



**City and County of San Francisco**  
 San Francisco Public Works - Bureau of Street Use and Mapping  
 49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 300 - San Francisco, CA 94103  
 sfpublishworks.org - tel (628) 271-2000



## TENTATIVE MAP DECISION

Date: September 11, 2020

Department of City Planning  
 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400  
 San Francisco, CA 94103

<b>Project ID:</b> 10720			
<b>Project Type:</b> 3 Residential and 3 Commercial mixed use New Condominiums units			
<b>Address#</b>	<b>StreetName</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Lot</b>
1244	LARKIN ST	0278	010
<b>Tentative Map Referral</b>			

Attention: Mr. Corey Teague.

Please review\* and respond to this referral within 30 days in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.

(\*In the course of review by City agencies, any discovered items of concern should be brought to the attention of Public Works for consideration.)

Sincerely,

Adrian VerHagen Digitally signed by Adrian VerHagen  
Date: 2020.09.11 12:14:49 -07'00'

for, Bruce R. Storrs, P.L.S.  
 City and County Surveyor

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code. On balance, the Tentative Map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings. The subject referral is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review as categorically exempt Class 1 and 3, CEQA Determination Date 02/14/2017, based on the attached checklist.

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code subject to the attached conditions.

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does not comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code due to the following reason(s):

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Signed Andrew W. Perry Digitally signed by Andrew W. Perry  
Date: 2020.10.28 17:20:37 -07'00'

Date 10/28/2020

Planner's Name Andrew Perry  
 for, Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
1244 Larkin Street		0278/010	
Case No.	Permit No.	Plans Dated	
2015-015278ENV		4/7/16	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Addition/ Alteration	<input type="checkbox"/> Demolition (requires HRER if over 45 years old)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Construction	<input type="checkbox"/> Project Modification <b>(GO TO STEP 7)</b>
Project description for Planning Department approval.			
Two-story addition to existing two-story building containing three residences, medical office use on the second floor, and eight vehicle parking spaces. Add three residences, 575 sf of ground-floor retail, and a roof deck.			

### STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

<b>*Note: If neither class applies, an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> is required.*</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Class 1 – Existing Facilities.</b> Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures.</b> Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions, .; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Class__

### STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

<b>If any box is checked below, an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> is required.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? <i>Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Hazardous Materials:</b> If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. <i>Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the</i>

	<i>Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; Maher layer).</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Archeological Resources:</b> Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Slope = or &gt; 20%:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Seismic: Landslide Zone:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Seismic: Liquefaction Zone:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.</b>
<b>If no boxes are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an <u>Environmental Evaluation Application</u> is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.</b>
<b>Comments and Planner Signature (optional):</b> Jean Poling No significant shadow effects. <div style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">           Digitally signed by Jean Poling            DN: cn=jean.poling, o=San Francisco Planning Department, ou=City Planning, ou=Environmental Planning, ou=Jean Poling, email=jean.poling@sfdph.org            Date: 2016.07.22 12:00:51 -0700         </div>	

**STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER**

<b>PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:</b> (refer to Parcel Information Map)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Category A:</b> Known Historical Resource. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Category B:</b> Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). <b>GO TO STEP 4.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Category C:</b> Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>

**STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER**

<b>Check all that apply to the project.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <b>Change of use and new construction.</b> Tenant improvements not included.
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <b>Regular maintenance or repair</b> to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <b>Deck, terrace construction, or fences</b> not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .
<input type="checkbox"/>	8. <b>Addition(s)</b> that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.
<b>Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project is not listed. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project <b>does not conform</b> to the scopes of work. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project involves <b>four or more</b> work descriptions. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Project involves <b>less than four</b> work descriptions. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>

**STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER**

<b>Check all that apply to the project.</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <b>Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <b>Façade/storefront alterations</b> that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. <b>Addition(s)</b> , including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> .
<input type="checkbox"/>	8. <b>Other work consistent</b> with the <i>Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties</i> (specify or add comments):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>9. <b>Other work</b> that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):</p> <p>(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator) _____</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>10. <b>Reclassification of property status.</b> (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reclassify to Category A      <input type="checkbox"/> Reclassify to Category C</p> <p>a. Per HRER dated: _____ (attach HRER)</p> <p>b. Other (specify): _____</p>
<p><b>Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.</b></p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Further environmental review required.</b> Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b></p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Project can proceed with categorical exemption review.</b> The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b></p>
<p><b>Comments (optional):</b> See HRER, Part 2, Compatibility Analysis for Compliance with Secretary of the Interior's Standards (dated 02/03/2017)</p>	
<p><b>Preservation Planner Signature:</b> Marcelle Boudreaux</p> <p style="font-size: small; text-align: right;">Digitally signed by Marcelle Boudreaux DN: cn=org, dc=sfgov, dc=cityplanning, ou=CityPlanning, ou=Current Planning, cn=Marcelle Boudreaux, email=marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org Date: 2017.02.14 15:19:08 -0800</p>	

**STEP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Further environmental review required.</b> Proposed project does not meet scopes of work in either (check all that apply):</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Step 2 – CEQA Impacts</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review</p> <p><b>STOP! Must file an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i>.</b></p>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA.</b></p>	
	<p><b>Planner Name:</b> Andrew Perry</p> <hr/> <p><b>Project Approval Action:</b></p> <p>Building Permit</p> <p>If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.</p>	<p><b>Signature:</b></p> <p style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">Andrew W. Perry</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Digitally signed by Andrew W. Perry DN: dc=org, dc=sfgov, dc=cityplanning, ou=CityPlanning, ou=Current Planning, cn=Andrew W. Perry, email=Andrew.Perry@sfgov.org Date: 2017.02.14 15:27:08 -08'00'</p>
<p>Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.</p> <p>In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.</p>		

**STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER**

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

**PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)
Case No.	Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.
Plans Dated	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action
Modified Project Description:		

**DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION**

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;
<input type="checkbox"/>	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;
<input type="checkbox"/>	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?
<input type="checkbox"/>	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?
<b>If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.</b>	

**DATEX FORM**

**DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION**

<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.	
<b>Planner Name:</b>	<b>Signature or Stamp:</b>



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

## Historic Resource Evaluation Response PART II ANALYSIS

Reception:  
415.558.6378

*Project Address:* 1244 Larkin Street  
*Block/Lot:* 3632/024  
*Case No.:* 2015-015278ENV

Fax:  
415.558.6409

*Preservation Planner:* Marcelle Boudreaux  
(415) 575-9140  
[marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org](mailto:marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org)

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

*Date of Review:* February 3, 2017 (Part II)

### PART II: PROJECT EVALUATION

Proposed Project  Demolition  Alteration

Per Drawings Dated: 11/17/16

#### Project Description

The proposal is to add two floors, incorporating three residential units, to an existing two-floor commercial building. The proposed third floor is setback approximately 12 feet from the existing front building wall; a third floor roof deck is proposed facing Larkin Street, with railing defined by metal cable rail guardrail no taller than 42 inches. The fourth floor is setback approximately 33 feet 1 inch from the existing front building wall; a fourth floor roof deck is proposed facing Larkin Street, with railing defined by metal cable rail guardrail no taller than 42 inches. An elevator penthouse at the fourth floor would be setback approximately 16 feet 10 inches from the existing front building wall, however, would be no taller in height than 42 inches. The roof of the fourth floor is occupied with: a 310 square foot roof deck with 42" high metal cable guardrail; mechanical equipment with 42 inch screening; and a stair penthouse (7 feet 11 inches tall) setback approximately 42 feet from the existing front building wall. Exterior cladding at the proposed new addition is proposed as a light-colored brick in horizontal running bond pattern. Simple fenestration is proposed as ganged one-over-one sash wood windows and sliding glazed door aluminum and wood systems in large rectilinear rough openings. The only modification proposed for the existing structure includes incorporating painted metal air intake louvers at the existing housing above the existing garage door at the south of the façade, and a secondary pedestrian door, at the north of the façade. No other modifications are planned to the façade of the existing two-story commercial building under this permit.

Draft: January 20, 2017

1244 Larkin Street

**Project Evaluation**

*If the property has been determined to be a historical resource in Part I, please check whether the proposed project would materially impair the resource and identify any modifications to the proposed project that may reduce or avoid impacts.*

The property is historically known as the Cantrell Miller Manufacturing Company building, built circa 1911. It was determined individually eligible for listing in the National Register through survey evaluation, identified during the Unreinforced Masonry Building (UMB) Architectural Survey in 1990.

"This two-story, small area industrial building is divided into five irregular bays with a multi-pane steel casement window, two entry doorways and two altered garage doors on the ground floor. The upper story contains irregularly placed two-over-two sash with radiating voussoirs with a keystone detailed in brick. Six flat doric columns of brick define the building's components. A belt course separate the first and second levels of the building, and a heavy cornice line with egg and dart molding and an arched parapet over the second or fourth bay is evident."

**Subject Property/Historic Resource:**

The project will not cause a significant adverse impact to the historic resource as proposed.

The project will cause a significant adverse impact to the historic resource as proposed.

**California Register-eligible Historic District or Context:**

The project will not cause a significant adverse impact to a California Register-eligible historic district or context as proposed.

The project will cause a significant adverse impact to a California Register-eligible historic district or context as proposed.

As proposed, the project does conform to the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* (Secretary's Standards).

Standard No. 1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

*The project is currently used as commercial at the first and second floor and proposes to continue this use at these floors, therefore conforms with Standard No. 1. The new residential use is proposed at the new floors three and four. The proposed addition of residential uses is consistent with surrounding uses, specifically mixed use buildings with ground floor retail and residential uses above.*

Standard No. 2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

*The massing, proportion, materials and details are being retained and preserved. No character-defining features are proposed for removal. The vertical addition is setback approximately, at minimum, 12 feet from*

*the existing front building wall which creates a spatial separation between the existing two-story mass and the new floors. The project does conform to Standard No. 1.*

Standard No. 3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

*The project does conform to Standard No. 3. The addition is designed in proportions and details and specifies materials that are compatible with the existing resource, but do not add conjectural features. Simple details are proposed, such as a horizontal running bond pattern for the brick, and flat parapet. Glazed door systems are proposed in rough openings approximating the historic garage door openings, and simple ganged one-over-one sash wood windows complete the fenestration pattern.*

Standard No. 4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.

*No changes were documented that have acquired historic significance to the property. This Standard is not applicable.*

Standard No. 5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.

*The project does conform to Standard No. 5. The distinctive materials, finishes, features or examples of craftsmanship that characterize the two-story commercial structure will be preserved. The addition of air intake louvers are proposed in openings and fixtures that have been previously modified, and do not represent distinctive features, finishes or construction techniques that define the character of the property.*

Standard No. 6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

*The scope of work does not include repair or replacement of existing features. This Standard is not applicable.*

Standard No. 7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.

*The scope of work does not include any chemical or physical treatments. This Standard is not applicable.*

Standard No. 8. Archeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.

*There are no known archaeological resources at the site. This Standard is not applicable.*

Standard No. 9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

*The new addition is compatible with the existing resource. Clad in a light-colored brick, the new addition is distinguished from the existing red brick as a contemporary insertion. From the street, the third floor (setback approximately 12 feet) is minimally visible. The parapet level of the fourth floor is setback over 33 feet, yet is barely perceptible as a separate level and is legible as an extension of the third floor. The new work will protect the integrity of the property and the site, and does conform with Standard No. 9.*

Standard No. 10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

*The addition of two new residential floors, with minimum 12 foot setbacks from the existing front building wall, would help preserve the essential form and integrity of the historic property and site. If the vertical two-story addition were removed in the future, the existing two-story building envelope would be unimpaired. Therefore, the project does conform to Standard No. 10.*

**PART II: SENIOR PRESERVATION PLANNER REVIEW**

Signature:   
Tina Tam, Senior Preservation Planner

Date: 2-3-2017

cc: Virnaliza Byrd, Environmental Division/ Historic Resource Impact Review File  
Andrew Perry, Current Planner Northeast Quadrant

**O N D A R O S A**

---

129 Jasper Place  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
415.362.7441 Phone/Fax

Architecture  
Urban Design  
Space Planning  
Interior Design

**SUPPLEMENTAL HISTORIC INFORMATION**  
**FOR 1244 LARKIN ST**  
**SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109**  
**LOT 010 BLOCK 0278**  
**AUGUST 30, 2015**  
**14 PAGES**

