the cover memo?

Thanks.

-Trent

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2019 9:26 AM
To: Rhorer, Trent (HSA) <Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org>
Cc: Ballard, Krista (HSA) <krista.ballard@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 417-19 - Condemning the United States Department of Agriculture's Proposed Rule Change that Threatens Food Security

Greetings,

Please find attached a copy of the Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 417-19, accompanied by a cover memorandum from the Clerk of the Board. This resolution urges the Director of the Human

Services Agency to submit a comprehensive comment to the United States Department of Agriculture and the community describing the effects of the rule change on the food security efforts, and is being provided for your information and consideration.

Regards,

Lisa Lew

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163
lisa.lew@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
SAN FRANCISCO

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

DENNIS J. HERRERA
CITY ATTORNEY

September 23, 2019

Submitted via www.regulations.gov

Ms. Jessica Shahin
Associate Administrator, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
Program Design Branch, Program Development Division
Food and Nutrition Service
United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)
3101 Park Center Drive
Alexandria, VA 22302

Re: Revision of Categorical Eligibility in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); RIN 0584-AE62

Dear Ms. Shahin:

We write in strong opposition to the USDA's Notice of Proposed Rule Making on Revision of Categorical Eligibility in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), 84 Fed. Reg. 35,570 (July 24, 2019) ("Proposed Rule").

Food security is an issue of critical concern to the City and County of San Francisco (the "City" or "San Francisco"). One in four San Francisco residents is at high risk of hunger due to low income. The consequences of such food insecurity are sweeping and significant: food insecurity contributes to poor health and health disparities; it increases risk of multiple chronic conditions, including diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension; and it impairs child neurodevelopment and limits academic achievement. Accordingly, the City has dedicated significant resources to assuring that all of its residents have adequate access to healthy foods and do not go hungry. The Proposed Rule would significantly undermine these efforts—inflicting serious harm on the City's most vulnerable children, disabled persons, seniors and other residents and on the City itself.

The Proposed Rule is not just misguided, cruel, and harmful—but also unlawful. It violates fundamental separation of powers principles by making policy changes via executive fiat that Congress has repeatedly rejected. It violates the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) by failing to consider important aspects of the issue—such as the primary objectives of the SNAP program and the fact that the Proposed Rule will undermine them. And it violates Executive Order 13132 by failing altogether to address the Proposed Rule's federalism implications. The Proposed Rule would remove individual state's ability to administer SNAP benefits to best serve their local needs, an area that the federal government has historically left to the states.

For all of these reasons, we urge that the Proposed Rule be withdrawn in its entirety. If the USDA takes any action as to broad-based categorical eligibility, it should instead be to encourage more states to adopt it. Encouraging states to provide food assistance to more low-income working households would be a powerful policy improvement that would reduce food

insecurity and promote the savings and wealth building necessary for long-term economic security.

I. The Proposed Rule Will Harm San Francisco and its Residents.

A. Thousands of Vulnerable Residents Will Lose Critical Nutrition Assistance.

Generally, households must have *net* income below 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) to qualify for SNAP benefits. Also, households without an elderly or disabled member must have monthly *gross* income below 130% of FPL. Finally, households must have liquid assets below a specified level (\$2,250 in households without an elderly or disabled member, and \$3,500 for households with such a member). But 43 states—including California—have adopted broad-based categorical eligibility (BBCE) to provide a streamlined alternative to SNAP's outdated income and asset requirements. Under California's BBCE policy, most applicants who receive a Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)-funded service in the form of family planning information and referral services are deemed categorically eligible for SNAP benefits as long as their monthly household income does not exceed 200% of FPL.

The San Francisco Human Services Agency (SFHSA) is responsible for enrollment in and administration of SNAP for residents of San Francisco. SFHSA currently administers SNAP (called CalFresh in California) benefits for approximately 31,500 households. Approximately 2,300 of those (roughly 7%) are households without an elderly or disabled member that have a gross income above 130% of the FPL. Under the Proposed Rule, these households would lose SNAP benefits due to the lower income eligibility threshold. Approximately 945 more households would no longer be eligible due to the reinstatement of the asset test. In total, about 3,245 San Francisco households would lose supplemental nutrition assistance under the Proposed Rule.

A substantial number of these San Francisco households are low-income working families. Nearly 20% are families with children. And approximately 50% have earned income from work. These families and individuals are taking critical steps toward self-sufficiency, but cannot afford to live in San Francisco without some support. Although their income would make them ineligible for SNAP under the Proposed Rule, they are not "high-income" by any reasonable standard.¹ Of the categorically eligible households with gross income over 130% FPL:

- More than 95% have income between 130% and 150% FPL—that translates to between \$27,729 and \$31,995 per year (or between \$2,311 and \$2,667 per month) for a family of three;
- Another 4% have income between 150% and 180% FPL—*i.e.*, \$31,995-\$38,394 per year or \$2,667-\$3,200 per month for a family of three.

None of these households comes close to earning the \$94,950 per year that MIT researchers have calculated is necessary to cover required annual expenses for a family of one

¹ The FPL has widely been criticized as an outdated method of determining eligibility for public benefit programs. It is based on the cost of food from a family budget from over half a century ago. In the 1950s, consumers spent about one third of their after-tax income on food so the FPL formula calculated the average cost of a food basket per family size and multiplied that number times three. The assumption that a household's food expenses comprise one-third of total spending has long been outdated and entirely fails to account for changes in food costs or the rising dominance of shelter, healthcare, childcare, education, and transportation expenses in Americans' budgets. Moreover, the FPL does not account for local or regional differences in cost of living.

adult and two children in San Francisco.² Indeed, none could afford even a typical one-bedroom rental in San Francisco where, according to San Francisco-based rental site Zumper, a single-bedroom apartment averaged \$3,720 per month in June of 2019.³ Taking SNAP benefits away from these already-struggling families will cause them serious harm.⁴

One of the most significant consequences of the Proposed Rule will be increased stress among low-income families and young children. Because stress impacts child neurodevelopment, children will bear the most significant consequences of the Proposed Rule. Children subject to stress resulting from food insecurity have more problems with executive function (attention, memory, judgment, planning, mental flexibility, learning, and emotional regulation), and are more likely to develop chronic diseases and mental illness.⁵

B. The Proposed Rule Will Cause Significant Harm to San Francisco.

In addition to the devastating harm the Proposed Rule will cause to families and individuals that lose crucial nutritional assistance benefits, it will also cause substantial harms to San Francisco.

1. The Proposed Rule Will Frustrate San Francisco's Mission to End Food Insecurity in the City.

Hunger is a critical issue in San Francisco. Hundreds of thousands of San Francisco residents—including tens of thousands of children—are food insecure. Accordingly, San Francisco has made ensuring access to adequate food for its residents a top priority. In 2013, San Francisco declared that “access to safe, nutritious, and culturally acceptable food is a basic human right and is essential to human health.” San Francisco Board of Supervisors Resolution 444-13 (Dec. 10, 2013). The City is “commit[ed] to ensuring that all San Franciscans are food secure and hunger free by 2020.” *Id.* Over the past five years, the City has aggressively pursued programs and policies aimed at accomplishing this goal. *See generally* San Francisco Food Security Task Force, *2018 Food Security Report* (attached hereto as Exhibit A).

For example, research indicates that SNAP participation decreases food insecurity by roughly 30%.⁶ Accordingly, the City has dedicated significant resources to increasing SNAP enrollment. SFHSA's Strategic Plan calls for growing its SNAP caseload to 50,000 by 2021. To accomplish this, the agency has dedicated 14 outreach workers, 3 outreach supervisors, and an analyst to undertake community outreach. Also, San Francisco maintains contracts with community-based organizations for outreach and benefits navigation in order to ensure that its residents can access services in the most convenient and culturally relevant settings.

Changing the BBCE rules to make over 3,000 current (and innumerable future) households ineligible for benefits will stymie these efforts. If the Proposed Rule goes into effect,

² MIT Living Wage Calculator, *Living Wage Calculation for San Francisco County, California*, available at <https://livingwage.mit.edu/counties/06075>.

³ *See San Francisco Bay Area Metro Report: July 2019* (July 24, 2019), available at <https://www.zumper.com/blog/2019/07/san-francisco-bay-area-metro-report-july-2019/>.

⁴ *See, e.g.,* Stephanie Ettinger de Cuba et al., *Loss Of SNAP Is Associated With Food Insecurity And Poor Health In Working Families With Young Children*, *Health Affairs* Vol. 38, No. 5 (May 2019), available at <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.05265>.

⁵ *See, e.g.,* McSilver Institute for Poverty Policy and Research, *Child Food Insecurity and Mental Health*, available at <http://mcsilver.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/Child%20Food%20Insecurity%20and%20Mental%20Health.pdf>.

⁶ Caroline Ratcliffe and Signe-Mary McKernan, *How Much Does Snap Reduce Food Insecurity?* (April 2010), available at <https://naldc.nal.usda.gov/download/42004/PDF>.

it will undermine the City’s goal of increasing SNAP participation and, more broadly, of ending food insecurity and hunger in the City.

2. The Proposed Rule Will Impose Substantial Costs on San Francisco.

USDA estimates that the Proposed Rule will reduce federal spending by approximately \$9.386 billion over five years. But this is not a cost saving—it is a cost transfer to state and local governments. The Proposed Rule will impose substantial additional health care costs, food and nutrition assistance costs, and administrative costs on state and local governments, like San Francisco.

a. Health Care Costs.

An extensive body of research demonstrates that there is a strong correlation between food insecurity and poor health outcomes among all age groups.⁷

- Food insecurity among *children* is linked to increased risks of poor diets, the development of chronic health conditions including asthma and anemia, cognitive and behavioral problems, anxiety and depression, and poorer general health.
- Food insecurity among *working-age adults* is associated with poorer diet quality; multiple chronic conditions, including hypertension, coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, and kidney disease; and poorer general and mental health. Indeed, the USDA itself has acknowledged the “strong correlation between food security status and chronic health conditions among working-age adults living at or below 200 percent of the Federal poverty line.” Christian A. Gregory and Alisha Coleman-Jensen, U.S. Dep’t of Agriculture, *Food Insecurity, Chronic Disease, and Health Among Working-Age Adults* at 20 (July 2017) (emphasis added).
- Among *seniors*, food insecurity is linked to poorer diets, chronic conditions such as type 2 diabetes and anemia, worse general health, depression, more limitations in daily activities, and decreased quality of life.

It is similarly well established—and logical—that the poor health status associated with food insecurity is “highly predictive of increased health services use and higher health care costs.” Ettinger de Cuba, *supra* n. __.⁸

San Francisco operates a sophisticated and extensive safety-net health care system that serves as the provider of last resort, offering care to low-income and other vulnerable residents regardless of their ability to pay. Accordingly, the City already bears a significant financial burden as a result of health conditions that result from food insecurity. A recent study determined that San Francisco currently incurs more than \$200,000,000 per year in health care costs associated with food insecurity. Seth A. Berkowitz et al., *State-Level and County-Level Estimates of Health Care Costs Associated with Food Insecurity*, Appendix (July 2019), available at https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2019/18_0549.htm. As food insecurity increases due to the Proposed Rule, so will the City’s costs.

⁷ See, e.g., Steven Carlson and Brynne Keith-Jennings, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, *SNAP Is Linked with Improved Nutritional Outcomes and Lower Health Care Costs* (Jan. 17, 2018), available at <https://www.cbpp.org/research/food-assistance/snap-is-linked-with-improved-nutritional-outcomes-and-lower-health-care>; CA Tait, et al., *The association between food insecurity and incident type 2 diabetes in Canada: A population-based cohort study* (2018), available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29791453>; Craig Gundersen and James P. Ziliak, *Food Insecurity and Health Outcomes*. Health Affairs Vol. 34, No. 11 (Nov. 2015), available at <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.0645>.

⁸ See also, e.g., Brett O’Hara and Kyle Caswell, U.S. Census Bureau, *Health Status, Health Insurance, and Medical Service Utilization: 2010* (July 2013), available at <https://www.census.gov/prod/2012pubs/p70-133.pdf>.

b. Food and Nutrition Costs.

To mitigate the deleterious effects of the Proposed Rule, the City will have to expend additional resources so that individuals and families have enough to eat and do not go hungry.

San Francisco currently offers several locally funded food and nutrition programs to support the nutritional needs of individuals. Some of these programs, like local food voucher programs, are designed to increase individuals' food purchasing power. Others—like meal delivery services and food pantries—directly provide people with food. *See 2018 Food Security Report* at 139-67. San Francisco already expends significant funds on these programs. If thousands of food insecure households lose SNAP benefits, the City will have to divert substantial additional resources to increasing the breadth and depth of local programs to address the newly unmet needs of its residents.

c. Administrative Costs.

Under the Proposed Rule, thousands of San Francisco applicants for SNAP benefits would become subject to an asset test. This would impose significant administrative and financial burdens on the City.

Implementing an asset test involves extensive staff training and recordkeeping. SNAP rules define many types of assets, with different treatments in SNAP budgets, adding staff time to the eligibility verification processes. For example, verifying bank accounts, the value of vehicles, or even burial plots is time consuming for eligibility workers, requiring repeated back and forth with clients. Moreover, people applying to programs with asset rules require extensive assistance from eligibility staff to correctly identify the assets they own and locate appropriate documentation.

Already, SNAP's complex eligibility and procedural requirements engender confusion and misunderstanding among prospective applicants. Indeed, in San Francisco, failure to meet procedural requirements, like submitting appropriate verifications, is the most common reason applications are denied—not lack of meeting actual eligibility thresholds. Ineligibility is the root cause in less than 30% of cases.⁹ SNAP applicants denied for procedural reasons like lacking proper documentation often reapply at a future time, causing eligibility workers to have to redo eligibility determinations.

It is therefore not surprising that, by USDA's own estimates, the Proposed Rule would increase SNAP administrative costs by \$2.3 billion nationwide. This increase is also reflected in data from states that eliminated other benefit asset tests:

- Oklahoma's elimination of Medicaid asset limits resulted in almost \$1 million in administrative cost savings.
- Illinois has estimated that elimination of TANF asset limits would save \$1 million annually.
- Colorado estimated that eliminating the TANF asset test would result in 90 minutes of administrative time savings per case in the first 45 days.

In short, San Francisco will experience a significant increase in its administrative costs if the Proposed Rule goes into effect.

⁹ Thus, increasing the procedural requirements will result in more eligible individuals being denied benefits for purely bureaucratic reasons. And, notably, the people who fail to navigate the application process are often those most in need of help—individuals struggling to balance demands of work and family, or to work around a functional disability in order to meet their basic needs.

3. The City's Economy Will Lose More Than \$15 Million Annually.

As noted above, approximately 3,245 households would lose SNAP benefits under the Proposed Rule. USDA economists have determined that SNAP benefits have a high multiplier effect as they circulate through the local economy—every dollar issued to a SNAP recipient results in \$1.79 in economic activity.¹⁰ The average annual value of SNAP benefits received per impacted household in San Francisco is \$2,664. Accordingly, the City's economy will lose over \$15 million annually (3,245 households * \$2,664 = \$8,644,680 * \$1.79 = \$15,473,977).

II. The Proposed Rule Violates Separation of Powers, the Administrative Procedure Act, and Executive Order 13132 (Federalism).

A. The Proposed Rule Is Contrary to Congressional Intent and Violates the Separation of Powers.

For over a decade, some Congressional representatives have sought, and failed, to restrict categorical eligibility in the manner proposed here. On at least three occasions, Congress expressly considered *and rejected* the precise changes at the heart of the Proposed Rule. In 2005, Congress considered cuts to categorical eligibility as part of the Farm Bill, but ultimately rejected them. In 2014, the House passed a version of the Farm Bill that would have eliminated BBCE, but that change was not included in the conference agreement. Most recently, in 2018, the House approved a Farm Bill that would have limited but not eliminated BBCE. Once again, that change was rejected before the bill became final.

The administration now seeks to achieve through agency action what they repeatedly failed to accomplish using legislative means. But agencies are not constitutionally permitted to execute a legislative agenda that Congress has repeatedly rebuffed. Issuing by regulation a policy change that was explicitly rejected by Congress is a blatant attempt to circumvent democratic will, in violation of fundamental separation of powers principles.

B. The Proposed Rule Violates the APA Because It Is Arbitrary and Capricious.

A reviewing court may “hold unlawful and set aside agency action” that is “arbitrary” or “capricious.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A). A regulation is arbitrary and capricious if the agency has “entirely failed to consider an important aspect of the problem” or “offered an explanation for its decision that runs counter to the evidence before the agency.” *Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.*, 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983). The Proposed Rule is arbitrary and capricious in at least three ways.

1. The Proposed Rule Ignores the Central Objective of SNAP.

In establishing SNAP and its earlier iterations, Congress's express purpose was to expand access to nutritious food for low-income people. USDA “entirely failed to consider an important aspect of the problem” by failing to consider this central objective of SNAP.

The text of The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (the “Act”) foregrounds SNAP's goal of increasing access to healthy food. Congress determined that the “limited food purchasing power of low-income households contributes to hunger and malnutrition among members of [poor] households.” 7 U.S.C.A. § 2011. Accordingly, it created “a supplemental nutrition assistance program” to “permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet through normal channels of trade.” *Id.* Congress explicitly stated that the program aims “to alleviate hunger and malnutrition.” *Id.*

Through today, the Department recognizes that SNAP exists to help low-income individuals and families obtain nutritious food. On its website, for example, the Department

¹⁰ Kenneth Hanson, *The Food Assistance National Input-Output Multiplier (FANIOM) Model and the Stimulus Effects of SNAP*, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service (October 2010), https://www.ers.usda.gov/webdocs/publications/44748/8003_err103_reportsummary_1_.pdf?v=0.

posits that SNAP “is intended to ensure no one in our land of plenty should fear going hungry,” and describes one of SNAP’s goals as “ensuring access to nutritious food.” U.S. Dep’t of Agriculture website, <https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program>. The Proposed Rule itself concedes the “intent of the Food and Nutrition Act [is] to alleviate hunger among low-income households.” 84 Fed. Reg. 35,572. Secretary Sonny Perdue has echoed this refrain, noting “the goal of the SNAP program is to provide support for families as they are strengthened and enabled for self-sufficiency.” *National Press Club Headliners Luncheon with U.S. Secretary of Agriculture, Sonny Perdue*, National Press Club (Dec. 12, 2017), https://www.press.org/sites/default/files/20171212_perdue.pdf.

Rarely are statutory text, legislative intent, and administrative understanding as clear as they are here. Regrettably, this clarity and consensus did not prevent the USDA in formulating the Proposed Rule from disregarding SNAP’s core mission. The USDA failed to examine the overwhelming economic, sociological, and dietary evidence that the Proposed Rule would actively undermine one of SNAP’s primary goals. Instead, the Proposed Rule barely acknowledges the existence of this central goal of the Act, perfunctorily noting in a single sentence that the “[t]he proposed rule may also negatively impact food security” before swiftly moving onto other issues. 84 Fed. Reg. at 35,575.

The APA requires more. The USDA cannot gloss over the Act’s primary goal of the SNAP program with a cursory sentence buried deep in the Proposed Rule. It must “consider [this] important aspect of the problem” and analyze the Proposed Rule’s impact in relation to this objective. *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.*, 463 U.S. at 43. The USDA’s failure to do so renders the Proposed Rule unlawful.

2. The Proposed Rule Will Discourage Savings and Financial Stability Among Low-Income Families.

In the Proposed Rule, USDA suggests—without any support—that the proposed changes to BBCE will help poor families transition from government assistance to financial self-sufficiency. 84 Fed. Reg. at 35,573-74. In fact, overwhelming evidence suggests that the Proposed Rule will have the opposite effect: it will make it more difficult for the working poor to become financially stable and independent.

BBCE allows states to provide much-needed nutritional assistance to low-income families that have trouble making ends meet. Eliminating BBCE will create a sharp “benefits cliff,” where recipients at or below 130% FPL will lose all SNAP benefits as soon as they see a modest increase in income. In other words, low-income workers would face an abrupt end to benefits if their work hours or wages increased, even temporarily. A minimum wage employee who unexpectedly needed to log more hours could have trouble putting food on the table. Given that the loss of SNAP benefits can far offset small increases in income,¹¹ the Proposed Rule will discourage rational economic actors from increasing their income. Rather than seeking out more work, individuals will have the incentive to remain within the Proposed Rule’s more stringent income eligibility guidelines so they can feed their families day to day.

Reinstating the federal asset limit, as contemplated in the Proposed Rule, also penalizes families for building savings. The federal SNAP limit on assets has been unchanged for decades: it is \$2,250 per household (\$3,500 for households with older adults or persons with disabilities). These outdated and unreasonable limits would prevent families in San Francisco from having even one month’s rent in savings. Households depend on savings for financial stability when income fluctuates or unexpected expenses arise, and enforcing the federal asset test will put low-

¹¹ See, e.g., Elaine Waxman, *The Importance of Broad-Based Categorical Eligibility in SNAP*, Urban Institute (June 20, 2019), available at https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/100429/the_importance_of_broad-based_categorical_eligibility_bbce_in_snap_2.pdf.

income families in an untenable position—divest themselves of their modest savings or lose SNAP benefits.

Accumulating even a small amount of savings and assets has been shown to reduce the length of time families need public assistance.¹² Thus, by discouraging SNAP recipients from earning or saving more, the Proposed Rule will undermine the very goal it purports to further. USDA's contrary view—that curtailing BBCE will help low-income families transition from government assistance to financial self-sufficiency—"runs counter to the evidence." *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.*, 463 U.S. at 43.

3. The Proposed Rule Is Unnecessary and Its Benefits Are Illusory.

USDA contends that the Proposed Rule is necessary, in large part, to address the possibility that households receiving SNAP pursuant to BBCE are not undergoing a meaningful eligibility review. 84 Fed. Reg. at 35,572. USDA's premise is incorrect.

All households applying for SNAP benefits in San Francisco—including those that receive a TANF-funded benefit rendering them eligible for BBCE—undergo extensive verification reviews. Although eligibility workers are not required to, and therefore do not, currently inquire into BBCE applicants' assets, they gather extensive information about both income and expenses. Workers use this information to verify that the household's gross income does not exceed 200% of FPL and net income does not exceed 100% FPL. They also use this information to determine the benefit amount, which is based on the household's net countable income after deductions for certain expenses. Indeed, while a household may be categorically eligible, the net income may be too high to actually receive any SNAP monetary benefit. Accordingly, contrary to USDA's assertion, all households undergo a meaningful eligibility review before receiving any SNAP benefits. Moreover, like all SNAP households, BBCE households are required to re-verify their income eligibility every six months. *See* Exhibits B at Questions 9-11 (SAR 7 form completed six months from date of enrollment) & C at Questions 7-8 (CalFresh Recertification Application completed one year from date of enrollment). The alleged problem the Proposed Rule is supposed to address simply does not exist.

The other "current issue" USDA purports to address is the possibility that BBCE is being conferred upon people who have not received a TANF benefit, "which weakens the intended linkage between the two programs." 84 Fed. Reg. 35,572. Even assuming this is accurate, the solution to this alleged problem is not to completely eliminate BBCE, but to better enforce existing rules to improve compliance. USDA is using an axe instead of a scalpel to address a concern that could be addressed through significantly less harmful and disruptive means.

C. The Proposed Rule Violates Executive Order 13132.

Executive Order 13132 requires Federal agencies to consider the impact of their regulatory actions on state and local governments. Where such actions have federalism implications, agencies are directed to provide a federalism summary for inclusion in the preamble to the regulations.

USDA gives lip service to this requirement, stating, without data or analysis, that it "has determined that this rule does not have federalism implications. Therefore, under section 6(b) of the Executive Order, a federalism summary is not required." 84 Fed. Reg. 35,576. USDA is incorrect. The SNAP statutory scheme is designed to preserve some aspects of state autonomy, and states play a vital role in the implementation of the program. The ability to opt into BBCE is one way that states can tailor the program to meet their local needs. And it is an option that the majority of states have embraced. The Proposed Rule takes that choice away from states—

¹² Aleta Sprague and Rachel Black, *State Asset Limit Reforms and Implications for Federal Policy*, New America Foundation (2012), available at https://static.newamerica.org/attachments/3826-state-asset-limit-reforms-andimplications-for-federal-policy/SpragueBlackFinal10.31.12_0.557490fb36df433a80bd5cb2f3885e5d.pdf.

requiring that all states follow the generic federal rules. By taking this power of choice away from state government and centralizing decision-making in the federal agency, the Proposed Rule directly implicates federalism concerns. Moreover, as detailed above, the Proposed Rule would impose significant costs on local governments. Accordingly, USDA has violated Executive Order 13132 by failing to provide a federalism summary impact statement.

* * *

For all of these reasons, we strongly oppose any administrative action by USDA that would reduce states' flexibility to extend SNAP eligibility to low-wage working households. We urge USDA to withdraw the Proposed Rule in its entirety.

Very truly yours,



LONDON N. BREED, MAYOR



DENNIS J. HERRERA, CITY ATTORNEY

From: [Dion, Ichieh \(TTX\)](#)
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for September 2019
Date: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 8:06:23 AM
Attachments: [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for September 2019.pdf](#)

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of September attached for your use.

Regards,

Ichieh Dion
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
415-554-5433

**Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector
City and County of San Francisco**



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer
Robert L. Shaw, CFA, Chief Investment Officer

Investment Report for the month of September 2019

October 15, 2019

**The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638**

**The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638**

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of September 30, 2019. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of September 2019 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	September 2019	Fiscal YTD	August 2019
Average Daily Balance	\$ 10,793	\$ 11,047	\$ 10,671	\$ 10,639
Net Earnings	63.44	20.87	42.56	20.96
Earned Income Yield	2.33%	2.30%	2.35%	2.32%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	% of Portfolio	Book Value	Market Value	Wtd. Avg. Coupon	Wtd. Avg. YTM	WAM
Investment Type						
U.S. Treasuries	8.71%	\$ 968.4	\$ 975.1	1.27%	2.14%	322
Federal Agencies	48.74%	5,440.7	5,458.8	2.05%	2.16%	556
State & Local Government						
Agency Obligations	0.80%	90.5	89.2	2.49%	2.21%	439
Public Time Deposits	0.31%	35.0	35.0	2.20%	2.20%	103
Negotiable CDs	23.25%	2,600.0	2,603.9	2.54%	2.54%	125
Commercial Paper	6.62%	738.2	741.0	0.00%	2.19%	97
Medium Term Notes	0.31%	34.5	34.7	2.24%	2.47%	136
Money Market Funds	6.29%	704.7	704.7	2.04%	2.04%	1
Supranationals	4.98%	553.1	558.2	1.44%	2.24%	366
Totals	100.0%	\$ 11,165.1	\$ 11,200.6	1.95%	2.25%	357

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Very truly yours,

**José Cisneros
Treasurer**

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Eric Sandler, Meghan Wallace
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller
Tonia Lediju, Ph.D. - Chief Audit Executive, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of September 30, 2019

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
Security Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 975.0	\$ 968.4	\$ 975.1	100.69	8.71%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	5,443.3	5,440.7	5,458.8	100.33	48.74%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	89.2	90.5	89.2	98.55	0.80%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	35.0	35.0	35.0	100.00	0.31%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	2,600.0	2,600.0	2,603.9	100.15	23.25%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	745.0	738.2	741.0	100.37	6.62%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	34.7	34.5	34.7	100.57	0.31%	25%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	704.7	704.7	704.7	100.00	6.29%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	556.4	553.1	558.2	100.93	4.98%	30%	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 11,183.3	\$ 11,165.1	\$ 11,200.6	100.32	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on both a par and market value basis, using the result with the lowest percentage of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


City and County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended September 30, 2019

Average Daily Balance	\$11,046,803,099
Net Earnings	\$20,871,909
Earned Income Yield	2.30%
Weighted Average Maturity	357 days


Investment Type	(\$ million)	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$	975.0	\$ 968.4	\$ 975.1
Federal Agencies		5,443.3	5,440.7	5,458.8
State & Local Government				
Agency Obligations		89.2	90.5	89.2
Public Time Deposits		35.0	35.0	35.0
Negotiable CDs		2,600.0	2,600.0	2,603.9
Commercial Paper		745.0	738.2	741.0
Medium Term Notes		34.7	34.5	34.7
Money Market Funds		704.7	704.7	704.7
Supranationals		556.4	553.1	558.2
Total		\$ 11,183.3	\$ 11,165.1	\$ 11,200.6




Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund



Par Value of Investments by Maturity



Asset Allocation by Market Value



Yield Curves



Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

As of September 30, 2019

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	8/13/2019	10/8/2019	0.00	\$ 440,000	\$ 438,597	\$ 439,825	\$ 439,850
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	8/13/2019	10/8/2019	0.00	49,560,000	49,401,959	49,540,245	49,543,150
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	9/10/2019	10/8/2019	0.00	100,000,000	99,842,500	99,960,625	99,966,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796VZ9	TREASURY BILL	8/28/2019	10/15/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,867,000	49,961,208	49,967,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T59	US TREASURY	5/18/2018	10/15/2019	1.00	25,000,000	24,492,188	24,986,195	24,989,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828T59	US TREASURY	8/15/2018	10/15/2019	1.00	50,000,000	49,134,766	49,971,565	49,979,000
U.S. Treasuries	912796ST7	TREASURY BILL	8/22/2019	11/21/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,759,861	49,865,417	49,873,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128283N8	US TREASURY	1/16/2018	12/31/2019	1.88	50,000,000	49,871,094	49,983,571	49,994,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	6/20/2017	6/15/2020	1.50	50,000,000	49,982,422	49,995,843	49,871,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828C59	US TREASURY	4/3/2019	6/15/2020	1.50	50,000,000	49,478,516	49,693,524	49,871,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	12/20/2018	6/15/2020	1.50	100,000,000	98,312,500	99,198,204	99,742,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XY1	US TREASURY	4/3/2019	6/30/2020	2.50	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,042,280	50,232,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	3/4/2019	1/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	49,486,328	49,645,017	50,133,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	4/15/2019	3/31/2021	2.25	50,000,000	49,863,281	49,895,551	50,367,000
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	4/9/2019	4/15/2021	2.38	50,000,000	50,013,672	50,010,426	50,474,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2021	1.13	25,000,000	24,519,531	24,783,365	24,752,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	11/10/2016	10/31/2021	1.25	50,000,000	49,574,219	49,821,575	49,594,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	12/13/2016	11/30/2021	1.75	100,000,000	99,312,500	99,700,048	100,223,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	8/15/2017	6/30/2022	1.75	25,000,000	24,977,539	24,987,344	25,109,500
Subtotals					1.27	\$ 975,000,000	\$ 968,398,784	\$ 972,481,829	\$ 975,121,000
Federal Agencies	3132X0KH3	FARMER MAC	10/6/2016	10/1/2019	2.33	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000
Federal Agencies	313384ML0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	9/17/2019	10/2/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,957,500	49,997,167	49,997,500
Federal Agencies	313384ML0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	9/17/2019	10/2/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,957,500	49,997,167	49,997,500
Federal Agencies	313384MS5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	9/9/2019	10/8/2019	0.00	100,000,000	99,838,083	99,960,917	99,963,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJF79	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/10/2018	10/10/2019	2.65	36,000,000	35,987,760	35,999,698	36,007,200
Federal Agencies	3133EGXK6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/1/2017	10/11/2019	1.12	20,000,000	19,732,000	19,996,053	19,996,000
Federal Agencies	3134G8TG4	FREDDIE MAC	4/11/2016	10/11/2019	1.50	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	14,997,150
Federal Agencies	3130ACM92	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10/13/2017	10/21/2019	1.50	21,500,000	21,461,945	21,498,969	21,495,915
Federal Agencies	3136G0T68	FANNIE MAE	8/28/2017	10/24/2019	1.33	14,000,000	13,968,220	13,999,071	13,993,980
Federal Agencies	3134GBHT2	FREDDIE MAC	9/12/2017	10/25/2019	1.63	50,000,000	50,024,500	50,000,761	49,991,000
Federal Agencies	3136G4FJ7	FANNIE MAE	10/25/2016	10/25/2019	1.20	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,987,500
Federal Agencies	3136G4EZ2	FANNIE MAE	10/28/2016	10/30/2019	1.13	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,998,678	49,966,500
Federal Agencies	3134GAVL5	FREDDIE MAC	11/4/2016	11/4/2019	1.17	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,925,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJRU5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/14/2018	11/14/2019	2.45	50,000,000	49,987,500	49,998,938	50,036,000
Federal Agencies	3136G3LV5	FANNIE MAE	5/26/2016	11/26/2019	1.35	8,950,000	8,950,000	8,950,000	8,942,214
Federal Agencies	3133EGN43	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/2/2016	12/2/2019	2.26	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,021,500
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/15/2017	12/13/2019	2.38	11,360,000	11,464,888	11,370,518	11,370,224
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/12/2017	12/13/2019	2.38	20,000,000	20,186,124	20,018,587	20,018,000
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/15/2017	12/13/2019	2.38	40,000,000	40,369,200	40,037,021	40,036,000
Federal Agencies	3134G9VR5	FREDDIE MAC	7/6/2016	1/6/2020	2.25	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,001,500
Federal Agencies	3136G4KQ5	FANNIE MAE	11/17/2017	1/17/2020	1.65	1,000,000	996,070	999,463	999,360
Federal Agencies	3136G4KQ5	FANNIE MAE	11/17/2017	1/17/2020	1.65	31,295,000	31,172,011	31,278,208	31,274,971
Federal Agencies	3133EJLU1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/24/2018	1/24/2020	2.42	25,000,000	24,996,500	24,999,371	25,045,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJLU1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/24/2018	1/24/2020	2.42	25,000,000	24,995,700	24,999,227	25,045,250
Federal Agencies	313384SK6	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	9/30/2019	1/29/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,690,778	49,693,333	49,691,500
Federal Agencies	3130ADN32	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	2/9/2018	2/11/2020	2.13	50,000,000	49,908,500	49,983,375	50,036,000
Federal Agencies	313378J77	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	5/17/2017	3/13/2020	1.88	15,710,000	15,843,849	15,731,291	15,710,943
Federal Agencies	3133EHZN6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/20/2017	3/20/2020	1.45	20,000,000	19,979,400	19,996,138	19,965,200

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133EJHL6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/27/2018	3/27/2020	2.38	50,000,000	49,964,000	49,991,234	50,136,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBET5	FREDDIE MAC	5/22/2018	4/13/2020	1.80	10,000,000	9,839,400	9,954,744	10,000,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJG37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/15/2018	4/15/2020	2.85	25,000,000	24,992,500	24,997,304	25,136,500
Federal Agencies	3136G4BL6	FANNIE MAE	10/17/2016	4/17/2020	1.25	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	14,944,350
Federal Agencies	3137EAEM7	FREDDIE MAC	4/19/2018	4/23/2020	2.50	35,000,000	34,992,300	34,997,852	35,119,350
Federal Agencies	3134GBPB2	FREDDIE MAC	5/30/2017	5/22/2020	1.70	15,750,000	15,750,000	15,750,000	15,731,730
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/15/2017	6/15/2020	1.54	25,000,000	24,997,500	24,999,412	24,951,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/15/2017	6/15/2020	1.54	26,900,000	26,894,620	26,898,734	26,847,814
Federal Agencies	3134GBST0	FREDDIE MAC	6/22/2017	6/22/2020	1.65	14,675,000	14,675,000	14,675,000	14,651,520
Federal Agencies	3134GBTX0	FREDDIE MAC	6/29/2017	6/29/2020	1.75	50,000,000	49,990,000	49,997,518	49,913,500
Federal Agencies	3136G3TG0	FANNIE MAE	6/30/2016	6/30/2020	1.75	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	14,994,150
Federal Agencies	3134GB5M0	FREDDIE MAC	12/1/2017	7/1/2020	1.96	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	7/6/2017	7/6/2020	1.55	25,000,000	24,989,961	24,997,444	24,950,000
Federal Agencies	3130ABNV4	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	7/13/2017	7/13/2020	1.75	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,920,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBXV9	FREDDIE MAC	7/13/2017	7/13/2020	1.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,976,500
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	8/1/2017	7/30/2020	1.50	50,000,000	49,848,500	49,958,040	49,864,000
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/28/2017	8/28/2020	1.65	6,700,000	6,699,330	6,699,797	6,689,883
Federal Agencies	3130ABZN9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/28/2017	8/28/2020	1.80	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,986,000
Federal Agencies	3130ABZ99	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/28/2017	8/28/2020	1.80	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,972,000
Federal Agencies	3130AH2K8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/10/2019	9/10/2020	2.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,000
Federal Agencies	3130AH2K8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/10/2019	9/10/2020	2.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,000
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/15/2019	9/11/2020	2.10	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,750
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/15/2019	9/11/2020	2.10	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,750
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/15/2019	9/11/2020	2.10	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,500
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/14/2018	9/14/2020	2.40	25,000,000	24,984,458	24,994,072	25,129,750
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2018	9/21/2020	2.77	25,000,000	24,990,750	24,994,855	25,232,250
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020	1.38	18,000,000	17,942,220	17,981,206	17,917,920
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	9/28/2020	1.38	30,000,000	29,903,700	29,968,677	29,863,200
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3/12/2018	10/5/2020	1.70	25,530,000	25,035,101	25,334,784	25,494,003
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	9/25/2019	10/20/2020	2.08	112,500,000	112,483,404	112,451,592	112,428,000
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	11/2/2016	11/2/2020	2.30	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,042,250
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZF1	FARMER MAC	11/13/2017	11/9/2020	1.93	12,000,000	11,970,000	11,988,874	12,001,080
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/16/2018	11/16/2020	2.95	50,000,000	49,947,835	49,970,599	50,610,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAEK1	FREDDIE MAC	11/15/2017	11/17/2020	1.88	50,000,000	49,952,000	49,981,945	50,051,500
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	11/24/2017	11/24/2020	2.25	60,000,000	60,223,200	60,085,533	60,297,600
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	5/25/2017	11/25/2020	1.75	24,715,000	24,712,529	24,714,187	24,697,947
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020	1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,997,155	25,015,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/27/2017	11/27/2020	1.90	25,000,000	24,992,629	24,997,155	25,015,500
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	12/13/2017	12/11/2020	1.88	10,000,000	9,957,600	9,983,063	10,004,200
Federal Agencies	3132XOZY0	FARMER MAC	12/15/2017	12/15/2020	2.05	12,750,000	12,741,458	12,746,563	12,780,600
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/21/2016	12/21/2020	2.24	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,043,500
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/24/2015	12/24/2020	2.37	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,256,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	1/11/2019	1/11/2021	2.55	100,000,000	99,934,000	99,957,746	100,902,000
Federal Agencies	3130AC2K9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/20/2017	2/10/2021	1.87	50,200,000	50,189,960	50,195,965	50,110,644
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/16/2018	2/12/2021	2.35	50,000,000	49,673,710	49,842,067	50,353,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAE99	FREDDIE MAC	2/16/2018	2/16/2021	2.38	22,000,000	21,941,920	21,973,292	22,177,980
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/30/2019	2/26/2021	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,943,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/30/2019	2/26/2021	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,943,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/30/2019	2/26/2021	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,943,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/30/2019	2/26/2021	2.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,943,250

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3134GBD58	FREDDIE MAC	8/30/2017	2/26/2021	1.80	5,570,000	5,569,443	5,569,776	5,567,271
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021	2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,981,977	50,522,500
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/11/2019	3/11/2021	2.55	50,000,000	49,975,000	49,981,977	50,522,500
Federal Agencies	3130AAYP7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/11/2017	3/22/2021	2.20	8,585,000	8,593,327	8,588,397	8,585,000
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021	2.60	6,350,000	6,343,079	6,346,558	6,421,374
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	3/29/2018	3/29/2021	2.60	20,450,000	20,427,710	20,438,916	20,679,858
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021	2.23	25,000,000	24,916,500	24,936,947	25,157,250
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/5/2019	4/5/2021	2.23	25,000,000	24,917,500	24,937,702	25,157,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/23/2019	4/23/2021	2.60	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,009,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/23/2019	4/23/2021	2.60	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,009,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/23/2019	4/23/2021	2.60	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,009,250
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/23/2019	4/23/2021	2.60	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,009,250
Federal Agencies	3134GBJP8	FREDDIE MAC	11/16/2017	5/3/2021	1.89	22,000,000	21,874,600	21,942,459	22,001,320
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/22/2018	5/10/2021	2.70	17,700,000	17,653,095	17,674,600	17,952,402
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	6/25/2018	6/22/2021	2.75	25,000,000	24,994,250	24,996,686	25,443,250
Federal Agencies	3134GBJ60	FREDDIE MAC	9/29/2017	6/29/2021	1.90	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,009,500
Federal Agencies	3130ACQ98	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11/1/2017	7/1/2021	2.08	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,072,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBM25	FREDDIE MAC	10/2/2017	7/1/2021	1.92	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,017,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	7/8/2019	7/2/2021	2.31	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	7/8/2019	7/2/2021	2.31	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	7/8/2019	7/2/2021	2.31	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	7/8/2019	7/2/2021	2.31	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000
Federal Agencies	3130AGYB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8/29/2019	8/27/2021	2.05	109,870,000	109,860,539	109,849,021	109,568,956
Federal Agencies	3130ACF33	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/18/2017	9/13/2021	1.88	25,000,000	24,927,500	24,964,497	24,878,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	9/11/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,994,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,994,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,994,250
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	9/13/2019	9/13/2021	2.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,994,250
Federal Agencies	3130AH5D1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/23/2019	9/23/2021	2.05	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,020,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	10/21/2016	10/7/2021	1.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,858,250
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/19/2018	10/19/2021	3.00	25,000,000	24,980,900	24,986,947	25,628,000
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021	1.38	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,391,540
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10/25/2016	10/25/2021	1.38	15,000,000	15,000,000	15,000,000	14,887,800
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	11/15/2018	11/15/2021	3.05	50,000,000	49,950,000	49,964,599	51,436,000
Federal Agencies	3130ACB60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/8/2017	12/15/2021	2.00	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,023,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,981,016	25,578,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,981,016	25,578,000
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	12/17/2018	12/17/2021	2.80	25,000,000	24,964,250	24,973,644	25,578,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	2/19/2019	2/14/2022	2.53	20,700,000	20,682,612	20,686,182	21,109,653
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3/1/2019	3/1/2022	2.55	10,000,000	9,997,186	9,997,735	10,207,600
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022	2.50	17,780,000	17,848,986	17,837,456	18,146,268
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	4/5/2019	3/11/2022	2.50	40,000,000	40,158,360	40,131,893	40,824,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022	2.47	26,145,000	26,226,050	26,212,730	26,648,291
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/8/2019	3/14/2022	2.47	45,500,000	45,634,680	45,612,548	46,375,875
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	6/6/2017	4/5/2022	1.88	25,000,000	25,072,250	25,037,559	25,170,250
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022	2.25	25,000,000	24,918,000	24,930,869	25,399,500

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,861,737	50,799,000
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	4/12/2019	4/12/2022		2.25	50,000,000	49,836,000	49,861,737	50,799,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	4/18/2019	4/18/2022		2.35	50,000,000	49,969,500	49,974,120	50,863,000
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	25,000,000	24,949,250	24,955,640	25,385,750
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	5/16/2019	5/16/2022		2.25	35,000,000	34,928,950	34,937,896	35,540,050
Federal Agencies	3134GBQG0	FREDDIE MAC	5/25/2017	5/25/2022		2.18	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,032,500
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/6/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	50,059,250	50,031,706	50,303,000
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	6/9/2017	6/2/2022		1.88	50,000,000	49,997,500	49,998,660	50,303,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBF72	FREDDIE MAC	9/15/2017	6/15/2022		2.01	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,035,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBN73	FREDDIE MAC	10/2/2017	7/1/2022		2.07	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,048,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBW99	FREDDIE MAC	11/1/2017	7/1/2022		2.24	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,001,000
Federal Agencies	3134GBXU1	FREDDIE MAC	7/27/2017	7/27/2022		2.25	31,575,000	31,575,000	31,575,000	31,582,262
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	9/12/2019	9/12/2022		2.09	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,500
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	9/12/2019	9/12/2022		2.09	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,500
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	9/12/2019	9/12/2022		2.09	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,500
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	9/12/2019	9/12/2022		2.09	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,004,500
Federal Agencies	3130AH4A8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	9/19/2019	9/19/2022		2.25	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,014,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	4/24/2019	10/24/2022		2.76	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,010,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	4/24/2019	10/24/2022		2.76	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,010,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	4/24/2019	10/24/2022		2.76	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,010,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	4/24/2019	10/24/2022		2.76	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,010,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	4/23/2019	10/23/2023		2.81	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,750
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	4/23/2019	10/23/2023		2.81	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,750
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	4/23/2019	10/23/2023		2.81	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,750
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	4/23/2019	10/23/2023		2.81	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,750
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	5/20/2019	5/20/2024		2.85	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,024,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	5/20/2019	5/20/2024		2.85	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,024,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	5/20/2019	5/20/2024		2.85	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,024,250
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	5/20/2019	5/20/2024		2.85	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,024,250
Subtotals						2.05	\$ 5,443,260,000	\$ 5,440,681,006	\$ 5,441,820,559	\$ 5,458,795,647
State/Local Agencies	6055804W6	MISSISSIPPI ST	4/23/2015	10/1/2019		6.09	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 10,217,510	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 8,500,000
State/Local Agencies	977100CW4	WISCONSIN ST GEN FUND ANNUAL	8/16/2016	5/1/2020		1.45	18,000,000	18,000,000	18,000,000	17,962,560
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	4/25/2018	4/1/2021		2.80	33,000,000	33,001,320	33,000,675	33,480,150
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RES	2/6/2017	5/1/2021		1.71	27,962,641	27,489,513	27,785,639	27,489,513
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUE	8/9/2016	5/15/2021		1.91	1,769,000	1,810,695	1,783,186	1,771,707
Subtotals						2.49	\$ 89,231,641	\$ 90,519,038	\$ 89,069,500	\$ 89,203,929
Public Time Deposits	PP9J6D723	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNION	6/4/2019	12/4/2019		2.40	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ338W9	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	6/11/2019	12/11/2019		2.24	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPQD3GI13	BRIDGE BANK	6/24/2019	12/23/2019		2.23	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PP9J79QD6	BRIDGE BANK	9/26/2019	3/24/2020		1.95	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Subtotals						2.20	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 35,000,000	\$ 35,000,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Negotiable CDs	06370RV55	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	8/1/2019	10/1/2019	2.23	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,281
Negotiable CDs	65602VPZ3	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	7/25/2019	10/25/2019	2.25	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,007,682
Negotiable CDs	78012UKW4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	12/7/2018	10/25/2019	3.01	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,032,588
Negotiable CDs	89114MPG6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	12/6/2018	10/25/2019	3.06	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,017,143
Negotiable CDs	89114MLP0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	11/9/2018	10/28/2019	3.08	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,038,977
Negotiable CDs	65602VQE9	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	7/30/2019	10/30/2019	2.25	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,013,862
Negotiable CDs	06370RW47	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	8/1/2019	11/1/2019	2.23	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,008,984
Negotiable CDs	06370RNN5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	11/6/2018	11/6/2019	3.10	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,052,459
Negotiable CDs	06370RX61	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	8/6/2019	11/6/2019	2.20	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,008,883
Negotiable CDs	65602VQS8	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	8/9/2019	11/8/2019	2.15	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,013,374
Negotiable CDs	96130AAN8	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	11/8/2018	11/8/2019	3.10	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,055,308
Negotiable CDs	96130AAT5	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	11/14/2018	11/14/2019	3.08	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,062,636
Negotiable CDs	89114MME4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	11/19/2018	11/19/2019	3.10	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,035,498
Negotiable CDs	78012UKB0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	11/26/2018	11/25/2019	3.07	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,077,297
Negotiable CDs	96130AAZ1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	11/29/2018	11/27/2019	3.06	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,079,301
Negotiable CDs	65602VQL3	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	8/1/2019	12/2/2019	2.25	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,019,394
Negotiable CDs	06370RPG8	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/3/2018	12/3/2019	3.12	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,092,761
Negotiable CDs	89114MPF8	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	12/6/2018	12/6/2019	3.10	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,095,318
Negotiable CDs	96130ABE7	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	12/7/2018	12/6/2019	3.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,090,776
Negotiable CDs	06370RQD4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/6/2018	12/9/2019	3.06	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,095,761
Negotiable CDs	06370RQZ5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	12/10/2018	12/11/2019	3.06	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,098,554
Negotiable CDs	06370R3G2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	9/18/2019	12/17/2019	2.05	45,000,000	45,000,000	45,000,000	45,002,778
Negotiable CDs	63873NE49	NATIXIS NY BRANCH	1/11/2019	1/6/2020	3.00	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,126,690
Negotiable CDs	78012UNB7	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	4/8/2019	1/6/2020	2.57	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,035,518
Negotiable CDs	78012UNC5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	4/8/2019	1/8/2020	2.57	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,036,239
Negotiable CDs	89114MB30	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	4/8/2019	1/17/2020	2.60	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,083,430
Negotiable CDs	65602VRW8	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	9/24/2019	1/24/2020	2.11	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,014,293
Negotiable CDs	06417G6G8	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	4/25/2019	2/3/2020	2.57	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,091,615
Negotiable CDs	89114MF36	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	4/24/2019	2/3/2020	2.56	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,089,868
Negotiable CDs	06417G6H6	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	4/25/2019	2/5/2020	2.57	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,093,059
Negotiable CDs	06417G6K9	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	4/29/2019	2/6/2020	2.56	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,092,120
Negotiable CDs	96130ABW7	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	2/15/2019	2/14/2020	2.71	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,123,772
Negotiable CDs	06417G6L7	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	4/29/2019	2/19/2020	2.57	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,103,270
Negotiable CDs	06417G6V5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	5/6/2019	2/21/2020	2.57	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,104,913
Negotiable CDs	96130ACE6	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	3/6/2019	2/26/2020	2.70	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,133,089
Negotiable CDs	06370RUV9	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/1/2019	3/2/2020	2.68	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,133,165
Negotiable CDs	06370RVN6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	3/5/2019	3/2/2020	2.70	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,137,484
Negotiable CDs	06417MBS3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	9/12/2019	3/12/2020	2.02	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,999,079
Negotiable CDs	89114N4B8	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/17/2019	3/16/2020	2.06	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,013,349
Negotiable CDs	78012JUMY8	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	4/4/2019	3/25/2020	2.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,130,005
Negotiable CDs	78012UMZ5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	4/4/2019	3/30/2020	2.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,148,891
Negotiable CDs	06370RYS2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	4/11/2019	4/13/2020	2.60	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,215,572
Negotiable CDs	89114N4G7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/18/2019	4/24/2020	2.05	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,020,165
Negotiable CDs	06417MCD5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	9/18/2019	4/27/2020	2.03	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,039,624
Negotiable CDs	78012UQY4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	9/17/2019	5/11/2020	2.02	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,036,005
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/25/2019	9/24/2020	2.32	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,404,144
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	2.38	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,246,979
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	9/27/2019	9/28/2020	2.38	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,246,979
Subtotals					2.54	\$ 2,600,000,000	\$ 2,600,000,000	\$ 2,600,000,000	\$ 2,603,898,922

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Book Value	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Commercial Paper	62479MX32	MUFG BANK LTD NY	7/3/2019	10/3/2019	0.00	\$ 75,000,000	\$ 74,557,250	\$ 74,990,375	\$ 74,991,250	
Commercial Paper	62479MX40	MUFG BANK LTD NY	4/4/2019	10/4/2019	0.00	20,000,000	19,735,667	19,995,667	19,996,500	
Commercial Paper	62479MX73	MUFG BANK LTD NY	8/6/2019	10/7/2019	0.00	30,000,000	29,885,817	29,988,950	29,989,500	
Commercial Paper	62479MX73	MUFG BANK LTD NY	8/7/2019	10/7/2019	0.00	45,000,000	44,831,488	44,983,425	44,984,250	
Commercial Paper	62479MXG3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	7/3/2019	10/16/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,663,125	49,951,875	49,956,250	
Commercial Paper	25214PNV1	DEXIA CREDIT LOCAL SA NY	2/5/2019	10/28/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,035,694	49,901,750	49,921,250	
Commercial Paper	89233HY40	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	9/4/2019	11/4/2019	0.00	50,000,000	49,828,014	49,904,139	49,900,834	
Commercial Paper	62479MZP1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	7/26/2019	12/23/2019	0.00	60,000,000	59,447,500	59,694,283	59,708,117	
Commercial Paper	62479LAT2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/27/2019	1/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,647,556	49,659,111	49,659,111	
Commercial Paper	62479LAX3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	8/28/2019	1/31/2020	0.00	40,000,000	39,644,667	39,722,111	39,719,400	
Commercial Paper	62479LBT1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/30/2019	2/27/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,572,917	49,575,764	49,571,625	
Commercial Paper	62479LC60	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/11/2019	3/6/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,500,958	49,557,347	49,548,625	
Commercial Paper	89233GCH7	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	9/18/2019	3/17/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,484,653	49,521,667	49,517,000	
Commercial Paper	89233GCJ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPOR	9/24/2019	3/18/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,511,111	49,530,556	49,514,125	
Commercial Paper	62479LF59	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/24/2019	6/5/2020	0.00	25,000,000	24,638,750	24,648,667	24,667,611	
Commercial Paper	62479LFF7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	9/24/2019	6/15/2020	0.00	50,000,000	49,249,167	49,269,000	49,308,417	
Subtotals					0.00	\$ 745,000,000	\$ 738,234,332	\$ 740,894,686	\$ 740,953,864	
Medium Term Notes	742718EG0	THE PROCTER & GAMBLE CO	6/20/2018	11/1/2019	1.90	\$ 9,650,000	\$ 9,557,071	\$ 9,644,227	\$ 9,647,684	
Medium Term Notes	89236TEJ0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	1/11/2018	1/10/2020	2.20	20,000,000	19,982,200	19,997,534	20,012,000	
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	1/8/2019	1/8/2021	3.05	5,000,000	4,997,000	4,998,092	5,075,100	
Subtotals					2.24	\$ 34,650,000	\$ 34,536,271	\$ 34,639,852	\$ 34,734,784	
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	9/30/2019	10/1/2019	2.02	\$ 80,476,899	\$ 80,476,899	\$ 80,476,899	\$ 80,476,899	
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PI	9/30/2019	10/1/2019	2.02	222,219,332	222,219,332	222,219,332	222,219,332	
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	9/30/2019	10/1/2019	2.07	10,464,589	10,464,589	10,464,589	10,464,589	
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	9/30/2019	10/1/2019	2.06	380,246,128	380,246,128	380,246,128	380,246,128	
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUN	9/30/2019	10/1/2019	2.10	11,293,711	11,293,711	11,293,711	11,293,711	
Subtotals					2.04	\$ 704,700,659	\$ 704,700,659	\$ 704,700,659	\$ 704,700,659	
Supranationals	45905UJZ6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	6/2/2017	10/25/2019	1.30	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 24,845,000	\$ 24,995,749	\$ 24,989,500	
Supranationals	45905UJZ6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	6/2/2017	10/25/2019	1.30	29,300,000	29,118,340	29,295,017	29,287,694	
Supranationals	459052RX6	IBRD DISCOUNT NOTE	4/24/2019	1/17/2020	0.00	20,000,000	19,645,644	19,857,200	19,889,000	
Supranationals	459052SC1	IBRD DISCOUNT NOTE	4/24/2019	1/22/2020	0.00	40,000,000	39,278,067	39,701,178	39,767,600	
Supranationals	459058FZ1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	3/21/2017	4/21/2020	1.88	50,000,000	49,956,500	49,992,165	49,957,500	
Supranationals	4581XOCX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	5/17/2018	5/12/2020	1.63	10,000,000	9,789,360	9,935,009	9,980,700	
Supranationals	4581XOCX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/12/2017	5/12/2020	1.63	25,000,000	24,940,750	24,988,213	24,951,750	
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	8/29/2017	9/4/2020	1.63	50,000,000	49,989,500	49,996,770	49,915,500	
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	11/9/2017	11/9/2020	1.95	50,000,000	49,965,000	49,987,067	50,002,500	
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	12/20/2017	11/9/2020	1.95	50,000,000	49,718,500	49,891,936	50,002,500	
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	1/25/2018	1/25/2021	2.25	50,000,000	49,853,000	49,935,352	50,285,500	
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4/19/2018	4/19/2021	2.63	45,000,000	44,901,000	44,948,874	45,582,300	
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	5/16/2018	4/19/2021	2.63	50,000,000	49,693,972	49,837,968	50,647,000	
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	5/23/2018	7/20/2021	1.13	12,135,000	11,496,942	11,771,185	12,010,252	
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	7/25/2018	7/23/2021	2.75	50,000,000	49,883,000	49,929,308	50,925,500	
Subtotals					1.82	\$ 556,435,000	\$ 553,074,574	\$ 555,062,991	\$ 558,194,796	
Grand Totals					1.95	\$ 11,183,277,300	\$ 11,165,144,664	\$ 11,173,670,075	\$ 11,200,603,602	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

For month ended September 30, 2019

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort. Expense	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Earned Income /Net Earnings
							Date	Earned Interest			
U.S. Treasuries	912796VU0	TREASURY BILL	\$ -	0.00	2.07	8/13/19	9/10/19	\$ -	\$ 25,813	\$ -	\$ 25,813
U.S. Treasuries	912796VV8	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	2.03	8/20/19	9/17/19	-	45,111	-	45,111
U.S. Treasuries	912796VW6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	2.06	8/27/19	9/24/19	-	65,806	-	65,806
U.S. Treasuries	912796VW6	TREASURY BILL	-	0.00	2.08	9/17/19	9/24/19	-	40,444	-	40,444
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	440,000	0.00	2.06	8/13/19	10/8/19	-	752	-	752
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	49,560,000	0.00	2.06	8/13/19	10/8/19	-	84,665	-	84,665
U.S. Treasuries	912796VY2	TREASURY BILL	100,000,000	0.00	2.03	9/10/19	10/8/19	-	118,125	-	118,125
U.S. Treasuries	912796VZ9	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	2.00	8/28/19	10/15/19	-	83,125	-	83,125
U.S. Treasuries	912828T59	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.00	2.47	5/18/18	10/15/19	20,492	29,581	-	50,073
U.S. Treasuries	912828T59	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.00	2.51	8/15/18	10/15/19	40,984	60,932	-	101,916
U.S. Treasuries	912796ST7	TREASURY BILL	50,000,000	0.00	1.91	8/22/19	11/21/19	-	79,167	-	79,167
U.S. Treasuries	9128283N8	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.88	2.01	1/16/18	12/31/19	76,427	5,416	-	81,843
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	1.51	6/20/17	6/15/20	61,475	483	-	61,959
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.50	2.39	4/3/19	6/15/20	61,475	35,637	-	97,112
U.S. Treasuries	912828XU9	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.50	2.67	12/20/18	6/15/20	122,951	93,232	-	216,183
U.S. Treasuries	912828XY1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.50	2.38	4/3/19	6/30/20	101,902	(4,646)	-	97,256
U.S. Treasuries	9128283Q1	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.00	2.57	3/4/19	1/15/21	81,522	22,562	-	104,084
U.S. Treasuries	912828C57	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.25	2.39	4/15/19	3/31/21	92,213	5,728	-	97,942
U.S. Treasuries	9128284G2	US TREASURY	50,000,000	2.38	2.36	4/9/19	4/15/21	97,336	(557)	-	96,780
U.S. Treasuries	912828S27	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.13	1.64	8/15/17	6/30/21	22,928	10,187	-	33,115
U.S. Treasuries	912828T67	US TREASURY	50,000,000	1.25	1.43	11/10/16	10/31/21	50,951	7,034	-	57,985
U.S. Treasuries	912828U65	US TREASURY	100,000,000	1.75	1.90	12/13/16	11/30/21	143,443	11,376	-	154,819
U.S. Treasuries	912828XW5	US TREASURY	25,000,000	1.75	1.77	8/15/17	6/30/22	35,666	379	-	36,044
Subtotals			\$ 975,000,000					\$ 1,009,764	\$ 820,352	\$ -	\$ 1,830,116
Federal Agencies	313384LV9	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	\$ -	0.00	2.15	9/16/19	9/17/19	\$ -	\$ 2,986	\$ -	\$ 2,986
Federal Agencies	313384LV9	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	2.15	9/16/19	9/17/19	-	2,986	-	2,986
Federal Agencies	313384LV9	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	2.15	9/16/19	9/17/19	-	2,986	-	2,986
Federal Agencies	313384LV9	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	-	0.00	2.15	9/16/19	9/17/19	-	3,583	-	3,583
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q30	FANNIE MAE	-	1.18	1.18	10/21/16	9/27/19	42,611	-	-	42,611
Federal Agencies	3132X0KH3	FARMER MAC	50,000,000	2.33	2.33	10/6/16	10/1/19	97,037	-	-	97,037
Federal Agencies	313384ML0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	2.04	9/17/19	10/2/19	-	39,667	-	39,667
Federal Agencies	313384ML0	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	2.04	9/17/19	10/2/19	-	39,667	-	39,667
Federal Agencies	313384MS5	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	100,000,000	0.00	2.01	9/9/19	10/8/19	-	122,833	-	122,833
Federal Agencies	3133EJF79	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	36,000,000	2.65	2.68	10/10/18	10/10/19	79,500	1,006	-	80,506
Federal Agencies	3133EGXK6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.12	1.86	12/1/17	10/11/19	18,667	11,841	-	30,508
Federal Agencies	3134G8TG4	FREDDIE MAC	15,000,000	1.50	1.50	4/11/16	10/11/19	18,750	-	-	18,750
Federal Agencies	3130ACM92	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	21,500,000	1.50	1.59	10/13/17	10/21/19	26,875	1,547	-	28,422
Federal Agencies	3136G0T68	FANNIE MAE	14,000,000	1.33	1.44	8/28/17	10/24/19	15,517	1,211	-	16,728
Federal Agencies	3134GBHT2	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.63	1.60	9/12/17	10/25/19	67,708	(951)	-	66,757
Federal Agencies	3136G4FJ7	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.20	1.20	10/25/16	10/25/19	25,000	-	-	25,000
Federal Agencies	3136G4EZ2	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	1.13	1.16	10/28/16	10/30/19	46,875	1,367	-	48,242
Federal Agencies	3134GAVL5	FREDDIE MAC	100,000,000	1.17	1.17	11/4/16	11/4/19	97,500	-	-	97,500
Federal Agencies	3133EJRU5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.45	2.47	6/14/18	11/14/19	102,083	724	-	102,807
Federal Agencies	3136G3LV5	FANNIE MAE	8,950,000	1.35	1.35	5/26/16	11/26/19	10,069	-	-	10,069
Federal Agencies	3133EGN43	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.26	2.26	12/2/16	12/2/19	94,349	-	-	94,349
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	11,360,000	2.38	1.90	12/15/17	12/13/19	22,483	(4,322)	-	18,161
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	20,000,000	2.38	1.90	12/12/17	12/13/19	39,583	(7,638)	-	31,945
Federal Agencies	3130A0JR2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	40,000,000	2.38	1.90	12/15/17	12/13/19	79,167	(15,214)	-	63,952
Federal Agencies	3134G9VR5	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.25	2.25	7/6/16	1/6/20	46,875	-	-	46,875
Federal Agencies	3136G4KQ5	FANNIE MAE	1,000,000	1.65	1.84	11/17/17	1/17/20	1,375	149	-	1,524
Federal Agencies	3136G4KQ5	FANNIE MAE	31,295,000	1.65	1.84	11/17/17	1/17/20	43,031	4,665	-	47,695
Federal Agencies	3133EJLU1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.42	2.43	4/24/18	1/24/20	50,417	164	-	50,581

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Earned Interest			
Federal Agencies	3133EJLU1	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.42	2.43	4/24/18	1/24/20	50,417	202	-	50,618
Federal Agencies	313384SK6	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	50,000,000	0.00	1.85	9/30/19	1/29/20	-	2,556	-	2,556
Federal Agencies	3130ADN32	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.13	2.22	2/9/18	2/11/20	88,542	3,750	-	92,292
Federal Agencies	313378J77	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	15,710,000	1.88	1.56	5/17/17	3/13/20	24,547	(3,895)	-	20,652
Federal Agencies	3133EHZN6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,000,000	1.45	1.49	9/20/17	3/20/20	24,167	678	-	24,844
Federal Agencies	3133EJHL6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.38	2.41	3/27/18	3/27/20	98,958	1,477	-	100,436
Federal Agencies	3134GBET5	FREDDIE MAC	10,000,000	1.80	2.68	5/22/18	4/13/20	15,000	6,962	-	21,962
Federal Agencies	3133EJG37	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.85	2.87	10/15/18	4/15/20	59,375	411	-	59,786
Federal Agencies	3136G4BL6	FANNIE MAE	15,000,000	1.25	1.25	10/17/16	4/17/20	15,625	-	-	15,625
Federal Agencies	3137EAEM7	FREDDIE MAC	35,000,000	2.50	2.51	4/19/18	4/23/20	72,917	314	-	73,231
Federal Agencies	3134GBPB2	FREDDIE MAC	15,750,000	1.70	1.70	5/30/17	5/22/20	22,313	-	-	22,313
Federal Agencies	3134GTTV5	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.40	2.40	6/12/19	6/12/20	73,333	-	-	73,333
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.54	1.54	6/15/17	6/15/20	32,083	68	-	32,152
Federal Agencies	3133EHNK5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,900,000	1.54	1.55	6/15/17	6/15/20	34,522	147	-	34,669
Federal Agencies	3134GBST0	FREDDIE MAC	14,675,000	1.65	1.65	6/22/17	6/22/20	20,178	-	-	20,178
Federal Agencies	3134GBTX0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.75	1.76	6/29/17	6/29/20	72,917	274	-	73,190
Federal Agencies	3136G3TG0	FANNIE MAE	15,000,000	1.75	1.75	6/30/16	6/30/20	21,875	-	-	21,875
Federal Agencies	3134GB5M0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.96	1.96	12/1/17	7/1/20	81,667	-	-	81,667
Federal Agencies	3133EHQB2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.55	1.56	7/6/17	7/6/20	32,292	275	-	32,566
Federal Agencies	3130ABNV4	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.75	1.75	7/13/17	7/13/20	72,917	-	-	72,917
Federal Agencies	3134GBXV9	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.85	1.85	7/13/17	7/13/20	77,083	-	-	77,083
Federal Agencies	3135G0T60	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	1.50	1.60	8/1/17	7/30/20	62,500	4,154	-	66,654
Federal Agencies	3130ABZE9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	6,700,000	1.65	1.65	8/28/17	8/28/20	9,213	18	-	9,231
Federal Agencies	3130ABZN9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.80	1.80	8/28/17	8/28/20	37,500	-	-	37,500
Federal Agencies	3130ABZN9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	1.80	1.80	8/28/17	8/28/20	75,000	-	-	75,000
Federal Agencies	3130AH2K8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	9/10/19	9/10/20	59,792	-	-	59,792
Federal Agencies	3130AH2K8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	9/10/19	9/10/20	59,792	-	-	59,792
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.10	2.10	8/15/19	9/11/20	43,750	-	-	43,750
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.10	2.10	8/15/19	9/11/20	43,750	-	-	43,750
Federal Agencies	3130AGWJ0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.10	2.10	8/15/19	9/11/20	87,500	-	-	87,500
Federal Agencies	3130ADT93	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.40	2.43	3/14/18	9/14/20	50,000	510	-	50,510
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3N7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.77	2.79	12/21/18	9/21/20	57,708	434	-	58,142
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	18,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	20,625	1,553	-	22,178
Federal Agencies	3130ACE26	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	30,000,000	1.38	1.48	9/8/17	9/28/20	34,375	2,589	-	36,964
Federal Agencies	3130ACK52	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,530,000	1.70	2.48	3/12/18	10/5/20	36,168	15,828	-	51,996
Federal Agencies	3133EKR57	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	112,500,000	2.08	2.13	9/25/19	10/20/20	39,080	754	-	39,834
Federal Agencies	3132X0KR1	FARMER MAC	25,000,000	2.30	2.30	11/2/16	11/2/20	48,008	-	-	48,008
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZF1	FARMER MAC	12,000,000	1.93	2.02	11/13/17	11/9/20	19,300	824	-	20,124
Federal Agencies	3133EJT90	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.95	3.00	11/16/18	11/16/20	122,917	2,141	-	125,058
Federal Agencies	3137EA EK1	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.88	1.91	11/15/17	11/17/20	78,125	1,311	-	79,436
Federal Agencies	3134GBX56	FREDDIE MAC	60,000,000	2.25	2.12	11/24/17	11/24/20	112,500	(6,109)	-	106,391
Federal Agencies	3134GBLR1	FREDDIE MAC	24,715,000	1.75	1.75	5/25/17	11/25/20	36,043	58	-	36,101
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	202	-	39,785
Federal Agencies	3133EHW58	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	1.90	1.91	11/27/17	11/27/20	39,583	202	-	39,785
Federal Agencies	3130A3UQ5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	10,000,000	1.88	2.02	12/13/17	12/11/20	15,625	1,163	-	16,788
Federal Agencies	3132X0ZY0	FARMER MAC	12,750,000	2.05	2.07	12/15/17	12/15/20	21,781	234	-	22,015
Federal Agencies	3130AG2H7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.65	2.65	3/18/19	12/18/20	42,923	-	-	42,923
Federal Agencies	3130AG2H7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.65	2.65	3/18/19	12/18/20	50,056	-	-	50,056
Federal Agencies	3133EGX75	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.24	2.24	12/21/16	12/21/20	96,571	-	-	96,571
Federal Agencies	3133EFTX5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.37	2.37	12/24/15	12/24/20	204,156	-	-	204,156
Federal Agencies	3133EJ4Q9	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	100,000,000	2.55	2.58	1/11/19	1/11/21	212,500	2,709	-	215,209
Federal Agencies	3130AC2K9	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,200,000	1.87	1.88	9/20/17	2/10/21	78,228	243	-	78,471
Federal Agencies	3133EJCE7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.59	4/16/18	2/12/21	97,917	9,476	-	107,393
Federal Agencies	3137EAEL9	FREDDIE MAC	22,000,000	2.38	2.47	2/16/18	2/16/21	43,542	1,590	-	45,131

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Date		Expense	Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	8/30/19	2/26/21	42,708	-	-	42,708	
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	8/30/19	2/26/21	42,708	-	-	42,708	
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	8/30/19	2/26/21	42,708	-	-	42,708	
Federal Agencies	3130AGZE8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.05	2.05	8/30/19	2/26/21	42,708	-	-	42,708	
Federal Agencies	3134GBD58	FREDDIE MAC	5,570,000	1.80	1.80	8/30/17	2/26/21	8,355	13	-	8,368	
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,026	-	107,276	
Federal Agencies	3133EKCS3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	3/11/19	3/11/21	106,250	1,026	-	107,276	
Federal Agencies	3130AAYP7	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	8,585,000	2.20	2.17	8/11/17	3/22/21	15,739	(189)	-	15,550	
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	6,350,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	13,758	189	-	13,948	
Federal Agencies	3132X0Q53	FARMER MAC	20,450,000	2.60	2.64	3/29/18	3/29/21	44,308	610	-	44,918	
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,427	-	49,885	
Federal Agencies	3133EKFP6	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.23	2.40	4/5/19	4/5/21	46,458	3,386	-	49,844	
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/23/19	4/23/21	54,167	-	-	54,167	
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/23/19	4/23/21	54,167	-	-	54,167	
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/23/19	4/23/21	54,167	-	-	54,167	
Federal Agencies	3130AGC60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/23/19	4/23/21	54,167	-	-	54,167	
Federal Agencies	3134GBJP8	FREDDIE MAC	22,000,000	1.89	2.06	11/16/17	5/3/21	34,650	2,976	-	37,626	
Federal Agencies	3133EJNS4	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	17,700,000	2.70	2.79	5/22/18	5/10/21	39,825	1,298	-	41,123	
Federal Agencies	3130ACVS0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.13	2.13	11/30/17	6/15/21	41,417	-	-	41,417	
Federal Agencies	3130ACVS0	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.13	2.13	11/30/17	6/15/21	41,417	-	-	41,417	
Federal Agencies	3134GTUN1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.43	2.43	6/17/19	6/17/21	27,000	-	-	27,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GTUN1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.43	2.43	6/17/19	6/17/21	27,000	-	-	27,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GTUN1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.43	2.43	6/17/19	6/17/21	27,000	-	-	27,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GTUN1	FREDDIE MAC	-	2.43	2.43	6/17/19	6/17/21	27,000	-	-	27,000	
Federal Agencies	3135G0U35	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.75	2.76	6/25/18	6/22/21	57,292	158	-	57,450	
Federal Agencies	3134GBJ60	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.90	1.90	9/29/17	6/29/21	79,167	-	-	79,167	
Federal Agencies	3130ACQ98	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	100,000,000	2.08	2.08	11/1/17	7/1/21	173,333	-	-	173,333	
Federal Agencies	3134GBM25	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	1.92	1.92	10/2/17	7/1/21	80,000	-	-	80,000	
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.31	2.31	7/8/19	7/2/21	48,125	-	-	48,125	
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.31	2.31	7/8/19	7/2/21	48,125	-	-	48,125	
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.31	2.31	7/8/19	7/2/21	48,125	-	-	48,125	
Federal Agencies	3134GTZL0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.31	2.31	7/8/19	7/2/21	48,125	-	-	48,125	
Federal Agencies	3130AGYB5	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	109,870,000	2.05	2.06	8/29/19	8/27/21	187,695	904	-	188,599	
Federal Agencies	3130ACF33	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	25,000,000	1.88	1.95	9/18/17	9/13/21	39,063	1,494	-	40,556	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	28,194	-	-	28,194	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	28,194	-	-	28,194	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	28,194	-	-	28,194	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAE0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/11/19	9/13/21	28,194	-	-	28,194	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	25,375	-	-	25,375	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	25,375	-	-	25,375	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	25,375	-	-	25,375	
Federal Agencies	3134GUAX8	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/13/19	9/13/21	25,375	-	-	25,375	
Federal Agencies	3130AH5D1	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	100,000,000	2.05	2.05	9/23/19	9/23/21	45,556	-	-	45,556	
Federal Agencies	3135G0Q89	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/21/16	10/7/21	28,646	-	-	28,646	
Federal Agencies	3133EJK24	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	3.00	3.03	10/19/18	10/19/21	62,500	523	-	63,023	
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	14,500,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	16,615	-	-	16,615	
Federal Agencies	3133EGZJ7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	15,000,000	1.38	1.38	10/25/16	10/25/21	17,188	-	-	17,188	
Federal Agencies	3133EJT74	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	3.05	3.09	11/15/18	11/15/21	127,083	1,369	-	128,452	
Federal Agencies	3130ACB60	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	50,000,000	2.00	2.00	9/8/17	12/15/21	83,333	-	-	83,333	
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	705	-	59,038	
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.84	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	705	-	59,038	
Federal Agencies	3133EJ3B3	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.80	2.85	12/17/18	12/17/21	58,333	979	-	59,312	
Federal Agencies	3133EKAK2	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	20,700,000	2.53	2.56	2/19/19	2/14/22	43,643	478	-	44,121	
Federal Agencies	3133EKBV7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	10,000,000	2.55	2.56	3/1/19	3/1/22	21,250	77	-	21,327	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Interest			
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	17,780,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	37,042	(1,932)	-	35,109
Federal Agencies	313378WG2	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	40,000,000	2.50	2.36	4/5/19	3/11/22	83,333	(4,436)	-	78,897
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	26,145,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	53,815	(2,270)	-	51,545
Federal Agencies	3133EKDC7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	45,500,000	2.47	2.36	4/8/19	3/14/22	93,654	(3,773)	-	89,882
Federal Agencies	3135G0T45	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	1.88	1.81	6/6/17	4/5/22	39,063	(1,229)	-	37,834
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	25,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	46,875	2,245	-	49,120
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,489	-	98,239
Federal Agencies	3135G0V59	FANNIE MAE	50,000,000	2.25	2.36	4/12/19	4/12/22	93,750	4,489	-	98,239
Federal Agencies	3133EKHB5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	2.35	2.37	4/18/19	4/18/22	97,917	835	-	98,752
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	25,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	46,875	1,389	-	48,264
Federal Agencies	3133EKLR5	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	35,000,000	2.25	2.32	5/16/19	5/16/22	65,625	1,945	-	67,570
Federal Agencies	3134GBQG0	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	2.18	2.18	5/25/17	5/25/22	90,833	-	-	90,833
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.85	6/6/17	6/2/22	78,125	(976)	-	77,149
Federal Agencies	3133EHLY7	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	50,000,000	1.88	1.88	6/9/17	6/2/22	78,125	41	-	78,166
Federal Agencies	3134GBF72	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	2.01	2.01	9/15/17	6/15/22	83,750	-	-	83,750
Federal Agencies	3134GBN73	FREDDIE MAC	50,000,000	2.07	2.07	10/2/17	7/1/22	86,250	-	-	86,250
Federal Agencies	3134GBW99	FREDDIE MAC	100,000,000	2.24	2.24	11/1/17	7/1/22	186,667	-	-	186,667
Federal Agencies	3134GBXU1	FREDDIE MAC	31,575,000	2.25	2.25	7/27/17	7/27/22	59,203	-	-	59,203
Federal Agencies	3130AC7E8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	-	2.17	2.17	9/1/17	9/1/22	-	-	-	-
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	9/12/19	9/12/22	27,576	-	-	27,576
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	9/12/19	9/12/22	27,576	-	-	27,576
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	9/12/19	9/12/22	27,576	-	-	27,576
Federal Agencies	3134GUAJ9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	9/12/19	9/12/22	27,576	-	-	27,576
Federal Agencies	3130AH4A8	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	100,000,000	2.25	2.25	9/19/19	9/19/22	75,000	-	-	75,000
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.76	2.76	4/24/19	10/24/22	57,500	-	-	57,500
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.76	2.76	4/24/19	10/24/22	57,500	-	-	57,500
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.76	2.76	4/24/19	10/24/22	57,500	-	-	57,500
Federal Agencies	3134GTEE9	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.76	2.76	4/24/19	10/24/22	57,500	-	-	57,500
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.81	2.81	4/23/19	10/23/23	58,542	-	-	58,542
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.81	2.81	4/23/19	10/23/23	58,542	-	-	58,542
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.81	2.81	4/23/19	10/23/23	58,542	-	-	58,542
Federal Agencies	3134GTHR7	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.81	2.81	4/23/19	10/23/23	58,542	-	-	58,542
Federal Agencies	3134GS5P6	FREDDIE MAC	-	3.00	3.00	3/18/19	12/18/23	35,417	-	-	35,417
Federal Agencies	3134GS5P6	FREDDIE MAC	-	3.00	3.00	3/18/19	12/18/23	35,417	-	-	35,417
Federal Agencies	3134GS5P6	FREDDIE MAC	-	3.00	3.00	3/18/19	12/18/23	35,417	-	-	35,417
Federal Agencies	3134GS5P6	FREDDIE MAC	-	3.00	3.00	3/18/19	12/18/23	35,417	-	-	35,417
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.85	2.85	5/20/19	5/20/24	59,375	-	-	59,375
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.85	2.85	5/20/19	5/20/24	59,375	-	-	59,375
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.85	2.85	5/20/19	5/20/24	59,375	-	-	59,375
Federal Agencies	3134GTMN0	FREDDIE MAC	25,000,000	2.85	2.85	5/20/19	5/20/24	59,375	-	-	59,375
Subtotals			\$ 5,443,260,000					\$ 9,220,122	\$ 284,329	\$ -	\$ 9,504,451
State/Local Agencies	6055804W6	MISSISSIPPI ST	\$ 8,500,000	6.09	1.38	4/23/15	10/1/19	\$ 43,130	\$ (31,767)	\$ -	\$ 11,364
State/Local Agencies	977100CW4	WISCONSIN ST GEN FUND ANNUAL A	18,000,000	1.45	1.45	8/16/16	5/1/20	21,690	-	-	21,690
State/Local Agencies	13063DGA0	CALIFORNIA ST	33,000,000	2.80	2.80	4/25/18	4/1/21	77,000	(37)	-	76,963
State/Local Agencies	13066YTY5	CALIFORNIA ST DEPT OF WTR RESO	27,962,641	1.71	2.30	2/6/17	5/1/21	39,917	9,187	-	49,104
State/Local Agencies	91412GF59	UNIV OF CALIFORNIA CA REVENUES	1,769,000	1.91	1.40	8/9/16	5/15/21	2,816	(719)	-	2,097
Subtotals			\$ 89,231,641					\$ 184,553	\$ (23,335)	\$ -	\$ 161,217

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Date		Expense	Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings
Public Time Deposits	PP9F99QR1	BRIDGE BANK	\$ -	2.61	2.61	3/26/19	9/26/19	\$ 17,879	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,879	
Public Time Deposits	PP9J6D723	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNION	10,000,000	2.40	2.40	6/4/19	12/4/19	19,464	-	-	19,464	
Public Time Deposits	PPEQ338W9	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	5,000,000	2.24	2.24	6/11/19	12/11/19	9,598	-	-	9,598	
Public Time Deposits	PPQD3GI13	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	2.23	2.23	6/24/19	12/23/19	18,329	-	-	18,329	
Public Time Deposits	PP9J79QD6	BRIDGE BANK	10,000,000	1.95	1.95	9/26/19	3/24/20	2,671	-	-	2,671	
Subtotals			\$ 35,000,000					\$ 67,940	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,940	
Negotiable CDs	06417G4V7	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	\$ -	2.65	2.65	2/8/19	9/6/19	\$ 18,403	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,403	
Negotiable CDs	78012UMC6	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	-	2.33	2.18	8/16/19	9/6/19	32,340	(2,040)	-	30,300	
Negotiable CDs	65602VPG5	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	-	2.30	2.30	7/11/19	9/16/19	95,833	-	-	95,833	
Negotiable CDs	89114MS99	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	2.35	2.35	6/20/19	9/16/19	48,958	-	-	48,958	
Negotiable CDs	89114MWS2	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	2.59	2.59	3/8/19	9/18/19	30,576	-	-	30,576	
Negotiable CDs	89114M5C7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	2.17	2.17	8/7/19	9/25/19	144,667	-	-	144,667	
Negotiable CDs	89114M5J2	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	-	2.16	2.16	8/9/19	9/26/19	150,000	-	-	150,000	
Negotiable CDs	06370RV55	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.23	2.23	8/1/19	10/1/19	92,917	-	-	92,917	
Negotiable CDs	65602VPZ3	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	50,000,000	2.25	2.25	7/25/19	10/25/19	93,750	-	-	93,750	
Negotiable CDs	78012UKW4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	3.01	3.01	12/7/18	10/25/19	125,417	-	-	125,417	
Negotiable CDs	89114MPG6	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	25,000,000	3.06	3.06	12/6/18	10/25/19	63,750	-	-	63,750	
Negotiable CDs	89114MLP0	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	3.08	3.08	11/9/18	10/28/19	128,333	-	-	128,333	
Negotiable CDs	65602VQE9	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	75,000,000	2.25	2.25	7/30/19	10/30/19	140,625	-	-	140,625	
Negotiable CDs	06370RW47	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.23	2.23	8/1/19	11/1/19	92,917	-	-	92,917	
Negotiable CDs	06370RNN5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	3.10	3.10	11/6/18	11/6/19	129,167	-	-	129,167	
Negotiable CDs	06370RX61	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.20	2.20	8/6/19	11/6/19	91,667	-	-	91,667	
Negotiable CDs	65602VQS8	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	100,000,000	2.15	2.15	8/9/19	11/8/19	179,167	-	-	179,167	
Negotiable CDs	96130AAN8	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	3.10	3.10	11/8/18	11/8/19	129,167	-	-	129,167	
Negotiable CDs	96130AAT5	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	3.08	3.08	11/14/18	11/14/19	128,333	-	-	128,333	
Negotiable CDs	89114MME4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	25,000,000	3.10	3.10	11/19/18	11/19/19	64,583	-	-	64,583	
Negotiable CDs	78012UKB0	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	3.07	3.07	11/26/18	11/25/19	127,917	-	-	127,917	
Negotiable CDs	96130AAZ1	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	3.06	3.06	11/29/18	11/27/19	127,500	-	-	127,500	
Negotiable CDs	65602VQL3	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	50,000,000	2.25	2.25	8/1/19	12/2/19	93,750	-	-	93,750	
Negotiable CDs	06370RPG8	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	3.12	3.12	12/3/18	12/3/19	130,000	-	-	130,000	
Negotiable CDs	89114MPF8	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	3.10	3.10	12/6/18	12/6/19	129,167	-	-	129,167	
Negotiable CDs	96130ABE7	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	3.05	3.05	12/7/18	12/6/19	127,083	-	-	127,083	
Negotiable CDs	06370RQD4	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	3.06	3.06	12/6/18	12/9/19	127,500	-	-	127,500	
Negotiable CDs	06370RQZ5	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	3.06	3.06	12/10/18	12/11/19	127,500	-	-	127,500	
Negotiable CDs	06370R3G2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	45,000,000	2.05	2.05	9/18/19	12/17/19	33,313	-	-	33,313	
Negotiable CDs	63873NE49	NATIXIS NY BRANCH	50,000,000	3.00	3.00	1/11/19	1/6/20	125,000	-	-	125,000	
Negotiable CDs	78012UNB7	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	25,000,000	2.57	2.57	4/8/19	1/6/20	53,542	-	-	53,542	
Negotiable CDs	78012UNC5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	25,000,000	2.57	2.57	4/8/19	1/8/20	53,542	-	-	53,542	
Negotiable CDs	89114MB30	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/8/19	1/17/20	108,333	-	-	108,333	
Negotiable CDs	65602VRW8	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	50,000,000	2.11	2.11	9/24/19	1/24/20	20,514	-	-	20,514	
Negotiable CDs	06417G6G8	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	2.57	2.57	4/25/19	2/3/20	107,083	-	-	107,083	
Negotiable CDs	89114MF36	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	2.56	2.56	4/24/19	2/3/20	106,667	-	-	106,667	
Negotiable CDs	06417G6H6	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	2.57	2.57	4/25/19	2/5/20	107,083	-	-	107,083	
Negotiable CDs	06417G6K9	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	2.56	2.56	4/29/19	2/6/20	106,667	-	-	106,667	
Negotiable CDs	96130ABW7	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	2.71	2.71	2/15/19	2/14/20	112,917	-	-	112,917	
Negotiable CDs	06417G6L7	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	2.57	2.57	4/29/19	2/19/20	107,083	-	-	107,083	
Negotiable CDs	06417G6V5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	50,000,000	2.57	2.57	5/6/19	2/21/20	107,083	-	-	107,083	
Negotiable CDs	96130ACE6	WESTPAC BANKING CORP NY	50,000,000	2.70	2.70	3/6/19	2/26/20	112,500	-	-	112,500	

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Expense		Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings	
Negotiable CDs	06370RUV9	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.68	2.68	3/1/19	3/2/20	111,667	-	-	111,667	
Negotiable CDs	06370RVN6	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	50,000,000	2.70	2.70	3/5/19	3/2/20	112,500	-	-	112,500	
Negotiable CDs	06417MBS3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	9/12/19	3/12/20	106,611	-	-	106,611	
Negotiable CDs	89114N4B8	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	75,000,000	2.06	2.06	9/17/19	3/16/20	60,083	-	-	60,083	
Negotiable CDs	78012UMY8	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	2.58	2.58	4/4/19	3/25/20	107,500	-	-	107,500	
Negotiable CDs	78012UMZ5	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	50,000,000	2.58	2.58	4/4/19	3/30/20	107,500	-	-	107,500	
Negotiable CDs	06370RYS2	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	65,000,000	2.60	2.60	4/11/19	4/13/20	140,833	-	-	140,833	
Negotiable CDs	89114N4G7	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	40,000,000	2.05	2.05	9/18/19	4/24/20	29,611	-	-	29,611	
Negotiable CDs	06417MCD5	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	100,000,000	2.03	2.03	9/18/19	4/27/20	73,306	-	-	73,306	
Negotiable CDs	78012UQY4	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	9/17/19	5/11/20	78,556	-	-	78,556	
Negotiable CDs	89114N5H4	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	100,000,000	2.32	2.32	9/25/19	9/24/20	38,640	-	-	38,640	
Negotiable CDs	06417MCW3	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUSTON	50,000,000	2.38	2.38	9/27/19	9/28/20	13,242	-	-	13,242	
Negotiable CDs	89114N5M3	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	50,000,000	2.38	2.38	9/27/19	9/28/20	13,242	-	-	13,242	
Subtotals			\$ 2,600,000,000					\$ 5,225,604	\$ (2,040)	\$ -	\$ 5,223,563	
Commercial Paper	89233HW42	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	\$ -	0.00	2.60	3/11/19	9/4/19	\$ -	\$ 10,708	\$ -	\$ 10,708	
Commercial Paper	25214PNZ2	DEXIA CREDIT LOCAL SA NY	-	0.00	2.59	2/26/19	9/16/19	-	53,125	-	53,125	
Commercial Paper	62479MWJ8	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.62	4/4/19	9/18/19	-	13,454	-	13,454	
Commercial Paper	62479MWQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.62	4/4/19	9/24/19	-	19,857	-	19,857	
Commercial Paper	62479MWQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.32	6/28/19	9/24/19	-	36,896	-	36,896	
Commercial Paper	62479MWQ2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.33	7/3/19	9/24/19	-	74,111	-	74,111	
Commercial Paper	89233HWQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	-	0.00	2.61	3/12/19	9/24/19	-	82,097	-	82,097	
Commercial Paper	62479MWS8	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.32	6/28/19	9/26/19	-	48,125	-	48,125	
Commercial Paper	62479MWV9	MUFG BANK LTD NY	-	0.00	2.28	7/30/19	9/30/19	-	137,146	-	137,146	
Commercial Paper	62479MX32	MUFG BANK LTD NY	75,000,000	0.00	2.32	7/3/19	10/3/19	-	144,375	-	144,375	
Commercial Paper	62479MX40	MUFG BANK LTD NY	20,000,000	0.00	2.63	4/4/19	10/4/19	-	43,333	-	43,333	
Commercial Paper	62479MX73	MUFG BANK LTD NY	30,000,000	0.00	2.22	8/6/19	10/7/19	-	55,250	-	55,250	
Commercial Paper	62479MX73	MUFG BANK LTD NY	45,000,000	0.00	2.22	8/7/19	10/7/19	-	82,875	-	82,875	
Commercial Paper	62479MXG3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.33	7/3/19	10/16/19	-	96,250	-	96,250	
Commercial Paper	25214PNV1	DEXIA CREDIT LOCAL SA NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.67	2/5/19	10/28/19	-	109,167	-	109,167	
Commercial Paper	89233HY40	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	2.04	9/4/19	11/4/19	-	76,125	-	76,125	
Commercial Paper	62479MZP1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	60,000,000	0.00	2.23	7/26/19	12/23/19	-	110,500	-	110,500	
Commercial Paper	62479LAT2	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.09	9/27/19	1/27/20	-	11,556	-	11,556	
Commercial Paper	62479LAX3	MUFG BANK LTD NY	40,000,000	0.00	2.07	8/28/19	1/31/20	-	68,333	-	68,333	
Commercial Paper	62479LBT1	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/30/19	2/27/20	-	2,847	-	2,847	
Commercial Paper	62479LC60	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.05	9/11/19	3/6/20	-	56,389	-	56,389	
Commercial Paper	89233GCH7	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/18/19	3/17/20	-	37,014	-	37,014	
Commercial Paper	89233GCJ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPORATI	50,000,000	0.00	2.02	9/24/19	3/18/20	-	19,444	-	19,444	
Commercial Paper	62479LF59	MUFG BANK LTD NY	25,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/5/20	-	9,917	-	9,917	
Commercial Paper	62479LFF7	MUFG BANK LTD NY	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	9/24/19	6/15/20	-	19,833	-	19,833	
Subtotals			\$ 745,000,000					\$ -	\$ 1,418,727	\$ -	\$ 1,418,727	
Medium Term Notes	742718EG0	THE PROCTER & GAMBLE CO	\$ 9,650,000	1.90	2.62	6/20/18	11/1/19	\$ 15,279	\$ 5,587	\$ -	\$ 20,866	
Medium Term Notes	89236TEJ0	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	20,000,000	2.20	2.25	1/11/18	1/10/20	36,667	733	-	37,399	
Medium Term Notes	89236TFQ3	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	5,000,000	3.05	3.08	1/8/19	1/8/21	12,708	123	-	12,831	
Subtotals			\$ 34,650,000					\$ 64,654	\$ 6,443	\$ -	\$ 71,097	

Monthly Investment Earnings Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Coupon	YTM ¹	Settle Date	Maturity		Earned Interest	Amort.	Realized	Earned Income
							Date	Expense		Gain/(Loss)	/Net Earnings	
Money Market Funds	262006208	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT-I	\$ 80,476,899	2.02	2.02	9/30/19	10/1/19	\$ 105,366	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,366	
Money Market Funds	608919718	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL-PRM	222,219,332	2.02	2.02	9/30/19	10/1/19	224,400	-	-	224,400	
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV FUND	10,464,589	2.07	2.07	9/30/19	10/1/19	39,898	-	-	39,898	
Money Market Funds	31607A703	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	380,246,128	2.06	2.06	9/30/19	10/1/19	641,789	-	-	641,789	
Money Market Funds	61747C707	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT FUND	11,293,711	2.10	2.10	9/30/19	10/1/19	57,985	-	-	57,985	
Subtotals			\$ 704,700,659					\$ 1,069,439	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,069,439	
Supranationals	4581X0BY3	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	\$ -	1.11	46.51	11/5/18	9/12/19	\$ 15,161	\$ 21,747	\$ -	\$ 36,908	
Supranationals	459058FQ1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	1.20	1.75	11/6/17	9/30/19	48,333	21,598	-	69,931	
Supranationals	45905UZJ6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	25,000,000	1.30	1.56	6/2/17	10/25/19	27,083	5,314	-	32,398	
Supranationals	45905UZJ6	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	29,300,000	1.30	1.56	6/2/17	10/25/19	31,742	6,228	-	37,970	
Supranationals	459052RX6	IBRD DISCOUNT NOTE	20,000,000	0.00	2.42	4/24/19	1/17/20	-	39,667	-	39,667	
Supranationals	459052SC1	IBRD DISCOUNT NOTE	40,000,000	0.00	2.42	4/24/19	1/22/20	-	79,333	-	79,333	
Supranationals	459058FZ1	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.88	1.94	3/21/17	4/21/20	78,167	1,158	-	79,325	
Supranationals	4581X0CX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	10,000,000	1.63	2.72	5/17/18	5/12/20	13,542	8,704	-	22,246	
Supranationals	4581X0CX4	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	25,000,000	1.63	1.72	4/12/17	5/12/20	33,854	1,579	-	35,433	
Supranationals	459058GA5	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.63	1.64	8/29/17	9/4/20	67,750	286	-	68,036	
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	1.97	11/9/17	11/9/20	81,250	958	-	82,208	
Supranationals	45905UQ80	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	1.95	2.15	12/20/17	11/9/20	81,250	8,005	-	89,255	
Supranationals	45950KCM0	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	50,000,000	2.25	2.35	1/25/18	1/25/21	93,750	4,024	-	97,774	
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	45,000,000	2.63	2.70	4/19/18	4/19/21	98,438	2,710	-	101,147	
Supranationals	4581X0DB1	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	50,000,000	2.63	2.84	5/16/18	4/19/21	109,375	8,588	-	117,963	
Supranationals	45950KCJ7	INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORP	12,135,000	1.13	2.97	5/23/18	7/20/21	11,387	16,587	-	27,974	
Supranationals	459058GH0	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	50,000,000	2.75	2.85	7/25/18	7/23/21	114,583	3,208	-	117,792	
Supranationals	459058GY3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	2.60	2.60	6/28/19	6/28/24	195,000	-	-	195,000	
Supranationals	459058GY3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	2.60	2.60	6/28/19	6/28/24	48,750	-	-	48,750	
Supranationals	459058GY3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	2.60	2.60	6/28/19	6/28/24	48,750	-	-	48,750	
Supranationals	459058GY3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	2.60	2.60	6/28/19	6/28/24	48,750	-	-	48,750	
Supranationals	459058GY3	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	-	2.60	2.60	6/28/19	6/28/24	48,750	-	-	48,750	
Subtotals			\$ 556,435,000					\$ 1,295,665	\$ 229,694	\$ -	\$ 1,525,358	
Grand Totals			\$ 11,183,277,300					\$ 18,137,740	\$ 2,734,168	\$ -	\$ 20,871,909	

¹ Yield to maturity is calculated at purchase

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

For month ended September 30, 2019

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	9/4/19	11/4/19	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HY40	\$ 50,000,000	0.00	2.04	\$ 99.66	\$ -	\$ 49,828,014
Purchase	9/6/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/6/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	50,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/9/19	10/8/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384MS5	100,000,000	0.00	2.01	99.84	-	99,838,083
Purchase	9/10/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	150,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	150,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	200,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	200,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	100,000,000	2.07	2.07	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	200,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	200,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	150,000,000	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	150,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	10/8/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VY2	100,000,000	0.00	2.03	99.84	-	99,842,500
Purchase	9/10/19	9/10/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AH2K8	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/10/19	9/10/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AH2K8	50,000,000	2.05	2.05	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/11/19	3/6/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479L60	50,000,000	0.00	2.05	99.00	-	49,500,958
Purchase	9/11/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/11/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/11/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/11/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/12/19	3/12/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MBS3	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/12/19	9/12/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAJ9	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/12/19	9/12/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAJ9	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/12/19	9/12/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAJ9	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/12/19	9/12/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUAJ9	25,000,000	2.09	2.09	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GUA0	25,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	25,000,000
Purchase	9/16/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	99.99	-	49,997,014
Purchase	9/16/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	99.99	-	49,997,014
Purchase	9/16/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	99.99	-	49,997,014
Purchase	9/16/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	60,000,000	0.00	2.15	99.99	-	59,996,417
Purchase	9/17/19	9/24/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VW6	100,000,000	0.00	2.08	99.96	-	99,959,556
Purchase	9/17/19	10/2/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ML0	50,000,000	0.00	2.04	99.92	-	49,957,500
Purchase	9/17/19	10/2/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384ML0	50,000,000	0.00	2.04	99.92	-	49,957,500
Purchase	9/17/19	3/16/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N4B8	75,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	75,000,000
Purchase	9/17/19	5/11/20	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UQY4	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/18/19	12/17/19	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF MONTREAL CHICAGO	06370R3G2	45,000,000	2.05	2.05	100.00	-	45,000,000
Purchase	9/18/19	3/17/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GCH7	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	98.97	-	49,484,653
Purchase	9/18/19	4/24/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N4G7	40,000,000	2.05	2.05	100.00	-	40,000,000
Purchase	9/18/19	4/27/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MCD5	100,000,000	2.03	2.03	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/19/19	9/19/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AH4A8	100,000,000	2.25	2.25	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/23/19	9/23/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AH5D1	100,000,000	2.05	2.05	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/24/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	100,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/24/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	60,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	60,000,000
Purchase	9/24/19	1/24/20	Negotiable CDs	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	65602VRW8	50,000,000	2.11	2.11	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/24/19	3/18/20	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233GCJ3	50,000,000	0.00	2.02	99.02	-	49,511,111
Purchase	9/24/19	6/5/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479L59	25,000,000	0.00	2.07	98.56	-	24,638,750
Purchase	9/24/19	6/15/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LFF7	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	98.50	-	49,249,167
Purchase	9/25/19	9/24/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5H4	100,000,000	2.32	2.32	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/25/19	10/20/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKR57	112,500,000	2.08	2.13	99.96	32,566	112,483,404
Purchase	9/26/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	60,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	60,000,000
Purchase	9/26/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	100,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	100,000,000
Purchase	9/26/19	3/24/20	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PP9J79QD6	10,000,000	1.95	1.95	100.00	-	10,000,000

Investment Transactions Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Purchase	9/27/19	1/27/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LAT2	50,000,000	0.00	2.09	99.30	-	49,647,556
Purchase	9/27/19	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417MCW3	50,000,000	2.38	2.38	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/27/19	9/28/20	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114N5M3	50,000,000	2.38	2.38	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	105,366	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	105,366
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	224,400	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	224,400
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	39,898	2.07	2.07	100.00	-	39,898
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	641,789	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	641,789
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	60,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	60,000,000
Purchase	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	57,985	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	57,985
Purchase	9/30/19	1/29/20	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384SK6	50,000,000	0.00	1.85	99.38	-	49,690,778
Purchase	9/30/19	2/27/20	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479LBT1	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	99.15	-	49,572,917
Subtotals						\$3,798,569,439	1.51	2.07	\$ 99.88	\$ 32,566	\$3,794,219,343
Sale	9/3/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	\$ 60,000,000	2.06	2.06	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 60,000,000
Sale	9/9/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/9/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	50,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/11/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	40,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	40,000,000
Sale	9/11/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	50,000,000	2.07	2.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/11/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	50,000,000	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/12/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	40,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	40,000,000
Sale	9/12/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	10,000,000	2.07	2.07	100.00	-	10,000,000
Sale	9/12/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	40,000,000	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	40,000,000
Sale	9/13/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	73,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	73,000,000
Sale	9/18/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	40,000,000	2.07	2.07	100.00	-	40,000,000
Sale	9/18/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	50,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/19/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	60,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	60,000,000
Sale	9/19/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	60,000,000	2.10	2.10	100.00	-	60,000,000
Sale	9/20/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	86,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	86,000,000
Sale	9/23/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/23/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	100,000,000	2.06	2.06	100.00	-	100,000,000
Sale	9/25/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/25/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Sale	9/27/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	50,000,000	2.02	2.02	100.00	-	50,000,000
Subtotals						\$1,059,000,000	2.05	2.05	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$1,059,000,000

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Call	9/1/19	9/1/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AC7E8	\$ 50,000,000	2.17	2.17	100.00	\$ -	\$ 50,000,000
Call	9/12/19	6/12/20	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GTTV5	100,000,000	2.40	2.40	100.00	600,000	100,600,000
Call	9/15/19	6/15/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ACVS0	50,000,000	2.13	2.13	100.00	266,250	50,266,250
Call	9/15/19	6/15/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ACVS0	50,000,000	2.13	2.13	100.00	266,250	50,266,250
Call	9/17/19	6/17/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GTUN1	25,000,000	2.43	2.43	100.00	151,875	25,151,875
Call	9/17/19	6/17/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GTUN1	25,000,000	2.43	2.43	100.00	151,875	25,151,875
Call	9/17/19	6/17/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GTUN1	25,000,000	2.43	2.43	100.00	151,875	25,151,875
Call	9/17/19	6/17/21	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GTUN1	25,000,000	2.43	2.43	100.00	151,875	25,151,875
Call	9/18/19	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AG2H7	34,300,000	2.65	2.65	100.00	-	34,300,000
Call	9/18/19	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AG2H7	40,000,000	2.65	2.65	100.00	-	40,000,000
Call	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	100.00	-	25,000,000
Call	9/28/19	6/28/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GY3	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	100.00	162,500	25,162,500
Call	9/28/19	6/28/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GY3	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	100.00	162,500	25,162,500
Call	9/28/19	6/28/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GY3	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	100.00	162,500	25,162,500
Call	9/28/19	6/28/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GY3	25,000,000	2.60	2.60	100.00	162,500	25,162,500
Call	9/28/19	6/28/24	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GY3	100,000,000	2.60	2.60	100.00	650,000	100,650,000
Subtotals						\$ 724,300,000	2.51	2.51	\$ -	\$ 3,040,000	\$ 727,340,000
Maturity	9/4/19	9/4/19	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HW42	\$ 50,000,000	0.00	2.60	100.00	\$ -	\$ 50,000,000
Maturity	9/6/19	9/6/19	Negotiable CDs	BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA HOUS	06417G4V7	50,000,000	2.65	2.65	100.00	772,917	50,772,917
Maturity	9/6/19	9/6/19	Negotiable CDs	ROYAL BANK OF CANADA NY	78012UMC6	100,000,000	2.33	2.18	100.00	200,510	100,200,510
Maturity	9/10/19	9/10/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VU0	50,000,000	0.00	2.07	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/12/19	9/12/19	Supranationals	INTER-AMERICAN DEVEL BK	4581X0BY3	44,716,000	1.11	46.51	100.00	251,528	44,967,528
Maturity	9/16/19	9/16/19	Commercial Paper	DEXIA CREDIT LOCAL SA NY	25214PNZ2	50,000,000	0.00	2.59	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/16/19	9/16/19	Negotiable CDs	NORINCHUKIN BANK NY	65602VPG5	100,000,000	2.30	2.30	100.00	428,056	100,428,056
Maturity	9/16/19	9/16/19	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114MS99	50,000,000	2.35	2.35	100.00	287,222	50,287,222
Maturity	9/17/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/17/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/17/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	50,000,000	0.00	2.15	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/17/19	9/17/19	Federal Agencies	FED HOME LN DISCOUNT NT	313384LV9	60,000,000	0.00	2.15	100.00	-	60,000,000
Maturity	9/17/19	9/17/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VV8	50,000,000	0.00	2.03	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/18/19	9/18/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWJ8	11,000,000	0.00	2.62	100.00	-	11,000,000
Maturity	9/18/19	9/18/19	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114MWS2	25,000,000	2.59	2.59	100.00	348,931	25,348,931
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWQ2	12,000,000	0.00	2.62	100.00	-	12,000,000
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWQ2	25,000,000	0.00	2.32	100.00	-	25,000,000
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWQ2	50,000,000	0.00	2.33	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	Commercial Paper	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	89233HWQ3	50,000,000	0.00	2.61	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VW6	50,000,000	0.00	2.06	100.00	-	50,000,000
Maturity	9/24/19	9/24/19	U.S. Treasuries	TREASURY BILL	912796VW6	100,000,000	0.00	2.08	100.00	-	100,000,000
Maturity	9/25/19	9/25/19	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114M5C7	100,000,000	2.17	2.17	100.00	295,361	100,295,361
Maturity	9/26/19	9/26/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWS8	30,000,000	0.00	2.32	100.00	-	30,000,000
Maturity	9/26/19	9/26/19	Negotiable CDs	TORONTO DOMINION BANK NY	89114M5J2	100,000,000	2.16	2.16	100.00	288,000	100,288,000
Maturity	9/26/19	9/26/19	Public Time Deposits	BRIDGE BANK	PP9F99QR1	10,000,000	2.61	2.61	100.00	128,961	10,128,961
Maturity	9/27/19	9/27/19	Federal Agencies	FANNIE MAE	3135G0Q30	50,000,000	1.18	1.18	100.00	295,000	50,295,000
Maturity	9/30/19	9/30/19	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058FQ1	50,000,000	1.20	1.75	100.00	300,000	50,300,000
Maturity	9/30/19	9/30/19	Commercial Paper	MUFG BANK LTD NY	62479MWW9	75,000,000	0.00	2.28	100.00	-	75,000,000
Subtotals						\$1,492,716,000	0.94	3.54	\$ -	\$ 3,596,484	\$1,496,312,484

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

Transaction	Settle Date	Maturity	Type of Investment	Issuer Name	CUSIP	Par Value	Coupon	YTM	Price	Interest	Transaction
Interest	9/1/19	3/1/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKBV7	\$ 10,000,000	2.55	2.56	0.00	0.00	\$ 127,500
Interest	9/1/19	9/1/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AC7E8	50,000,000	2.17	2.17	0.00	0.00	542,500
Interest	9/2/19	12/2/19	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EGN43	50,000,000	2.38	2.38	0.00	0.00	102,655
Interest	9/2/19	11/2/20	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0KR1	25,000,000	2.42	2.42	0.00	0.00	52,189
Interest	9/4/19	12/4/19	Public Time Deposits	SAN FRANCISCO CREDIT UNI	PP9J6D723	10,000,000	2.06	2.06	0.00	0.00	56,089
Interest	9/4/19	9/4/20	Supranationals	INTL BK RECON & DEVELOP	459058GA5	50,000,000	1.63	1.64	0.00	0.00	406,500
Interest	9/11/19	12/11/19	Public Time Deposits	BANK OF SAN FRANCISCO	PPEQ338W9	5,000,000	2.53	2.53	0.00	0.00	28,622
Interest	9/11/19	3/11/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKCS3	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	0.00	0.00	637,500
Interest	9/11/19	3/11/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKCS3	50,000,000	2.55	2.58	0.00	0.00	637,500
Interest	9/11/19	3/11/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	313378WG2	17,780,000	2.50	2.36	0.00	0.00	222,250
Interest	9/11/19	3/11/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	313378WG2	40,000,000	2.50	2.36	0.00	0.00	500,000
Interest	9/13/19	3/13/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	313378J77	15,710,000	1.88	1.56	0.00	0.00	147,281
Interest	9/13/19	9/13/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ACF33	25,000,000	1.88	1.95	0.00	0.00	234,375
Interest	9/14/19	9/14/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ADT93	25,000,000	2.40	2.43	0.00	0.00	300,000
Interest	9/14/19	3/14/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKDC7	26,145,000	2.47	2.36	0.00	0.00	322,891
Interest	9/14/19	3/14/22	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EKDC7	45,500,000	2.47	2.36	0.00	0.00	561,925
Interest	9/15/19	9/11/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AGWJ0	25,000,000	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.00	43,750
Interest	9/15/19	9/11/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AGWJ0	25,000,000	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.00	43,750
Interest	9/15/19	9/11/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AGWJ0	50,000,000	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.00	87,500
Interest	9/15/19	6/15/22	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GBF72	50,000,000	2.01	2.01	0.00	0.00	502,500
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AG2H7	34,300,000	2.65	2.65	0.00	0.00	454,475
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AG2H7	40,000,000	2.65	2.65	0.00	0.00	530,000
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	375,000
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	375,000
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	375,000
Interest	9/18/19	12/18/23	Federal Agencies	FREDDIE MAC	3134GS5P6	25,000,000	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	375,000
Interest	9/20/19	3/20/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EHZN6	20,000,000	1.45	1.49	0.00	0.00	145,000
Interest	9/21/19	9/21/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJ3N7	25,000,000	2.77	2.79	0.00	0.00	346,250
Interest	9/21/19	12/21/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EGX75	50,000,000	2.36	2.36	0.00	0.00	101,552
Interest	9/22/19	3/22/21	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130AAYP7	8,585,000	2.20	2.17	0.00	0.00	94,435
Interest	9/24/19	12/24/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EFTX5	100,000,000	2.48	2.48	0.00	0.00	213,147
Interest	9/27/19	3/27/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL FARM CREDIT BANK	3133EJHL6	50,000,000	2.38	2.41	0.00	0.00	593,750
Interest	9/28/19	9/28/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ACE26	18,000,000	1.38	1.48	0.00	0.00	123,750
Interest	9/28/19	9/28/20	Federal Agencies	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK	3130ACE26	30,000,000	1.38	1.48	0.00	0.00	206,250
Interest	9/29/19	3/29/21	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0Q53	6,350,000	2.60	2.64	0.00	0.00	82,550
Interest	9/29/19	3/29/21	Federal Agencies	FARMER MAC	3132X0Q53	20,450,000	2.60	2.64	0.00	0.00	265,850
Interest	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	DREYFUS GOVERN CASH MGMT	262006208	80,476,899	2.02	2.02	0.00	0.00	105,366
Interest	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FEDERATED GOVERNMENT OBL	608919718	222,219,332	2.02	2.02	0.00	0.00	224,400
Interest	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	BLACKROCK LIQ INST GOV F	09248U718	10,464,589	2.07	2.07	0.00	0.00	39,898
Interest	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	FIDELITY INST GOV FUND	31607A703	380,246,128	2.06	2.06	0.00	0.00	641,789
Interest	9/30/19	10/1/19	Money Market Funds	MORGAN STANLEY INST GOVT	61747C707	11,293,711	2.10	2.10	0.00	0.00	57,985
Interest	9/30/19	3/31/21	U.S. Treasuries	US TREASURY	912828C57	50,000,000	2.25	2.39	0.00	0.00	562,500
Subtotals						\$1,902,520,659	2.23	2.23	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,846,225

Grand Totals	64	Purchases									
	(20)	Sales									
	(47)	Maturities / Calls									
	(3)	Change in number of positions									

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Appeal of Determination of Community Plan Evaluation – 344-14th Street
Date: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 11:00:00 AM

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 12:12 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Brown, Vallie (BOS) <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Appeal of Determination of Community Plan Evaluation – 344-14th Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Monday October 7, 2019

Dear President Yee and Members of the Board Supervisors:

RE: Case No. 2014.0948ENX 344 14th Street Appeal of the July 25, 2019 Planning Commission Decision

We request a reversal of the Determination of Community Plan Evaluation on the 344-14th Street Project - #190890. There are major problems with the stale data in the EIR. It that does not address the effects of the increased use of private vehicles and other new modes of transit on our streets now or take into account the possibility of driverless vehicles and other transit modes that may lead to a decrease in public transportation.

The Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR adopted in 2008 is woefully inadequate to address the current environment, much less the future. Any future predictions should be based on the current reality and the CEQA determinations should be based on new data and take into account the cumulative effects that have already hit the neighborhood, not the studies and analysis from 2008.

In 2008 the country was experiencing a rapidly declining economy and the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR was based on the need to revive it. Incentives to invest during the downturn were developed. Fast forward to 2019 and we are drowning in

a sea of cash and wealth that few could have imagined in 2008. Those incentives are not needed now.

We bring your attention to the pace of change that is overwhelming many and exhausting the rest of us. This rapid growth has been a blessing to some and a headache to many, but, most important for this discussion is the fact that the data that was used to predict the future growth cycles in San Francisco is inadequate to describe the reality we live in today.

In 2008 we lived with miscalculations made by financial institutions. Today we live with miscalculations made by the authors of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR. During the analysis prior to the drafting of the EIR assumptions were made to predict the rate of growth in the economy and the increase in population, jobs, and infrastructure. Those the predictions having proven to be woefully inaccurate. How can a Neighborhood Plan EIR be adequate when it is based on erroneous, inaccurate data and calculations? We are living in 2019 not in 2008.

We are living with a lot of mistakes and miscalculations that have turned our city into a national embarrassment. Our traffic and parking problems are legendary. Now is the time to stop pushing this problem aside by making false claims. Now is the time to stop using old numbers that we know are inaccurate.

No one can dispute the fact that the tech boom has brought gentrification and added more private vehicles to the Mission neighborhood than was predicted by the original authors of the Eastern Neighborhood Plan EIR.

The out of date, inadequate data and documents must be called into question at some point and now is as good a time as any.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, concerned Mission resident

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: New Bart Cars (nice and short)
Date: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 2:02:00 PM

From: John-David Feldman <johndavidfeldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 1:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Bart Cars (nice and short)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm sorry but you are not going to fit a pregnant woman in that seat between those bars and the arm rest. Almost every seat on the BART car has a bar on it except for 4.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: October Should NOT Be Italian Heritage Month (Oppose File 190986)
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:38:00 PM

From: Jordan Davis <jodav1026@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 6:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: October Should NOT Be Italian Heritage Month (Oppose File 190986)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am an Italian-American, my grandfather, Aquiline Furente, came here from Fossacesia, Italy in 1922 fleeing Mussolini's repression, and his heartbreaking stories of discrimination and poverty live with me to this day and has heavily shaped my worldview. But, he also married someone with a small amount of Powhatan heritage, and as such, I really can NOT support the Italian-Heritage month resolution, unless it is moved to another month.

I grew up in a heavily Italian part of the East Coast (New Jersey to be specific). I can remember in grade school drawing happy Columbus faces on construction paper, and having the true history of genocide be whitewashed. I can remember how entrenched it was, and how anything the told the truth about the implications of Columbus led to people claiming I was somehow anti-Italian. Not to mention that many of the people that would defend Columbus's legacy also tended to be very Republican and Trumpian and not in line with the values of San Francisco.

Although we have erased Columbus from the day off we have in October and renamed it "Indigenous People's Day", the defense of Columbus Day by certain parts of the Italian community, plus, the timing being the same is troubling, and thus, the continuation of celebration of Italian Heritage in October, absent a compelling reason outside of Columbus, is very inappropriate.

I would not be oppositional to this resolution if it was held in another month. May I suggest November, as it's the birth month of George Moscone and this year would have been his 90th birthday and he was murdered a mere three days after his birthday. As someone who stood up for marginalized communities, Moscone's birth/death month would be a perfect time for Italian Heritage month, and it would be more respectful to indigenous communities and would promote further healing as we reckon with Columbus's legacy of genocide and slavery.

I don't necessarily expect to be heard, and I expect this to pass overwhelmingly, but I don't think that we should allow this resolution as is to pass without being challenged and the temporal issues around Italian Heritage month.

If you want to discuss more, please respond to this email, and many of you know my personal cell.

-Jordan

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Item #44 on the Agenda
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:38:00 PM

From: Micah Sze <micahsze@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 1:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item #44 on the Agenda

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I am contacting you in regards to the use of “Reasonable Reliance” in the evaluation of Operating & Maintenance (O&M) pass-throughs to tenants. I am currently a resident at [665 Pine street](#) and have lived in that apartment for many years, well before Veritas purchased the building in 2017. I am reaching out to you on behalf of myself and other residents in the building. Many of the residents have lived in the building for over 5 years – some over +20 years; many of these residents are elderly and are on a fixed income. As San Francisco continues to be one of the most expensive places to live in the country, I urge you to support the people of the City. I believe that Veritas is passing through costs based on changes to property taxes and financing activities resulting from purchasing the building; in my opinion, these expenses should be burdened by the real estate investment company and not by the tenants. To date, we have not received evidence that Veritas is acting prudently on-behalf of the tenants and the O&M costs are above and beyond what the tenants should be paying. Moreover, I believe that Veritas is spreading the costs based on the number of units in the building and not based on the size of the apartment. My apartment is just over 400 sqft, which is significantly smaller compared to the other units in the building. Again, I urge you to pass this resolution calling on the Rent Board to create more specific guidelines for landlord reliance. Thank you for taking the time to listen.

Sincerely,

Micah Sze

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Pass through rent increases
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:36:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Dale Speer <dalespeer61@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 1:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Pass through rent increases

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

We are long-term Veritas tenants (40 year tenancy) affected by huge passthrough rent increases, and we urge the Board of Supervisors to pass this resolution calling on the Rent Board to create more specific guidelines for landlord reliance. We need immediate action. Thank you!

Dale Speer & Neil Harvey
665 Pine Street, Apartment 901
San Francisco, California 94108

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Please Help Us Avoid Veritas O&M Rent Increases
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:36:00 PM

From: Quyen Bui <quyen.bui4@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 8:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Help Us Avoid Veritas O&M Rent Increases

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a long-term Veritas tenant affected by huge passthrough rent increases, and I urge the Board of Supervisors to pass this resolution calling on the Rent Board to create more specific guidelines for landlord reliance. I have lived in this city for six years and have never cumulatively seen as much in rent increases as I'm seeing with this year's O&M expense alone. We need immediate action. Thank you!

-Quyen

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: I am a Veritas Tenant
Date: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 9:32:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Gail Clark <Rhezzel@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 8:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: I am a Veritas Tenant

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a long-term Veritas tenant affected by huge passthrough rent increases, and I urge the Board of Supervisors to pass this resolution calling on the Rent Board to create more specific guidelines for landlord reliance. We need immediate action. Thank you!

Gail Clark

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: protected bike lanes: don't wait for another life lost
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:35:00 PM

From: Peter Belden <pbelden@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 10:39 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: charles@sfbike.org; MTABoard@sfmta.com; Reiskin, Ed (MTA) <Ed.Reiskin@sfmta.com>
Subject: protected bike lanes: don't wait for another life lost

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Friday March 8, 2018, when another rider was killed, was a somber reminder of the conditions of bicycling on San Francisco streets. How many other tragedies will it take to address the glaring safety issues I and my children face daily while riding our bicycles?

We don't want to lose another. Please take urgent action now with a comprehensive plan to address bicycle safety in San Francisco. We recently visited Copenhagen, saw the fantastic bicycle safety, and were sad to return to the dangers of San Francisco.

I look forward to your written response.

Peter Belden
519 Vermont Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: Union Square - empty storefronts and lacking review of projects
Date: Monday, October 7, 2019 12:34:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 9:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Union Square - empty storefronts and lacking review of projects

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SFBOS

Walked today from Powell up to union square empty storefronts everywhere.

Why is chase bank on the corner of union square, guess financial news is all there is to see....

The lacking review of projects and foot traffic along with changing shopping and business models is affecting the downtown. Chain stores proliferate and no end to for lease signs is seen.

If we don't address the empty city syndrome and provide essential housing and rents at levels where people can open a shop, we fail as a city.

Walking up to sutter you see the windows of higher priced shops with nice displays and the color and vivacity that other storefronts once had... cleaners work hard to keep some semblance of order, but when shops close the decay grows...

We need more sustained efforts to place shops, a deli, a supermarket with cashiers and storefront produce made from the farm...

The city changes but to retain its soul we have to do more than just make deals...

We have to push for the items we want and suggest the thoughts for features long lost...

A place for teens, seniors to sit and rest and a walking city that knows how to not only bring people in but show the vivacity of our city...

It's just thoughts today, but when seeing the barren retail scape, I wonder how we could get a toy store, a pinball or video arcade (not virtual reality) or some shops that consider the need to sit and step in chat and socialize more vs staring at screens as people meander aimlessly in a city becoming more devoid of features and amenities...

Ag D11

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/business/article/Union-Square-SF-s-shopping-hotspot-struggles-14487528.php>

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: interfering with commerce
Date: Friday, October 4, 2019 11:47:00 AM

From: Wayne Gordon <sonomabridge@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2019 12:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: interfering with commerce

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You recently declared the NRA a terrorist organization. I was thinking about attending a convention in Nov.-Dec. with a group I belong to (not the NRA). Should I be worried about being arrested because I am also a member of the NRA?

When I left CA 5 years ago, I was trying to leave this type crap behind, but you are interfering with my right to interstate commerce.

Wayne Gordon

775-409-4099 [h], 707-494-8720 [c]

"If all mankind minus one were of one opinion and only one person were of the contrary opinion, mankind would be no more justified in silencing that person than he, if he had the power, would be in silencing mankind??? If the opinion is right, they are deprived of the opportunity of exchanging error for truth; if wrong, they lose, what is almost as great a benefit, the clearer perception and livelier impression of truth, produced by its collision with error." -- John Stuart Mill (1806-1873 English philosopher and economist)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Subject: FW: No Toilets in East Bay Station
Date: Friday, October 4, 2019 10:53:00 AM

From: Mary Swisher <maryeswisher@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 7:36 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: opinion@sfchronicle.com; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: No Toilets in East Bay Station

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed,

There needs to be more open restrooms in San Francisco. I recently took the Mega Bus from Sacramento to San Francisco and found the toilets in the East Bay Terminal closed. I was advised to go to Safeway across the street which even then, required finding someone to unlock their bathrooms.

In Europe there are clean public restrooms with attendants who provide toilet paper and direct traffic. They are paid, plus there is a small dish for gratuities. In Germany you may have to pay 50 cents to use a clean bathroom. Among the 8,000 homeless in San Francisco there should be a few people that would take on this job. I also refer you to the many self cleaning toilets in Paris.

This is a pressing public health issue not just a nicety for travelers passing through San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Mary Swisher

P.S. There should also be clean needles and spaces, other than restrooms, where drug addicted people can shoot up,

From: [Margie Kane](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3333 California St Project
Date: Monday, October 14, 2019 9:14:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I live in Presidio Heights and feel a construction project that will disrupt the neighborhood and traffic for 15 years is ridiculous and would jeopardize Laurel Village and the other businesses close by. I urge you to the applicant build in 7 years and not drag the project out for 15 years and make the project phasing definite and ensure that senior affordable housing is constructed by the developer per the phasing schedule. I totally support building more housing in SF but surely the complex at 3333 California St can be completed in less 15 yrs and avoid extensive disruption to Laurel Village, the locally owned business and neighbors.

Sincerely
Margie Kane
3435 Clay St #3
SF CA 94118

From: [Mary](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Brown, Vallie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3333 California development project
Date: Tuesday, October 15, 2019 3:10:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the esteemed Land Use Committee members and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

My husband and I, Mary and Peter Jacobi, own the property at 41 and 43 Lupine immediately above Euclid and the 3333 project in question. My husband and I look to retire to one of the flats in the building in the future. We strongly oppose the developer's project as designed. We support either or both of the Community Alternative Plans that propose construction of the same numbers of much needed units of living space and senior affordable housing, but also protect historic green space across from our building (in our family since the early 60's). The need for housing is at its greatest right now. To approve a plan that takes 15 years to complete is absurd when there is an alternative that would take half the amount of time. Additionally absurd is to destroy beautiful green space and trees and views when there is a way to develop equal numbers of housing without ruining the charm of the neighborhood, or spending twice the amount of time doing it!!

More retail space is neither needed or wanted considering there is adequate retail in Laurel Village and Sacramento Street including Trader Joe's and Geary Street.

In supporting one of the Community Alternatives you would be thoughtfully developing much needed housing, as well as meeting the standards for historic properties...the Old Firemen's Fund Building and its surroundings.

A thoughtful evaluation would not permit flexible retail which is not allowed anywhere else in District 2 or in the Sacramento/Fillmore Street Commercial districts. Please do limit hours of retail operation to 6: am to 11. pm for what retail might still be permitted, even if not needed, and prohibit outdoor amplified sound.

Thank you for your attention,

Mary and Peter Jacobi

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco has long been a renaissance city for social change and compassion. Most of us naturally feel compelled to assist anyone who is suffering, especially those who cannot help themselves and are very vulnerable. The largest group suffering immense hardship and pain are animals trapped inside factory farms. We've done investigations on these farms, recieved tips from whistleblowers, and everytime there has been obvious, extensive evidence of severe animal cruelty. I urge the mayor, and the board to pass a resolution giving protection to those that are being villified by industry, and some government entities, for merely exposing the truth and assisting those who suffer behind the doors. A Right to Rescue would aid tremendously.

Thank You, sincerely
John Cameron

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2019 OCT -1 PM 2:16
DL

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am a California resident, a chef, and an investigator with Direct Action Everywhere. In my work as an investigator I have seen and documented criminal animal cruelty in the state of California. The reports of these animal cruelty crimes have gone unanswered and the animal abusers are still in business. I have been arrested and I am currently facing charges for attempting to bring attention to this issue. I am here today to ask this board to pass a resolution granting activist the right to Rescue and support the activist who are facing charges for attempting to uphold the criminal animal cruelty laws.

Sincerely,
Arianna M. Mell

October 1, 2019

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

California has had a specific law on the books 597-e which allows the public to enter a private property to help/save an animal that has been denied or unable to get food and water. We have done exactly that but are now being prosecuted for doing so. We should not be prevented and prosecuted for following the established laws of our state. The Right to Rescue is already a law - we need to reiterate it and prevent reprisals.

Peter Lecki 90046

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the Animal Bill of Rights.

Also please help in dropping charges against activists
for rescuing animals.

Support the right to rescue as we have
exhausted all legal avenues.

Thank you.

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to encourage you to support Rose's Law, the right to rescue. There are laws in California that protect animals in commercial operations such as penal code 597e. This law allows people to help animals who do not have access to food and water.

Some of my friends are facing felonies for rescuing animals from criminal cruelty conditions. I hope that you can support us, instead of animal cruelty.

Thank you for your time.

- Gretel Rojas

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please help to pass the Right to Rescue. We now have a fur ban in place and California has 597E that is designed to help animals in need - please consider doing what you can to see that animal abusers are punished, not the whistleblowers reporting criminal animal cruelty. The world, and especially Californians, do not support animal cruelty and we want to help people be able to live their lives according to the morals they already have. Rescuing animals from extreme confinement, those unable to reach food or water and those that are sick and will die without intervention should be seen as being a good citizen, not being a criminal.

Thank you,

EC Niwo
87059

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Megan Stone. I am 22 years-old and hope to continue to pursue a career in Spanish and Naturopathic medicine. I have been a big supporter of social justice and have learned animals are the most exploited beings on the planet, yet the most vulnerable. All beings on this planet deserve the basic right to live, to not be harmed. I was arrested on 6/3/2019 for helping to rescue suffering ducks from a farm in Sonoma County. We should be supporting people who are trying to rescue animals. If you support the right to rescue, it would prove that San Francisco is compassionate to not only animals, but brave California citizens who take risks to save beings who need help. I am trying to make the world a better place and cannot do that with felony charges. Berkeley is supporting the right to rescue, I hope San Francisco does too. Thank you so much. ♡

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to ask that you look into and take action against the animal cruelty and repression of activists. Everyone should have the right to rescue animals in need. Please be on the right side of history. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheyenne Tsai

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the right to rescue animals from farms or any place where they are in distress unable to get access to water and food. As you know, these animals experience high suffering. My friends have tried rescuing some of these animals and for doing so, they are now facing felony charges. The public does not want you to allow the suffering of these animals to continue any longer. Please do not prosecute my friends and instead please focus on these animals by establishing the right to rescue and an Animal Bill of Rights (Rose's Law).

Thank you,
Amanda Penaloza
Amanda Penaloza
California Resident
619-855-2321

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the Right to Rescue and an animal bill of rights. Please do not support the prosecution of nonviolent activists who legally rescued sick and injured animals.

Thanks so much,
California resident
Colin Russell
760-442-8939

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the right to rescue by passing a resolution. I know people who are facing years in prison for simply taking individuals out of life-threatening situations in order to give them the care they need. Under Penal Code 597E, people have the right to rescue animals who are in need of immediate care. If people are allowed to rescue a dog from a car on a hot day, this same principle needs to apply to people who are rescuing sick and injured animals who do not have any access to food or water on farms. Please pass a resolution supporting the right to rescue so people will not be charged for rescuing animals because these are the people that should have the law on their side.

Thank you,

Audrey Rees

231 Oakes Rd. Santa Cruz, CA 95064

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

There are animals suffering right now as you read this, millions who are being tortured. With the animal bill of rights we can save these individuals & give them a new life. Ross law must be passed. This law ~~can~~ only save 10 animals who are being tortured but also will save the planet. Factory farms are a major cause of climate change. With 50% of our emissions coming from factory farms. Being a hybrid & hearing that a major part of our planet's dying is caused by animal suffering, it's devastating. I have dreams for when I get older but now I don't see it being achieved because of all of this suffering. With Ross law, we could end suffering & slow down climate change, which I know you should be concerned about. Please listen to our cause.

From



DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

WHERE DO WE DRAW THE LINE? CATS & DOGS ARE given Privilege by Society. But who CAUSED us to draw a line of "acceptable sentience"? THE time has come where we need to stop the oppression and condemnation of species not considered "domestic". EACH individual, Human and non-human Animal deserves to Live their Lives Free from oppression. THIS is why we ASK you to Recognize Rose's Law and Support the Right to Rescue. It is time to Remove this social normality where humans use and ABUSE non-HUMAN Animals for mere selfish Pleasure and taste. we need to recognize these individuals for who they are and not just a commodity here for use ~~as~~ ^{as} humans to use = ABUSE. Please Support Activists and whistle blowers who bring Criminal Animal Cruelty to light.

Thank you.

RACHEL ASLER
92104

Oct. 1, 2019

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please Support the Right to Rescue animals that are deprived of food, water and healthy living conditions. This Right is already lawful under penal code 597E; however, citizens are being prosecuted for rescuing animals from factory farms that are killing, physically harming and neglecting innocent animals. This law does not specify an individual species yet it is only being applied to dogs and cats as opposed to all animals.

Please uphold the law PC 597E and Support the Right to Rescue, Rose's law and the individuals who are currently facing charges for saving these animals who are suffering.

Thank you,
Shannon Sears
92107



DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Good afternoon, my name is Staci Plikaytis and I was arrested several months ago at a duck farm for rescuing baby ducks that were going to be murdered. I am writing you today to ask you for The Right to Rescure as nonviolent activists protecting non human animals from ~~starvation~~ torture and ultimate murder. It is legal to currently rescue a dog from a hot car (and people are considered heroes) but to rescue a cow/pig/chicken, from similar or worse conditions is a felony. We were raised to believe that dogs/cats are "better" and deserve more rights than pigs/cows/chickens but the truth is, they are all the same. They all feel pain, they all suffer, they all have families and ^{want to} be with their parents/children.

I am asking you today to pass a resolution for The Right To Rescure, and dismiss all charges against nonviolent activists that are willing to risk their lives and freedom for these animals that have been abused and mistreated by the industry. Please be on the right side of justice. Please be on the right side of history. Thank you for your time.



Oct. 1 st 2019

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am Alice Liu, a resident in San Francisco. I want to ask you to please support the right to rescue for animals.

California has strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations, yet when DXE investigations revealed criminal animal cruelty in Sonoma county farms, nothing was done by law enforcement. When activists took initiative to rescue the animals there, they were charged with multiple felonies.

If San Francisco supports right to rescue resolution, it would mean activists are protected for doing the ethical and right things. If you do so, you won't be alone. Berkeley is already close to passing their own resolution.

San Francisco has been at the forefront of supporting animal rights with such achievements as passing the fur ban. Thank you for your compassion, and I hope for your continuing support, for compassionate people and animals in suffering.

Sincerely,
Alice Liu

DIRECT ACTION **DX** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please pass the animal Bill of rights to receive since it will allow the animals and the human workers that are working on these factory farms go through a lot of stress and PTSD. DXE (direct action everywhere) has investigated these farms to show that there is so much animal cruelty is happening. Please review those videos and consider the facts from all of us who want freedom for Animals.

As a part of our demonstration of animal cruelty, I was arrested in Sonoma county just for giving water and food for the animals that are struggling to walk.

Thank you so much for looking through this and hopefully SF can support those activists that were charged felonies for receiving animals. Please support our right to receive resolution.

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Animals are sentient beings, just like us. California already has strict animal cruelty laws in place, but law enforcement has failed to uphold the laws. DXE has used legal rights to investigate animal agriculture and has exposed the truth. Activists are facing unjust felony charges and we ask your support in these charges being dropped. We are asking for you to grant the right to rescue and for an Animal Bill of Rights. Everyone has the right to be free from harm and exploitation.

Thank you so much for your support. Thank you for being on the right side of history.

Best wishes,

Geoffrey Loma

562 Ashton Pk Ln

Sacramento, CA 95864

OCTOBER, 2019

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My NAME IS MARK LIVENDAHIL.
I AM A BUSINESS OWNER IN
SONOMA COUNTY AND I SUPPORT
THE RIGHT TO RESCUE ANIMALS.
ALL ANIMALS FEEL PAIN, ALL
ANIMALS SUFFER, ALL ANIMALS
SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO
BE FREE FROM SUFFERING.

IF A DOG CAN BE
RESCUED FROM A HOT CAR
THEN ANY ANIMAL SHOULD HAVE
THAT SAME RIGHT TO BE
RESCUED FROM SUFFERING
AND TRAUMA.

PLEASE SUPPORT THE
RIGHT TO RESCUE!

MARK LIVENDAHIL
15840 WRIGHT DR
GUERNEVILLE, CA

707-527-2171

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

THANK YOU FOR BEING COMPASSIONATE LEADERS OF ONE OF THE MOST PROGRESSIVE CITIES IN OUR COUNTRY. WHAT HAPPENS IN SAN FRANCISCO MAKES WAVES AND SETS PRECEDENTS FOR NOT ONLY THE REST OF CALIFORNIA, BUT THE REST OF THE COUNTRY. PLEASE REMAIN CONSISTENT WITH THE VALUES YOU HELD WHEN YOU BECAME THE FIRST MAJOR CITY IN THE UNITED STATES TO BAN FUR AND GIVE ALL ANIMALS, HUMAN AND NONHUMAN, THE RIGHT TO LIVE HAPPY, SAFE, AND FREE.

WITH GRATITUDE,
MATTHEW BRAUN

DIRECT ACTION ~~DX~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

If you saw an injured animal in confinement would you offer aid? As an early childhood educator, I can tell you most children would help. I believe it is our instinct to be compassionate of others in need. So I am writing to you asking you to support the activists facing charges for following their instincts to care by rescuing abused animals. These activists risked their freedoms for enforcing Penal code 597E. The Berkeley peace and justice commission passed a resolution for the right to rescue in support of activists and the Animal Bill of Rights. This bill backs the strict laws already established in California to protect animals. These laws are rarely enforced by officials. DXE has reported the criminal animal abuse evidence found on farms they investigated. The officials have not taken any action or enforced the laws. DXE has taken the appropriate protocol without any response from authorities. For these reasons, we felt that it is our moral duty to help suffering beings. Please help us by passing a resolution in furtherance of the Animal Bill of Right and the activists.

With Gratitude,

~~Heidi~~
(Heidi Lopez)

1650 Cripple Creek Dr
Eastvale CA 92820

heidi_lo@live.com

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are asking you to grant the right to rescue. It is apparent that you care about animal welfare since the laws that protect them are in place, but are violated on a gross scale. The animals that are used for food are profoundly mistreated to the point of criminal abuse. We are simply asking that you give us the right to rescue an animal that is living in inhumane conditions. Conditions so bad that the animals and workers alike are falling ill and suffering greatly. We are asking that you find it in your hearts to demand that the animals that are sacrificing their lives to feed your people are treated as well as the pets of those same people eating the meat. We are asking that you do what is right, humane and ethical.

Thank you for your time.

Sheila Schneider
2602 Sierra Village Ct
San Jose, CA 95132

DIRECT ACTION **DX** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Dxe Activist have found evidence of criminal animal abuse. After countless pleas to officials to enforce the laws on animal abuse that are already in place, with no response activist have began to realize we need to take the matter into our own hands.

When there is evidence of animals of any kind being abused their should and needs to be legal rights protecting those who go into building whom commit abuse and bring its victims into safety. There are several activist facing felony charges simply for attempting to bring justice to these mistreated.

We are asking for the right to rescue, for both the animals and the activist who save them.

Sincerely

Penelope Schneider

1165 Kimberly dr
San Jose CA 95118

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I ask you to support the right to rescue, individuals that participate in these actions are not ill intended, they risk going to jail for being empathic by ~~suffer~~ suffering.

If slaughter houses had crystal walls a lot of people would stop participating (eating them and/or their derivatives).

Give people the rights to ... do the right thing.

Max

Max Saucedo

70/01/2019

89122 Zip Code

Jessica Zepeda
17202 CARROTWOOD DR

Riverside CA 92503
(951) 403-7957

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a resident in California I want you to look ~~in~~ ~~your~~ into your heart and be there and support the animals. The animals need your help and love as they are currently being abused and tortured and given away their rights as objects. Rose is one of the survivors from the Amazon chicken farm in Sonoma County 1 year ago. She was completely deformed preventing her from getting food & water. This is not humane it is violence! So many activists are facing 7-8 felony charges simply for saving & rescuing animals??!!
This is unjust & cruel we need a law to pass to help the animals with the right to rescue animals & to drop the charges of all the activists involved.

- Jessica
Zepeda

DIRECT ACTION ~~DE~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

WE ARE WRITING TO ASK THE BOARD AND CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, AS PROGRESSIVE LEADERS, TO DISALLOW THE PROSECUTION OF NON-VIOLENT ACTIVISTS THAT HAVE BEEN RESCUING ANIMALS AND DOCUMENTING CRIMINAL ANIMAL CRUELTY AT FACTORY FARMS.

WE BELIEVE THAT OUR CITIZENS WANT TO HELP SUFFERING ANIMALS AND PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF EVERYONE TO RESCUE ANIMALS. PLEASE SUPPORT THE RIGHT TO RESCUE.

Sincerely,



SAN JOSE, CA
LEO ASHTON



DYLAN ASHTON 9/10
SAN JOSE CA 0/10

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I hope this letter finds you well.

I am not alone in my concern for animals. Most consumers ~~want~~ ^{went} the assurance that their food is not produced through excessive cruelty. Undercover investigations such as the ones that led activists to farms in Sonoma have made it clear that the system of 3rd party audits have failed consumers and animals. By California code 597c we have a right to rescue animals living in unhumanely cruel conditions. As a trailblazer for the State of California I hope you will denounce the charges against peaceful activists and affirm our right to rescue these sentient beings → Dru Borup SF

Tyler Fasolini

96069 oak Run, CA

DIRECT ACTION **DX** EVERYWHERE


Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, I am asking you to support the right to rescue. Activists are being charged with felonies for rescuing animals that were sick, injured and with out food and water. This is unjust. Animals are sentient beings with emotions, and families and friends just like you and I. I hope you will support the right to rescue and be on the right side of history. If you support this you will be leading a path for animal rights. Thank you for taking the time to read this.

love Joebo

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for all the good things
you have done for animals
and for activists!



Please continue to help
PAVE the way for Justice
for All - Regardless of RACE,
Gender, sexual orientation,
OR species.

Sincerely,
Sam Willis
San Francisco, CA

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I traveled here from Indiana to bring attention to Rose's Law. It is a fact that all animals on these farms suffer immensely when denied food + water.

That should not be a luxury. It should be a basic, fundamental right. Activists are facing felony charges for simply caring + providing these animals with food + water. It is insanity!

I urge you to drop these charges and pass the right to ~~the~~ rescue

Sincerely,

Ainda Cuelpe

11553 Lake Road Ct

Fishers IN 46037

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Brittany Drake, I have grown up in the Bay Area and am currently facing charges in Sonoma County for asking law enforcement to enforce California Penal Code 597 and investigate Reichardt Duck Farm for criminal animal cruelty.

I am asking you and the board to formally recognize the right to rescue animals who are hungry, thirsty, and/or sick or injured.

I have been to several animal farming operations all over this state and no matter how "humane" they claim to be I have found distressed animals in horrific conditions. Animal agriculture in California is using our resources and relying on law enforcement to keep their criminal animal abuse secret while trying to silence ordinary citizens who are concerned for these abused and injured animals.

Please stand with your constituents and keep California progressive and on the right side of history.

Thank you,

Brittany Drake - 950.20

Adi V. Raj

DIRECT ACTION ~~IS~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

To The Board of Supervisors,

Our democracy relies on accountability and openness. The recent events of last week go on to underline the importance of whistleblowers. The folks going inside these farms are nothing but whistleblowers trying to uncover conditions in the farms, the very source of people's food supply and release it to people so that they can have the power to make their own decisions.

The felony charges levied on them and the unresponsive and even bullying attitude of DA and police in Sonoma County clearly indicate they that they are trying to suppress the ~~whistle~~ activist, the very whistleblowers responsible for the fairness of our democracy.

We need to protect our activists by enacting the Right to Rescue using existing legal precedents.

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please help us protect abused animals by supporting the right to rescue and Rose's Law, an animal bill of rights. California has stricter animal cruelty laws such as penal code 597e which are being broken on every single factory farm our investigators have entered. Animals on these filthy farms are so injured, neglected, and abused that many cannot reach or access water and food. Please help us treat and care for these sick individuals whose rights to life and freedom have already been stolen, the least we can do is relieve them of unnecessary pain. San Francisco is a progressive and tolerant city that has no reason to hurt the innocent. Please publicly vocalize your support for cutting off giving food and water to these animals and promoting the right to rescue resolution.

- Daniela Kelling
92128

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Imagine be severely sick, lacking healthcare, food, and water. This is what is happening everyday to millions of animals. According to California Penal Code 597E, these animals can be tended to by an individual. Please ^{recommend} charging these farms where animals are not getting proper care, and therefore criminally abusing ^{animals.} Undercover investigations have proved the criminality taking place in many facilities, but nothing has been done by those in power. Your city has led the way for many of the oppressed and minorities to have rights. Please continue San Francisco's legacy by supporting the right to rescue an animal in need of care, ^{supporting} prosecuting the ones who have been abusing these innocent animals, and ^{supporting the} dropping charges against people who were following the law and taking animals to safety.

Sincerely, Meira Geyser

DIRECT ACTION ~~DX~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of San Diego, CA, I had traveled far by car to involve myself with DXE's movement and to push for an established Animal Bill of Rights. As ~~we~~ non-violent organization ~~go~~ that DXE is, we strongly stand for justice, equality and freedom for both non-human and human animals alike. We strongly urge ~~for~~ an established bill for non-violent activists to in value those beliefs by rescuing without being prosecuted ~~by~~ for the selfless act they commit. Please hear us out and be on the right side of history. Thank you, Brandon, San Diego

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Animals in factory farms are suffering unimaginably horrendous fates, and the activists who try to rescue them are facing legal repression. We would be extraordinarily grateful if the San Francisco Board of Supervisors could add to its sterling legacy of supporting and leading social causes by disavowing the prosecution of peaceful activists. The world will be a much more peaceful and beautiful place when compassion for animals becomes the norm.

Sincerely,

Jon Frohnmayer

Berkeley, California

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of all the animals currently suffering, please support the right to rescue and pass the first ever animal bill of rights.

Thank you!

DIRECT ACTION **DAE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I have been a 911 paramedic for 15 years. I am writing this letter to encourage you to support the right to rescue. Over the years I've seen a great amount of suffering. It has been my privilege to alleviate that suffering as much as has been in my power to do so. Direct Action Everywhere has conducted numerous investigations revealing criminal animal abuse and tremendous suffering on multiple factory farms. As a result, they have taken actions, like any good person would do, to end that suffering. The only difference between what they do, and what I do, is that I get to help persons behind the full comfort and support of the law. Any well intentioned person, who is trying to alleviate pain and suffering should have those same comforts. And as someone who is well acquainted with pain and suffering, I can assure you the animals on these farms are feeling it to a terrible degree. Support the right to rescue, condemn the charges against activists in Sonoma County who are being criminally charged for doing what any good person would do in their place. Christopher Bleicher EATP



DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the right to rescue. The animals that are bred & raised on factory farms are subject to cruel & abusive conditions. They are frequently subjected to lack of food, water & stuck to live in their own filth. If these animals were cats & dogs we would not stand for these conditions & treatment. We would be applauded for saving these animals. All we are asking for is the right to rescue these animals from their suffering. Thank you for listening.

Best,

Olivia Gough
02379

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am asking you to support the right to rescue animals from abusive situations. These animals are in situations that are criminal by California law, and as a living being, they have the right to be protected, and if criminal abuse is committed against them, they should have the right to be rescued, upholding CA law.

Mandy Washburn

02879

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am asking you to support the right to rescue animals from abusive situations. These animals are in situations that are criminal in CA law, and as a living being, they have a right to be protected, and if criminal abuse is committed against them they should have the right to be rescued, upholding CA law

Tyler Devine

02379

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please ~~pass~~ Right to Rescue resolution in San Francisco.

Animals are suffering in commercial facilities ~~with~~.

DxE has investigated such facilities and found animals in horrific conditions. According to California

penal code 597e, animals can be rescued from

starvation and mistreatment. Then why are the activists who rescued animals from horrific conditions, (like without food and water and needed medical care) are being

charged with felonies? and the animal abusing companies are not charged or investigated

Please help us ~~to~~ in helping innocent animals (charged or investigated)
Please support and pass the Right to Rescue resolution in San Francisco.

Madhu Anderson

Zip code - 82901

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I, Zach Molina, write to you to ask you to please pass a resolution to support the Right to Rescue. What is the right to rescue? To simply support those who are helping those you are suffering and need care. Those happen to be animals, but as we already know California already has strict laws against animal cruelty. All we are asking is that we include all animals. As we thrive to evolve and become better human beings and create a better world for generations to come, there is nothing wrong with changing and doing what's right. We can't possibly continue living in a world where money is more important than the life of ANY individual. There are horrible things happening behind the walls of factory farms, the truth is out, and we believe it's time for those who have the power to do what's right. Please pass a resolution for the Right to Rescue. Thank You,
Zach Molina
(91303)

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please pass a Resolution in support of the Right to Rescue. California has laws to protect animals from cruelty and abuse, however activists and organizers from Direct Action Everywhere have investigated, documented, and reported countless cases of heinous animal torture on animal farms and in animal agriculture facilities in California. The authorities have done nothing to help animals instead they are making efforts to criminalize and scare kind-hearted people who are saving animals who are starving or even being cannibalized to death.

We are taught as kids to be kind and to do the right thing - We all believe in that in theory, right? But here we are doing the right thing, out of the goodness of our hearts and with nothing to gain personally, trying to save the lives of innocent beings and we're asking for your support!

Do the right thing! Support the Right to Rescue!

Kyana Jones,
Richmond, CA
94801

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I want to encourage you to support Rose's Law and the Right to Rescue. There are strict laws in California that protect animals in commercial operations, such as penal code 597(e).

This allows people to help animals who do not have adequate access to food and water.

Some of my friends are facing felony charges in Sonoma County for rescuing animals, and I hope you will declare your support for them rather than the animal abusers.

Thank you for hearing us.

Christina Liu

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support ^{enforce} 597E to include farm animals. And also support an Animal Bill of rights. Please condemn

the charges against the animal activists that rescued sick injured animals. ~~and~~ In the meantime,

please change slaughter methods from carbon dioxide to Carbon Monoxide if possible.

Sincerely,

* Carbon Dioxide *
feels like ~~being~~ being put in a microwave + is a horrendous way to die...

Michelle Wash,
~~Board member~~ (MLW) Resident,
California
~~San Francisco~~
SAN FRANCISCO
AREA CODE - 92359

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello my name is Riley Scheetz I am 21 years old and am currently attending Cal Poly Pomona and am writing this today to ask that you support the right to rescue. I have been on farms that call themselves humane, organic, free range, that supply to Whole Foods, and everytime I have seen animals deprived of food, water & basic medical care ~~in~~ I ~~have~~ ^{have} seen babies ^{born} in feces, crushed in crowded conditions, ~~and~~ and needing help. Please do not let our help be criminalized and condemned. Please let us act on peace, love, and compassion.

I am also writing in hopes you ~~use~~ use your position, power, and ~~your~~ privilege to support the animal bill of rights. As soon as ~~the~~ nonhuman animal sentence was ~~made~~ ^{established} as a scientific fact, this should have followed. Thank you for your consideration. May we do our honest best to create a loving peaceful harmony for all!

Respectfully,
Riley ♡

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Nonhuman animals are sentient individuals just like you and I - and they deserve the same respect and protection like everyone else. Support the Right to Rescue and protect animals not corporations.

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Marika Diaz, I am writing you to ask you to support the right to rescue and the Animal Bill of Rights. I've been to farms who despite using labels like "humane", "organic", "free range", keep animals in torturous conditions. Just like anyone else, nonhuman animals deserve to be saved from these situations and given the care that we desperately try to give them.

The law already knows this considering fur bans, cosmetic testing bans, and the myriad of other new laws that protect nonhuman animals. This is being written by Riley but the voice is Marika's. Please get on the right side of history. Thank you.

Respectfully,
Marika

DIRECT ACTION ~~DX~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Six brave activists within DXE's organization are facing FELONY charges for rescuing sick and dying animals from factory farms, which participate in criminal animal cruelty, according to California law. These activists are merely trying to expose the injustice, and protect innocent sentient beings from suffering a fate we wouldn't wish on our worst enemy. Please look into the conditions of factory farms across this state and read the science. More than 2,500 scientific studies show that non-human animals are emotional sentient beings. Please DISAVOW the charges against the six activists that are facing felonies for attempting to educate the public about the pain and suffering animals face, all under a "Humane" label. Be on the right side of History!

Until every animal is free,

Victoria Glavas
San Francisco

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

WE CARE ABOUT ANIMALS.ORG

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

ON BEHALF OF MYSELF & THE ORGANIZATIONS ON THE
HEADER OF THIS LETTER, I URGE THE SAN FRANCISCO
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO SUPPORT THE RIGHT
TO RESCUE FARMED ANIMALS & A BILL OF RIGHTS,
SPECIFICALLY ROSE'S LAW, AIMED AT PROVIDING
ALL NON-HUMAN ANIMALS WITH A BASIC
SET OF RIGHTS TO PROTECT THEM AND
THEIR INTERESTS.

AS A CONSCIENTIOUS CITIZEN OF CALIFORNIA
I CONSIDER THESE TO BE BASIC MEASURES
THAT SAN FRANCISCO CAN LEAD THE REST
OF CALIFORNIA IN IMPLEMENTING TO
BENEFIT OUR FELLOW CREATURES.

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR
CONSIDERATION OF THESE REQUESTS.

SINCERELY,


FOUNDER, WE CARE ABOUT ANIMALS

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am humbly requesting that the ^{felony} charges that six activists are facing be disavowed. Penal code 597e states that a person may enter property where animals are denied food and water in order to provide aid yet this code is not being upheld. All animals in distress deserve to be rescued, whether they're dogs from hot cars or pigs suffering in filth and confinement. San Francisco has been a leader in social justice movements throughout history and was the first major city to pass a fur ban. I ask that you consider supporting the right to rescue and uphold penal code 597e, applying to all animals who need aid.

Sincerely,
Buttany Michel
Los Angeles

October 1, 2019

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the Right to Rescue and an Animal Bill of Rights. Animals deserve basic rights and freedoms as they are sentient beings who feel pain and suffer, who have the same fundamental right to live as the companion animals we welcome into our homes and families. Animals' lives are not for profit and the work that activists are doing to protect these beings from blatant harm and exploitation is necessary. I ask that you support these basic rights that activists are fighting for and to condemn the charges that activists are facing for simply helping these animals and making their voices heard.

Thank you for taking the time to consider supporting the Right to Rescue and an Animal Bill of Rights.

Sincerely, 

Nicolette Symanovitch

94114

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your support of the Right to Rescue resolution. Our activists are facing felony charges just for doing what any reasonable people would do if they saw the profound suffering of animals in cruel factory farms— help them! It is a lot of work to stand up against this cruel animal treatment, and it would mean so much to me for San Francisco to officially support us and encourage other jurisdictions like Sonoma County to drop ~~the~~ charges against activists that expose criminal animal cruelty.

- Alexander Taylor
- 5 yr SF resident

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing you today to urge you to please support activists and their legal right to rescue. The California penal code §97e states that any animal is legally allowed to be helped if they are enduring criminal animal cruelty. We have a legal right to provide aid to these animals, even if they are located inside of industrial animal agriculture facilities. Many of my friends have been arrested and are facing potentially devastating charges for rescuing animals even though the law is clear. We have tried and exhausted all other legal avenues and these rescues have been a last resort. Please endorse the right to rescue and help enforce the laws that already protect these animals. We are all counting on you to do the right thing. Please.

With the utmost respect,

Sarah Wheatley

Orange County, CA
92683

DIRECT ACTION **DAE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

WE ASK THAT YOU VOTE TO EXTEND THE SAME
EMPATHY AND RESPECT WITH FARMED ANIMALS THAT
WE DO WITH COMPANION ANIMALS. EVERY BEING
HAS THE RIGHT TO BE RESCUED FROM SUFFERING. PIGS AND
CHICKENS JUST AS MUCH AS DOGS & CATS.

THANK YOU

-MICO

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the right to rescue & endorse the Animal Bill of Rights because every animal on our planet deserves the right to live a life free of harm & exploitation. Penal code 597e gives us the right to rescue animals who are deprived of fundamental rights to food & water. Just like we can break a strangers window to save a dog or cat from heat stroke we should be able to save a chicken, pig, cow, turkey & rabbit & all other sentient beings from similar circumstances without being penalized. Thank you for your time & we hope you hear us & stand with us to change the world forever.

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My Name is Calleen Cameron. I am a citizen very concerned about animal rights and the right to rescue.

After going into a factory farm myself and witnessing the death and suffering, it seemed obvious to me that the birds I was looking at needed immediate net care and rescuing, just as a dog in a hot car.

It was very hot, the stench was unbelievable and the birds languishing in their own feces was inexcusable criminal animal abuse. 911 was called to report the abuse. Rescuers including myself were arrested in Sonoma County. Under 597E we should have been able to take these birds out of the hell they were in. I urge you to please recognize "Roses Law" and the right to rescue. Calleen Cameron

DIRECT ACTION ~~DC~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am a senior at UC Berkeley. ~~studying political economics~~ I study political economics because I believe we as a society must strive to combat inequalities and make a fair world for everyone — and it devastates me that consistently, animals are left out of the equation.

Animals deserve better. That is why despite my dreams of law school, I have willingly been arrested three times for trying to help animals. I feel compelled because as we study tax policy in my classes, there are billions of animals right now being tortured in factory farms — and the government does nothing about it.

Please do the right thing. Support the Right to Rescue and the Animal Bill of Rights.

Sincerely,

Dean Wyrzykowski

DIRECT ACTION **DxE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

In the state of California, there are factory farms where millions of animals are subjected to harsh and un-imaginable cruelty. These sentient and intelligent beings are harmed, brutalized, and live their lives in misery. California has strict animal cruelty laws to protect animals in commercial operations. These laws are being violated everyday in the name of profit. DxE has investigated commercial operations and reported animal cruelty law violations. Instead of taking action against commercial entities, activist who expose cruelty and attempt to uphold animal cruelty laws are being prosecuted. This is not justice!

California has always been a leader in social change. And San Francisco in particular is a large part of that legacy. That is why I am asking the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to support the right to rescue.

Sincerely,

Marcus Leeper

DIRECT ACTION *DA* EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

It is long time that human beings give farmed animals a chance to love and be happy. In this great era of cellular technological innovation. We need gain focus and achieve a better goal than those accomplished only for human health, but also planetary health.

Please consider the long term purpose of animals, respect that animals are of benevolent value and must be cared for and respected. Please allow the basic essential laws developed by human history. Allow, animals to have families, to be represented, in respect to human values. And please allow them the assurance that humanity through all, has held onto a promise. By utilizing our remaining hope and optimism. Human efforts through care, courage, and compassion, we can help animals to become part of a better living and breathing planet, please support Rose's law, The Right to Rescue, and an Animal Bill of Rights;

For the future, for our hearts and minds,
and for the animals great and small,
Thank you,

Noah Gresham-Lancaster

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, please support the right to rescue animals. This support will help the animals get rescued at a larger scale, and for the activists to not face charges or so many charges for rescuing them. Animals deserve to be happy, safe and free and your support of this animal bill of rights will help so much!

Thanks!

Masha

DIRECT ACTION **DC** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

SF + Bay Area residents are being prosecuted for simple acts of compassion.

We are asking you to support the rescuers + condemn the animal abusers!

Thank you!

Wayne Hsiung

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please look at ^{Penal Code} 597-E and make it legally clear that it involves the right to rescue all animals, not just our beloved pets. All sentient beings on this planet deserve the right to live without fear and to have the freedom to simply live their. Speciesism is the root of all oppression in our world. Please take this step to get us that much closer to eradicating all oppression in our world. Listen to your heart, listen to your gut.

Many

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Wendy, and I am writing to ask that the SF Board of Supervisors support the Right to Rescue. This means that you support citizens who wish to rescue animals who are sick and/or injured due to criminal animal abuse, even if these animals are intended for consumer consumption. California has strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations but they are being violated. DXE has investigated commercial operations and reported animal cruelty law violations, and officials took no action. We need compassionate leadership in SF and beyond, and so I respectfully ask that the Board support the Right to Rescue.

Sincerely,
Wendy Bramble

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco has always been at the forefront of social justice issues. Currently California has strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations but they are being violated.

I am writing to urge you to support the #RightToRescue which allows investigators to remove animals from abusive situations without fears of prosecution.

Thank you for your time and understanding.

Jennifer Wong
San Francisco, CA

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

SAN FRANCISCO is the most progressive City in the world! We shine the light and the world follows!

Let us share our freedom and happiness we have in this great city into the world of animals!

We need the RIGHT TO RESCUE our fellow sentient beings from cruelty and abuse!

Please Support the Animal Rescuers!

— SANJEEV DESHPANDE

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Samantha Eachus and I am a resident of Sonoma County. On behalf of other residents I am writing this letter to implore you to support the Right To Rescue.

The people and animals of Sonoma are seeking justice for the criminal abuse that was discovered there. Whistleblowers have been charged with multiple felonies for exposing the flagrant disregard of California law. By upholding the Right To Rescue abusers and law-breakers will be held to the standard California has set for them. Setting this example for Sonoma will encourage law enforcement and the public to consider abuse of animals as the crime California has legislated it to be.

Samantha Eachus
Santa Rosa 95401

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

California has strict laws about animal cruelty, specifically penal code 597e, which are not being enforced.

Please support an animal bill of rights.

Thank you

Christopher Ro

94704

P.S. Thank you for banning fur and continuing to make California a leader in environmental, human, and animals rights.

DIRECT ACTION **DX** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Animals are sentient beings, just like the ones we have in our homes (such as cats, dogs) all of them have feelings, they suffer, they value their lives.

As an organizer with DXE, we investigated commercial operations, reported animal cruelty violations, and officials took no action.

We are fortunate enough to live in California, a progressive state like the city of SF, that has strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations, but they are being violated.

We love animals, respect their right to live happy, safe, free. Please support the right to rescue, and prosecute the animal abusers not the animal rescuers.

Best,

EMER BUCHO

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I recently moved to Berkeley after falling in love with the Bay and the compassionate, forward-looking people who call it home. We all desire peace in our heart, and California's laws work to build a community where no one suffers at the hand of abuse or violence. Penal Code 597 protects non-human individuals from harm such as neglect, withholding medical attention and cruelty, but those who are responsible are not being charged. This means citizens are forced to take action by peacefully rescuing these animals to provide care for them under the law. Please help us by supporting our legal right and moral obligation to help others in need by supporting the right to rescue.

Thank you!

Raven
Deerbrook
04703



DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Frances Schoen and I reside in zip code 18901. I am asking that California Code 597 be properly respected and acknowledged. I personally have investigated farms where I witnessed this Code being violated. Direct Action Everywhere has also explored numerous farms where innocent animals were being denied food, water, and much needed medical attention. 597 E gives citizens the right to rescue animals from impoundment if they are being denied food, water, or medical attention. We are legally allowed to remove suffering animals from the premises to offer necessities and medical attention. However, we are facing extenuating legal charges for doing this. I am asking that you honor our right to rescue. To deny so is to infringe on our legal rights. Farm animals are suffering unnecessarily. The law states that we can save these beings. California is known as a progressive leader. Protecting our right to rescue would set a leading example for this movement. Please join us on the right side of history.

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Sarah Yakubek
Austin, TX 78759

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in the support of The right to rescue.

I've recently moved from Austin, Texas to the Bay area and have been inspired by the leadership and innovation in this area.

~~They~~ ^{You} have led movements in selling crocodile and alligator skins, in the fur ban, and the banning of captivity of whales and dolphins. This is the next step, the right to rescue. I urge you to consider this as a right that is in alignment with many other current animal welfare laws, such as statute 5978.

We are legally allowed to rescue a dog in a hot car. Isn't it time to extend this right to other animals in abusive situations too?

Be a leader, Leave a positive legacy,
support the right to rescue.
— Sarah Yakubek

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

10-1-19

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you support the right to rescue animals from factory farms that are sick or injured. California Penal Code 597c states that people have the legal right to remove and give medical care to animals that are sick or suffering.

Many people who support these rights have been arrested and charged with felonies. We ask that in view of the fact that what they did was permitted by law, the charges be dropped.

Sincerely,

Bob 

Bob ~~Anteaga~~
Anteaga

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for taking the time to read this. An Animal Bill of Rights and the right to rescue an endangered animal is very personal to me that I feel very compassionate about. I volunteer at several animal sanctuaries where animals that once lived their life in constant stress and fear have now received veterinary care and love from their caretaker and now live a happy life feeling safe and secure from their past path of life that would've been suffering. We all love animals and don't want them to hurt. Animal Bill of Rights is the humane path to living in a world of love and compassion towards all fellow earthlings.

Sincerely,

Trevor Bellacomo
Salt Lake City, UT 84119

DIRECT ACTION ~~IS~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Efrén Blitz and I am a resident of Kensington, CA. I urge you to support fellow activists that are facing felony charges for saving lives. What they did was nothing short of a noble act, because the animals they saved are sentient, breathing, feeling beings just like we are. Animals are not inanimate objects or property, which is how these corporations are treating them. The last time we viewed beings as property ~~was~~ was during slavery times. Please support the right to rescue!

Sincerely,

- Efrén Blitz

94708

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

AS you know California takes pride in our laws that protect animals and keep their welfare in mind. we love to think that ALL our animals are cared for and treated humanely. Unfortunately, farms in Sonoma, Petaluma etc. are violating these laws and making California look like liars when it comes to our care to animals. My friends have been onto these farms that are labeled as "humane" and have found animals not being able to stand up, stuck in their own feces, burning alive from ammonia and on the brink of death. My friends ~~rescued~~ ^{rescued} these suffering animals and were going to bring them to see a veterinarian to get them the care they deserve and bring them to a sanctuary where they can ~~have~~ the rest of their lives in peace. Instead, these animals were grabbed from their arms, sent to animal control and were killed. My friends were arrested on felony charges for trying to rescue sick, suffering animals. Please ~~support~~ ^{SUPPORT} the right to rescue sick, suffering, dying animals from farms that are violating California's animal protection laws. Charge the animal abusers NOT the people trying to save them.

Thank you,

Jenna Lynn Sinkinson

Leslie Goldberg
94530

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support protection for animals. California has strong laws supporting the Right to Rescue. 597-E allows citizens to rescue animals when they are trapped without access to food, water, or veterinary care. Our group has documented extremely cruel conditions for animals raised for food in Sonoma County. Over & over we have reported to authorities these violations & they did nothing so we rescued injured & sick animals ourselves. & the authorities, instead of investigating the conditions, arrested us. Six activists have been charged with multiple felonies. This is an atrocity - again. Please support RD to rescue -

Thank you,
Leslie Goldberg

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please Show that you care About protecting
Animals from being tortured. Please Support the right
to rescue beings who are being Abused. Please Show Support
for those who are whistleblowers and risk their freedom to defend
the defenseless. Thank you for Showing your integrity and
Setting an example for the world!

Sincerely,
Doug Fuller
94707

DIRECT ACTION ~~DC~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to you as a concerned citizen who deeply cares about animals and the environment as well as the health and well-being of my fellow human beings. I ~~do~~ strongly believe that there should be transparency when it comes to the treatment of animals and that animal rights and other activists who expose abuse and health concerns should not be prosecuted. There cannot be transparency if whistleblowers are punished for bringing forth ~~to~~ violations in animal treatment. It is also not consistent with the values of this city to seek punishment for rescuing animals from situations of abuse. Please support the right to rescue and an animal bill of rights.

Karla Reyes
87659

October 1, 2019

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I ask that you support the right to rescue and condemn charges brought against activists for providing care to sick and injured birds. Most everyone is opposed to animal cruelty and would act to aid a suffering animal. Your public support of the right to rescue is directly in line with San Francisco's progressive, inclusive values.

Thank you for being leaders toward a better world.

Best Regards,

Amy Rush

95028

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is David Alcalay. I'm a college student and Animal Rights activist and advocate here in the San Francisco Bay Area. If you are not already aware, I want you to know about California Penal Code 597(c). This code gives people ~~powerful~~ legal protection to protect and render assistance to nonhuman animals suffering from cruelty and abuse.

Direct Action Everywhere (DxE) has investigated multiple commercial operations, found, and reported criminal animal cruelty in violation of both legal and basic moral codes. However, officials have taken NO action. Instead, multiple charges including over 16 felonies, far actions as simple as attempting to provide veterinary care to suffering animals who's lives were gravely at risk. This is wrong. As a society, and as a human race, we are better than this.

DxE is campaigning across the globe in unified efforts to support an Animal Bill of Rights that will fundamentally protect animals from unjust and immoral harm, including the right to be rescued from neglect and abuse. San Francisco has a historic record of being on the forefront of civil rights movements. By passing a resolution supporting the Animal Bill of Rights and condemning the legal action taken against the animal rights advocates would allow San Francisco to continue this heroic legacy. It is imperative we take this action immediately.

Thank you so much for taking the time to hear our voice.

Signed,

David Alcalay

19... 11...

DIRECT ACTION ~~DC~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Catherine Stefani,

As one of your constituents I strongly urge you to support the Right to Rescue.

Whistleblowers need protection, especially in this political climate.

Deeper than that, ~~for me~~, I believe we need to take a deeper look into our treatment of others, especially those whom we have enslaved.

Thank you,
Greg Keydel
27 Emerson street
San Francisco, CA 94118
By ~~Keydel~~

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing because I know you are likely morally (or at least emotionally) appalled by animal cruelty. I'm sure you're cognizant that animals feel pain and seek out experiences that give them joy.

This is why I implore you, ~~and~~ please enforce the laws that exist in California that protect animals from cruel and inhumane treatment. Please hold corporations like Amazon accountable for the suffering they inflict on innocent animals, rather than penalizing the altruistic activists bringing this issue to light.

We also ask that you support the Right to Rescue to ensure that caregivers of animals are incentivized to make sure they live lives that are happy and safe.

Thank you so much for your time and consideration.

Warmest,

Lily Lu-Lerner

DIRECT ACTION ~~DE~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

~~I TRUST YOU TO~~ I TRUST THAT YOU WISH FOR ALL THE SAME RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS OF ALL SENTIENT BEINGS, WHO HAVE THE SAME CAPACITY TO LOVE, SUFFER AND HAVE AN UNASSAILABLE WILL TO LIVE, EVEN UNDER THE MOST CRUEL & UNJUST CIRCUMSTANCES. BY PASSING THE FUR BAN IN SF, CASCADING A SWIFT DOMINO EFFECT ACROSS THE NATION, WE ~~HOPE~~ HOPE YOU AGREE THE NEXT PROGRESSION IS TO GRANT ALL NON-HUMAN ANIMALS THE RIGHTS TO THEIR WILL, EXISTENCE, SAFETY & AUTONOMY.

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask you all to support the right to rescue animals in distress or in need of urgent care. It is no different than rescuing a dog in a hot car.

Dxe has investigated numerous farms and each and every time they have witnessed criminal animal abuse, that officials do NOTHING about. It is time for something to be done. Animals need our help and by supporting this, you are answering their call.

Scott Dillworth

Minnesota

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you all support the Right to Rescue. The Berkeley Committee of Peace and Justice has recently voiced its support and will vote this October on a resolution. I would love it if San Francisco would support a similar resolution and voice support in favor of the activists facing felonies for rescuing animals in need from Sonoma County.

As you already know CA Penal Code 597e and CA Penal Code 597.7 already exist to protect animals from commercial facilities and pets in danger. Respecting you would think that because of these two existing laws, the right to rescue would not be challenged, but it is. Even with documented proof from DxE and other organizations, officials have failed to act. I am asking as a life long Californian who is so proud of her home that you help set the standard in the United States and uphold consistency.

Thank You for your time.

Sincerely,

Sangeeta Hegde Leeper



AKI ANT

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Whenever people with the responsibility to serve and protect others don't act on that responsibility, injustice wins.

Will you act?

What will your legacy be?

thank you,

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

To whom it may concern.

This letter is to address the right to rescue and support for an Animal Bill of Rights. Activist have uncovered criminal animal cruelty in our states "humane farms". They are facing multiple felony charges in Sonoma County for these actions. Please support a Right to Rescue and support these activist by disagreeing with Sonoma County and their unjust pursuit of law abiding citizens.

Thank You.

Jesus Dinez

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing because six animal activists - kind, compassionate, intelligent people - are being charged with felonies because they saved animals ~~to~~ from extreme cruelty. California has strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations (such as the one these activists rescued animals from) - but these laws are being violated as innocent, helpless animals are being abused horrifically every day. These activists investigated many of these commercial operations, reported animal cruelty law violations, but officials have continuously not taken action. We are calling for the right to rescue. Please help us support this bill that makes it lawful for these activists to save animals from these extreme conditions - because under CA's current animal cruelty laws, it already should be. Thank you,

Colette Bartel

Colette Bartel

Los Angeles, CA

90007

(909-582-8058)

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

Oct 1, 2019

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing because California has some of the most strict animal cruelty laws that protect animals in commercial operations, but they are consistently being violated. This is the reason that activists must take it upon themselves to rescue these animals from harm, when all other options have been exhausted. I am hoping you will follow Berkeley's lead in supporting the 6 peaceful activists that are facing felony charges, and hundreds of others that are facing misdemeanors, simply for rescuing animals. The right to rescue is the first step in achieving an animal Bill of Rights, and is vital to ensuring that California is upholding the Animal Cruelty laws that are already in place. AS so many undercover videos and footage have clearly documented the horrible conditions these animals are living in, and these incidents/~~have~~ violations have been reported — industry/corporations/companies have done nothing in response. The right to rescue is necessary in these situations, when all other options have been exhausted. Thank you for your support and forward-thinking in this matter.

Sincerely, Laura Jeffries

DIRECT ACTION **DxE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to ask you to support the Right to Rescue. Currently, animals in commercial operations are being subjected to extreme cruelty under harsh conditions.

Members of DxE have investigated commercial operations in Sonoma County and are now being charged with 7-8 counts of felonies. Please drop those charges and support the Right to Rescue.


Sincerely,

Joshua Bellah
San Diego, CA

DIRECT ACTION **DXE** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please support the right to rescue and take action against animal cruelty. Please also disavow the prosecution of DXE activists arrested for rescuing animals in Sonoma County and for exposing animal cruelty on farms.



Craig Wescoe

DIRECT ACTION EVERYWHERE

10/01/19

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are all at such a critical time in history, where our governing bodies choices and voices will determine the future of our species, as all science now agrees. Commercial Animal Operations are the leading cause of the Climate Emergency by far and it is due to the nature in which they treat the animals and their waste en masse and we are in a position of moral obligation to end them. One way we go about educating the world is by recording the conditions within them so you see what you otherwise would never know, and also by taking the sickest among them out, to give them proper veterinary care and a place to get well and have a chance at life. We are telling their stories and we ask you now to be the leaders of the world you are to support the right to rescue. As California so, so goes the world. Together we will turn this

around, and create a world that works for everyone.
No one left out, human and non-humans alike.

This is a great time to be in your shoes.

Be on the right side of history, of justice,
and thank you in advance for your strong
support of the right to Rescue.

Kindly & Warmly yours,

Tiffany Worthington

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to encourage you to support the Right to Rescue. California has strict animal cruelty laws, like Penal Code 597, which makes animal use & abuse illegal. 597E permits anyone who is aware of animal abuse to enter the location which they are held and to provide them the care they deserve. Penal code 597 also states that animal abuse is ~~is~~ chargeable with a misdemeanor or a felony, so why are those who are rescuing these animals & raising awareness of their plight being charged with felonies & facing decades in prison? I am ~~am~~ one of those who is facing potential felony charges and I do not believe it is fair that myself or anyone else be punished for doing the right thing. We sent footage to the governor & attorney general of this animal abuse before taking further action. Nearly 2 dozen of us marched 150 miles from San Francisco city hall to the state capitol in Sacramento to ask the

attorney general to support the
Right to Rescue. We are dedicated
& we will not stop until there is
justice for all.

Please support the
Right to Rescue.

Thank you,
Brianna Martellozzo
Berkeley, CA Residence

DIRECT ACTION ~~IN~~ EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are asking you to speak loud as you've always been on sentiment being states to consider all animals are equal and drop the charges of 6 activists who have risked their freedom to speak for animals who cannot speak for themselves, we are asking for a world where every animal is happy safe and free and to allow the right to resolve as most obeying citizens will break a car door to save a dog, we are asking the same for farm animals, more than 2500 combined studies scientific have stated that animals are emotional. Sentiment being please drop the

charges of the 6 activist charged
with fealties of compassion towards
animals.

We are asking for the charges
to be dropped and released!

Daphne
Variswerl

DIRECT ACTION **DA** EVERYWHERE

Dear Mayor Breed and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to you as a mother and a teacher. I teach my students about justice and fairness and they believe our government and systems are set up to protect and ensure justice and fairness. So, it breaks my heart to show them all the ways we've failed in the past. But, what is so much worse is showing them all the ways we haven't learned from our mistakes and keep repeating the same injustice, but in different contexts or to different oppressed groups.

It is horrifying to tell my students that we have already put the work into making animal cruelty laws, but we don't even bother to enforce them if there is any money involved. I have to tell my students that laws don't mean anything and money means everything. That leaves them with the option of giving up and accepting injustice, or using the injustice to motivate them to create a better world. I am so lucky to have some friends who chose the latter option. They had the heart and

the bravery to do what we all know is right. They did the very least of what we would all expect if we were the victims. I want my students to believe that doing what's right is always more important than money, inconvenience, or fitting in. They should not live in a world where doing the right thing can completely destroy their lives, especially when we, as citizens, can change that and protect them. Please take one step toward creating the world our children deserve by supporting the right to rescue.

As a mother, I feel so grateful to have a son I adore and get to see every day. In animal agriculture, I see nonstop separation of mothers and tiny, newborn babies. This should never happen. All mothers love their babies, regardless of species. No one should be stripped of the right to breastfeed their baby and show them they are loved, simply for the selfish desires of the rich and privileged. For moms and babies everywhere, please consider individually supporting an Animal Bill of Rights.

Thank you!
Sarah Hewson