

FILE NO. 240697

Petitions and Communications received from June 13, 2024, through June 20, 2024, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 25, 2024.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 12.200, making an appointment to the following body. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- Appointment to the Health Service Board
 - Dr. Fiona Wilson - term ending May 15, 2025

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) and the Recreation and Park Department (RPD), pursuant to Charter, Section 6.13(c)(2), submitting the Great Highway Pilot Visitation and Traffic Data Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the San Francisco Arts Commission (SFAC), submitting the Civic Design Review Committee meeting agenda for June 17, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the State Board of Equalization, submitting a notice for a 2024 Taxpayers' Bill of Rights Hearing on August 27, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Department of Public Health (DPH), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Form. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, submitting a Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations pertaining to Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the California Highway Patrol, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 25180.7, submitting a notice of Hazardous Materials Incident Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Peter Mandell, regarding sideshows. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding transit. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Sutter Health California Pacific Medical Center (CPMC), pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 1255.25, submitting a Notice of Intended Service Closure. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From L. Peterson, regarding homelessness. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Chris Ward Kline, regarding surveillance technology. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Moorhouse College, regarding the proposed Resolution adding the commemorative street name "Dr. Howard Thurman Way" to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman's legacy in San Francisco. File No. 240213. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects by authorizing, but not requiring, the Municipal Transportation Agency and the Department of Public Works to expedite contracts by waiving application of the Environment Code and select provisions in other Codes relating to competitive bidding, equal benefits, and other requirements, for construction work and professional and other services relating to Vision Zero projects, for a period of three years. File No. 240501. 562 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Ronald Carter, regarding various subjects. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Jon W. Hepworth, regarding antisemitism and anti-Zionism. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Douglas Bright, regarding pedestrian safety at the intersection of Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Idexa Stern, regarding Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Gary Egan, regarding the Expanding Housing Choice Program impacts to Balboa Terrace. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Bently Luneau, regarding housing. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From members of the public, regarding the Great Highway. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding the Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From members of the public, regarding San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) impacts on merchant corridors. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From members of the public, regarding the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From members of the public, regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program. 7 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From members of the public, regarding an e-bike purchase/lease incentive program. 18 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From a member of the public, regarding open air drug use. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to revise the definition of Laboratory to include Biotechnology, and to make Laboratory uses, as defined, a not permitted use in the Urban Mixed Use zoning district. File No. 240641. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing to consider the Mayor's Proposed Budget for the Departments of the City and County of San Francisco for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2024-2025 and 2025-2026. File No. 240622. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Budget and Appropriation Ordinance appropriating all estimated receipts and all estimated expenditures for Departments of the City and County of San Francisco as of June 1, 2024, for the Fiscal Years (FYs) ending June 30, 2025, and June 30, 2026. File No. 240595. 18 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing to consider the Mayor's May proposed budget for the Airport Commission, Board of Appeals, Department of Building Inspection, Child Support Services, Department of the Environment, Law Library, Municipal Transportation Agency, Port, Public Library, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, and Retirement System for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2024-2025 and 2025-2026. File No. 240449. 25 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to modify the redistricting process for Board of Supervisors districts by creating an independent redistricting task force responsible for adopting supervisorial district boundaries; specifying the qualifications to serve on the independent redistricting task force and restrictions on members' activities during and after service; creating a process for selecting members of the independent redistricting task force; modifying the processes the City must follow when adopting supervisorial district boundaries; and creating a division of the Department of Elections to support the redistricting process; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024. File No. 240546. 52 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendments establishing the Commission Streamlining Task Force. File Nos. 240547 and 240548. 304 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor (34)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the General Plan to revise the Urban Design Element, the Commerce and Industry Element, and the Land Use Index to reflect the Stonestown Development Project. File No. 240575. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge a fee for reserving tennis and pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center. File No. 240603. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From the San Francisco Apartment Association, regarding the proposed Resolution supporting The Justice for Renters Act, a California State Proposition on the November 5, 2024, ballot; and reaffirming the City and County of San Francisco's support for repeal of the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act. File No. 240684. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From Dan Reuter, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor (38)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: June 20, 2024
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From:  Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Appointment - Health Service Board

On June 17, 2024, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment package pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). This appointment is effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (July 17, 2024).

Appointment to the Health Service Board, pursuant to Charter, Section 12.200:

- **Dr. Fiona Wilson** - term ending May 15, 2025

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by timely notifying the Clerk in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you wish to hold a hearing on the above appointment, please let me know in writing by noon on Wednesday, June 26, 2024. Once we receive notice, we will work with the Rules Chair to schedule the hearing.

c: Supervisor Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Jesse Mainardi - Director of Boards and Commissions



Notice of Appointment

June 17, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Sections 3.100(18) and 12.200 of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment to the Health Service Board:

Dr. Fiona Wilson, for the unexpired portion of a five-year term ending May 15, 2025. This seat was formerly held by Dr. Stephen Follansbee, who was removed from office.

I am confident that Dr. Wilson will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment will represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about these reappointments, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415.554.6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Report submission
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 1:37:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[June 2024 Report to BOS Final.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached Great Highway Pilot Visitation and Traffic Data Report for Calendar Year (CY) 2023.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emerson, Taylor (REC) <taylor.emerson@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 1:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kronenberg, Chava (MTA) <Chava.Kronenberg@sfmta.com>; Madland, Sarah (REC) <sarah.madland@sfgov.org>
Subject: Report submission

Madame Clerk,

In compliance with Charter section 6.13(c)(2), please find the attached report of usage and traffic on the Upper Great Highway pilot project. This report is jointly submitted by SFMTA and

SFRPD.

Thank you,
Taylor

Taylor Emerson (she/her)

Manager, Strategic Planning

Capital and Planning Division

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San
Francisco

49 South Van Ness, 12th Floor | San Francisco, CA | 94103

628-652-6604 desk | taylor.emerson@sfgov.org




Visit us at sfrecpark.org

Like us on [Facebook](#)

Follow us on [Twitter](#)

Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)

Sign up for our [e-News](#)



Date: June 18, 2024

To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Sarah Madland, RPD and Chava Kronenberg & Thalia Leng, SFMTA

Cc: Jeff Tumlin, SFMTA Director of Transportation; Phil Ginsburg, RPD General Manager

Re: Great Highway Pilot Visitation and Traffic Data

In December 2022, the Board of Supervisors passed legislation establishing the Great Highway Pilot (“Pilot”) and which required reporting to the Board of Supervisors on traffic and related project data as they relate to the weekend Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard as a car-free promenade until December 31, 2025. The pilot allows the Recreation and Park Department and the SFMTA to collect data on the Upper Great Highway’s use as both a roadway and a promenade, as well as gather additional public feedback. The promenade schedule closes the road to motor vehicle traffic on weekends beginning on Friday afternoons at 12 p.m. until Monday mornings at 6:00 a.m. and on holidays.

This memo reports on data sets collected and analyzed by San Francisco Recreation and Parks (RPD) and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).

Visitation Data

For the calendar year 2023, the weekend promenade hosted 420,000 visits. From January 1 to March 31, 2024, there were 141,700 visits recorded, for a total of 561,700 visits since the Pilot began.

Major programmed events are well attended on the Great Highway. The Great Hauntway community Halloween event recorded 10,400 visits to the Promenade on October 29, 2023. The second highest visitation date was an annual fun run resulting in 9,850 visits on Jan 8, 2023. Average visitation on a weekend day is about 4,000, making the Promenade the third most visited park in the RPD system, after Golden Gate Park and the Marina.

As with all parks, weather is highly correlated with visitation. Rain and shorter, darker days mean the winter has the lowest visitation, and high winds blowing sand in the spring is the second lowest visitation. Summer and fall are about the same with summer visitation slightly higher.

The mode split -the percentage of walking versus wheeled users- on the promenades varies by observation period. Recreation and Parks data counts observed approximately 60% wheeled users versus 40% non-wheeled users; SFMTA low resolution video captured approximately 40% wheeled users versus 60% non-wheeled users. This suggests that different days attract different type of users to the promenade.

Traffic Data

Road closures

Strong coastal winds push sand onto the Upper Great Highway travel lanes, requiring the City to close the road to vehicular traffic and move the sand. There is no predictability to these closures, though winds tend to be stronger in the Spring. The roadway has been closed to vehicular traffic an average of 32 times each calendar year during the Pilot data collection period, with closures lasting anywhere from several hours to several days.

Vehicular travel times

The closure of Great Highway currently adds between three to five minutes of vehicular travel time at peak hour weekdays for trips that would typically use the Great Highway and are now diverted to eastern roadways, most likely Sunset. Key intersections where observation has shown users experience additional delay (for west Richmond District origins to south of Sloat destinations): Great Highway at Lincoln, which functions as a flashing red during the closure, Chain of Lakes and MLK Drive, which is stop-controlled, and Sloat Boulevard at Skyline, which is stop-controlled but has a signal in construction that will be in service by the end of 2025.

Transportation models indicate that these intersections would experience the observed delay but all other arterial intersections would not be significantly affected by the closure.¹

Vehicle travel times are approximately a minute longer on Lower Great Highway and Lincoln Way on weekends versus weekdays during peak times. Vehicle travel times have minimal variations between mid-week and weekends on other side streets.

Traffic volumes

The “new normal” for Upper Great Highway (UGH) is average daily traffic that is approximately 38% lower than pre-Covid volumes, reflecting the broad commuting pattern changes with significant adoption of work from home. Similarly Sunset Blvd. mid-week volumes are approximately 30% lower than pre-pandemic volumes; Lincoln Way mid-week volumes are approximately 7% lower than pre-pandemic volumes.

Lower Great Highway has less than half the average weekday traffic volumes than prior to the pandemic. Lower Great Highway now experiences weekend volumes that are similar to the pre-pandemic weekday for the roadway. Weekend volumes on side streets other than Lower Great Highway generally remain lower than mid-week volumes and vehicle travel times are not significantly different between mid-week and the weekends; side streets beyond the Lower Great Highway are not experiencing significant traffic changes resulting from Upper Great Highway as a promenade.

Traffic speeds and pedestrian safety

Due to the diversion of some vehicles from Upper Great Highway to Lower Great Highway during the frequent sand closure events and the weekend Promenade, SFMTA installed speed humps at key locations to reduce the instance of speeding vehicles. Traffic calming devices (17 speed humps, one on almost each block) have successfully reduced 85th percentile speeds on Lower Great Highway; 85th percentile speeds decreased 21% on Lower Great Highway from 2019 (pre-Covid). Further, vehicles are fully yielding to pedestrians at major crosswalks on Lower Great Highway.

Next steps

Minor to moderate changes to traffic conditions are anticipated at the following future milestones:

- Completion of Sunset Boulevard Paving project,
- Completed construction of a traffic signal at Sloat and Skyline, replacing an existing STOP sign, and
- Closure of Great Highway Extension in coordination with the Ocean Beach Climate Change Adaptation Project

¹ SFCTA Great Highway Concepts Report; https://www.sfcta.org/sites/default/files/2022-09/SFCTA_Great-Highway-Evaluation-Report_2021-07-13_FINAL_a.pdf

SFMTA and RPD will continue to monitor these data for future reports, and will conduct updated analysis if conditions change. This memo will be on file and will be available on SFMTA and RPD project websites as the pilot continues through December 2025.

Appendix A: Traffic Data

Table 1: Pedestrian and Bicycle Counts on Upper Great Highway (one weekend videos captured at Upper Great Highway/Lawton and Upper Great Highway Taraval)

Location	Date	Day	Total Peds (24 hr)	Total Bike (24 hr)	Total	Peds %	Bike %
Upper Great Highway & Lawton St	10/13/2023	Friday (UGH closed to Vehicles 12pm)	1331	839	2170	61%	39%
Upper Great Highway & Lawton St	10/14/2023	Saturday (UGH closed to vehicles)	3372	2127	5499	61%	39%
Upper Great Highway & Taraval St	10/13/2023	Friday (UGH closed to vehicles 12pm)	2058	708	2766	74%	26%
Upper Great Highway & Taraval St	10/14/2023	Saturday (UGH closed to vehicles)	3964	1778	5742	69%	31%

Table 2: Upper Great Highway Average Daily Traffic Counts (48 hr counts), Bi-Directional

Fall 2018	Fall 2021	Spring 2022	Fall 2023	% Change 2018-2023
23,540	9,300	12,654	14,471	-38.53%

Table 3: Lower Great Highway (LGH) ADT

Location	Fall 2023 Mid-Week	Fall 2023 Weekend	Pre-Covid Mid-Week	% Change Pre-Covid to Fall 2023: Mid-Week to Mid-Week	%Change Pre-Covid to Fall 2023: Mid-Week to Weekend
LGH btwn Judah/Irving	1,718	3,283	3,121	-44.95%	5.19%
LGH btwn Ortega and Pacheco	2,376	4,976	4,548	-47.76%	9.41%

Table 4: Lower Great Highway Average Vehicle Speed

	Fall 2023/ Winter 2024	Pre-Covid 2019	Percentage Change
LGH btwn Judah/Irving	26	32	-18.75%
LGH btwn Ortega and Pacheco	26	33	-21.21%

Table 5: Yielding Behavior

Location	Total				Total	
	AM Peak		PM Peak		All Periods	
	Driver Yield %	Does Not Yield %	Driver Yield %	Does Not Yield %	Driver Yield %	Does Not Yield %
(1) Upper Great Highway at Lincoln	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%
(2) Upper Great Highway at Sloat	--	--	100%	0%	100%	0%
(3) Lower Great Highway at Sloat	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%
(4) La Playa/MLK @ Lincoln	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%

Table 6: Sunset Arterial Streets ADT

Location	Fall 2023/ Winter 2024			Winter 2022	Winter 2021	Summer 2021	Fall 2020	Pre-Covid ²
	Mid-Week	Weekend (Avg. Sat/Sun)	Fri	Mid-Week				Mid-Week
LGH between Quintara and Rivera	2226	5399						
LGH btwn Judah/Irving	1718	3283	--		3,302	3,048		3,121
LGH btwn Ortega and Pacheco	2376	4976	5198	4,604	5,016	4,512		4,548
LGH btwn Wawona and Vicente.	2149	4410	--		5,262	4,641		
Lincoln Way EB/WB btwn 42st and 43th	7987	10616	--					
Lincoln Way EB/WB btwn 23th Ave/24th Ave	17891	16516	--					19,266
45th Avenue btwn Wawona and Sloat	2845	3840	--					
Sloat Boulevard EB/WB btwn 41st/42nd avenues.	13725	12009	--					
Sloat Boulevard EB/WB btwn 36th/35th.	15482	13814	--					
Sloat Boulevard at Skyline - Skyline Blvd btwn Sloat Blvd and Lake Merced Blvd	15112	15606	--					
Sloat Boulevard at Skyline - Sloat Blvd btwn 41st Ave and Skyline Blvd	14108	13103	--					
Sloat Boulevard at Skyline - Sloat Blvd btwn Skyline Blvd and Sunset Blvd	16698	15692	--					
Sloat Boulevard at Skyline - 39th Ave btwn Yorba St and Sloat Blvd	624	601	--					
NB Sunset Blvd btwn Ortega & Pacheco	9616	8954	--	9007				13,287
SB Sunset Blvd only SB btwn Moraga & Noriega	8827	9854	--				9527	13,555
Great Highway btwn JFK Dr & Lincoln Way.	17325	11005	--					
Chain of Lakes btwn MLK & JFK	9257	7617	--			7,974		
Skyline btwn Great Highway & John Muir	27693	20445	--					

² Counts performed pre-Covid were performed in 2015, 2016 and 2018

Table 7: Vehicle Travel Times

	Location	Mid-Week		Weekend		Difference between Peak Mid- Week vs. Weekend	
		Travel Time		Travel Time			
		PM Peak (4pm - 6pm)	Off Peak (12pm- 2pm)	Mid-Day Peak (11:00 AM – 3:00 PM)	Off Peak (7am- 10am)		
1a	UGH from 45th Avenue&Point Lobos to John Muir Drive & Skyline Blvd.	11:50	10:57	--	--		
1b	UGH from John Muir Dr & Skyline Blvd to Point Lobos& 45th Avenue	13:17	11:48	--	--		
2a	LGH btwn Lincoln Way and Sloat Blvd	06:22	06:09	07:36	07:19	1:14	longer on weekend
2b	LGH from Sloat Blvd to Lincoln Way	6:13	5:59	07:17	07:00	1:04	longer on weekend
3a	42nd Ave from 45th Ave to Sloat Blvd (via UGH around GG park)	12:08	12:31	13:05	11:34	0:57	longer on weekend
3b	42nd Ave from Sloat Blvd to 45th Ave (via UGH around GG park)	11:18	12:09	12:51	11:52	1:33	longer on weekend
4a	Lincoln Boulevard from UGH to Sunset	01:43	01:26	02:40	02:30	0:57	longer on weekend
4b	Lincoln Boulevard from Sunset to UGH	02:50	02:17	02:20	02:07	0:30	shorter on weekend
5a	Lincoln Boulevard from UGH to 19th	06:55	05:20	06:33	05:29	0:22	shorter on weekend
5b	Lincoln Boulevard from 19th Ave to UGH	05:27	05:12	04:57	05:01	0:30	shorter on weekend
6a	Sloat Boulevard from UGH to Sunset	01:34	01:34	01:59	02:15	0:25	longer on weekend
6b	Sloat Boulevard from Sunset to UGH	02:19	02:22	01:59	02:03	0:20	shorter on weekend
7a	Sloat Boulevard from UGH to 19th Ave	04:24	04:25	04:32	04:52	0:08	longer on weekend
7b	Sloat Blvd from 19th Ave to UGH	04:53	04:28	04:32	03:59	0:21	shorter on weekend
8a	Sloat Boulevard from UGH to Skyline	01:19	01:18	01:41	01:56	0:22	longer on weekend
8b	Sloat Boulevard from Skyline to UGH	02:04	02:08	01:42	01:47	0:22	shorter on weekend




Figure 1: Vehicle Travel Time Routes

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: June 17, 2024 Civic Design Review Agenda
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:06 AM
Attachments: [CDR Agenda 6.17.24.pdf](#)
[Outlook-Logo_Desc.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached agenda for the San Francisco Arts Commission Civic Design Review Committee meeting for June 17, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cotz, Paris (ART) <paris.cotz@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:56 AM
Subject: June 17, 2024 Civic Design Review Agenda

Hello,

Please find the agenda for the Civic Design Review Meeting coming up this Monday, June 17, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. here and attached via PDF: <https://www.sf.gov/meeting/june-17->

[2024/civic-design-review-committee-meeting](#)

Thank you,
Paris



Paris Cotz

Program Associate, Special
Initiatives & Civic Design

Pronouns: [she/her](#)

Email: paris.cotz@sfgov.org

Mobile: 415-539-6213

San Francisco Arts Commission

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325

San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfartscommission.org

[Newsletter](#) | [Flickr](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [TikTok](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the [Ramaytush Ohlone](#). We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the [Sunshine Ordinance](#) and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.



MEETING OF THE CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE

Monday, June 17, 2024

2 p.m.

City Hall, Room 416

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

AGENDA

Members of the Committee will attend this meeting in-person at the location listed above.

Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in-person at the physical meeting location listed or remotely online at <https://sfgovtv.org/artLIVE>. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide up to three minutes of public comment on every agenda item.

Civic Design Review Committee Commissioners: Seth Brenzel, Patrick Carney, Jessica Rothschild, Abby Sadin Schnair, Janine Shiota, Chuck Collins.

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment

- Call to order
- Roll call / Confirmation of quorum.
- Agenda changes
- Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that

we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San Francisco, we are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

2. General Public Comment

(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Committee’s purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Committee’s consideration.)

3. San Francisco Fire Department Division of Training: Conceptual Review

Discussion

Discussion about the Conceptual Review for **San Francisco Fire Department Division of Training**

Presentation Time: Approximately 45 minutes (Presentation 30 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 15 minutes)

Project team:

Michael Ross, Project Designer, DLR Group

Scott Moran, Project Manager, Department of Public Works

Michael Ross, Architect, DLR Group

William Bulkley, Landscape Architect, Department of Public Works

4. Moccasin Engineering & Records Building: Conceptual Review

Discussion

Discussion about the Conceptual Review for **Moccasin Engineering & Records Building**

Presentation Time: Approximately 35 minutes (Presentation 20 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 15 minutes)

Project team:

Greta Jones & Fara Perez, Project Designers, Department of Public Works
Shelby Campbell, Project Manager, SF Water
Fara Perez, Architect, Department of Public Works
Katy Taylor, Landscape Architect, Department of Public Works

5. New Business and Announcements

Discussion

(This item is to allow the Commissioners to introduce new agenda items for consideration, to report on recent arts activities and to make announcements.)

6. Adjournment

Action

Posted 6/12/2024, 10:00am pc

Notices

The meetings of the San Francisco Arts Commission will be occurring in-person at City Hall, Room 416 and available to view on SFGovTV2, Comcast 78/Astound 28 and AT&T Uverse 99.

Agenda Item Information / Materials Available

Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:

- 1) Department or agency report;
- 2) Public correspondence;
- 3) Other explanatory documents.

Each explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be



available only electronically at <https://sf.gov/departments/civic-design-review-committee-arts-commission>. Please contact: Paris Cotz at paris.cotz@sfgov.org or 415-252-2252. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies, or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.
2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting or up to three (3) minutes. Speakers may not transfer their time to another person.
3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.
4. Persons who speak during the public comment period at today's meeting of the Arts Commission may supply a brief written summary of the comments to be included in the minutes if it is 150 words or less, to paris.cotz@sfgov.org. The Arts Commission may reject the summary if it exceeds the prescribed word limit or is not an accurate summary of the speaker's public comment.
5. Persons unable to attend an Arts Commission meeting may submit correspondence to the Arts Commission in connection with an agenda item. Art Commission staff will post these documents adjacent to the agenda if they are



one page in length. If they are longer than one page, the Arts Commission will make such documents available for public inspection and copying. Please note, correspondence submitted to the Arts Commission will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Names and addresses included in these submittals will be public. Submittals may be made anonymously. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to paris.cotz@sfgov.org by 5:00 p.m. before the date of the meeting to ensure comments are shared with commissioners ahead of the meeting.

Electronic Devices Prohibited

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. The Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic device

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Paris Cotz at paris.cotz@sfgov.org or 415-252-2252, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday. Captions can be enabled by you using our meeting platform, WebEx.

Archives Available

A recording of this meeting will be available online after the meeting at https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=149.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415/252-3100, fax



415/252-3112 and <http://www.sfethics.org/>.

Sensitivity to chemical-based products

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity, or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554 7724; by fax at 415-554 7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org.

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/>

Accessibility Meeting Policy

Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Paris Cotz, paris.cotz@sfgov.org, 415-252-2252. Late requests will be honored if possible. The hearing room is wheelchair accessible.

利便參與會議的相關規定

根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也將會提供不同格式的會議資料，和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2219向 Paris Cotz, paris.cotz@sfgov.org, 415-252-2252提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

Política De Acceso A La Reunión

De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un lector. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Paris Cotz, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2252, paris.cotz@sfgov.org. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting

Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Paris Cotz paris.cotz@sfgov.org, 415-252- 2252. Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2024 TaxPayers Bill of Rights
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:49:22 AM
Attachments: [2024 TaxPayers Bill of Rights.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached from the State Board of Equalization, submitting 2024 TaxPayers' Bill of Rights Hearing notice.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

TAXPAYERS' RIGHTS ADVOCATE OFFICE

PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-00120

1-916-274-3400

www.boe.ca.gov

TED GAINES
First District, Sacramento

SALLY J. LIEBER
Second District, San Francisco

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ
Third District, Santa Monica

MIKE SCHAEFER
Fourth District, San Diego

MALIA M. COHEN
State Controller

YVETTE M. STOWERS
Executive Director

June 19, 2024

TO: COUNTY ASSESSORS COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS
COUNTY CLERKS OF THE BOARD COUNTY TAX COLLECTORS

RE: 2024 TAXPAYERS' BILL OF RIGHTS HEARING

I am pleased to invite you to attend the annual California State Board of Equalization (BOE) Taxpayers' Bill of Rights Hearing before the elected Members of the BOE. The public hearing provides you, other local agency representatives, and taxpayers the opportunity to provide comments on items discussed in the BOE's Taxpayers' Rights Advocate's Fiscal Year 2022-23 Annual Report and identify means to correct any problems described in the report. Additionally, parties will have the opportunity to comment on all BOE-administered programs and local property tax matters.

The hearing will be held on Tuesday, **August 27, 2024**, in auditorium of the May Lee State Office Complex located at 651 Bannon Street, Sacramento, CA 95811, starting at approximately 10:00 a.m. The hearing may also be viewed via a live-streamed or archived webcast. The hearing will be noticed as part of the August 2024 Board Meeting agenda, which can be accessed 10 days prior to the meeting at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/meetings/boardcomm.htm>. Parties may attend in person, submit comments in writing, or participate telephonically by calling into the meeting; the telephone number and access code are provided as part of the public agenda notice for the meeting.

Enclosed are flyers and posters for this year's hearing. Please display the posters in public areas of your office(s) and make the flyers available to taxpayers and other interested parties. A copy of the 2022-23 Annual Report is available on the BOE's website at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/tra/taxcont.htm>. The report highlights the accomplishments of the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office (TRA Office) during the past year; contains examples of cases illustrating the services our office provides to assist taxpayers; identifies issues that our office worked on to resolve; describes our office's involvement in educational projects to help taxpayers; and identifies Taxpayers' Bill of Rights provisions for tax programs under the BOE's jurisdiction. The TRA Office is committed to promoting outreach and education to help local taxpayers with understanding property tax laws, and to increase their awareness of property tax savings that may be available to them. As part of this commitment, the TRA Office publishes educational materials written in simple, non-technical terms that are available to the public on the BOE website.

If you are interested in being scheduled as a speaker at the hearing, please refer to information about the hearing on the BOE website at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/tra/>. If you have any questions, you may contact the TRA Office at 1-916-274-3400.

Sincerely,

Lisa Thompson

Lisa Thompson
Chief, Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office

Enclosures

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CMD12B0003667 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:03:35 PM
Attachments: [image](#)
[CMD12B0002775.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached one 12B waiver request form.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: CCSF IT Service Desk <ccsfdt@service-now.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 11:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CMD12B0003667 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)

Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003667 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Leon Ho

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012559

Requested total cost: \$740.00

Short Description: Radiation monitoring rings and badges - LHH Radiology Dept.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5105370_kYWuRAcMzuTo4CxjSHIA

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2023-08-02 07:12:37 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0002775	Request Status:	Completed
Requested for:	Leon Ho	State:	Completed
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2023-07-31 07:38:26	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Leon Ho
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Radiation monitoring rings and badges - LHH Radiology Dept.

Supplier ID:	0000012559	Requested Amount:	\$600.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$600.00
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000747404
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2023-07-31
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

- (a) Radiation Detection Company
- (b) Radiation monitoring rings and badges for Environmental Health use
- (c) Radiation detection is vital for staff protection and the this supplier has been providing supplies that are familiar and utilized by technicians.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Supplier is pending compliance; until Radiation Detection can be determined to be compliant or unable to comply, SFDPH is seeking a waiver in the interim for needed environmental health radiation detection.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	James Oerther	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No 12B-compliant source for custom radiation detection badges for DPH radiology staff.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:	Reviewed and Approved
---------------	----------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Reason for Determination:
Approved under 12B.5-1(d)(1) authority.

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:	
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)
Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

To purchase radiation monitoring rings and badges for Environmental Health use - this is essential to monitor hazardous environments for the City.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Radiation detection is a regulatory and Joint Commission requirement. All staff that have potential exposure to radiation must wear radiation detection badges in order to perform their assigned duties. The badges that are purchased from Radiation Detection Co. utilize an algorithm that is specifically designed for use by the SF Department of Public Health.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Radiation Detection Company provides radiation detection supplies that have been used for years and are outfitted to protect SFDPH technicians, and have specifics that are familiar in their use and ensuring safety compliance.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

Supplier provides a service that is important for the safety of SFDPH technicians and residents. Supplier is pending compliance determination.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0002775
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	2023-07-31 07:41:35		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 0fc021721b98f55099d4ed7b2f4bcbfd
Sort Order: None

12 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2023-07-31 14:04:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2023-07-31 14:04:54	2023-08-01 16:58:17	1 Day 2 Hours 53 Minutes	true
2023-07-31 07:41:41	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Draft	2023-07-31 07:41:36	2023-07-31 09:32:09	1 Hour 50 Minutes	true
2023-07-31 07:38:31	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Draft	2023-07-31 07:38:27	2023-07-31 07:41:36	3 Minutes	true
2023-08-01 16:58:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Completed	2023-08-01 16:58:17			false
2023-07-31 09:32:11	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2023-07-31 09:32:09	2023-07-31 14:04:54	4 Hours 32 Minutes	true
2023-07-31 07:41:41	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Dept. Head approval	2023-07-31 07:41:36	2023-07-31 07:41:36	0 Seconds	true
2023-07-31 07:38:31	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Draft	2023-07-31 07:38:27	2023-07-31 07:41:36	3 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2023-07-31 07:41:41	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Dept. Head approval	2023-07-31 07:41:36	2023-07-31 07:41:36	0 Seconds	true
2023-07-31 09:32:11	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2023-07-31 09:32:09	2023-07-31 14:04:54	4 Hours 32 Minutes	true
2023-07-31 07:41:41	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Draft	2023-07-31 07:41:36	2023-07-31 09:32:09	1 Hour 50 Minutes	true
2023-07-31 14:04:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2023-07-31 14:04:54	2023-08-01 16:58:17	1 Day 2 Hours 53 Minutes	true
2023-08-01 16:58:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0002775	Completed	2023-08-01 16:58:17			false

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations - Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 11:16:08 AM
Attachments: [CWD Notice Proposed Action post.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: California Fish and Game Commission <fgc@public.govdelivery.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations - Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



California Fish and Game Commission
Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

Notice of Proposed Emergency Regulations

Greetings,

A notice of proposed emergency regulations regarding testing for chronic wasting disease has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at <https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2024-New-and-Proposed#708.5>.

Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon
California Fish and Game Commission

[Click here to visit our regulations page](#)

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

[Sign Up](#)

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

[California Fish and Game Commission](#)
715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:
[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Help](#)

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Commissioners
Samantha Murray, President
La Jolla
Erika Zavaleta, Vice President
Santa Cruz
Jacque Hostler-Carmesin, Member
McKinleyville
Eric Sklar, Member
Saint Helena
Darius W. Anderson, Member
Kenwood

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Gavin Newsom, Governor

Fish and Game Commission



*Wildlife Heritage and Conservation
Since 1870*

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director
P.O. Box 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090
(916) 653-4899
fgc@fgc.ca.gov
www.fgc.ca.gov

June 20, 2024

NOTICE OF PROPOSED EMERGENCY ACTION

Emergency Action to Amend Section 708.5, Title 14, California Code of Regulations
Re: Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease

Pursuant to the requirements of Government Code Section 11346.1, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) is providing notice of proposed emergency action with regard to the above-entitled emergency regulation.

SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS

Government Code Section 11346.1 subdivision (a)(2) requires that, at least five working days prior to submission of the proposed emergency action to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), the adopting agency provide a notice of the proposed emergency action to every person who has filed a request for notice of regulatory action with the agency. After submission of the proposed emergency to OAL, OAL shall allow interested persons five calendar days to submit comments on the proposed emergency regulations as set forth in Government Code Section 11349.6.

Any interested person may present statements, arguments, or contentions, in writing, submitted via U.S. mail or e-mail, relevant to the proposed emergency regulatory action. Written comments submitted via U.S. mail or e-mail must be received at OAL within five days after the Commission submits the emergency regulations to OAL for review.

Please reference submitted comments as regarding "2024 Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease Emergency" addressed to:

Reference Attorney
Office of Administrative Law
300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1250
Sacramento, CA 95814

E-mail: staff@oal.ca.gov
Fax No.: 916-323-6826

California Fish and Game Commission
Attn: Jenn Bacon
P.O. Box 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090

Email: fgc@fgc.ca.gov
Fax No.: n/a

Notice of Emergency Action
Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease
June 20, 2024

This emergency action was authorized by the Commission at its June 19-20, 2024 meeting. The Commission anticipates it will submit the rulemaking to OAL between June 28 and July 1, 2024. For the status of the Commission's submittal to OAL for review, and the end of the five-day written submittal period, please consult OAL's website at <http://www.oal.ca.gov> under the heading "Emergency Regulations."

State of California
Fish and Game Commission
Finding of Emergency and Statement of Proposed Emergency Regulatory Action

Emergency Action to Amend Section 708.5
Title 14, California Code of Regulations
Re: Testing for Chronic Wasting Disease

Date of Statement: May 24, 2024

I. Statement of Facts Constituting the Need for Emergency Regulatory Action

Background

On May 6, 2024, chronic wasting disease (CWD) was confirmed in two California deer populations for the first time. Diseases can have significant long-term effects on native wildlife populations, especially novel diseases, and CWD is the most significant disease affecting cervids (deer, elk, moose, caribou) in North America. To determine the prevalence and geographic distribution of CWD, and better inform future management decisions, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) recommends that new regulations be adopted requiring that deer hunters in affected hunt zones submit appropriate samples from their harvest for CWD testing.

Chronic wasting disease is caused by a misfolded, infectious protein called a prion. These prions concentrate in the central nervous system of an infected animal, but can be found in most tissues, secretions, and excretions including muscles (meat), lymphatics, blood, glandular fluids, saliva, feces, and urine, respectively. The disease is always fatal, there is no vaccine or treatment, and all cervid species native to North America – deer, elk, moose, and caribou – are susceptible. Despite efforts to manage and contain the disease, it has continued to spread (Figure 1) due to prion ecology, limited management options, and anthropogenic movement of infectious animals or materials. Prions are extremely stable in the environment, remain infective for years to decades, and shed by infected animals long before they show any signs of disease. This can lead to seeding of the environment with infectious prions, an important factor in the spread and maintenance of CWD, before any diseased animals are seen on the landscape. Once established in an area, eradication of CWD has proven to be infeasible if not impossible.

Managing CWD now that it has been detected in California will require changes to how the Department manages deer and elk. As CWD prevalence increases in a population, population growth rates (λ) can decrease and lead to population declines. Human dimensions research suggests that hunter participation may decrease in areas where CWD has been detected, particularly as CWD prevalence increases in a population. Decreasing hunter participation and tag sales, coupled with increasing costs to manage this disease could compound and significantly affect the Department's ability to manage CWD, deer, elk, and other species in California.

While CWD has never been linked to any human diseases, significant public health concerns remain due to many unknowns when it comes to prion diseases. For instance, increasingly sophisticated diagnostic and molecular assays have shown that there are multiple strains of

CWD and that CWD prions can differentiate when passed through multiple hosts, creating new strains with altered host susceptibilities and disease characteristics. Indeed, the predominant CWD prion strain in Norway is different than the predominant strain in North America, with different characteristics. Additionally, CWD is in the same class of diseases as bovine spongiform encephalopathy (aka BSE or Mad Cow Disease), a prion disease of cows that was linked to variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD), a neurodegenerative disease in people, through the consumption of BSE-tainted meat. Public health officials remain cautious when it comes to prion disease, recommending individuals and agencies do whatever possible to keep the agents of all known prion diseases from entering the human food chain.

The Department has been monitoring California deer and elk populations for CWD since 2000, testing over 6,500 deer and elk, and has been working to increase surveillance efforts with the voluntary help of hunters, taxidermists, and meat processors since 2018. Tests are done on postmortem samples and the majority of those come from hunter-harvested deer and elk, though we are only sampling and testing a small proportion of the deer and elk harvested in California. The first response action, following communication of the detections, is to enhance surveillance in the areas of the detections to determine the prevalence of CWD in the affected populations and the geographic extent of the infections. Hunter-harvested deer from the affected hunt zones is by far the most scalable and accessible source of samples for CWD testing. The Department will also increase its response to and sampling of other mortality sources or take. Enhanced surveillance in the affected populations is the necessary first step to providing better information to hunters, partners, and decision makers following these first detections of CWD in California. Knowing the prevalence and geographic extent will allow the Department to make informed decisions on CWD and deer management where CWD is detected.

II. Proposed Emergency Regulations

This rulemaking will make the following changes:

Section 708.5

Subsection (e)

Adds a new subsection defining the CWD Management Zone (CMZ) for purposes of implementing mandatory deer sampling, based on deer hunt zones where CWD has been detected in deer (Figure 1). This is necessary to enhance CWD sampling and testing in the area where CWD has been detected to both inform management recommendation and hunters, partners, and decision makers to better protect the affected deer populations. .

Subsection (f)

Adds a new subsection that requires hunters who take a deer within a CMZ to provide the Department with samples for CWD testing. This subsection also prescribes the permissible methods for hunters to provide the Department with samples. Mandatory sampling of hunter-harvested deer in affected zones is necessary, at least initially, for the Department to obtain sufficient information, using safe and reliable methods, to determine the prevalence and geographic extent of CWD where recent detections in deer have occurred, for the purpose of monitoring the spread of CWD and providing information to hunters, partners, and decision-makers.

Subsection (g)

Adds a new subsection establishing the minimum amount of information that hunters subject to subsection (f) must provide the Department to accompany CWD samples. This is necessary to ensure that the Department obtains essential information for monitoring the spread of CWD, such as the geographic location of the take, and to ensure the Department can contact hunters if CWD is detected in their harvest.




Figure 1: California’s deer hunt zones and recent CWD detections (stars) in deer. The red hunt zones are considered highest risk for having additional CWD-positive deer based on locations of the two detections and are the four hunt zones where mandatory testing would be required following this rule making. The pink hunt zones are adjacent zones with predicted medium risk of having additional CWD-positive deer.

III. Findings for the Existence of an Emergency

The Commission considered the following factors in determining that an emergency does exist at this time.

The magnitude of potential harm:

If the Department does not actively manage CWD, the implications for California’s hunting and outdoor recreation economies, as well as costs to the state’s wildlife resource management programs could be significant. Costs to manage cervids with CWD could increase precipitously (potentially as much as 8-fold in the long term), while hunter participation may decline. We first need to know the prevalence and geographic distribution of this outbreak to better advise and implement effective management strategies and any future regulatory changes. This emergency regulation is focused on increasing the number of hunter-harvested deer sampled

and tested from the affected areas. In other states that have taken similar measures, mandatory CWD testing in one or more hunting zones significantly and consistently increases CWD sample numbers and power to make informed management decisions. The data gleaned from augmented hunter sampling will be coupled with information from enhancing other sampling streams, but these other methods may take some time to implement.

The existence of a crisis situation:

CWD is the most significant disease of management concern for deer and elk in North America. The Department has worked to enhance CWD surveillance for over 6 years and has not been able to attain levels sufficient to estimate prevalence or geographic extent; the sampling strategy was developed to detect a rare event and not to determine the scope of that rare event. To determine the scope of this outbreak, more intensive sampling and testing is required. Additionally, because of the unknown risk to humans, testing as many hunter harvested deer and elk as possible and informing those hunters of the test results is a vital part of providing appropriate hunting opportunities and information for hunters to make informed decisions about their harvest, including consumption of their harvest. The World Health Organisation (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) advise that keeping known sources of infectious prions (like CWD) out of the human food chain is critical. Requiring testing of harvested animals from affected hunt zones will better allow the Department to 1) determine the prevalence and geographic extent of the outbreak and 2) provide meaningful, potentially actionable, information to hunters.

The immediacy of the need:

Understanding the extent and prevalence of CWD is essential to inform hunters this 2024 hunting season and to provide vital information for management decisions that must be made in short order. The longer we wait, the more CWD-positive animals go undetected and potentially consumed by hunters that may have otherwise chosen to avoid consuming their harvest. Once a detection is made, it has usually already been in a population for years and delaying action only hampers potential positive management, which has already been delayed because of the difficulties in detecting these initial outbreaks.

Whether the anticipation of harm has a basis firmer than simple speculation:

Unmanaged CWD will have negative effects on deer and elk populations as shown by multiple peer-reviewed scientific publications for states and Canadian provinces that have had CWD for decades, as well as economic consequences for the state. A lack of understanding of the extent and prevalence of CWD also conceals the risks to humans and makes it harder to take measures to constrain its spread and limit CWD's entry into the food chain.

IV. Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State

The Department anticipates that the proposed emergency action will require additional expenditures of approximately \$543,233 to implement the proposed emergency CWD testing program (see STD. 399 and addendum). No other state agencies are anticipated to be affected by the proposed emergency regulatory action.

(b) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(c) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.

(d) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.

(e) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

V. Technical, Theoretical, and/or Empirical Studies, Reports, or Documents Relied Upon:

- Conner, M. M., M. E. Wood, A. Hubbs, J. Binfet, A. A. Holland, L. R. Meduna, A. Roug, J. P. Runge, T. D. Nordeen, M. J. Pybus, and M. W. Miller. 2021. The Relationship Between Harvest Management and Chronic Wasting Disease Prevalence Trends in Western Mule Deer. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 57:831–843. <http://meridian.allenpress.com/jwd/article-pdf/57/4/831/2933831/i0090-3558-57-4-831.pdf>
- Gillin, C., and J. Mawdsley. 2018. AFWA Technical Report on Best Management Practices for Surveillance, Management and Control of Chronic Wasting Disease. Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. Washington, DC. https://fishwildlife.org/application/files/9615/3729/1513/AFWA_Technical_Report_on_CWD_BMPs_FINAL.pdf
- Miller, M. W., and J. R. Fischer. 2016. The First Five (or More) Decades of Chronic Wasting Disease: Lessons for the Five Decades to Come. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference* 1–12. https://cwd-info.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/81st-NAWNRC-Transactions_FINAL-CWD-Excerpt.pdf
- Miller, M. W., J. P. Runge, A. Andrew Holland, and M. D. Eckert. 2020. Hunting pressure modulates prion infection risk in mule deer herds. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 56:781–790. <http://meridian.allenpress.com/jwd/article-pdf/56/4/781/2622096/jwd-d-20-00054.pdf>.
- Munk, B. A., N. Shirkey, M. Moriarty, L. Hansen, and L. Wood. *In Prep.* California's Chronic Wasting Disease Management Plan. Wildlife Health Lab, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Rancho Cordova, California, USA.
- Chiavacci, S. J. 2022. The economic costs of chronic wasting disease in the United States. *PLoS One* 17: e0278366. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0278366>
- Numerous other states' CWD management plans accessible online through each state agency's website, including but not limited to, New York, Montana, Idaho, and Washington.

VI. Authority and Reference

Authority cited: Sections 200, 203, 265 and 1050, Fish and Game Code. Reference: Sections 1050 and 4336, Fish and Game Code.

VII. Fish and Game Code Section 399 Finding

CWD is the most significant disease of management concern for deer and elk in North America. To determine the scope of this outbreak, more intensive sampling and testing is required in the affected deer populations. Requiring hunters to submit samples from deer harvested in these affected hunt zones will better allow the Department to 1) determine the prevalence and geographic extent of the outbreak and 2) provide meaningful, potentially actionable, information to hunters and decision makers. We need to know what we have and where we have it. The Department manages a website (wildlife.ca.gov/CWD) where hunters who submit a sample for CWD testing can check the testing status of their harvest. Additionally, the Department will contact hunters directly if CWD is detected in their harvest. Those efforts are to keep hunters informed so they can make the most informed decisions about their harvest. Enhanced sampling and testing in affected areas will provide vital information for future CWD and deer management decisions. Pursuant to Section 399 of the Fish and Game Code, the Commission finds that adopting this regulation is necessary for the immediate conservation, preservation, or protection of deer and elk populations, and to help screen toward the protection of the public health of the hunters and humans who rely on deer meat for sustenance.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

On May 6, 2024, chronic wasting disease (CWD) was confirmed in two California deer populations for the first time. CWD is the most significant disease affecting cervids in North America and poses long-term risks to wildlife populations. To assess the prevalence and distribution of CWD, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) recommends new regulations requiring deer hunters in affected zones to submit samples from their harvests for CWD testing. This measure aims to gather data that will inform future management decisions and help limit the spread of this disease.

CWD is caused by prions, misfolded infectious proteins that are highly stable and can remain infective for years in the environment. These prions concentrate in the central nervous system but can be found in most tissues and bodily fluids of infected animals. CWD is always fatal, and there are no known vaccines or treatments. The disease is transmitted through direct contact with infected animals and contaminated environments. The movement of infected animals or materials can spread the disease to new areas and contaminated environments maintain the disease once established in an area. Indeed, once CWD is established in an area, it is infeasible if not impossible to eradicate. The stability and longevity of prions in the environment make early detection and ongoing surveillance crucial for managing the disease.

Managing CWD in California will require changes in how the Department manages deer and elk populations. As CWD prevalence increases, it can lead to population declines and decreased hunter participation, impacting conservation funding from hunting licenses. While CWD has not been linked to human disease, given the nature of prion diseases and the history of mad cow disease, public health concerns may exist and should be a concern. Enhanced surveillance and increased testing of hunter-harvested deer are essential first steps. This will provide better data on the prevalence and geographic spread of CWD, allowing the Department to make informed management decisions and communicate effectively with hunters, partners, and the public.

The proposed changes are as follows:

Adds a new subsection defining the CWD Management Zone (CMZ) for purposes of implementing mandatory deer sampling, based on deer hunt zones where CWD has been detected in deer. This is necessary to enhance CWD sampling and testing in the area where CWD has been detected to both inform management recommendation and hunters, partners, and decision makers to better protect the affected deer populations.

Add Section 708.5(f): Hunters who harvest a deer within a CMZ are required to submit the retropharyngeal lymph nodes or the head of the deer for CWD testing within 10 days. Hunters can fulfill this requirement by taking the deer or its head to a California CWD sampling station, a participating meat processor, or taxidermist. Alternatively, hunters can self-sample their deer and submit the retropharyngeal lymph nodes to a sampling station. The Department provides a guide and data card for self-sampling on their website.

Add Section 708.5(g): Hunters must provide their name, GOID, deer tag or document number, and the harvest location (preferably GPS coordinates) when submitting samples.

Benefit of the Regulations:

The Commission anticipates benefits to the State's environment, in addition to those screening actions the Department is already taking, by taking this regulatory step to require testing of harvested animals from affected hunt zones. This regulatory action aims to help determine the prevalence and geographic extent of the outbreak for Department staff to provide updates to hunters. It is imperative to understand the prevalence and geographic distribution of this outbreak to better advise and implement effective management strategies. Further, given the potential implications for California's hunting and outdoor recreation economies, and for public consumption, tracking positive detections is necessary to keep known sources of infectious prions, e.g. CWD, out of the human food chain.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations:

Section 20, Article IV, of the state Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to adopt regulations governing big game hunting and population management (California Fish and Game Code sections 200, 203, 265, 1050, and 4336). No other state agency has the authority to adopt regulations governing big game hunting and population management. The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the CCR for any regulations regarding the adoption of big game hunting and population management regulations; therefore, the Commission has concluded that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

Proposed Regulatory Language

Section 708.5, Title 14, CCR, is amended to read:

§ 708.5. Deer Tagging, Reporting, and Testing Requirements.

. . . *[No change to subsections (a)through (d)]. . .*

(e) The Chronic wasting disease (CWD) Management Zone (CMZ) includes deer hunt zones D7, X9a, X9b, and X9c as noted on the department's website (wildlife.ca.gov/CWD).

(f) All hunters who take a deer within a CMZ, as described in subsection (e), shall provide the department with the retropharyngeal lymph nodes or the head from the harvested deer for the purpose of CWD testing within 10 days of take. The following are permissible sampling methods:

- (1) Bring the deer, or just the head, to a California CWD sampling station (see wildlife.ca.gov/CWD/Sampling-Station for locations);
- (2) Bring the deer head to a participating meat processor or taxidermist (see wildlife.ca.gov/CWD/Meat-Processors-Taxidermists); or
- (3) A hunter may self-sample their deer and bring the retropharyngeal lymph nodes directly to a California CWD sampling station (see wildlife.ca.gov/CWD/Sampling-Station for locations). The department maintains a how-to-guide and data card for CWD sampling, data collection, and self-sample submissions on its website (see wildlife.ca.gov/CWD/Collect-Submit-Samples).

(g) Hunters shall provide the following minimum information for the take pursuant to subdivision (f): the hunter's name, GO ID, deer tag or document number, and harvest location (GPS coordinates preferred).

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 200, 203, 265 and 1050, Fish and Game Code.

Reference: Sections 1050 and 4336, Fish and Game Code.



CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

601 N 7th St, Sacramento, CA 95811 | 800-735-2929 (TT/TDD) | 800-735-2922 (Voice)

GAVIN NEWSOM, GOVERNOR

CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

June 14, 2024

File No.: 350.19662.18797

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
GAVIN NEWSOM
OFFICE
2024 JUN 17 PM 2:22
005-11

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed report is submitted pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25180.7 (Proposition 65). The report documents information regarding the discharge of hazardous material, which could cause substantial injury to the public health or safety. The report is submitted on behalf of all designated employees of the Department of the California Highway Patrol.

In compliance with the mandatory 72-hour reporting requirement, initial notification to San Francisco County Board of Supervisors was made through the San Francisco Department of Emergency Management, located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102, on Saturday, June 8, 2024, at approximately 1742 hours, via telephone at (415) 260-2591 to the 24-hour on-call duty officer. If you have any further questions, feel free to call me at (415) 924-1100.

Sincerely,

T. CARLTON, Captain
Commander
Marin Area

Enclosure

MARIN AREA
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL
SITE SAFETY PLAN

DATE: June 8, 2024

TIME: 1533 hours

LOCATION: United States 101 southbound at Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza

AREA DESCRIPTION: Asphalt pavement

HAZARDOUS MATERIAL: Motor oil

PRIMARY HAZARD: Inhalation / Flammable

EXPOSURES: None

EVACUATION: None

SHELTER IN PLACE: No

WEATHER: Sunny; approximately 60 degrees Fahrenheit

WORK ZONES: Hot Zone – 50 feet

LEVEL OF PROTECTION: Level D; normal work uniform

MITIGATION OBJECTIVES: The motor oil was covered with absorbent and the storm drain was blocked. The Golden Gate Bridge Roadway services crew cleaned up the spill and declared the scene safe.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Sideshows are making a mockery
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:25:27 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding sideshows.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Mandell <petermandell25@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Sideshows are making a mockery

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

https://sfstandard.com/2024/06/13/sideshows-sfpd-enforcement-stunt-driving/?itm_source=parsely-api

This past weekend sideshows in 3 neighborhoods, including our front yard, the Embarcadero right by the Ferry Building. The sideshow looked completely unhindered, and well covered on news. It then showed the rows of police cars in line slow driving down the road. Clearly, they were not going to arrest anybody or stop any sideshow from doing whatever it wanted. I guess it's what the article mentioned as the soft touch, cautious post event sweep of Chief Scott. Clearly no threat to the continued hostage taking of our city streets by these sideshows. What's the point of cops even showing up. We might be better off letting the crazies do their things and then let the people involved actually get hurt or killed.

I say let them hurt themselves or mass out the show of force and make mass arrests and impound the cars. Clearly, Scott's solution is a miserable failure.

Peter Mandell 1345 20th Ave #11
San Francisco, CA

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: West side transit is not a network it's a squid without any loops or links solve for the network and than densify!!
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:55:31 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding transit.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: West side transit is not a network it's a squid without any loops or links solve for the network and than densify!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The city needs a visionary transit approach to solving muni's problems.... Time to get the connect the dots boards out and fix what is missing in SF transit policy equitable investment outside the downtown....for the environment and the general public systems must solve for seniors disabled and students and children and the working class.

Lyft Uber scooters skip and bikes with two wheels only does not solve it for the majority.....

Think better S.F.

Aaron Goodman

"A developed country is not a place where the poor have cars. It's where the rich use public transportation." ... [See more](#)



Sent from my iPhone



RECEIVED
CARD OF SUPERVISOR
SAN FRANCISCO

2024 JUN 14 AM 9:08

BY: JA 305-11

June 10, 2024

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Diana Marana, RN
California Department of Public Health
Licensing & Certification Program
San Francisco District Office
150 North Hill Drive, Suite 22
Brisbane, CA 94005

RE: California Pacific Medical Center
License No: 220000070
Facility ID: 220000023
Notice of Intended Service Closure

Dear Ms. Marana:

This letter serves to notify your office, consistent with California Health & Safety Code Section 1255.25, of our intention to close hospital licensed services as described below.

Close Outpatient Services – Antepartum Testing/OB Triage at the Mission Bernal Campus, 3555 Cesar Chavez St., San Francisco 94110, to consolidate the services at CPMC's Van Ness Campus. Emergency services and outpatient obstetrical services at Mission Bernal Women's Clinic will not change.

The nearest available comparable antenatal testing and OB triage locations are at the following locations:

1. CPMC, 1101 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco 94109, located 3.1 miles from 3555 Cesar Chavez St. They accept Medicare and Medi-Cal.
2. UCSF Berry Irene Moore Women's Hospital, 1855 4th Street, San Francisco, 94158, located 2.8 miles from 3555 Cesar Chavez St. They accept Medicare and Medi-Cal.
3. Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center, 1001 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco 94110, located 1.6 miles from 3555 Cesar Chavez St. They accept Medicare and Medi-Cal.
4. Kaiser Permanente San Francisco, 2425 Geary Blvd., San Francisco 94115, located 4.1 miles from 3555 Cesar Chavez St. They accept Medicare and Medi-Cal.

The closure of these services will result in the elimination of 0 positions within our organization.

Diana Marana
June 10, 2024
Page 2

The notices include the following contact information for interested parties:

- Hamila Kownacki, CEO, at 1101 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco 94109, and telephone number 415.600.1400.
- The licensee corporation is Sutter Bay Hospitals, located at 2000 Powell Street, 10th Floor, Emeryville, CA 94608.
- Sutter Bay Hospitals' parent entity is Sutter Health, located at 2200 River Plaza Drive, Sacramento, CA 95833.

Should you have questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Hamila Kownacki at 415.600.1400.

Sincerely,



Hamila Kownacki, CEO
Sutter Health CPMC

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Embarcadero
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:08:01 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding homelessness.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: L. Petersen <LM_Petersen@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; mayor.london.breed@sfgov.org; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Embarcadero

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mayor and Supervisors (especially Mr. Peskin),

Please see my photos around the Ferry Blg. on Sunday. This is not a great look for all the tourists in the area and feeds the “failed city” theme. I come here about 3 times a month and this is the worst I have seen it in the last 8 months. Probably need a strategy to not have growing homeless encampments in the main tourist areas. Makes less people want to come here and spend their money, like me

Thank you







Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SFDPH Commission Meeting Draft Power Point Presentation 6/18/2024
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:25:42 AM
Attachments: [ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FROM 1960-2024 AND IMPACT ON HEALTHCARE.pptx](#)

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding surveillance technology.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: SFDPH Commission Meeting Draft Power Point Presentation 6/18/2024

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please send out to all Supervisors and support staff.

Please review prior to the next several BOS meetings as this will be very relevant in bringing peace.

I will discuss portions of it today at Public Comment.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris K.** <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Jun 17, 2024, 2:06 PM

Subject: SFDPH Commission Meeting Draft Power Point Presentation 6/18/2024

To: <Healthcommission.dph@sfdph.org>

Cc: Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>, Peskin, Aaron (BOS)

<Aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Executive Secretary Mark Morewitz,

Here is the draft Presentation in which I will be referencing tomorrow at the Health Commission Meeting. This is not the final draft which will most likely be presented at the first meeting in July.

Can you please forward to each of the Health Commissioners.

I'm working on the next part which will include the 24/7/365 monitoring of social media and how that can impact individuals or groups with negative outcomes.

Tomorrow's main topic is who has access and who is using their access outside the scope of authorization. For example, a politician in the Northeast was using their illegally obtained surveillance to cyberstalk to increase donations.

President of Board of Supervisors Aaron Peskin,

The final presentation of the PowerPoint Presentation for the Board of Supervisors will be after the presentation to the Health Commission.


Respectfully,


Chris Ward Kline

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FROM 1960-2024 AND IMPACT ON HEALTHCARE AND AUTOMATION

From Widgets, Apps interfaced with Systems to integrate and Streamline our Healthcare for automated interventions and health outcomes and solutions using algorithms via electricity, technology and cyber-infrastructure, voice and phone technology. What was used in the 1960's are similar in nature. Since it was supposed to be automated and automatic, it was kept confidential and not publicly discussed by Health Care Providers, Insurance Providers and Corporate Board Members. The number one issue today is the over-utilization of access and given credentials to non-authorized personnel that fall outside the domain of public health and safety.

After the successful first launch in November 1963, clandestine flight tests of Soviet killer satellites continued for most of the 1960s. Exactly 45 years ago, on Nov. 1, 1968, the USSR succeeded with an actual intercept and the destruction of a specially designed target satellite in orbit.





US assesses Russia launched space weapon in path of American satellite

By [Joey Roulette](#)

May 21, 2024 3:58 PM PDT

WASHINGTON, May 21 (Reuters) - Russia last week launched a satellite that U.S. intelligence officials believe to be a weapon capable of inspecting and attacking other satellites, the U.S. Space Command said on Tuesday as the Russian spacecraft trails a U.S. spy satellite in orbit.

Russia's Soyuz rocket blasted off from its Plesetsk launch site some 500 miles (800 km) north of Moscow on May 16, deploying in low-Earth orbit at least nine satellites including COSMOS 2576, a type of Russian military "inspector" spacecraft U.S. officials have long condemned as exhibiting reckless space behavior.

Algorithm

In a world increasingly dominated by technology, we hear the word Algorithm everywhere. What actually is an algorithm? In simple terms, an algorithm is essentially a sequence of concrete instructions that tell an operator what to do. Think of a flow chart that moves through steps of YES and NO guiding someone to a specific outcome.

Key Terms

Artificial Intelligence (AI): A domain within computer science where intelligence is generated by a machine rather than a biological being.

Machine Learning: A process within the realm of computer science corresponding to algorithms that improve through experience and feedback – “learning” – as opposed to explicit coding from a human programmer.


Big Data: Very large data sets often analyzed through algorithmic processes to reveal patterns.

Controversies

Algorithms are front and center in many contemporary debates in society. Most of these controversies stem from the morals surrounding the use of a given algorithm, both in terms of its consequences as well as issues concerning data privacy.

In 2018, controversy erupted following a data breach of Facebook data by [Cambridge Analytica](#), a consulting firm that used machine learning techniques to generate tailored political ads. Beyond the scandal’s mishandling of data privacy, the idea of political beliefs being manipulated by algorithmic methods designed to generate user engagement and increase corporate profits comes across as rather dystopian to many.

DIGITAL SURVEILLANCE RUNS THROUGH THE ELECTRIC GRID, POWER LINES, COMMUNICATION GRID, DRONES AND SATELLITES TO USE TEXT-TO-VOICE/VOICE-TO-TEXT, VIA VOICE BOTS TO DELIVER QUESTIONNAIRES, SURVEYS, OUTCOMES, SOLUTIONS TO ANY WHERE IN THE UNITED STATES OR ESLEWHERE WITH VOICE AND PHONE TECHNOLOGY. IT USES BOTS, CHIP AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY THAT UTILIZED ULTRASOUND FOR COMMERCE, PUBLIC HEALTH, PUBLIC SAFETY AND OTHER FUNCTIONS OF COUNTY, STATE, FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND NATIONAL DEFENSE.




THE TERM WIDGETS NOW KNOWN AS APPS

- The terminology of widget seems to have caught hold fairly soon. I was especially struck by this short 1939 movie by the General Motors Department of Public Relations. It's called "Round and Round," and as you will see, it's an attempt to describe a circular flow in the economy.
- In 1969, the Guinness company decided to take the widget out of the hypothetical, and to make and patent an actual product that has come to be called a "widget." The company filed a patent application in Ireland for an ["Improved Method of and Means of Dispensing Carbonated Liquids from Containers."](#)

All Widgets and Apps Operate with Interoperability and Algorithm with Corresponding Voice and Phone Technology with Assigned MHz Frequencies

- Phone Companies
- Corporations
- Conglomerates
- Public Health, Human Services Agency, Jails, Prisons, etc.
- Satellites, drones, weather balloons via
- Power Grid (PGE) –Electric, Water, Nuclear Power Plants, Dams, etc. via
- Apps such as (in San Francisco) Echo, One System, Sherlock, RGB Spectrum, What's App, Google, Facebook, Ring Doorbell, etc.

GEOFENCING IS THE USE OF GPS OR RFID TECHNOLOGY TO CREATE A VIRTUAL GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARY, ENABLING SOFTWARE TO COLLECT OR SEND DATA TO A SPECIFIC AREA OR TARGETING POPULATION. WHAT ONE PERSON HEARS ON THE LEFT GEOFENCE COULD BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN THAT OF THE RIGHT FENCE – IT COULD ALSO DELIVER DIFFERENT HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS OR COULD DELIVER A SYNERGY EFFECT WHICH IS CAUSED NOISE POLLUTION WHICH OFTEN NEGATIVELY IMPACTS HEALTH OUTCOMES. IMAGINE THE TWO GEOFENCES BELOW MERGING TOGETHER WITH TWO DIFFERENT MESSAGES FOR THE TARGETED POPULATION. IT WOULD CREATE CONFUSION, ANXIETY AND OTHER ISSUES.



Public health surveillance is the “systematic and continuous collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, closely integrated with the timely and coherent dissemination of the results and assessment to those who have the right to know so that action can be taken” (82). Digital public health surveillance, which we will refer to as digital surveillance hereafter, is the inclusion of digital data, particularly from social media or other internet-based sources, for this same purpose.

CONTINUOUS COLLECTION IS NOW 24/7/365 – PROBLEMATIC IS SOMEONE OR 1400 FOLKS NOW HAVE ACCESS IN A COUNTY TO ACCESS OR CHANGE A PERSON’S OUTCOMES, SOLUTIONS OR NOISE POLLUTION FROM ANOTHER AGENCY, COUNTY, STATE OR FOREIGN ENTITY WITH ILLEGAL AND UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS. COLLECTION ALSO MEANS DATA GOING BOTH WAYS TO DELIVER HEALTH CARE SOLUTIONS WHICH GREATLY INCREASES POWER USAGES, ELECTRICITY USAGES, POWER OUTAGES, CLIMATE CHANGE AND A HOST OF OTHER MAJOR ISSUES BESIDES DELIVERANCE OF POOR HEALTHCARE.



Read our stories and blogs to learn more about our innovative work, programs, collaborations, and people driving surveillance and data at CDC ... and beyond

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Howard Thurman Streetmarker Support 06142024.pdf
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:34:27 AM
Attachments: [Howard Thurman Streetmarker Support 06142024.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding **File No. 240213**: Resolution adding the commemorative street name “Dr. Howard Thurman Way” to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman’s legacy in San Francisco.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk’s Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk’s Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:29 PM
To: collinscharlesm@icloud.com
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Howard Thurman Streetmarker Support 06142024.pdf

Hi Charles,

Thank you for your letter of support for Item 2 on the 6/17 Land Use and Transportation

Committee agenda, File No. 240213. I'm forwarding your email to the Clerk of the Board so it can be included in the official record.

Calvin Yan | 甄錦浩

Legislative Aide | 市參事助理

Office of Supervisor Peskin | 市參事佩斯金辦公室

Office: 415-554-7450

Direct: 415-554-7453

calvin.yan@sfgov.org

Sign up to receive our newsletter [here!](#)

From: Charles Collins <collinscharlesm@icloud.com>

Sent: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:05 PM

To: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Howard Thurman Streetmarker Support 06142024.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you Calvin and Sarah.

This is the letter from Morehouse College President David Thomas.

I will make every attempt to be personally at the hearing on Monday.

Charles Collins

Sent from my iPhone

MOREHOUSE COLLEGE



June 14, 2024

Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Members of the Board,

Howard Thurman was the valedictorian of Morehouse College Class of 1923 and among the most influential graduates of our college. An acclaimed author, theologian, and educator, Thurman is credited with mentoring Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and other leaders of the day, who would significantly contribute to the modern Civil Rights Movement in America.

The Howard Thurman Honors Program is a four-year comprehensive program providing special learning opportunities for students of outstanding intellectual ability, high motivation and broad interests. This is how we keep the Thurman legacy alive.

Your decision to place the commemorative street marker at their home at 2020 Stockton Street in San Francisco will continue to emphasize and bring alive the Thurman legacy.

We urge your positive actions to bring this noble project alive for future generations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David A. Thomas". The signature is written in a cursive style.

David A. Thomas
President

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 408 Letters Regarding File No. 240501
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:50:45 AM
Attachments: [408 Letters Regarding File No. 240501.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 408 letters regarding **File No. 240501**:

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects by authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency and the Department of Public Works to expedite contracts by waiving application of the Environment Code and provisions relating to competitive bidding, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization, and other requirements, for construction work and professional and other services relating to Vision Zero projects, for a period of three years.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Jamie O'Keefe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jamie O'Keefe

Email jokeefe415@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ann Marie Porter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ann Marie Porter
Email porterssf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Liz Le](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:45:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Liz Le
Email elizle@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Geraldine Grelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:48:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Geraldine Grelli
Email gmslattery@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Mcdonald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:06:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Mcdonald
Email karenmcdonald03@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Janet McGee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Janet McGee
Email janetmcgee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Luke Perkocha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Luke Perkocha
Email luke3580@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elsie McGee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elsie McGee
Email elsiemcgee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tara Burke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tara Burke
Email taraburke13@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Tickler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Tickler
Email angela.tickler@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carmel Tickler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carmel Tickler
Email carmeltickler@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Charlotte Worcester](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:15:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Charlotte Worcester
Email beaubarlotte@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [MICHELLE ASIANO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent MICHELLE ASIANO
Email michelle.asiano@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joe ASIANO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joe ASIANO
Email joeharp123@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alan Burradell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alan Burradell
Email alanburradell@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:30:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Martin-Pinto
Email stephen@stephenmartinpinto.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bilques smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:31:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bilques smith

Email bilquessmith@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mary Minogue-Reidy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:33:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mary Minogue-Reidy
Email minoguereidy@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chrissy McGoldrick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chrissy McGoldrick
Email chrissy.d.mcgoldrick@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carla Kozak](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carla Kozak
Email carlak_56@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to the people you serve.

From: [JAMES McGuigan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent JAMES McGuigan
Email mcguiganjim@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Daniel Paredes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Daniel Paredes
Email danielaparedes716@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bruce Engle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bruce Engle
Email Bruce.Engle@outlook.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Eddie Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eddie Huang

Email edhuang@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lolita Churchill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lolita Churchill
Email 1lolachurch@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [victoire.reynal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent victoire reynal
Email victoirereynal@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Murano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:57:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Murano
Email mmurano@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lynne Schaadt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lynne Schaadt
Email lynnneschaadt@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gavin McGoldrick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gavin McGoldrick
Email gavs415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brendan Martinez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Brendan Martinez**
Email brendan.martinez92@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Randa Ghnaim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Randa Ghnaim
Email randaghnaim@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Derio Dito](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Derio Dito
Email djsffd@comcast.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lynne Sloan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lynne Sloan
Email lynnnesloan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Teresa Shaw
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marie Hurabiell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marie Hurabiell
Email mhurabie@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brad McMillan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Brad McMillan
Email mcmillan@viselect.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maria Aldaz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:28:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maria Aldaz
Email mealdaz58@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Timothy Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:30:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Timothy Smith
Email tim4thefuture@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Keith Kandarian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:33:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Keith Kandarian
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jeremiah Boehner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:36:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jeremiah Boehner
Email Jeremiahboehner@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Terry Whalen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:50:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Terry Whalen

Email terry@sumdigital.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joe Rogers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:13:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joe Rogers
Email rogers374@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Atkinson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Atkinson
Email Jatk_394@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lou Barberini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:49:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lou Barberini

Email Lou.barberni@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Matt Boschetto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:50:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Matt Boschetto
Email matt@matildasbloombox.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rose Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rose Sullivan

Email rosesull@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stacey Reineccius](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:09:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stacey Reineccius
Email sreineccius@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ivy Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:12:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ivy Tong
Email imivanhoe@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anna Marie Viola](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:21:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anna Marie Viola
Email anitaviola08@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Leslie Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:36:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Leslie Podell
Email leslie@podell.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Driver](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:40:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Driver
Email davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tobi Garelick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tobi Garelick

Email gusisadog@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [kaaren alvarado](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent kaaren alvarado

Email kaaren25@att.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Erin OGrady](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:15:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Erin OGrady
Email erin.ograde4@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mara Math](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:38:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mara Math
Email mjmaccabee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors,

Allowing the SFMTA to bypass the Administrative Code will be disastrous. No City agency should be allowed an end-run around the safeguards worked out and updated over decades.

If anything, post-Mohammad Nuru we should be tightening the contracts process, not discarding it. No-bid contracts should be scrutinized more intensely, not given a wave-of-the-hand pass.

One shockingly bad result of letting SFMTA run rampant is the Valencia Street center bike lane. Internationally as well as locally reviled by all stakeholders -- bicyclists, motorists, pedestrians, and experts -- united against it Muni dug in its heels and proceeded digging. Jeffrey Tumlin and the Muni Board have remained intransigent on the issue until last week.

Despite this bike lane's infamous failure to serve its purpose once built, and a grudging acknowledgment last week from Muni that the plan needs revision, the dangerous center bike lane will remain for another year or more. Why it can't be altered via a Quick Build or similar process, no one at Muni will explain. And we're supposed to give them more latitude to make and enforce their bad decisions?

Let's keep San Francisco as democratic as possible.
That means adhering to the City Code, not tossing it
in the trash.

Sincerely,
Mara Math

From: [Jacqueline Griffin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:41:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jacqueline Griffin
Email jaxs1183@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nick Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:00:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nick Podell
Email nick@podell.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sandy Glover](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:00:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sandy Glover
Email sunsetsandy98@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Madelon Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:03:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Madelon Podell
Email madelon@podell.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Roscelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:03:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Roscelli
Email paulroscelli@me.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nicholas Podell, Jr.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:03:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nicholas Podell, Jr.

Email nicky@podell.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Natalie Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Natalie Podell

Email natalie@podell.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Roz Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:03:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Roz Smith
Email slowstreetroz@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Gorski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Gorski
Email sjgorskilaw@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

I have been a Sunset resident since the late 70s most in my same home for the last 49 years near the Lower Great Highway & Taraval. Stephen J. Gorski

From: [Linda Simonin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:39:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Simonin
Email Linda@theSloans.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jacqueline Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:00:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jacqueline Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marina Moreno](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:00:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marina Moreno
Email marinamorenous@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Morgan Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:03:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Morgan Fletcher
Email morganfletchh@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Patton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:19:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Patton
Email loadndock@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jay Elliott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:26:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jay Elliott
Email jayelliott415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nora Rooney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nora Rooney
Email norarooney26@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Molly Elliott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Molly Elliott
Email poncasue@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [margaret Parker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:30:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent margaret Parker

Email parkmar@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Frances Hochschild](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:30:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Frances Hochschild
Email fhochschild@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Robin Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Robin Fletcher
Email robinfletcherr@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Arthur Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:33:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Arthur Fletcher

Email artjack@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kay Petrini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:42:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kay Petrini
Email kpetrini@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anne Larson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:07:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anne Larson
Email anniemo15@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brendan King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:10:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Brendan King**
Email bksunset30@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Grant Ingram](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:13:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Grant Ingram

Email grant.ingram@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Iris Vahrenhorst-Bucchioni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:33:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Iris Vahrenhorst-Bucchioni
Email irismvbucchioni@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Vanessa Pacheco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:54:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Vanessa Pacheco
Email vanessalp@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Randy Dodson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:00:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Randy Dodson
Email rdodson415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Byron Sakamoto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Byron Sakamoto

Email bts4birdie@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Katherine Howard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:15:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Katherine Howard
Email kathyhoward@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tamara Greenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:48:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tamara Greenberg
Email tamaragreenberg@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Amy Mc Manus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 7:23:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Amy Mc Manus
Email asmtoyou@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathy Crabe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:00:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathy Crabe
Email tallyhoagogo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jim McDonald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:06:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jim McDonald
Email jimandml@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [L. Poole](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:10:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent L. Poole
Email Inpoole@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Dohrmann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:15:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Dohrmann
Email kuyatheone@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sophia Mua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sophia Mua
Email sophiamua@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Celeste Marty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:18:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Celeste Marty
Email celeste.marty@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elizabeth Baxter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:27:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elizabeth Baxter

Email libbax@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maureen Hurley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:38:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maureen Hurley
Email maureen_hurley@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I attended the most recent SFMTA board meeting, as I was interested in Item 12, the updates to West Portal traffic patterns.

While waiting for that item to be heard, I was listening to Item 11, where staff was requesting an increase from \$1M to \$2M for not have to get explicit contract approval. The back and forth between the staff and Board, seemed to involve:

1. Staff ignoring the explicit request for a count on the # of contracts involved that are single bidder situations. Staff didn't know the answer - proper response - find the answer and report back.

The discussion seemed circular and pointless.

2. As a CPA, the real issue was why a 100% request for contract value increase was even made, especially in this fiscal environment.

A more stepped approach (i.e. 5% over \$1M contract value or 10% over \$1M contract value) would have shown more sensitivity to the fiscal conditions of the city.

After the proposed changes at West Portal, I have

attended at least 7 meetings on this topic and I see that more oversight is warranted. I strongly object to any departure to SFMTA procurement guidelines.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city. Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us

closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alana Poole](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:40:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alana Poole
Email alana.poole10@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nancy Stafford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nancy Stafford
Email nancystafford964@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:14:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Linda Mathews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:27:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Mathews
Email linda.mathews@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jasmine Madatian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:08:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jasmine Madatian
Email madatian.j@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Olivia Mayes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:54:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Olivia Mayes
Email marquittamayes@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gabrielle Lavelle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:05:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gabrielle Lavelle
Email gcatlavelle@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Phyllis Strain](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:07:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Phyllis Strain
Email phyllis_strain@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathy Kelly](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:34:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathy Kelly
Email kathykelly44@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carol Sheehy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 1:13:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Sheehy

Email shehi903@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Coll](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 4:27:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Coll
Email kellsconstructioninc@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Diana Dubash](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:28:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Diana Dubash

Email dirus@pacbell.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Micahel Regan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 6:43:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Micahel Regan

Email myoldgoat@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joe Maloney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:07:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joe Maloney
Email joemaloneyjoe@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Casey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:43:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Casey
Email michaeljcasey@mindspring.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elizabeth Faliano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:37:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elizabeth Faliano

Email 415irisheyes@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dennis Lim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 9:24:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dennis Lim
Email Dlim356@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jim Horan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 9:33:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jim Horan
Email jimmyhoran@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lynne Sloan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 9:44:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lynne Sloan
Email lynnnesloan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathleen Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 10:24:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathleen Gee
Email kathygee606@att.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [kim russo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 10:49:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent kim russo
Email Ckar101@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:23:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Martin-Pinto
Email stephen@stephenmartinpinto.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Wesley Valaris](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Wesley Valaris
Email cablecar@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Noelle Song](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 12:01:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Noelle Song
Email noellesong008@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 12:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Lee
Email angelalee333@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chris Fern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 12:06:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chris Fern
Email operachirs@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 12:12:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri
Email jenealag@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Judith Parks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 1:00:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Judith Parks
Email jayho1208@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marcie Ludes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 1:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marcie Ludes

Email marcie.ludes@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anthony Villa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 3:53:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anthony Villa

Email tvobsf@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Thomas Henderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 3:54:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Thomas Henderson
Email tshend1949@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alyse Ceirante](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alyse Ceirante
Email honorlabor@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Micahel Regan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:08:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Micahel Regan

Email myoldgoat@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Darrell Scarlet](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:24:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Darrell Scarlet
Email darrell.scarlet@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [mark Stoddard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:14:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent mark Stoddard

Email stod.mark@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maria Vengerova](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 9:24:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maria Vengerova
Email Maria.Vengerova@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anne Stanton Malone](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:33:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anne Stanton Malone
Email abstanton@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:50:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Cohen
Email michael.cohensfo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Antonia Clark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:54:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Antonia Clark
Email antonia_ckark@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marc Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:54:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marc Cohen
Email mcohen@saicusa.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carol Chichester](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:54:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Chichester

Email ccchichester@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Antonia Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:54:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Antonia Cohen
Email antiniahcohen@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Andrew Churchill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:54:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrew Churchill
Email andrew2472002@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Harry Hunt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:57:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Harry Hunt
Email huntharry@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Monika Hunt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:57:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Monika Hunt**
Email huntmonika@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathleen Kraus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:30:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathleen Kraus
Email kshea201@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nick Di Scala](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 12:43:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nick Di Scala

Email Ndiscala@att.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Margaret Barry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 2:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Margaret Barry
Email sfpbarry@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rosemary Newton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 2:51:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rosemary Newton

Email rosenewton@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Steven Schroeder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:04:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Steven Schroeder
Email mcma111@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marc Rabideau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:04:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marc Rabideau

Email marcrabideau@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Victoria Bruckner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:04:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Victoria Bruckner
Email victoriabruckner988@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elizabeth Hosfield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:04:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elizabeth Hosfield
Email ehosfield@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Miriam Weber](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Miriam Weber
Email webermk@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kenneth Camp](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kenneth Camp
Email kennycamp@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [LouAnn Bassan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent LouAnn Bassan
Email louann.bassan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Lou Ann Bassan

From: [William Isham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent William Isham

Email ishwish00@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jeffrey Fell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jeffrey Fell
Email felldown99@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sherman Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sherman Gee
Email mr.sherman.gee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alison Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alison Fong
Email ayfong1@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ana Baccari](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ana Baccari
Email am20076@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [richard_brandi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:09:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent richard brandi
Email rbrandi@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Tickler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:09:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Tickler
Email tickl1home@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:09:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Cohen
Email michael.cohensfo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Will Cody](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:09:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Will Cody
Email wcody415@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gretchen Koch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:12:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gretchen Koch
Email gretchenee@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [linda yaco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:12:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent linda yaco
Email harris.rose@att.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nancy Hinze](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nancy Hinze
Email nanrad6@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Valerie Pinkert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Valerie Pinkert
Email vpinkert@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tris Thomson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tris Thomson
Email tris.thomson@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Helen Katzenmeyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Helen Katzenmeyer

Email hdk333@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Erich Wolf Stratmann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Erich Wolf Stratmann
Email ewstratmann@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Richard Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:15:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Richard Lee
Email glock226@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marilyn Flynn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marilyn Flynn
Email lynn.flynn@realestatesf.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [sandra yagi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:18:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent sandra yagi
Email sandrayagi@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

Dear Supervisors.
I don't trust Sfmta to manage its business agreements in a judicious and wise manner.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City

government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [MAUREEN OCONNOR](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:18:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent MAUREEN OCONNOR

Email moinsf@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Diane Janakes-Zasada](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Diane Janakes-Zasada

Email djanakes@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Scott Whelan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Scott Whelan

Email sfo423@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Clyde Nichols](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Clyde Nichols
Email holzregal@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Beth Fox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Beth Fox**
Email ehfox1013@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Doerte Murray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Doerte Murray
Email doerte.murray9655@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Christina Shih](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Christina Shih
Email cysf2003@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

In addition to the letter below, I believe the SFMTA and actually Park and Rec are out of control. For instance the Geary "improvement" project which lost street parking and hurt small business for a gain of what? 4 min in transit time? PLUS after I saw a Muni bus NOT use the transit lane for about 15 blocks but instead used the right traffic lane I emailed SFMTA and was told buses were not REQUIRED to use the transit lane. ??????

Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step

towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Judi Hurabiell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Judi Hurabiell
Email jmhurabiell1@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [kathleen Kraus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:24:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent kathleen Kraus
Email kshea201@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ashley Dalzell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:24:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ashley Dalzell
Email ashleydalzell@mindspring.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nina Steinman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:24:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nina Steinman
Email ninasteinman@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ron Blatman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ron Blatman
Email ronblatman@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Barbara Dwyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Barbara Dwyer
Email montereydivingwoman@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dale Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:27:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dale Wong
Email dalewong108@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brad Green](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Brad Green
Email bradg@5ht.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Teresa Jang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Teresa Jang
Email tjang@rocketmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mason Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mason Fong
Email masonwfong@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kevin Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kevin Fong
Email kfong248@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Christina Jang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Christina Jang
Email t.jang@sbcglobal.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chit Kwong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:33:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chit Kwong
Email chilwong@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Irene Deutsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:36:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Irene Deutsch
Email ideut8@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Arthur Hubbard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:39:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Arthur Hubbard

Email amhsf@att.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mark Felix](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mark Felix
Email mafelix86@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Coll](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Coll
Email kellsconstructioninc@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Cornell Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:39:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Cornell Lee
Email corny1215@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mauricio Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mauricio Cohen
Email mcohen@saicusa.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marie Calendar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:42:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marie Calendar
Email mariecalendar2000@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Usha and John Burns](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:42:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Usha and John Burns
Email Johnmburns48@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nick Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:42:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nick Podell
Email nick@podell.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Shirley Fogarino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:42:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Shirley Fogarino

Email scoopfoggy@prodigy.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Time for some serious administrative staff cuts and accountability at SFMTA. Start with Tumlin.

From: [Heidi Howell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:45:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Heidi Howell
Email Heidihowell44@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:45:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Young
Email mhyoung510@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [ANDREW NADELL](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:48:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent ANDREW NADELL

Email caius@caius.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [James Nagle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:48:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent James Nagle

Email bud@lindamarcap.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Edward Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Edward Zhang
Email pwrshot32@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Patrick Ryan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Patrick Ryan
Email pgryan209@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Linda Tuggle-Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Tuggle-Zhang
Email unianded3@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jasmine Madatian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jasmine Madatian
Email madatian.j@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Katherine Martin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Katherine Martin
Email martin.kathyt@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Merrill Bronstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Merrill Bronstein
Email budbronstein@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [robert jow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent robert jow
Email rjow88@google.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gregory Silvia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:54:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gregory Silvia
Email tree2teee323@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Robert Pritchard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:54:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Robert Pritchard
Email ropritchard@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The voters are fed up with the unbridled idiocy and wasteful spending of the SFMTA!
Enough is way beyond what should of been acceptable!
Us taxpayers need to finally get respect of what we want AND get stuck tolerating and paying for!

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable!

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts

without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Please knock down this yet another, ill thought out piece of ordinance.

From: [Dennis Dybeck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:54:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dennis Dybeck
Email dennisdybeck@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mike Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:54:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mike Jones
Email mj357@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [andrew betancourt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:57:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent andrew betancourt
Email clearfield@juno.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Nulty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Nulty
Email john.nulty@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marlen Bekirov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marlen Bekirov
Email marlen.bekirov63@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Tovbin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:00:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Tovbin
Email paultov@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rosemary Newton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:00:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rosemary Newton

Email rosenewton@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Catherine Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:03:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Catherine Wu

Email catwu9@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors,

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

The SFMTA continues to operate with over a 200 million dollar deficit with its head in the sand for how the citizens and visitors to San Francisco operate. Day to day in our neighborhood it is clear that the SFMTA spends recklessly on low priority projects that detrimentally affect the residents and small businesses. To allow it to operate without any impediments is illogical and fiscally irresponsible.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sherman King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:03:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sherman King
Email lionshermanking@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Susan Hall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:06:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Susan Hall
Email sfsusan.hall@me.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sandra Jeong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:09:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sandra Jeong
Email snjeong@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tom Flint](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tom Flint
Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jamie Bowles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:09:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Jamie Bowles**
Email jnb@peebee.mozmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathryn Van Koughnett](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:09:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathryn Van Koughnett

Email kathryn_v@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anna Bockris](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:12:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anna Bockris

Email abockris@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Linda Maher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:15:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Maher
Email czyarrow@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anna Bockris](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:15:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anna Bockris

Email abockris@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Terrie Gigliotti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Terrie Gigliotti
Email foggydawg@ail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marina Franco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marina Franco
Email stellafranco@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Burton S](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:21:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Burton S**
Email burtonts@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Aaron Goodman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Aaron Goodman
Email amgodman@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Antoinette Wythes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:21:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Antoinette Wythes
Email maitsai@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Patricia Wise](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Patricia Wise
Email pawise52@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Meredith Dunn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:30:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Meredith Dunn
Email meredithcdunn@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I URGE you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Douglas Flinn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:30:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Douglas Flinn

Email doug_flinn@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [jennifer.biederbeck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent jennifer.biederbeck
Email jbiederbeck@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Patricia Arack](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Patricia Arack

Email parack@ccsf.edu

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Doug McKirahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:33:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Doug McKirahan
Email ratt57@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Larry Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:33:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Larry Chan
Email lc1484@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alan Burradell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:36:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alan Burradell
Email alanburradell@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nancy Spooner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:36:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nancy Spooner
Email nancy10sf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Julia Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:36:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Julia Wong
Email juliawongsf@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Melissa Aurand](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:36:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Melissa Aurand
Email melissa.w.aurand@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jen Dougherty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jen Dougherty
Email dordy71@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kate McCaffrey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kate McCaffrey
Email kcodysf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maureen Perry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:45:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maureen Perry
Email mjpgmab@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Popescu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Popescu
Email jcpopescu@att.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kim Simms](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kim Simms
Email kssimms4@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lorri Ungaretti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:48:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lorri Ungaretti
Email lorrisf@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mike Regan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:48:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mike Regan
Email myoldgoat@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chris Courtney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chris Courtney
Email c_courtney@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Rossi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:51:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Rossi
Email saucyrossi@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jennifer Z Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:54:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jennifer Z Yan
Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bettina Grensted](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bettina Grensted
Email hiatal-curly-0h@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [RoseMarie Shishkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:57:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent RoseMarie Shishkin

Email shishkinr@sfusd.edu

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Andrew B. Gottlieb](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:57:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrew B Gottlieb
Email agottlieb51@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

This is an outrageous abuse of power and I'm extremely disappointed that Supervisor Chan would propose this.

From: [Maria Sousa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maria Sousa
Email mlsurban@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [BRADLEY FORGANG](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent BRADLEY FORGANG

Email bforgang@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

SFMTA has proven its inability to be effective and efficient with tax payer dollars. They need more oversight, not less. They also need a new head as the current head is a train wreck.

From: [Andrew B. Gottlieb](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:00:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrew B Gottlieb
Email agottlieb54@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [marco chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:00:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent marco chen
Email wbxivan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Andrew Fraknoi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:03:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrew Fraknoi
Email fraknoiandrew@fhda.edu
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sarah Stettler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sarah Stettler

Email Lynnguist@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you,

Sarah Stettler

From: [Angus Macfarlae](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angus Macfarlae
Email aamacfarlane@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Leslie Koelsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Leslie Koelsch
Email koelsch1886@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Cerchiai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:15:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Cerchiai
Email mcerchiai@mac.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [don papa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent don papa
Email donsteven@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Katherine Howard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:28:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Katherine Howard
Email kathyhoward@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is not a good idea.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent and arrogant agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [james zucherman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent james zucherman
Email zuchermanj@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lisa Harpenau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:36:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lisa Harpenau

Email airy-freest.0n@icloud.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brian Bonham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:39:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Brian Bonham
Email mayumikamon@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Newton Butler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Newton Butler
Email Louissf@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Greig Neilson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:45:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Greig Neilson
Email greig@greigneilson.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mary Taylor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:51:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mary Taylor
Email fftaylor@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Neville Morcom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:51:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Neville Morcom
Email nmorcom@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:54:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Hatun Noguera
Email noguera@changes.world
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Linda Hayashi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:54:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Hayashi
Email lu3mwls@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [PS Pon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent PS Pon
Email sfpamela@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tamara Greenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:00:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tamara Greenberg
Email tamaragreenberg@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ira Schneiderman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:03:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ira Schneiderman
Email schneido@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [CARYL ITO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:15:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent CARYL ITO
Email carylito@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Puechner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:15:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Puechner
Email kpuechner@msn.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tim Carrico](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tim Carrico
Email tcarrico@well.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carmel Passanisi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:27:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carmel Passanisi

Email carmel2710@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael G](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:31:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael G
Email cabrito@sonic.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bernard Dethiers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Bernard Dethiers
Email bdehiers@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paula Katz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paula Katz
Email paulagiants@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Dalzell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:36:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Dalzell
Email tpdalzell@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jann Jeung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:36:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jann Jeung
Email jncao@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kate English](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:39:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kate English
Email kenglish1775@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Koelsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:39:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Koelsch
Email johnoliver1886@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Eugene LOCH](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:42:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eugene LOCH
Email eugene@techshaman.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dee-Dee Sberlo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:45:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dee-Dee Sberlo
Email infomazia415@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sharon Soong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:45:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sharon Soong

Email soong.sharon@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rick Scott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:48:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rick Scott
Email rsrobred@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carl Wendorf](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:54:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carl Wendorf
Email carlwendorf@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John McCammon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:54:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John McCammon
Email johnnymccammon@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [DEBRA HOWARD](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:54:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent DEBRA HOWARD
Email deb127@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Troup](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Troup
Email david@troup.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jane Perry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jane Perry
Email janesjoint5@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Brenda Kwee McNulty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Brenda Kwee McNulty

Email kweenulty@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dians Kaytun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:09:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dians Kaytun

Email corex123@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kelly Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kelly Faulkner
Email kellymariefaulkner@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joseph Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joseph Faulkner
Email joemangolf@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carol Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:09:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Faulkner
Email cmoelarrycarol@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Perry Klebahn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:09:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Perry Klebahn
Email perry_k2003@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Julianne Okeefe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:12:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Julianne Okeefe
Email jnokeefe@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [James Okeefe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:15:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent James Okeefe

Email jnokeefe@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Myers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:15:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Myers
Email karenmsf@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:15:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Lee
Email tweeter-snooty.0h@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [DANNA Alexander](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:18:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent DANNA Alexander
Email dalex131@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maria Cuevas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:21:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maria Cuevas
Email cuevasm1016@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Wes Wakeford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:27:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Wes Wakeford
Email weswake@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Breslin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:27:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Breslin

Email kbsmail@sbcglobal.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ignacio Orellana Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:30:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ignacio Orellana Garcia

Email volare232@hotmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Liz Thorstad](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:33:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Liz Thorstad
Email thor2451@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Eisler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Eisler
Email mbeis@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jan Diamond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:45:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jan Diamond
Email janmdiamond@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

DO NOT LISTEN TO CONNIE CHAN and her terrible ideas about the SFMTA. I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [DAVID DRIVER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:48:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent DAVID DRIVER
Email DAVIDRANDOLPHDRIVER@GMAIL.COM
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maria Aldaz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:51:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maria Aldaz
Email mealdaz58@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathleen Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:54:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathleen Gee
Email kathygee606@att.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Alfred Fenton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Alfred Fenton

Email alfenton@comcast.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Victoria Fenton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:57:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Victoria Fenton
Email victoria827@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Stephen Ernst](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:00:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Stephen Ernst
Email steve.ernst@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jamie Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Jamie Wong**
Email jamielee6@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marcus Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marcus Wong
Email marcus.l.wong@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kat Gelles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:09:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kat Gelles
Email gellesretour@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

Control of money is the first thing you learn about in business - not lack of control. The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the

operations of almost every department in the city. Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gloria Saltzman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:34:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gloria Saltzman
Email gloriasalt@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tony Diricco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:42:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tony Diricco
Email tonydsta7@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:42:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri

Email jenealann@att.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Frank Keane](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:48:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Frank Keane

Email fktri@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jennifer Chin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:06:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jennifer Chin

Email jenmchin@hotmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jackie Holen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jackie Holen
Email jackie.holen@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [JOHN CERVANTES](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:18:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent JOHN CERVANTES

Email city10s@pacbell.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Lozynsky](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:18:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Lozynsky
Email johnlozy@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ellen Koivisto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:24:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ellen Koivisto

Email offstage@earthlink.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

Yeeees! The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ric Robins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ric Robins

Email r@ricstar.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

Dear Supervisors.

The SFMTA gambles with people's lives. Their test and learn strategies are not acceptable when lives are on the line. Please do not allow this organization any additional autonomy. Their implementation record has been terrible. Their data collection and information spreading campaigns are skewed and immoral. They're incompetent and agenda driven being held captive by special interest groups.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency

that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [paul.wythes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent paul wythes
Email paul@wythes.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Charlotte Worcester](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:44:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Charlotte Worcester
Email beaubarlotte@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Richard Worner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:45:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Richard Worner
Email worner@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Peter Elden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:48:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Peter Elden
Email peterelden@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Spencer Sherwin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:00:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Spencer Sherwin
Email spencer.sherwin@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sherrie Rosenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:09:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sherrie Rosenberg
Email sherrie.rosenberg@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lisa Herzstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:18:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lisa Herzstein
Email lhvegan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Claire Alt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Claire Alt
Email claire.k.alt@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Sincerely,
Claire Alt

From: [John Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:35:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Lee
Email jmlee128@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lisa Chew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:41:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lisa Chew
Email harp-zinger.0g@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Audrey Ricci](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:20:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Audrey Ricci
Email Aricci26@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tatyana Roberts](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:23:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tatyana Roberts
Email tatyana.key@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lucy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:24:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lucy Ho
Email lucyho888@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [DAVID DOSSETTER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:30:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent DAVID DOSSETTER
Email daviddossetter@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Andrew Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:37:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrew Lee
Email amlee2@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Julie Ling-Ino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:53:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Julie Ling-Ino

Email jlino7@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ginger Paling-Kuhnke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:04:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ginger Paling-Kuhnke
Email gingerbread669@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Asimina Mourelatos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:17:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Asimina Mourelatos
Email mina_M@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Diana Dubash](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:29:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Diana Dubash

Email dirus@pacbell.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Robert Lim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:21:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Robert Lim
Email nellie44444rl@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Darcy Wettersten](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:32:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Darcy Wettersten
Email swimcoolwater@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jerrick Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:55:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jerrick Woo
Email jolowwoo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dave Nicholson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:59:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dave Nicholson
Email falloff.muffin-0y@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kelly Lang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:17:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kelly Lang
Email klfac@yaho.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:23:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Lee
Email kwonglee223@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carmen Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:24:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carmen Woo

Email aiyai288@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:27:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Lee
Email kwonglee223@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Steve Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:27:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Steve Woo
Email stevewoo628@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gail Rutherford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:39:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gail Rutherford
Email gail_rutherford@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lisa B Pierrepont](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:39:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lisa B Pierrepont

Email lisapierrepont@mac.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Amy Bearg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:03:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Amy Bearg
Email amybearg@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maryanne Razzo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maryanne Razzo
Email maryannevrazzo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tami Epstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:15:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tami Epstein

Email tl.epstein@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nina Kohn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:21:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nina Kohn
Email gob.violin.0@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gary Egan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:24:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gary Egan
Email egan.w.gary@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Debbie Shea Fox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:30:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Debbie Shea Fox

Email dmshea@msn.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Calista Shea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:31:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Calista Shea
Email calistashea@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Pamela Shea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:33:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Pamela Shea

Email pshea125@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jim Bowlby](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:36:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jim Bowlby
Email jbowlby00@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jamie Bowles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:45:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jamie Bowles

Email jnb@bfarm.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Linda Barnard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:54:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Linda Barnard
Email lindab_25@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Holly Peterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:04:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Holly Peterson
Email holly.peterson@me.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [James Earhart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:09:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent James Earhart

Email jwearhart@sbcglobal.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Vera Genkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:13:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Vera Genkin
Email tuttgen@sonic.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Norma Gengler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:15:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Norma Gengler
Email gina46@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Suzanne Radcliffe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:18:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Suzanne Radcliffe
Email suzannej.radcliffe@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Sandra Jadallah](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:21:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sandra Jadallah
Email Sjadalla@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mary Miles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:27:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mary Miles
Email page364@earthlink.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Darrell Scarlet](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:27:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Darrell Scarlet
Email Darrell.Scarlet@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you
Darrell Scarlet

From: [Nancy Federico](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:27:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nancy Federico
Email nlfederico@msn.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Elizabeth Clark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:30:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elizabeth Clark

Email swimeclark@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Steve Camahort](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:30:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Steve Camahort
Email stevecamahort@paulhastings.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mike Hill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:33:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mike Hill
Email windwacko@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

Why are we spending so much money on Vision Zero with no benefit? We need to start enforcing traffic laws for scooters, razors, bikes and pedestrians. This city is out of control, jaywalkers everywhere with no regard for their own safety. stand up scooters are like fruit flies, paying no attention and driving down the middle of the street.

Bikes.... Bikes are now riding on main thoroughfares while skipping dedicated bike lanes one or two blocks away (Oak street being the big offense)

We need to enforce these street users to obey the same laws as cars, otherwise there will continue to be chaos on the streets of SF

From: [Robert O'Donnell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:34:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Robert O'Donnell
Email robert@wealthmechanix.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

Once again, the SFMTA is trying to pull a fast one. And the pandemic is over!

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of

unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Dold](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:45:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Dold
Email trattratt@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anthony Bianco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:48:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anthony Bianco
Email tony.bianco@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marcy Israel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:21:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marcy Israel
Email mathias1us@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Patricia Sonnino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:33:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Patricia Sonnino

Email clasps.06surf@icloud.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Yang Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:36:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Yang Wang
Email daniellewy2012@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angie Yap](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:39:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angie Yap
Email ayhc69@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 110 Letters Regarding File No. 240501
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 12:16:27 PM
Attachments: [110 Letters Regarding File No. 240501.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 110 letters regarding **File No. 240501**:

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects by authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency and the Department of Public Works to expedite contracts by waiving application of the Environment Code and provisions relating to competitive bidding, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization, and other requirements, for construction work and professional and other services relating to Vision Zero projects, for a period of three years.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [mari.eliza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent mari eliza
Email zrants@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Mark Macy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mark Macy
Email markm@macyarchitecture.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Evelyn Graham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 10, 2024 10:12:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Evelyn Graham
Email dundeel@mail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Frank Zepeda](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 9:43:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Frank Zepeda

Email zepedaf@attglobal.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Laura Mulcrevy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:34:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Laura Mulcrevy
Email lauralou.sf@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [ANNIE Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:57:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent ANNIE Wong

Email anniewong29@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marc Tuttle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:03:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marc Tuttle
Email marctuttle@sonic.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marc Rabideau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:03:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marc Rabideau

Email marcrabideau@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects. This should also include the firing of Jeffrey Tumlin and his vehement campaign to rid the streets of all available parking and limit car traffic and convenience at every turn!

From: [Forrest Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:09:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Forrest Liu
Email forrest.liu@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Richard Soroko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:18:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Richard Soroko
Email richardsoroko1@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Margarida MacCormick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:24:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Margarida MacCormick
Email mmaccormick38@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Donna Ames Heldfond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:24:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Donna Ames Heldfond

Email donna@donnaames.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Barbara Addeo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:33:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Barbara Addeo
Email babar705@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:48:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Lee
Email angelalee333@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Joseph McFadden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:51:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Joseph McFadden
Email fadsmcfadden@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chao-Tung Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:54:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Chao-Tung Lin

Email kenny0402.lin@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Susan Bernard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:57:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Susan Bernard
Email sbernard19@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Leilani Mason](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:01:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Leilani Mason
Email leilani@southsidesf.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Evelyn Graham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:06:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Evelyn Graham
Email dundeel@mail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Pamela Vincent](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:06:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Pamela Vincent
Email prvincent27@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Crosson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:12:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Crosson

Email david48ec@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Saba Heydayian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:18:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Saba Heydayian
Email saba@sabariainc.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ignacio Orellana-Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:21:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ignacio Orellana-Garcia

Email Volare232@hotmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jiyeon Kim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:27:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jiyeon Kim
Email jiyeonkim345@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Richard McNulty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:30:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Richard McNulty
Email richard.w.mcNulty@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Josie McGann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:33:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Josie McGann
Email josiemcgann@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Renee Lazear](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:39:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Renee Lazear

Email redpl@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Munoz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:42:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Munoz
Email dmunoz08@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ted Handler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:51:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ted Handler
Email tedh-4155@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rodney Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:54:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rodney Leong

Email rleong@rocketmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John McNamara](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:54:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John McNamara
Email mcnamara229@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Darin Birtwhistle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:54:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Darin Birtwhistle
Email darinbirt@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tom Rapkoch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:03:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tom Rapkoch
Email trapkoch@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Timothy Finan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:06:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Timothy Finan
Email timfinan@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Karen Mcdonald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Karen Mcdonald
Email karenmcdonald03@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Lehr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:13:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Lehr
Email lehr.david@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Maryann Dresner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Maryann Dresner
Email madresner@cs.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Monica Mc Guire](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:31:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Monica Mc Guire
Email monicaemcguire@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nancy Shea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:36:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nancy Shea
Email nanshea22@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Glenn Rogers](#)
To: lauralou.sf@gmail.com; [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFMTA Ordinance 240501
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:41:45 PM
Attachments: [SFMTA Ordinance 240501.pages](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I oppose this ordinance.

Glenn Rogers, RLA
President of CSFN
Landscape architect
License 3223

From: [Mady Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Mady Jones
Email madyjones@me.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Melissa Aurand](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:54:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Melissa Aurand
Email melissa.w.autand@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Judi Dito](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:00:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Judi Dito
Email judwithi@me.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Vivien MacDonald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Vivien MacDonald

Email bebemacd@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anne Larson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anne Larson
Email anniemo15@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jeff Kline](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:53:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jeff Kline
Email kline.jb@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Laura Dunn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:55:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Laura Dunn
Email dunnlau@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carin Zimmerman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:06:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carin Zimmerman

Email czimmerm@ccsf.edu

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: amy.saeyang@sfcta.org on behalf of [SFCTA Clerk](#)
To: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT - Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:08:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello all,

I am distributing public comment received below and I wanted to ensure you see these. They are coming from a campaign, but an error in the BOS email address seems to be replicated across various emails so we weren't sure if BOS was getting these.

Thank you,
Amy Saeyang

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

Office: 415-522-4800
info@sfcta.org



sfcta.org | [sign up for our newsletter](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David Lehr** <noreply@jotform.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 17, 2024 at 1:13 PM
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
To: <MTABoard@sfmta.com>, <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>, <info@sfcta.org>, <sfosb@sfgov.org>

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Lehr

Email lehr.david@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message

Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan

amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city. Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can

no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive
and community damaging projects.

--

Clerk
SFCTA

Office: 415-522-4800
clerk@sfcta.org



sfcta.org | [sign up for our newsletter](#)

From: [Angelique Mahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:36:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angelique Mahan
Email angelmahan@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Wire Mold](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:42:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Wire Mold
Email wire_mold@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Ruth Parker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:07:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Ruth Parker
Email rsparker@mail.sfsu.edu
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Gary Kendall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:21:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gary Kendall
Email gary_k@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [J. Barry Gurdin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:30:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent J. Barry Gurdin
Email gurdin@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Erica Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:39:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Erica Brown
Email bobattybobatty@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carol Lavelle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:50:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Lavelle

Email calavelle@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Felicia Valmonte](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:03:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Felicia Valmonte
Email feliciav@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anthony Villa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anthony Villa

Email tvobsf@gmail.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Michael Valmonte](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:03:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Valmonte
Email valmonte@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:26:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Ng
Email JohnNgSF@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Eileen Foti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:49:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eileen Foti
Email fotieileen@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Irene Deutsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:57:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Irene Deutsch
Email ideut8@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Patrick Skain](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:01:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Patrick Skain

Email patskain@att.net

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [pramjijt kaur](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:18:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent pramjijt kaur
Email pjkcour007@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [LaVive Kiely](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:24:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent LaVive Kiely
Email kielykids@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Richard Glogau, M.D.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Richard Glogau, M.D.

Email rglogau@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Scott Evans](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:31:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Scott Evans
Email brianscott2780@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Barbara Foley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:39:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Barbara Foley**
Email barbara0704ib@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [David Richardson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent David Richardson
Email dnr1169@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [John Amaro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:54:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent John Amaro
Email jptinc@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [L Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:55:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent L Wong
Email Renonv86@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Rick Lopes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:15:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Rick Lopes
Email ricklopes@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Nick van Beek](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:15:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Nick van Beek
Email snwag2000@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Jed RAYNOR](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jed RAYNOR
Email snazzyjazzyheadapparel@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Anna Sop](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:31:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Anna Sop
Email anna.sop@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Daniel O'Donnell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:35:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Daniel O'Donnell
Email dodonnell88@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Evelyn Rose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:42:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Evelyn Rose
Email GlenParkHistory@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an agency unilateral power they should not have. SFMTA one of many SF agencies that, to enter into contracts, requires oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city. Now is not the time to allow an agency to enter into

contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has nothing to do with streamlining a contract process. No amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of the city's inefficiencies.

Thank you for your time.

From: [Erin Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:01:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Erin Murphy
Email minimurph22@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [victor collaco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:18:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent victor collaco
Email victor.collaco1@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Helen Collaco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Helen Collaco
Email helencollaco@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Paul Dohrmann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Paul Dohrmann
Email kuyatheone@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marie Delloue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:35:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marie Delloue
Email madelloue61@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Angela Sicord](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Angela Sicord
Email angela.sicord@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Margery Gray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Margery Gray
Email Oladygray@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Thomas Harvey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Thomas Harvey
Email tdharveyiii@comcast.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Morgan Weiss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Morgan Weiss
Email morgan.weiss.42@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lori Tooker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:29:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lori Tooker
Email growingmoreinfo@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Judi Gorski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:56:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Judi Gorski
Email judigorski@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Susan Longardino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:10:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Susan Longardino
Email longardino@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Marina Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:35:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marina Roche
Email marinaroche@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kevin Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:35:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kevin Roche
Email krochemusic@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Hannora Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:36:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Hannora Roche
Email irishslate@aol.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Margaret Osullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:39:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Margaret Osullivan

Email slatehouse@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Dearan Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:39:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dearan Roche
Email droche18@icloud.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Aislin Palladino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:39:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Aislin Palladino
Email aislin.palladino@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Cullen Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:42:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Cullen Roche
Email cullen.roche1992@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Eamon Roche](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:42:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eamon Roche
Email eamon415roche@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kellin Scudder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:54:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kellin Scudder
Email kdefiel@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Chuck Pendell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:59:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Chuck Pendell**
Email pendellchuck@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kathryn Parenti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:12:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kathryn Parenti
Email kt129@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you,
Kathryn Parenti
Outer sunset resident/taxpayer

From: [Art Bodner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:34:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Art Bodner
Email artbay@yahoo.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

The simple fact is that the SFMTA, as is, is tone deaf to the needs and wishes of the current residents. It is ruled by an ideologue who has been ousted from other cities because of his radical agenda. Enough is enough and we need an SFMTA that takes ALL citizens into consideration. It should be supporting our neighborhood business districts, which are really the soul of SF, as a priority and not totally ignored in transit policy. Valencica Street disaster was enough to have Tumlin dismissed, and rethink the entire approach of this department.

From: [Gandhia Andrews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:45:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Gandhia Andrews
Email gb_andrews@hotmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

What happened to ACCOUNTABILITY in this city????

From: [Judi Hurabiell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:54:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Judi Hurabiell
Email jmhurabiell1@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Kelly Lang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:27:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Kelly Lang
Email klfac@yaho.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [jason.poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:33:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent jason.poon
Email jjpoon@pacbell.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [ROCHELLE GOTTLIEB](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:36:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent ROCHELLE GOTTLIEB

Email r_liebfrog@yahoo.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Margaret Casarez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:36:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Margaret Casarez
Email gandpcaz@msn.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Lonna Denny](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:24:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Lonna Denny
Email lonnadenny@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Fredric Lofrano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:42:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Fredric Lofrano

Email fred@lofrano.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Carol Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:55:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Faulkner

Email cmoelarrycarol@aol.com

I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Bullock, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 44 Letters Regarding File No. 240501
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 1:03:20 PM
Attachments: [44 Letters Regarding File No. 240501.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 44 letters regarding **File No. 240501:**

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects by authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency and the Department of Public Works to expedite contracts by waiving application of the Environment Code and provisions relating to competitive bidding, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization, and other requirements, for construction work and professional and other services relating to Vision Zero projects, for a period of three years.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: ATTN: BOS: CONCERNING Reparations Separations (REVISED)!!!!!!!
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:22:47 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication from Ronald Carter regarding various subjects.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ronald carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:13 AM
To: Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Ronald Carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>; Gloriajpeace <gloriajpeace@yahoo.com>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: ATTN: BOS: CONCERNING Reparations Separations (REVISED)!!!!!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: ronald carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>

To: jonathan.butler@ucsf.edu <jonathan.butler@ucsf.edu>; Ronald Carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>; dr.amoscbrown@thirdbaptist.com <dr.amoscbrown@thirdbaptist.com>

Sent: Sunday, June 2, 2024 at 10:52:39 AM PDT

Subject: Fw: Reparations Separations

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "ronald carter" <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>

To: "Gloriajpeace" <gloriajpeace@yahoo.com>

Cc:

Sent: Wed, May 22, 2024 at 11:32 AM

Subject: Reparations Separations

Dear County Board of Supervisors, and Natalie Gee,

In case you haven't seen the NEWS, at the last State assembly hearing in May 2024, The California State Senate ratified only 3 out of 100 of the proposals submitted by the Reparations committee and separated out of and denied the rest, which so very greatly revealed a lack of concern and responsibility to the African American community in the face of the death of hundreds who went homeless and addicted suffering overdose on the very streets.

The narrowing of the Reparations committee's agenda makes for a bottleneck deprivation of our community and in no ways addressed the disparity of their conditions in a capitalist country where Housing alone is placed above their means and the Statistics bear this out as I see they are effectively strangled economically.

Therefore a Protest should emanate from the NAACP to address this disparity and the Reparations resolutions

Should be brought back to the State legislative bodies to be re-considered.

And while the State Assembly may gesticulate on promises to avoid being seen as feigned they should rather take up the matter immediately to avoid such in the face of public scrutiny and the expansion of the Reparations resolutions requests be expedited.

Attention should be given to Housing and funding for Small business start ups and funding for the establishment of a Commission which I have created called "AMERICAN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PROJECT FOUNDATION INTL COMMISSION" which I have already created the websites for and await funding to submit for the 501C3's as individual entities for every national and Racial group to be included in the "Commission".

Also as it had been a Travesty of Civil rights that I and we as African Americans had been a victims of Cyber theft fraud of our food stamps benefits in my own case in the amount of \$6,700.00 which was undue us.

Therefore have created and submitted a Legislative Bill to members of the State Senate (Sen. Scott Weiner) and State Assembly (Assemb. Matt Haney) and members of Congress (Congwmm . Maxine Waters, Barbara Lee, Hakeem Jeffries and the CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCAS

However they have not responded to the proposal in face of the fact that

Whereas it is known for a fact that it was State Officers that erred in not adding the language to a 2020 Bill that would have protected the people from the Cyber theft fraud through encryption of food stamps

Benefit cards. Therefore the Government itself was at fault.

Whereas furthermore, that the State of California is sweeping the issue under a rug with a mere 2 month repayment plan.

Whereas in fact the the solution I suggest in my Bill is a .01 cent temporary sales tax and or that the new .05 cent sales tax on gasoline proposal allow for .01 cent of it go to the establishment of a cyber theft fund to be able to pay back victims of the Cyber theft food stamps benefits fraud in full with

residual leftovers to go to State And city programs.

Whereas furthermore,that I Ronald Carter be paid a salary for my lobbying services for the creation and submission of that legislation and the creation of the websites I now do very diligently try to bring forward to the State of California and United States of America to the end that they be employed to create jobs and revenue to the State And Federal government

Whereas it is and was unconscionable that any persons who acted to defraud me , defrauded not only me but rather the People of the United States and the Government of the United States as well!!!!!!

Therefore be it resolved through this resolution that all members of the Government bodies be made aware of my companies strategies and give support to it as I have explained to them (County Board of Supervisors) in previous Emails,to this end .

Whereas FURTHERMORE BE IT RESOLVED that whether or not the the city or State can or cannot implement a sales tax through a County Board of Supervisors vote but rather only a state ballot referendum the which time had passed and they by way of my Bill having been discarded by members of the State Senate and Assembly I therefore I request special hearing to re-calendar a Ballot measure in the future and to calendar the discussion BY THE SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of the creation of A commission American Economic Recovery Project Foundation INTL Affiliate commission. Which I have already created the websites for AND I STAND READY TO IMPLEMENT ONCE SOME MANNER OF ECONOMIC RECOVERY THROUGH THE REPARATIONS RESOLUTIONS ARE GIVEN ME TO START THESE ENDEAVORS TO THE GOOD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Sincerely

RONALD D.CARTER PRES/CEO

AMERICAN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PROJECT FOUNDATION INTL AFFILIATES COMMISSION

1825 MISSION STREET APT 220
SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94103
(415)602 5935

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Resolution to SF BOS to rein in Public Storage and all other STORAGE COMPANIES
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 9:25:44 AM
Attachments: [PUBLIC STORAGE PETITION PROTEST.docx](#)

Hello,

Please see below communication and attached regarding public storage companies.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ronald carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 12:06 PM
To: Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Ronald Carter <rdioncarter@yahoo.com>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Gloriajpeace <gloriajpeace@yahoo.com>
Subject: Resolution to SF BOS to rein in Public Storage and all other STORAGE COMPANIES

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Natalie Gee and the San Francisco County Board of Supervisors

To wit:

That no Matter whether or not what the County Board of Supervisors is empowered to do , I feel it is my duty to bring to the attention of the San Francisco County Board of Supervisors my plight and I am sure the plight of many others their struggle to stay afloat in an economy that is rampantly running people adrift with price increases that exceed their cost of living allocations given them through government sustenance programs (SOCIAL SECURITY, UNEMPLOYMENT,GENERAL ASSISTANCE ,WELFARE ETC.

CERTAINLY A DISCUSSION IS DUE TO THE BENEFIT OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND THE CITIZENS OF THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

WOULD YOU PLEASE CALENDAR THIS RESOLUTION FOR REVIEW AND CONFIGURATION TO MAKE ACCEPTABLE TO RATIFICATION THROUGH A MEDIATION PROCESS TO DETERMINE A RESOLVED RESOLUTION RESPONSE

SINCERELY RONALD CARTER PRES CEO OF
AMERICAN ECONOMIC RECOVERY PROJECT FOUNDATION INTL AFFILIATES COMMISSION.

1825 MISSION STREET APT 220

SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA

94103

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

4/23/24

ATTN: PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

RE: PETITION TO REIN IN PUBLIC STORAGE'S AND ALL OTHER STORAGE COMPANIE'S CORPORATE GREED.

WHEREAS THAT IT IS APPARENTLY CLEAR THAT PUBLIC STORAGE'S AND ALL OTHER STORAGE COMPANIE'S POLICY OF SEVERE RATE INCREASES ARE DETRIMENTAL TO NOT ONLY PEOPLE OF COLOR BUT ALL ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED PERSONS OF EVERY RACE AND NATIONALITY AND I BELIEVE IT IS TIME THAT WE ASK THE GOVERNMENT TO REIN IN PUBLIC STORAGES AND ALL OTHER RSTORAGE COMPANIES CORPORATE GREED WHICH ABUSES THOSE VERY PERSONS.

WHEREAS THE WHICH ROBS THEM OF ANY COST OF LIVING INCREASES THAT ARE CONVEYED TO THEM THROUGH GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS (SOCIAL SECURITY, UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION WELFARE,G.A. DISABILITY PAYMENTS ETC.

WHEREAS IN AN ECONOMY OF OUTRAGEOUS INFLATION THE WHICH DESTROYS THE PEOPLES ABILITY TO MAINTAIN ECONOMIC PARITY.

I THEREFORE REQUEST THAT LEGISLATION BE CREATED TO ADDRESS THIS AGENDA AND ALL POLITICAL BODIES AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS RALLY AROUND THIS AGENDA WHICH IS VAST TO CREATE CONNECTIVITY AND AWARENESS OF AND TO GENERATE SUPPORT OF A REQUEST OF ALL PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO INSIST THAT STEPS AND ACTIONS BE TAKEN THYROUGH L;EGISLATION TO STOP PUBLIC STORAGE'S AND ALL OTHER STORAGE COMPANIES OUTRAGEOUS DESTRUCTION OF THE PEOPLE'S RIGHT DESIRE TO MAINTAIN THEMSELVES AND SURVIVE THROUGH THESE DIFFICULT ECONOMIC TIMES

WHEREAS DISABLED AND OTHER ECONOMICALLY CHALLENGED PERSONS.SHOULD IN NO WAY BE STRICKEN WITH THIS INTOLERABLE INJUSTICE THAT STRIPS THEM OF GOVERNMENTALLY APPROVED COST OF LIVING INCREASES THROUGH GOVERNMENT'S OWN ATTEMPTS TO BRING PARITY TO DISABLED AND THOSE ON FIXED INCOMES THIS IS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH A BAN ON STORAGE RATE INCREASES FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE ECONOMICALLY AND PHYSICALLY DISABLED AND OR ON FIXED GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS INCOME INCOMES.

WHEREAS I SAY THIS AS WE WATCH THE GOVERNMENT SEND BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN AID TO THE UKRAINE WHILE DENYING US, ITS OWN CITIZENS BE ROBBED BY CYBER PIRATES AND GREEDY CORPORATIONS WITHOUT IMPUNITY.

I ALSO ASK THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE BE FORWARDED TO ALL THE ABOVE MENTIONED PERSONS FOR SUPPORT OF THIS LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVE AGENDA AND FUTURE BALLOT MEASURE .

I HIGHLY RECOMMEND THE PASSAGE OF THIS LEGISLATION

**RONALD D CARTER
1825 MISSION STREET APT 220
415-602-5935
SAN FRANCISCO CA. 94103**

March 20, 2024

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO


2024 JUN 20 AM 8:52

BY *JA* BOX-11


SU: Antisemitism Inside the DEI Program at SF-Gov

Greetings from Jon Hepworth of SF. I retired from SF-Gov in 2021 December. I suspect that antisemitism within DEI is larger than many people realize. "Events Time-Line" begins on page 2. Immediately below is a photo that I sent to SF ADL in 2021 after observing antisemitism/anti-Zionism at SF DPH, where I worked. See "2021 July 15", below for details.


Row 1



Row 2



Row 3



Row 1 Photos: Departmental Equity email calendar event. Discussion of YouTube film: "The Modern Racist Paradigm"

Row 2 Photo: Approx film time 15 min – words on screen
"..*propagated by the White Zionist controlled globalized media*"

Row 3 Photo: Approx film time 45 minutes – words on screen
"The *White Zionist controlled media is responsible for..*"

I read photos 2 and 3 as: 'Zionists/Jews are source of racism against non-White in USA. Therefore among inherently racist Whites, Jews allegedly contribute the biggest share of harm to society.' This is non-evidenced, speculative, hysterical and defamatory. SF-Gov must publicly refute these sentences.

Sincerely,
Jon W. Hepworth, MPH
860 Geary Street, #501 San Francisco, CA. 94109 (415) 845-3492 CarsAreEvil@hotmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 8:41:56 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding pedestrian safety.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Douglas Bright <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Make Fulton Safe

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello. We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less. Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements. Lastly, please expedite the protected bike lanes project on Arguello Boulevard from Fulton to the Presidio.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Douglas Bright

Doug.Bright@gmail.com

739 11th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118-3614

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Black and Blue Tattoo LLC

3290 22nd street

San Francisco, CA 94954

id3xa@yahoo.com

415 516 1974

6/16/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2024 JUN 20 AM 8:56
PA B05-11

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in the Mission district, and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.


As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to be able to continue in this challenging economy.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Idexa Stern
Owner and Tattoo Artist since 1995
Black and Blue Tattoo



From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Expanding Housing Choice Program and Rezoning Impacts to Balboa Terrace
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:19:18 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the Expanding Housing Choice Program impacts to Balboa Terrace.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gary Egan <egan.w.gary@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:06 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Expanding Housing Choice Program and Rezoning Impacts to Balboa Terrace

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Gary Egan and Monica Hernandez

175 San Rafael Way, San Francisco, CA 94127
egan.w.gary@gmail.com

June 14, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Myrna Melgar
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Via email: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
MelgarStaff@sfgov.org

Re: Expanding Housing Choice Program
Rezoning Impacts to Balboa Terrace

Dear Supervisor Melgar and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

We are residents of the Balboa Terrace neighborhood and have lived there for thirty thirty-two years.

We write to you today to express our concerns regarding the Board of Supervisors' plans to rezone properties in and around Balboa Terrace as a part of the City/County's Expanding Housing Choice Program.

As we understand it, properties located in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood, as well as proper[es adjacent to the neighborhood, are slated to be upzoned to accommodate buildings up to 65 feet (6 stories) and 85 feet (8 stories) in height. We see these properties abut Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard within the Balboa Terrace neighborhood.

We understand the proposed rezoning is an attempt to help the City meet its state housing requirements but we believe the effort to rezone proper[es in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood is misguided and uninformed.

First, Balboa Terrace is one of the earliest subdivisions on Mount Davidson and dates back to 1920 when many of the homes in this "residence park" were constructed. While not formally designated as an "historic resource" on the State or federal registry of historic resources, Balboa Terrace is certainly eligible for listing and, therefore, worthy of preservation and protection. Therefore, the idea that historic resources could be demolished to make way for 6 and 8 story residential towers is contrary to the City's (and State's) efforts to protect and preserve its historic and cultural heritage.

Second, each of the properties within the Balboa Terrace Homes Association has recorded against its conditions, covenants and restrictions (CCRs) that would preclude the use of the property for anything except a single-family residence. Therefore, we question why the City would include our neighborhood and properties in this rezoning effort when even the notion the property could be redeveloped as something other than a single-family residence is prohibited by real estate and contract law.

Third, the incompatibility of 6 and 8 story towers immediately adjacent to one- and two-story single-family residences cannot be more strongly emphasized.

Prior to the Board of Supervisors adopting the rezoning, we would urge planning staff to take a closer look at the proposed rezoning map and to shift increased heights and density away from Balboa Terrace and into areas that can better accommodate such increased density. The City must also recognize, in its planning efforts, that Balboa Terrace is a community which is eligible for listing as an historic resource and is also subject to CCRs that would preclude the construction of the towers the City seeks to allow in this location. To this last point, it appears the City is trying to "pull a fast one" on the State by pretending these properties are actually capable of being developed as high-density housing.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to reject the rezoning effort as currently planned.

Sincerely,

Gary Egan
Monica Hernandez

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Housing in San Francisco.
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:56:40 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding housing.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bently Luneau <bluneau@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 15, 2024 10:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Housing in San Francisco.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board & Mayor,

I watched the debate on Monday night and wanted to comment to pose a thought to all of you. As I drive by City College in San Francisco and see a new two-story building going up and three newly build building put up in the last few years. Why was housing not implemented into those buildings? That probably would have solved student homelessness if each of those three buildings had 2-4 floors added to them for just housing. The transportation is there, parking is there, grocery stores and infrastructure, but now those buildings are empty every evening.

As I thought about this there should be a rule in San Francisco County that any city owned new building have at least $\frac{1}{4}$ of the project added as housing. Working with USF, UCSF, SFSU, and any other government building they should be given an exemption to build housing on any of their building and make all of the units student, low income, transitional housing.

Thank you,

Bently Luneau

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding the Great Highway
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:39:46 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding the Great Highway.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding the Great Highway.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Heidi Moseson](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Heiken, Emma \(BOS\)](#); MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you / gracias for your leadership on the Great Highway ballot measure!
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:46:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Engardio,

As your constituent in D4, and as a resident of Lower Great Highway for the past 13 years, I am writing to express my immense gratitude for your bold and innovative leadership [in introducing the ballot measure](#) to create a 24/7 park along San Francisco's coastline—the Great Highway Park! As a resident of your district, I particularly appreciate your efforts to listen to our community's needs and work collaboratively with your colleagues to bring this visionary project to life. The Great Highway Park promises to be a legacy space where residents and visitors alike can enjoy the natural beauty of our coastline, engage in recreational activities, and find a peaceful retreat from the hustle and bustle of city life.

To Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Dorsey, and Mandelman, I also extend my deepest thanks. Your support and co-sponsorship of this legislation demonstrate a commitment to creating a more vibrant and sustainable San Francisco. Your efforts are a testament to the power of collaboration and the positive impact that thoughtful, community-focused governance can achieve.

This is a momentous opportunity to transform our cityscape and enrich the lives of all who call San Francisco home. The Great Highway Park will undoubtedly become a cherished landmark, embodying our values of environmental stewardship, inclusivity, and community well-being.

Thank you once again, Supervisor Engardio, for your leadership and vision. And thank you to Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Dorsey, and Mandelman for your visionary support. I look forward to working with all of you to secure this win at the ballot box this fall!

Warmly,
Heidi Moseson

Pronouns: she / ella

From: [Eliza Panike](#)
To: [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.com](#); [Heiken, Emma \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank You for Your Leadership in Creating the Great Highway Park
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:52:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Engardio,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to express my immense gratitude for your bold and innovative leadership [in introducing the ballot measure](#) to create a 24/7 park along San Francisco's coastline—the Great Highway Park. As a resident of your district, I particularly appreciate your efforts to listen to our community's needs and work collaboratively with your colleagues to bring this visionary project to life. The Great Highway Park promises to be a legacy space where residents and visitors alike can enjoy the natural beauty of our coastline, engage in recreational activities, and find a peaceful retreat from the hustle and bustle of city life.

To Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Dorsey, and Mandelman, I also extend my deepest thanks. Your support and co-sponsorship of this legislation demonstrate a commitment to creating a more vibrant and sustainable San Francisco. Your efforts are a testament to the power of collaboration and the positive impact that thoughtful, community-focused governance can achieve.

This is a momentous opportunity to transform our cityscape and enrich the lives of all who call San Francisco home. The Great Highway Park will undoubtedly become a cherished landmark, embodying our values of environmental stewardship, inclusivity, and community well-being.

Thank you once again, Supervisor Engardio, for your leadership and vision. And thank you to Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Dorsey, and Mandelman for your support. I look forward to working with all of you to secure this win at the ballot box this fall!

Warmly,

Eliza Panike
SF District 4 Resident

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [RBOC \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding the RBOC
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:45:38 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding the RBOC.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding the Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Brian Browne](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Douglas L Comstock](#); editor@s Examiner.com; editor@s fChronicle.com; [Matt Yankee](#); [Leger, Cheryl \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Super Important Hearing
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:28:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you TRT. You do great work. This meeting is indeed, very important.

This is exactly why we (Mayor's Infrastructure Task Force [2002 Proposition P]) created the Revenue Bond Oversight Committee (RBOC). Quislings on the RBOC handed this function over to the Controller's City Services Auditors (CCSA) in illegal defiance of the embedded independent clauses of 2002 Proposition P.

The actual cost of this hijacking was the unexplained disappearance of the open and well developed RBOC contracts with UCLA and UCB; substituted with the illegal Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Controller. I believe my econometric analysis puts QED on the SFPUC's regulatory morass. I have a short-summary article upcoming. The larger quasi academic work challenges the efficacy of the designer drought and overall fidelity of the SFPUC to 1996 Proposition 218 (California Constitution XIII c and d) etc.

To put validate my assertions, I have requested that the SOFT have certain key city officials answer my questions. I await a SOFT hearing.

Brian Browne

Economist on SFPUC Infrastructure Task Force, Co-author of 2002 Proposition P (RBOC), and BoS Representative for 2 terms on the failed RBOC. I have lived in SF since 1977.

On 6/13/2024 12:23 PM, Peter Drekmeier wrote:

Friends,

We have a big opportunity coming up, and we need your help!

On June 25, the SF Board of Supervisors will vote to approve the City budget, which includes the SFPUC budget. We want them to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC, and we're asking them to condition their approval of the budget on the SFPUC paying for the audit. Otherwise, it might not happen due to a lack of funding.

Please send an email to the Supervisors at board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org and cc brent.jalipa@sfgov.org. Here are a few suggestions.

1) Use your own words to make your comments unique (don't just cut and paste). Please do not cc me (but it's fine to bcc me).

2) Begin by introducing yourself. If you live in San Francisco, mention that. Why do you care enough to write? Do you have special knowledge or insights to share? Will

you or people you know be hurt by skyrocketing utility rates? Feel free to include some facts from the attached 1-page backgrounder.

3) Encourage the Supervisors to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC (including an analysis of the Design Drought and water demand projections), and to require the SFPUC to fund it.

Extra Credit

The Supervisors will hold a Public Comment Day on the Annual Budget on Monday, June 24, starting at 10am in the Legislative Chamber at City Hall (Room 250). Details are available [here](#).

It would be fabulous if you could attend and speak (we only get one minute each). A good turnout will have a big impact! If you're only able to attend one meeting this year, this is the one.

When you arrive, fill out a speaker card to the left of the dais (this might not be necessary due to the number of people who are likely to attend, and we will line up to speak rather than being called up one by one). When you arrive, you might have to immediately line up to speak. If you arrive early, I encourage you to sit on the right side of the room (facing the dais), so when it's time to line up, you'll be right there.

Given that we'll only get one minute each, comments will have to be brief, but if you would like additional information, you can view the Budget Information webpage [here](#).

Again, your help on this will be extremely helpful. This kind of opportunity is rare.

Thanks for hanging in there with us!

-Peter

P.S. The Pacific Sun published an excellent article about the SFPUC's terrible environmental track record that I haven't shared with you before. You can read it [here](#).

Peter Drekmeier
Policy Director
Tuolumne River Trust
peter@tuolumne.org

From: [bodisco](#)
To: [Brian Browne](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Douglas L Comstock](#); [editor@sfExaminer.com](#); [editor@sfChronicle.com](#); [Matt Yankee](#); [Leger, Cheryl \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Super Important Hearing
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:57:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Best to send this to all Mayoral candidates.
No one listens to me anymore.
The truth is not what these people want to hear.
Today's folks simply ignore truth.
Truth is, in San Francisco...that this Mayor makes WLB look like a perfectly clean innocent fellow!
Best to you all!
Richard Bodisco

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S23 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Brian Browne <brian@h2oecon.com>
Date: 6/13/24 4:22 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Board of Supervisors (BOS)" <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>, editor@sfExaminer.com, editor@sfChronicle.com, Matt Yankee <myankee.sotf@gmail.com>, "Leger, Cheryl (BOS)" <Cheryl.Leger@sfgov.org>, Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Super Important Hearing

Thank you TRT. You do great work. This meeting is indeed, very important.

This is exactly why we (Mayor's Infrastructure Task Force [2002 Proposition P]) created the Revenue Bond Oversight Committee (RBOC). Quislings on the RBOC handed this function over to the Controller's City Services Auditors (CCSA) in illegal defiance of the embedded independent clauses of 2002 Proposition P.

The actual cost of this hijacking was the unexplained disappearance of the open and well developed RBOC contracts with UCLA and UCB; substituted with the illegal Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Controller. I believe my econometric analysis puts QED on the SFPUC's regulatory morass. I have a short-summary article upcoming. The larger quasi academic work challenges the efficacy of the designer drought and overall fidelity of the SFPUC to 1996 Proposition 218 (California Constitution XIII c and d) etc.

To put validate my assertions, I have requested that the SOFT have certain key city officials answer my questions. I await a SOFT hearing.

Brian Browne

Economist on SFPUC Infrastructure Task Force, Co-author of 2002 Proposition P (RBOC), and BoS Representative for 2 terms on the failed RBOC. I have lived in SF since 1977.

On 6/13/2024 12:23 PM, Peter Drekmeier wrote:

Friends,

We have a big opportunity coming up, and we need your help!

On June 25, the SF Board of Supervisors will vote to approve the City budget, which includes the SFPUC budget. We want them to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC, and we're asking them to condition their approval of the budget on the SFPUC paying for the audit. Otherwise, it might not happen due to a lack of funding.

Please send an email to the Supervisors at board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org and cc brent.jalipa@sfgov.org. Here are a few suggestions.

- 1) Use your own words to make your comments unique (don't just cut and paste). Please do not cc me (but it's fine to bcc me).
- 2) Begin by introducing yourself. If you live in San Francisco, mention that. Why do you care enough to write? Do you have special knowledge or insights to share? Will you or people you know be hurt by skyrocketing utility rates? Feel free to include some facts from the attached 1-page background.
- 3) Encourage the Supervisors to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC (including an analysis of the Design Drought and water demand projections), and to require the SFPUC to fund it.

Extra Credit

The Supervisors will hold a Public Comment Day on the Annual Budget on Monday, June 24, starting at 10am in the Legislative Chamber at City Hall (Room 250). Details are available [here](#).

It would be fabulous if you could attend and speak (we only get one minute each). A good turnout will have a big impact! If you're only able to attend one meeting this year, this is the one.

When you arrive, fill out a speaker card to the left of the dais (this might not be necessary due to the number of people who are likely to attend, and we will line up to speak rather than being called up one by one). When you arrive, you might have to immediately line up to speak. If you arrive early, I encourage you to sit on the right side of the room (facing the dais), so when it's time to line up, you'll be right there.

Given that we'll only get one minute each, comments will have to be brief, but if you would like additional information, you can view the Budget Information webpage [here](#).

Again, your help on this will be extremely helpful. This kind of opportunity is rare.

Thanks for hanging in there with us!

-Peter

P.S. The Pacific Sun published an excellent article about the SFPUC's terrible environmental track record that I haven't shared with you before. You can read it [here](#).

Peter Drekmeier
Policy Director
Tuolumne River Trust
peter@tuolumne.org

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 Letters Regarding Merchant Corridors
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:46:47 PM
Attachments: [3 Letters Regarding Merchant Corridors.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding merchant corridors.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Joe E](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 3:12:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent Joe E
Email joeevanssmith@gmail.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [Peter Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:09:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent **Peter Lee**
Email peterboothlee@hotmail.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [Susan Longardino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:32:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent Susan Longardino
Email longardino@hotmail.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [Bullock, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 Letters Regarding West Portal
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:48:10 PM
Attachments: [3 Letters Regarding West Portal.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Peter Lee](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:51:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Peter Lee**

My email address is **peterboothlee@hotmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Peter Lee

From: [Roberta Economidis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop SFMTA from ruining West Portal
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 8:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Roberta Economidis

Email Reconomidis@yahoo.com

I live in District

Stop SFMTA from ruining West Portal

Message:

Dear SFMTA, Mayor Breed, and SF Supervisors,

The Welcoming West Portal Committee was purely performative, it is clear from the messaging in the meeting and dismissal of community concerns that this series of meetings was intended just to check a box. I strongly oppose all of the SFMTA draft plans for West Portal. Not a single one has any correlation to the actual recent tragic accident that was ostensibly the impetus for the neighborhood traffic redesign. In fact, no cause for the accident has yet to be released, but SFMTA did, a week after the incident, publicly announce that the intersection was safe and did not at all contribute to the accident. This plan is clearly an opportunistic effort to capitalize on a recent tragedy for political purposes at the behest of advocacy groups that have no connection with or understanding of our neighborhood.

SFMTA is running at a severe deficit, it does not have the funds to waste on poorly designed, irrelevant projects that no stakeholder group thought was a good idea.

The merchants and neighbors have repeatedly offered more sensible and cost-effective measures for traffic calming, and none have ever been seriously considered. These proposals will worsen the traffic on West Portal, decimate the business of local merchants, and frustrate the countless elderly, family, disabled, and commuter residents who rely

on the use of cars. The SFMTA admits that this intersection is NOT one that has a high injury incident history. Resources would be better spent collaborating with neighbors and merchants on traffic flow solutions and putting SFMTA money toward actually dangerous traffic areas. The total lack of collaboration with stakeholders on this issue and giving residents a 10-day "opportunity" to provide feedback is reckless and irresponsible.

Furthermore, absolutely no changes should be made until the L Taraval project is completed and the new patterns arising from there are evaluated. These 3 proposed plans (with multiple versions) need to be completely retracted and residents and businesses need to be directly involved in ANY changes to the West Portal traffic.

From: kveaco@comcast.net
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); info@sfcta.org
Cc: [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [Brisson, Liz \(MTA\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Kris Veaco](#)
Subject: West Portal Project
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:32:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the SFMTA Board et. al, As a long-time resident of West Portal and as someone who has been impacted by the ongoing L Taraval project, I would ask that you pause the West Portal Project to allow the area to recover from the significant disruption to the entire surrounding area from the L Taraval Project, including West Portal, which as of this writing, is not yet done. How and where people catch the buses replacing the Muni train impacts the flow of pedestrians and drivers leading up to the West Portal tunnel and the surrounding streets. The change in the location of other buses has changed the flow of pedestrians and drivers leading to the tunnel. This current West Portal project came up quite suddenly, was not related to the accident and is being rushed through without adequate study of the implications to surrounding streets and the flow of traffic, particularly if the ability to cross West Portal at Ulloa is blocked. That action cuts off access to businesses, the parking lot and access to Portola to be able to turn left. Redirecting traffic from Taraval around the circle and Claremont is an imperfect solution. The traffic studies were truncated, in one case the traffic engineer reported they analyzed traffic one day at the intersection of Ulloa and West Portal for 2 hours.

What is the rush here? One of you on the Board asked if the proposed changes would have prevented the terrible accident and the response was that they would not. So please slow this project down. Consider the Valencia Street experience and now that there is a plan to reverse the significant impacts on that community, it will take months and months to implement. So these projects are not easily or quickly reversed. This West Portal project needs more time, more study – when kids are in school, people are not on vacation, let the L get back up and running for some time to see how that changes things for pedestrians and drivers on an ongoing basis. You should each come and spend time in our little neighborhood before making significant decisions that impact those of us who live, work and shop here.

Someone said the rush for this project is related to the upcoming election. I sincerely hope that is not the case.

I disagree with the options provided by SFMTA and believe the West Portal project should be paused, but if you proceed, please consider the least disruptive option 3b. And proceed slowly so that the impacts can be monitored and reported on.

Thanks for your consideration.

Kristina Veaco
2470 16th Avenue
San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 Letters Regarding Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:52:29 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters Regarding Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Taylor, Julie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Action plan Union Square and Yerba Buena
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 11:08:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Letter of Support Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts 06192024 2.pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please see my letter in support of the Mayor's Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

Best,

Julie Taylor

Lic. #00998395

Executive Vice President

Retail Services Group | San Francisco



[#welovesf](#)

Dir +1 415 293 6293

Main +1 415 788 3100

julie.taylor@colliers.com

101 Second Street
11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
MAIN +1 415 788 3100
www.colliers.com



June 19, 2024

Subject: Action Plan Union Square & Yerba Buena

Members of the Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee

City Hall

Via email: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Committee Members:

I'm writing to urge your support for Mayor Breed's recently announced Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts. Jobs are at stake and we desperately need this program.

My name is Julie Taylor, and I am a real estate broker trying to lease the 110 spaces that are vacant across our 27 block Union Square district. (That's 110 spaces available out of 268 storefronts, 41%)

Some blocks have only one store left open on the entire stretch.

I am Sisyphus trying to roll a boulder uphill and the task is getting harder and harder. Last year 5 long term leases and 3 pop ups were signed in the Union Square district. Three of the new stores with long term leases are still not open. It takes 12-24 months to get a new store opened. Meanwhile, in 2023 THIRTEEN street front stores closed....so the Boulder absolutely crushed us. (If we count the mall, then 26 closed last year). Each store closed, means fewer entry level jobs for San Franciscans.

Thus far, halfway thru 2024, four leases have been signed. One store has opened, the three others will open in 2025. However, in 2024 six more stores have closed across the 27 blocks of Union Square. The Boulder crushed us again. More jobs lost than gained. More foot traffic lost than gained.

In 2025 store closures will again surpass store openings...because there are not enough deals in the pipeline. I personally do not have a single lease in negotiation, and I have 17 spaces I am trying to lease. There is no demand, we have just a few tire kickers who occasionally come see the market and then leave shaking their heads, saying "maybe in a few years"... and "it looks cleaner and safer but there are no people on the streets".

Asking rent is NOT the issue. I know several landlords on Powell willing to lease their spaces for \$3.00 a foot a month and they STILL cannot find anyone. To put this in perspective, \$3.00 a foot is lower than the rent charged in any other San Francisco neighborhood. And 3.00 psf is 90% less than rent used to be on this corridor. I know of one landlord who calls retailers and offers to give his space away for two years for FREE and still no one will take it. And this location is on one of the best blocks, that used to be so vibrant.

Retailers need foot traffic to survive and thrive. The functionality of the district is tied directly to foot traffic. Our foot traffic has plummeted and continues to fall because more and more stores close.

I had a tour yesterday with a prospective tenant that has five other locations in the US. Walking down Powell we saw very few people, there were only 10 visitors in line at the cable car, and this is during our peak summer period.



Vacancy will continue to grow, and more and more entry level retail and hospitality jobs will be lost unless the city invests in bringing people back to Union Square.

We need to enliven the streets because without increased foot traffic our remaining retailers and restaurants will close. The vacancy situation has been getting worse and worse for the last two years. A program to stimulate foot traffic will enable Union Square to recover.

We must enliven the streets because today our foot traffic is so thin that even “free rent” is not enough to give tenants confidence to open stores on Market, Powell and Stockton Streets south of Union Square.

It is my understanding that the Action Plan proposed by the Mayor includes:

- Increased security at key locations within a “hospitality zone” encompassing Union Square and Yerba Buena / Moscone Center *This is desperately needed, it’s a war zone in front of Old Navy, Levis and Walgreens on the 800 block of Market. Just last week I saw someone trying to pawn stolen watches and another guy, seated, with a crowd around him was conducting a shell game. Meanwhile at 6pm a really terrible band blasts at an ungodly volume in front of the closed Deisel. It’s very very unsavory.*
- Continued funding for events like Winter Walk and Bloom that have brought people back downtown. *Winter Walk needs to be amped up with more offerings and a longer period, people want a reason to come downtown. The ice rink should be extended for a month longer people love it, and then transition to a roller rink!*
- An extension of the successful Vacant to Vibrant program tailored for Powell Street
- Marketing activities to attract new tenants *All the prospective tenants need to see is lots of foot traffic. Retailers open where they see customers on the street.*
- Free parking at Union Square garages during key hours *Yes please! And widely advertised!*
- Daily activation activities for both Powell Street and Union Square. *This is critical and will be most effective.*

Union Square is the absolute heart and soul of our City. It’s where I bought my wedding gown. It’s where my husband and I bought our wedding rings. Union Square is where I still go for hair and beauty services. Union Square is where my friends and I go to dine before we go to see a play or musical. And Union Square is where I go to shop, but it is harder and harder to find things I like because half the stores are gone and frankly it is depressing to shop in a district with so many closed storefronts. When I travel to New York I come home with an extra suitcase full of new clothes. It used to be this way for visitors in San Francisco (which is why Rimowa has a store here and why when we had a Marshalls the first floor was full of suitcases). We need to bring back our stores and the only way to do this is by activating Union Square.

Union Square is where visitors experience the City, convention attendees congregate, and people from all over shop, dine and play. All this activity brings in the essential revenue, through sales, gross receipts and property taxes, that keep our City and all its exemplary services fiscally solvent.



As downtown San Francisco has lost its retailers, retail sales have been climbing in the suburbs. Retail sales in Walnut Creek, Emeryville, Burlingame, Palo Alto are 50% higher than they were in 2019 because they've taken San Francisco's market share. People in the suburbs used to come downtown for all their important shopping. The suburban crowd will come back when there are more stores open, and the stores will sign leases in Union Square when they see more foot traffic – activation is key!

Most importantly and far too often forgotten, the hotels, restaurants, shops and other businesses in Union Square and Yerba Buena employ thousands of working-class San Franciscans, who are often people of color. A retail job is truly a gateway to opportunity. An entry level retail job provides access to benefits, job training, and a ladder to management.

I urgently request your support for this critical set of investments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Julie Taylor". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Julie Taylor
Colliers International
Lic. #00998839

From: [Jones, Angela \(SFOUS\)](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Lewin, David \(SFOUS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts...
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:53:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Enliven Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.pdf](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee Members,

Please see attached letter on behalf of David Lewin, General Manager, Grand Hyatt San Francisco Union Square.

Thank you for your attention and support for this very important matter.

Best Regards,

Angela Jones

Executive Assistant to General Manager & Director of Operations

GRAND HYATT SAN FRANCISCO

345 Stockton Street, San Francisco, CA 94108, USA

D 415 848 6006

angela.jones@hyatt.com

grandhyattsanfrancisco.com



Think before you print: *Please consider the environment before printing this email.*

2023 AAA Four Diamond Award

2023 Green Key Eco-Rating Award

2023 GBAC Star Facility Award

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Click [here](#) for a tour of our hotel through Eventopedia!

June 14, 2024

Members of the Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee
City Hall

Dear Committee Members:

My name is David Lewin and I am the General Manager of the Grand Hyatt on Union Square. I'm writing to urge your support for Mayor Breed's recently announced Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

In my 50 years in the hotel business in San Francisco I have never experienced a time like this. We saw a never before seen low and we are experiencing the slowest and most difficult rebound in my career. As a community we need to take action and quickly.

It is my understanding that the Action Plan proposed by the Mayor includes:


- Increased security at key locations within a "hospitality zone" encompassing Union Square and Yerba Buena / Moscone Center
- Continued funding for events like Winter Walk and Bloom that have brought people back downtown
- An extension of the successful Vacant to Vibrant program tailored for Powell Street
- Marketing activities to attract new tenants
- Free parking at Union Square garages during key hours
- Daily activation activities for both Powell Street and Union Square

These steps will help us get the drive in customer back in Union Square and that activity will help us begin to book larger conventions. We need to do much more, still, this is a bold start.

As you know, Union Square is the absolute heart and soul of our City. It is where visitors experience the City, convention attendees congregate, and people from all over shop, dine and play. All this activity brings in the essential revenue, through sales, gross receipts and property taxes, that keep our City and all its exemplary services fiscally solvent. Most importantly and far too often forgotten, the hotels, restaurants, shops and other businesses in Union Square and Yerba Buena employ thousands of working class San Franciscans.

I urgently request your support for this critical set of investments.

Sincerely,



David Lewin
General Manager
Grand Hyatt San Francisco

Cc Marissa Rodriguez

From: [Peter Hart](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support for the Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:45:48 PM
Attachments: [Letter of Support Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee Members:

Enclosed please find my letter of support for the Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

Sincerely,
Peter

Peter Hart
Complex General Manager
D: 415-202-7096

[HILTON SAN FRANCISCO UNION SQUARE & HILTON PARC 55 SAN FRANCISCO](#)
333 O'Farrell Street | San Francisco CA 94102 | USA

This transmission is not a digital or electronic signature and cannot be used to form, document, or authenticate a contract. Hilton and its affiliates accept no liability arising in connection with this transmission. Copyright 2024 Hilton Proprietary and Confidential

June 14, 2024

Members of the Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee
City Hall
[via email]

Dear Committee Members:

My name is Peter Hart, I am the General Manager for Hilton San Francisco Union Square and Parc 55 San Francisco – A Hilton Hotel.

I'm writing to express my strong support for Mayor Breed's recently announced Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

As the General Manager of two of the largest hotels in the city, which together account for nearly 10% of total hotel room inventory, it's imperative we create welcoming, memorable and enjoyable experiences for all downtown visitors. We employ nearly 1,500 team members who rely on guests and patrons visiting Union Square and having an exceptional San Francisco experience. This year, over 500,000 guests and meeting attendees will patronize our hotels (compared to nearly twice that number in 2019). Our guests and visitors spend money in surrounding small businesses, generating tens of millions in economic impact.

It is my understanding that the Action Plan proposed by the Mayor includes:

- Increased security at key locations within a "hospitality zone" encompassing Union Square and Yerba Buena / Moscone Center
- Continued funding for events like Winter Walk and Bloom that bring people downtown
- An extension of the successful Vacant to Vibrant program tailored for Powell Street
- Marketing activities to attract new tenants
- Daily activation activities for both Powell Street and Union Square

For my hotels, and my team members and families who depend on them, it's critical we have activity, activation, and vibrancy in our downtown spaces to attract guests to visit, shop, dine and stay.

I urge you to support these critical programs and investments.

Sincerely,



Peter Hart
Complex General Manager
Hilton San Francisco Union Square and Parc 55 –A Hilton Hotel

From: [Mark Purdy](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor's Action Plan for Union Square and Yerba Buena
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:51:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[Support for Mayor's Action Plan for Union Square and Yerba Buena.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Brent / Board of Supervisors

Kindly find attached a letter of Support for Mayor's Action Plan for Union Square and Yerba Buena.

Regards

Mark Purdy He/Him/His

Managing Director, Asset Management
Grosvenor - Property Americas

D [+1 \(415\) 268-4032](tel:+14152684032) **M** [+1 \(415\) 500-5476](tel:+14155005476)

E mark.purdy@grosvenor.com



Confidentiality Notice: Please be advised that Julie Harshberger, Executive Assistant, regularly accesses this email account for administrative purposes. Should your message contain confidential or sensitive information, please mark it as 'Confidential' in the subject line or at the beginning of the email.

Important legal notices This message is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee and access, disclosure, copying, distribution or any other use by or to anyone else is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received the message in error, please notify us immediately and delete it. Please take time to consider if this email is legitimate. Check with us via other means if there is any doubt. We will not accept liability for malicious software or links in emails. This email has been sent by or behalf of Grosvenor Group Limited registered in England and Wales under Company No. 12656651 at 70 Grosvenor Street, London, W1K 3JP, one of its subsidiaries or another Grosvenor entity. Contact details and privacy notices for Grosvenor entities can be found via: https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.grosvenor.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4M2Nm

NzA4NDBIMTgzZTFINGI2ODg4ZjFkYTQxNTYzZDo2OmVmNjQ6ZmJkODQwOWY4Nz
NIOTZhMGQyZmE3Mzc3OTglY2Q3YjQ4NjI3NjVjNDViMDc4MGRhNzYwNzRmMWU3
NjQ5MDc2Mjp0OIQ. Grosvenor Investment Management Limited, part of the Grosvenor
Group and registered in England and Wales under Company No. 2774291 at 70 Grosvenor
Street, London, W1K 3JP, is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority
to conduct investment business. Our emails are informational and not a solicitation or offer to
buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments. Important legal notices This
message is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee
and access, disclosure, copying, distribution or any other use by or to anyone else is prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received the message in error, please notify us immediately
and delete it. Please take time to consider if this email is legitimate. Check with us via other
means if there is any doubt. We will not accept liability for malicious software or links in
emails. This email has been sent by or behalf of Grosvenor Group Limited registered in
England and Wales under Company No. 12656651 at 70 Grosvenor Street, London, W1K 3JP,
one of its subsidiaries or another Grosvenor entity. Contact details and privacy notices for
Grosvenor entities can be found via:

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.grosvenor.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4M2Nm
NzA4NDBIMTgzZTFINGI2ODg4ZjFkYTQxNTYzZDo2OjdkOWM6NTY2NDQwMzk5MG
IyZjVjMjRmY2M1N2I4OWUxMmNkODIwMzY3ZTdjNGVhODZmODcxNjJhYmVhNmE5
NWQwMTcwMDp0OIQ. Grosvenor Investment Management Limited, part of the Grosvenor
Group and registered in England and Wales under Company No. 2774291 at 70 Grosvenor
Street, London, W1K 3JP, is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority
to conduct investment business. Our emails are informational and not a solicitation or offer to
buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments.

June 13, 2024

Members of the Board of Supervisors
Budget and Appropriations Committee
City Hall

Support for Mayor's Action Plan for Union Square and Yerba Buena

Dear Committee Members,

My name is Mark Purdy, and I am the Managing Director of Asset Management for Grosvenor. We own **180 Post Street, 185 Post Street, 251 Post Street, and 240 Stockton** in Union Square. I'm writing to urge your support for Mayor Breed's recently announced Action Plan to Enliven the Union Square and Yerba Buena Districts.

As long-term owners in Union Square, we have seen firsthand the significant impact of declining foot traffic and increasing retail vacancies on our community and business environment. Revitalizing this area is essential not only for economic recovery but also for maintaining San Francisco's status as a premier destination for both locals and visitors.

It is my understanding that the Action Plan proposed by the Mayor includes:

- Increased security at key locations within a "hospitality zone" encompassing Union Square and Yerba Buena / Moscone Center
- Continued funding for events like Winter Walk and Bloom that have brought people back downtown
- An extension of the successful Vacant to Vibrant program tailored for Powell Street
- Marketing activities to attract new tenants
- Free parking at Union Square garages during key hours
- Daily activation activities for both Powell Street and Union Square

These initiatives will directly benefit businesses in Union Square, by creating a safer, more vibrant environment that encourages both shopping and leisure activities. For instance, events like the Union Square in Bloom and the Spring Fling concert have successfully drawn significant crowds and increased engagement with local businesses.



GROSVENOR

As you know, Union Square is the absolute heart and soul of our city. It is where visitors experience the city, convention attendees congregate, and people from all over shop, dine, and play. All this activity brings in essential revenue through sales, gross receipts, and property taxes that keep our city and all its exemplary services fiscally solvent. Most importantly, and far too often forgotten, the hotels, restaurants, shops, and other businesses in Union Square and Yerba Buena employ thousands of working-class San Franciscans.

Given the current challenges, including a retail vacancy rate that reached over 20% earlier this year, it is more critical than ever to support a cohesive and strategic plan for revitalization. We have seen promising signs of recovery, with international tourism spending rising and new high-end retailers committing to the area, but there is still so much more work ahead.

I urgently request your support for this critical set of investments.

Regards

Mark Purdy
Managing Director, Asset Management
mark.purdy@grosvenor.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 7 Letters Regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:53:25 PM
Attachments: [7 Letters Regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 7 letters regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [San Francisco Travel - President & CEO](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Cassandra Costello](#)
Subject: Letter of Support - Welcome Ambassadors
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:03:51 PM
Attachments: [Welcome Ambassador Support Letter SF Travel.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan,

Please find the attached letter of support for the Welcome Ambassadors program.

Sincerely,
Anna Marie Presutti
Interim President and CEO



San Francisco Travel - President & CEO

E president@sfrtravel.com | T 415.227.2606

San Francisco Travel | One Front Street, Suite 2900 | San Francisco, CA 94111
[sfrtravel.com](#) | Follow us on [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#)

[Explore our NEW 2024 Official Visitor's Guide](#)

[San Francisco Named One of the 50 World's Greatest Places by Time Magazine](#)

From: tesw@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [HANC Board of Directors](#)
Subject: Support OCEIA Community Ambassadors program
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:56:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors, this community safety 15-year old program has been well-received citywide. The OCEIA Community Ambassadors program staff are half bi-lingual, and provide essential services to San Francisco, replacing police for common issues and meeting citizens in their own languages. The program is cost-effective and highly valued by San Franciscans.

Please restore funding!

Sincerely,
Tes Welborn, Board Member
Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council



San Francisco Travel Association

One Post Street, Suite 2700

San Francisco, CA 94104

415-974-6900

sftravel.com

Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
Budget and Finance Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Copy: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Dear Chair Chan,

I am writing to convey my strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

At this essential time of recovery of our tourism industry, we simply cannot afford to lose a tool that has been so wildly successful. We have a serious narrative issue in San Francisco that we are still very much in the midst of recovering from. One of the issues that we struggle with each day on a global scale is the perception that San Francisco isn't safe. The Welcome Ambassadors make visitors feel safe and welcome. They are a daily presence, a smiling face, a wealth of resources and information, which all add up to ensuring a more positive experience in San Francisco, which is exactly what we need at this delicate time.

The Welcome Ambassador Program has also played a significant role in persuading meeting planners to bring or keep their conventions, large and small, in San Francisco. It is no mystery that Moscone Center is well below where we should be with large business meetings and conventions. The Welcome Ambassadors have been a considerable part of our success in booking new business and with business retention. The investment in our Welcome Ambassadors pays for itself. In fact, the meeting planner and exhibitor spending associated with the convention center alone in 2023 was \$495 million. Overall, the visitor economy continues to be one of our largest industries in San Francisco bringing in over \$8.8 billion in economic impact in 2023, \$609 million of that in direct tax revenues for the City. Lastly, the 23.1 million visitors that came to San Francisco last year helped to support over 63,000 jobs in the hospitality industry.

We must continue to invest in the Welcome Ambassador program as they are an essential part to welcoming visitors and booking and retaining meetings and conventions, all which support good jobs and our vital small businesses.

Sincerely,
Anna Marie Presutti
Interim President and CEO of SF Travel

From: [Randall Scott](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFBDA letter RE: Welcome Ambassador Investment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:54:05 PM
Attachments: [SFBDA letter Welcome Ambassador investment.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Chan,

Please accept this letter of support from the San Francisco Benefit District Alliance.

We appreciate your support and commitment to both our local and international communities.

Sincerely,

Randall Scott

Executive Director

Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District

President/CEO

San Francisco Benefit District Alliance

-
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [TikTok](#)

June 14, 2024



Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
Budget and Finance Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Copy: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

SFBDA.ORG

Castro
Civic Center
Discover Polk
Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill
Downtown
East Cut
Fisherman's Wharf
Japantown
Lower Polk
Mid Market
Moscone Expansion
Noe Valley
Ocean Avenue
SoMa West
Tenderloin
Tourism Improvement
Union Square
Yerba Buena

Dear Chair Chan,

We are writing on behalf of the San Francisco Benefit District Alliance (SFDBA). We are a non-profit comprised of all Benefit Districts in San Francisco, and we are writing to convey our strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

We see Welcome Ambassadors in San Francisco each day, helping both visitors and locals. In addition to answering questions and providing information, the Ambassadors provide a needed safety presence, diffuse street incidents, offer immediate assistance in medical situations, and are a key city partner in calling in street cleanliness issues and referring those in need to agencies that can provide supportive services.

The presence of Welcome Ambassadors has made visitors and locals feel safe and welcome. From a visitor enjoying San Francisco on vacation to someone here on business for a meeting or convention or someone on their way to an event or the office, the Welcome Ambassador program has been an essential part of the San Francisco public realm experience since its inception in 2021.

We must continue to invest in the Welcome Ambassador program. They are essential to improving the experience for all, welcoming visitors, and booking and retaining meetings and conventions, all of which support good jobs and our vital small businesses.

Sincerely,

Randall Scott
President

From: [Michon Coleman](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter in Support of Welcome Ambassadors Program
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:46:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[HC Letter in Support of Welcome Ambassadors.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Chan:

Attached please find correspondence regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program funding in the proposed Budget.

Thank you,
Michon

Michon A. Coleman
Regional Vice President | San Francisco-Marin Section

Hospital Council Northern & Central California
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 910
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: 415-616-9990 (office) | 415-361-7542 (cell)
Fax: 415-616-9992
mcoleman@hospitalcouncil.org
www.hospitalcouncil.org



From: [Fullmore, Nany](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Cassandra Costello](#)
Subject: Welcome Ambassadors Program
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:22:10 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan,

I am writing to convey my strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

I see Welcome Ambassadors in my neighborhood each day, helping both visitors and locals. In addition to answering questions and providing information, the Ambassadors diffuse street incidents, offer immediate assistance in medical situations, and are a key city partner in calling in street cleanliness issues and referring those in need to agencies that can provide supportive services.

The presence of Welcome Ambassadors has made visitors feel safe and welcome. From a visitor enjoying San Francisco on vacation to someone here on business for a meeting or convention, the Welcome Ambassador program has been an essential part of the visitor experience since its inception in 2021.

The Welcome Ambassador Program has also played a significant role in persuading meeting planners to bring or keep their conventions, large and small, in San Francisco. In fact, the meeting planner and exhibitor spending associated with the convention center alone in 2023 was \$495 million. Overall, the visitor economy continues to be one of our largest industries in San Francisco bringing in over \$8.8 billion in economic impact in 2023, \$609 million of that in direct tax revenues for the City. Lastly, the 23.1 million visitors that came to San Francisco last year helped to support over 63,000 jobs in the hospitality industry.

We must continue to invest in the Welcome Ambassador program as they are an essential part to welcoming visitors and booking and retaining meetings and conventions, all which support good jobs and our vital small businesses.

Sincerely,

Nany Fullmore

General Manager

Bring your best to the moment

O: 415-486-6401

The Clancy, Autograph Collection

299 2ND St, San Francisco, CA 94105

www.marriott.com/sfoaw | [Instagram](#) | [View The Clancy Now](#)

Be rewarded for your travels with points, miles, and status when visiting any of our 30 brands and 10,000 properties worldwide. Join Marriott Bonvoy for free today! <https://joinmarriottbonvoy.com/uscanqr/s/EN/ch/sfoaw>

The Clancy, a member of Marriott International's extraordinary Autograph Collection.



This communication contains information from Marriott International, Inc. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law.

Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
Budget and Finance Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

June 13, 2024

Dear Chair Chan,

I am writing to convey strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

We see Welcome Ambassadors throughout the City helping both visitors and locals. In addition to answering questions and providing information, the Ambassadors diffuse street incidents, are a key city partner in calling in street cleanliness issues and refer those in need to agencies that can provide supportive services. Importantly, Ambassadors also offer immediate assistance in medical situations.

The presence of Welcome Ambassadors has made visitors feel safe and welcome. From a visitor enjoying San Francisco on vacation to someone here on business for a meeting or convention, the Welcome Ambassador program has been an essential part of the visitor experience since its inception in 2021. The program plays an important role in the overall visitor economy, that continues to be one of the largest industries in San Francisco, bringing in revenue and helping to support thousands of jobs.

We must continue to invest in the Welcome Ambassador program as they are an essential part of welcoming visitors and helping to provide a safe, healthy and enjoyable experience in our City.

Sincerely,
Michon Coleman
Regional Vice President
Hospital Council

cc: Clerk of the Board

From: [Mollie McWilliams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support for Welcome Ambassadors from the Mid Market CBD
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:00:31 PM
Attachments: [MMCBD Support for Welcome Ambassadors.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find the Mid Market Community Benefit District letter of support for the Welcome Ambassador program attached.

Thank you,

Mollie McWilliams



Media and Communications Manager
Mid Market Community Benefit District

MMcWilliams@midmarketcbd.org
midmarketcbd.org



mid market community benefit district

Mid Market Community Benefit District is a **privately-funded** 501c3 not-for-profit organization that works in tandem with City agencies to enhance the Mid Market public realm.

June 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689
E-mail: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: SUPPORT FOR WELCOME AMBASSADOR PROGRAM

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget Committee,

Welcome Ambassadors reinforce a positive image of downtown, providing those visiting the area with a visual reminder that there is an ongoing effort and commitment from the City in creating a more vibrant downtown core. Their presence is also welcomed among area residents and employees; as it signals to those living and working in the area that downtown is safe and open for business, and that they can shop, run errands, and live their daily lives with confidence in their neighborhood.

Welcome Ambassadors also provide crucial wayfinding services in the downtown area; and their institutional knowledge allows them to direct these visitors to other commerce cores of the City when needed, emphasizing their worth to not only the immediate neighborhood, but the City as a whole.

Please support funding for the Welcome Ambassador program, a crucial element for making downtown a comfortable and hospitable space for all.

Sincerely,

Tracy Everwine, Executive Director

From: [Mollie McWilliams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support for Welcome Ambassadors from the Civic Center Community Benefit District
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:50:34 PM
Attachments: [CCCBD Letter of Support for Welcome Ambassadors.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please find the Civic Center Community Benefit District letter of support for the Welcome Ambassador program attached.

Thank you,

Mollie McWilliams



Media and Communications Manager
Civic Center Community Benefit District
McWilliams@sfciviccenter.org
sfciviccenter.org



June 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689
E-mail: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: SUPPORT FOR WELCOME AMBASSADOR PROGRAM

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Budget Committee,

Please support funding for the Welcome Ambassador program, a crucial part of making San Francisco downtown comfortable for visitors to explore, dine, shop and stay; thus supporting area theaters, merchants, restaurants, and hotels, who are reliant on visitor dollars to stay in business.

Civic Center is part of downtown and we rely on the Welcome Ambassador program to make sure area visitors feel safe and supported when going out before or after concerts and theater performances in Civic Center, and while staying in neighborhood hotels. Visitors need to see the City is providing a constant safe presence in the areas they frequent to have the confidence to continually visit. The Welcome Ambassador program abates negative stereotypes of downtown that permeate in the news by providing continued opportunities for visitors to see, and interact with, individuals who are thoughtful and knowledgeable about the area, and stewards of downtown.

Please support funding for the Welcome Ambassador program and keep visitors coming back.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Tracy Everwine". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "T" and "E".

Tracy Everwine, Executive Director

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 18 Letters Regarding E-Bikes
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:55:26 PM
Attachments: [18 Letters Regarding E-Bikes.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 18 letters regarding an e-bike purchase/lease incentive program.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Ansley Peduru](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:27:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz01YTdmMDEyZk3MWlyOGM2NA1ZlWUwZGVlYTQyYjxMj02OjlmMz66Mjc4NzI4YzZlMmQ5ZlZlMDJmNjNjODE1NmUwZDcwZDlJMTBjYzhhdVNmZThhNmM5NjBhY2JlNjc0ZmVlZGRlOTp0OjI0.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Ansley Peduru
ansleypeduru96@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Sabrina Eggerson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:18:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6ozzNzZmES0TBhNzk1MTM2YjBiNmQ5YThiYTJTRiZjZjODI6YjU5YjY1MmI0QWVOTNjN2EwNDJOTY1OGQyOTJkYmQwMDQyMDA0MTEwOTQ2OTQ3MGUzNTgzYmYzN2U0ZmUxZTJpOjQ

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Sabrina Eggerson
sabrina.eggerson@gmail.com
1371 California St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Clare Green](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:37:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz3ZjFkYWY0ODE0Y2Y4ZDY1ZTA3MTk1ODk4YzQzMzQ1MzozOmU3YTg6NzE3ZTg5Y2I0NmU3N2FiNzFjYzA0ZWFKMGMS3ZjhjMTgwNWl3ZDZlMzhIMzhNzFjMmFjZjE5ZWY1NDI2NDZmMTp0OjI.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Clare Green
odell.clare@gmail.com
10833 Breed Ave
Oakland, California 94603

From: [David Task](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while incre...
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:30:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkYTIiYWUzOTI2MDBBzE3MjBkYjIjMDVmYTYAyODEyYzozOmlyNzU6Yz4yJWwMTdiYz93YWRmZjA1MGY1OTI1NTgxNDFkZWZkZWJhMGY0NzI4MzUzYjFkNjZiM2RkYWQwNzU0NDRlNDp0OjQ.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

David Task
davidtask1001@gmail.com

Red Hook, New York 12571

From: [Molly Hayden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:31:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at <https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org...YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzpmYzY4OTE1NT4OGQZ2JE0NjcwMGRhM2NmZGU2MDI4YT02Oj0NzU6NzFhZDUwNmUwMTg1NTQ4NDZlNGZlYTIiNmYTIzDU3YzFhZGRhYjhhODA0Yzk0MTkyYjM4YmQ2OGEzNTY3MTp0OjQ>.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Molly Hayden
molly.hayden@me.com
144a Scott Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Carol Brownson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:04:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at

https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEbbzpmMGEwYjMmYzMTk1NzlkNmFkOTc0YzY3M2hwZDQ2Yj02OjJOTG6Ym11YzVMTGyYjphZTg4NzBjOTg3YjRlZDc0ZjM3N2U1MjRkYmM4NWwMwNjVkdQ4NDkxZDE3MDc5YTc1NTk3ZDp0OjQ

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Carol Brownson
cdbgrimalkin@gmail.com
2309 California
San Francisco, California 94115

From: john@thehereafterishere.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:39:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOhNmZHQyOmE6bz5ZdJjZGh1ZGFY2YwYzVmOTIhNj4MTkNzEyMGFmMj020j3NWE6Mzg4Y2U1ZTmZmZWZjN2RlYWFOTUwNTkyM2Q3MWRlYg0N2QwMGE0NzVjNTQwZDBnZGNlNWZjMTBjZDk4MTYxZTl0OjI

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

john@thehereafterishere.com
1370 17th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Marie Panossian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:29:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXzOcnNmZHGyOmE6ozzyY2EzYzkyNmZhNDNMGRkNWRkOTU3ZW0hZkA1ZDJKNzo2OmJkM2U6ZmRvODI3MG13MGE4NWVOWQzZTRjOWV1MGRlZDQ3NzUxYjRlMz15OTkzODNmMj0YzNmMGQ3ZjUzZTgyMjY4MDp0OjQ.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Marie Panossian
mariepanossian@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Mike Walsh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:39:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YxAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoyMjgwMWNmMDVlOWZlNTBjNGQxYjNjNDUwYmJlZmI3ZTo2OjZmQ6NTk4NGYyY2FiY2E2MmMyNzQ0ZDc1ZjQ1ODVhYmQ3ZjFkZDY0MWNlMQ0NTgiY2lmYTBlNDJlMzI1Y2FIM2U0ZjpoOjI0.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Mike Walsh
mtwalsh19@gmail.com
364 17th
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Stephanie Depa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:48:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz05ZTlxZmNkOGVwNmZkNjc2NzH4ZTYxMDZmOGI0MzlmMzo2OjBmYjc6Nzg2Mz1Y2FjMmY2Q3ODc4ZGYxMjJwZjZkYjZmQwMGY1Zj11MzA3YmFjZWVzNmM01ZjM1OTRlZDk1MzU4Yj00Oj0.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Stephanie Depa
stephanie.depa@gmail.com
1121 Judah St
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Andrea Mignolo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:55:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.lavanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHqYOrME6bzo3YzthZjE3MTA1OTU3OTNkZmM0OGNkZmMTc1MmW5Mjc2OjM5NGE6ZTFiMmZlNjgwMDFkMmI5NjI2ZmQzNTUwYTdkMGQzZjExMzJlMDU5ODJOTQ5OWJlYmYmFmZWVlY2JkMGVlZTl0OjQ.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Andrea Mignolo
hi@pnts.us
461 Lansdale Ave
San Francisco, California 94127

From: Leah Kennedy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOB)
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:13:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOhNmZHQyOmE6bz0YTNOWUwOWQyZzEzYzY2NWwOTdNmM1YjVjMjA0Mj02OmRMD06ZTNkYTVVNDUxYjE2ZjM5NDQ3MzlkYWYjYjYwNmI5OGMyOGEyMWRmYzVlOTM0MDJkM2YwYWEwYzZMcMzQxMjNmMTp0OjQ.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Leah Kennedy
leahelizabethk@gmail.com
3948 26th Street #2
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Hundreds of drug addicted people currently at Market Street off of Jones in open drug use and dealing since 7:00 am thismorning. No cops, Street ambassador or anyone but drug addicts and dealers!
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:15:30 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding open air drug use.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Zawadi Keith <zawadikeith@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:15 AM
To: info@aaron2024.com; team@daniellurie.com; mark@markfarrell.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Hundreds of drug addicted people currently at Market Street off of Jones in open drug use and dealing since 7:00 am thismorning. No cops, Street ambassador or anyone but drug addicts and dealers!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The open air drug markets continue in San Francisco.

Father's day, hundreds of people all on Market Street across from Jones street using drugs along with dealers serving them.

It was a large, horrid scene. They have been pushed out of Civic Center to make it appear like open air drug use has been addressed. Clearly, it has not!

Again, not one police, street team or the city funded Urban Alcemy person to be found for hours. Additionally, the

streets were/ are filthy.

How does this continue to happen in SF. When will Breed and police Scott be held accountable?

The lack of cars and people not doing drugs on Market St. seems to encourage this type of drug use.

The doom of San Francisco and Market Street continues.

Some areas of the city never experience this type of awful situation. Others suffer.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding File No. 240641
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:09:30 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding File No. 240641.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding **File No. 240641:**

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to revise the definition of Laboratory to include Biotechnology, and to make Laboratory uses, as defined, a not permitted use in the Urban Mixed Use zoning district.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Jude Deckenbach](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 3:48:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I am writing in support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU). As a 30+ year resident of Potrero Hill, I've seen the changes that our southeast neighborhoods have undergone. And while change and growth are important to the viability of a city, zoning of certain uses needs to be in designated areas that make the most sense.

Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with a myriad of other PDR zoned spaces, are the perfect areas for Life Science (laboratories and facilities) development. These biotech developments do NOT belong in UMU zoned parcels. As a community, we have supported these large projects and eagerly await the promised community benefits.

This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community serving uses, while promoting Lab uses *in appropriate locations*. We need housing in UMU, not labs. The mixed use zoning allows for neighborhood serving businesses that ensure economic diversity and resilience during downturns while promoting revitalization of neighborhoods as we grow.

As a green, open space advocate, I support the proposed legislation as it will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation regarding the definitions of biotech and life science. We want mixed use developments that serve our neighborhoods, not developments with biohazards and potentially hazardous chemicals next to our housing and precious little open space.

Thank you for your consideration,

me



Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!



From: [John deCastro](#)
To: [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Aaron Peskin](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Biotech creep into our homes and neighborhood
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:51:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I have lived in Potrero Hill for 45 years. I am writing in support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community serving uses, while propelling Lab uses *in appropriate locations*. Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning at the same time it allows Laboratory uses. The distinction is unclear considering that nearly all current Laboratory uses involve biotechnology. Rather than assigning some murky analysis to distinguish between biotech and Life Science, the proposed legislation will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation.

Considering the ambitious goals in the Housing Element and relatively little land still available for development in the Eastern Neighborhoods, remaining opportunities for new housing must be protected.

I am generally in support of the construction of Laboratories and Life Science facilities and recognize the benefit to all of biotech innovation, but NOT in UMU-zoned parcels. Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair) land offer thousands of square feet of purpose-built opportunities for laboratory and biotechnology development. As a community we have supported and greatly look forward to these large planned developments coming to fruition as they will also provide much needed public benefits to our neighborhood.

We have suffered for many years with continued expansion into our neighborhoods by well funded interests that don't care about our health and safety. This is just the latest in a long line of attempts to circumvent the planning code.

Just one example, in early 2000's I worked to stop a huge merchant power plant. A site that is now Potrero Power Station Mixed Use Project. Please support this legislation.

Sincerely,

John deCastro

Past President Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association (Title for ID purposes only)

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 Letters Regarding File No. 240622
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:50:20 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters Regarding File No. 240622.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding **File No. 240622**:

Hearing to consider the Mayor's Proposed Budget for the Departments of the City and County of San Francisco for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2024-2025 and 2025-2026.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Jacob Bindman](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Simon Bertrang](#)
Subject: Re: Letters of Support for Continued Investment in Downtown Revitalization through OEWD
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 9:34:03 AM
Attachments: [240618_SITELAB_Support_Letter_for_Downtown.pdf](#)
[Document_2024-06-19_130350.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob

On Jun 19, 2024, at 7:46 AM, Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:

Please see additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman
SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 10:27 PM Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:

Please see some additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman
SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 5:22 PM Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:

File Number: 240622

Re: Mayor's Proposed Budget for Departments FY25 and FY26

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

Attached please find letters of support for Vacant to Vibrant, the Storefront Opportunity Grant Program, and OEWD's broad investment in small businesses. Through these efforts, OEWD is leading the transformation of downtown into a diverse, inclusive and vibrant community that embodies the spirit of San Francisco.

Vacant to Vibrant is catalyzing a transformation downtown, and building bridges for communities citywide to be a part of San Francisco's next chapter.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman

SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer

he/him

www.sfnewdeal.org

[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

<Vacant to Vibrant _ Letters Of Support _ Program Participant (1).pdf><Marco Polo Italian Ice Cream.pdf><The Pawffice.pdf>



San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued city funding and support for the many downtown revitalization efforts led by our local civic organizations, such as SF New Deal and our downtown community benefit districts (CBDs).

I am the Co-founder and Principal of SITELAB urban studio, a multi-disciplinary woman-owned urban design and strategy firm that has been leading transformative projects around the city, from Pier 70 to 5M to Stonestown. Being founded and based in downtown San Francisco, SITELAB's central principle is that cities are and will remain the dynamic core of our society, and we have worked over the years to create a more resilient and vibrant downtown from many angles - from authoring the Downtown SF Public Realm Action Plan, to formulating strategies to prepare the Port's waterfront for sea level rise, to re-envisioning the future of Powell Street. Additionally we had the honor to serve on the advisory committee for SF New Deal's Vacant to Vibrant program, which has created meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods, and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through our work, we have witnessed the overwhelming amount of interest and sense of urgency from San Francisco residents and businesses for more downtown investment. We advocate for the continued support and funding of such revitalization efforts.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse and vibrant future for downtown.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Laura".

Laura Crescimano
Principal, SITELAB urban studio

600 Mission Street #200
San Francisco, CA 94105
T 415.852.6940

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Charles Trapolin/Bounty Art and Other Treasures
609 Pacific Ave.
San Francisco CA 94133
Charles@Bountysf.com
504-228-9336
June 19, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in North Beach/District 3, and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.

As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Charles Trapolin', written in a cursive style.

Charles Trapolin
Owner
Bounty Art and Other Treasures

From: [Jacob Bindman](#)
To: [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Simon Bertrang](#)
Subject: Re: Letters of Support for Continued Investment in Downtown Revitalization through OEWD
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:48:09 AM
Attachments: [Vacant to Vibrant Letters Of Support Program Participant \(1\).pdf](#)
[Marco Polo Italian Ice Cream.pdf](#)
[The Pawffice.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman
SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 10:27 PM Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:
Please see some additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman
SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 5:22 PM Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:

File Number: 240622
Re: Mayor's Proposed Budget for Departments FY25 and FY26

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

Attached please find letters of support for Vacant to Vibrant, the Storefront Opportunity Grant Program, and OEWD's broad investment in small businesses. Through these efforts, OEWD is leading the transformation of downtown into a diverse, inclusive and vibrant community that embodies the spirit of San Francisco.

Vacant to Vibrant is catalyzing a transformation downtown, and building bridges for communities citywide to be a part of San Francisco's next chapter.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman

SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer

he/him

www.sfnewdeal.org

[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Hungry Kitchens LLC
2021 Fillmore Street SF CA 94115
Mo@thehungrykitchens.com
415 448 7430
6/18/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As a small business owner and resident of **Bayview & EastCut**, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to bring **Hungry Crumbs** downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as I navigated the process of opening a new storefront and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Our venture Hungry Crumbs, a local bakery, has been a direct beneficiary of the Vacant to Vibrant program, which played a pivotal role in securing its first storefront. This opportunity was not just about physical space; it was a gateway to realizing a long-held dream of becoming a cornerstone in the community. The program provided the essential support needed to navigate the complexities of setting up a small business in San Francisco, from lease negotiations to local regulations. This support significantly lowered the barriers to entry that many small entrepreneurs face. Without the Vacant to Vibrant initiative, the journey to opening a storefront in such a competitive market would have been markedly more challenging for Hungry Crumbs. Preserving funding for such programs is crucial, as they are lifelines for small businesses, fostering economic growth and enriching the community fabric.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

Mohamed Abdelmequid

Mohamed Ali
Founder
Hungry Kitchens – Hungry Crumbs – Hungry Cafe

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Marco Polo Italian Ice Cream
3886 Noriega ST
San Francisco, CA 94116
gelato.marcopolo@gmail.com
415-731-2833
06/18/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in [neighborhood/district], and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.


As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Heman Chow
Owner
Marco Polo Italian Ice Cream

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Heman Chow', followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Ariana Roldan / The Pawffice LLC
1102 Valencia st
San Francisco Ca,94110
Thepawfficeco@gmail.com
(323) 497-0503
Tuesday, June 18,2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in Mission District, and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.

As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Ariana Roldan
Owner
The Pawffice

From: [Jacob Bindman](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Simon Bertrang](#)
Subject: Re: Letters of Support for Continued Investment in Downtown Revitalization through OEWD
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:28:55 PM
Attachments: [Public Glass Letter of Support.pdf](#)
[Vacant To Vibrant Support - Matthew Kosoy Rosalind Bakery.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see some additional letters attached.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman

SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 5:22 PM Jacob Bindman <jacob@sfnewdeal.org> wrote:

File Number: 240622

Re: Mayor's Proposed Budget for Departments FY25 and FY26

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

Attached please find letters of support for Vacant to Vibrant, the Storefront Opportunity Grant Program, and OEWD's broad investment in small businesses. Through these efforts, OEWD is leading the transformation of downtown into a diverse, inclusive and vibrant community that embodies the spirit of San Francisco.

Vacant to Vibrant is catalyzing a transformation downtown, and building bridges for communities citywide to be a part of San Francisco's next chapter.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman

SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)



(415) 671-4916

✉ info@publicglass.org

📍 1750 Armstrong Ave,
San Francisco, CA 94124

Public Glass
1750 Armstrong Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124
admin@publicglass.org
415-671-4916
June 18th, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As a small non-profit located in the Bayview, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given us the opportunity to bring Public Glass downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as we navigated the process of opening a new storefront and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

Marti Gorski
Public Glass



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for the Vacant to Vibrant program administered by the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. This initiative plays a crucial role in revitalizing our city's neighborhoods by bringing empty storefronts back to life. A vibrant commercial landscape fosters economic growth, creates jobs, and strengthens the overall character of our communities.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to continue providing necessary funding and resources to ensure the Vacant to Vibrant program's success. This program is a valuable asset to San Francisco, and I commend the Office of Economic and Workforce Development for its efforts.

Sincerely,

Matthew Kosoy % Rosalind Bakery

Four Embarcadero Center Suite 4505

San Francisco, CA 94111

matt@rosalindbakery.com

650-898-8636

June 18, 2024

From: [Jacob Bindman](#)
To: [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Simon Bertrang](#)
Subject: Letters of Support for Continued Investment in Downtown Revitalization through OEWD
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:27:03 PM
Attachments: [Anand Upender_York Streek Cafepdf.pdf](#)
[Intersection for the Arts.pdf](#)
[Julius Cordero_Educating Barbers.pdf](#)
[Lauro Gonzalez-Arias_ArtyHood.pdf](#)
[Lucia Fernandez-Palacios_Juma-Steep_.pdf](#)
[Nafy Flatley_Teranga_.pdf](#)
[Bee_Betwee.pdf](#)
[Matthew Bernstein_GGC.pdf](#)
[OshaThai_Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient - Letter of Support.pdf](#)
[Victor Gonzalez_GCS.pdf](#)
[Signed Support Letter for Vacant to Vibrant Program - Mike Grisso.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

File Number: 240622
Re: Mayor's Proposed Budget for Departments FY25 and FY26

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

Attached please find letters of support for Vacant to Vibrant, the Storefront Opportunity Grant Program, and OEWD's broad investment in small businesses. Through these efforts, OEWD is leading the transformation of downtown into a diverse, inclusive and vibrant community that embodies the spirit of San Francisco.

Vacant to Vibrant is catalyzing a transformation downtown, and building bridges for communities citywide to be a part of San Francisco's next chapter.

Best,
Jacob



Jacob Bindman
SF New Deal | Co-Founder and Chief Program Officer
he/him
www.sfnewdeal.org
[Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

100 First Street, Suite 250
San Francisco, CA 94105June 17th, 2024San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102**SUPPORT THE CONTINUED IMPACT OF VACANT TO VIBRANT**

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued city funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists, and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Since the onset of the pandemic, commercial vacancies have increased dramatically in San Francisco. As a property owner, Vacant to Vibrant has provided a meaningful resource to support us as we've worked to bring employees back into our buildings.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant, and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations. We look forward to future partnerships with SF New Deal and Vacant to Vibrant.

Sincerely,

**MIKE GRISSO**
Senior Vice President, Development and Land Planning

Anand Upender, York Street Cafe
anand.upender@gmail.com
240-447-1472
06/16/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco. I had the opportunity of participating in their first cohort last year with my coffee & community concept, York Street Cafe. The technical support and grant I received helped me live a dream I've had for years, create a weekly set of regulars in Embarcadero Center, and test out what a full time business downtown would look like.

As a small business owner and resident of District 8, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to bring York Street Cafe downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

Anand Upender
Owner
York Street Cafe

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Anand Upender', written over a circular scribble.

Bee Betwee
49 Codman Pl, Apt A
San Francisco, CA 94108
beebetwee@gmail.com
415-794-4900
June 17, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As an artist and resident of Chinatown, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to be a part of the city's revitalization. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as I navigated the process of activating a space and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "beebetwee". The signature is written in a cursive, lowercase style.

Bee Betwee
Artist

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Ismael De Luna : Owner of Healing Cuts
2350 Market St
San Francisco, CA 94114
ismael@healingcutssf.com
415-286-2970
06/17/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in the Castro District, and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.

As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Ismael De Luna
Owner
Healing Cuts SF



/INTERSECTION FOR THE ARTS

1446 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
sloane@theintersection.org
415-626-2787
June 17, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to implore you to continue to fund and support SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program. Vacant to Vibrant has been incredibly successful in revitalizing downtown San Francisco by creating a pathway to jumpstart small businesses and arts entrepreneurs amidst the unprecedented challenges posed by the pandemic.

San Francisco's sustained economic health depends on the health of each neighborhood. An investment in downtown is an investment in San Francisco. By continuing to support Vacant to Vibrant, the City is committing to strengthening a resilient foundation that actively supports our diverse communities as they drive San Francisco to economic recovery.

At Intersection for the Arts, we believe that arts and culture are necessary elements of well-being, both individually and collectively. We stand by Vacant to Vibrant in their efforts to support artists and small businesses in creating a thriving and dynamic downtown. The immense interest in the program speaks to the need for programs such as this to continue to exist.

Thank you for your continued dedication to sustaining a San Francisco that represents the diversity, inclusivity, and vibrancy we all desire.

Warm regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Sloane Larsen".

Sloane Larsen
Space Program Manager
Intersection for the Arts

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Jason Gragasin and Julius Cordero
The Academy Barber College
998 Geneva Avenue
San Francisco, Ca 94112
Theacademybarbercollege@gmail.com
415-525-3755
06/14/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in Excelsior/Outer Mission, and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist and grow.

As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Julius Cordero
Ceo
Educating Barbers, LLC





- +415-654-2717
- Lauro@artyhood.org
- www.artyhood.org
- 584 Castro St, #163 San Francisco, CA 94114

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102**

June 16 , 2024

Dear members of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my unwavering support for the sustained city funding and backing of SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program. In the face of the challenges posed by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has undeniably made a substantial impact, providing invaluable opportunities for small businesses, artists, and creative organizations to spearhead the revitalization of downtown San Francisco.

The investment in downtown's success is tantamount to an investment in the entirety of San Francisco, as the well-being of our neighborhoods and the resurgence of downtown are intrinsically linked. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the city is forging the path for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's ongoing economic recovery.

As an advocate for small businesses, the arts, and cultural organizations in San Francisco, and as a resident of this district, witnessing the launch of the Vacant to Vibrant program has been immensely gratifying. The overwhelming interest in the program underscores the compelling need for initiatives like Vacant to Vibrant to persist, infusing the spirit of our neighborhoods into the heart of downtown.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Sincerely,

**Lauro Gonzalez-Arias
CEO & President
ArtyHood Foundation**

Lucia Fernandez-Palacios
Juma Ventures/Steep Boba for Good
131 Stuart Street, Suite 202
San Francisco
luciaf@juma.org
415 637 8727
June 14th, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As a small business operator and resident of the San Francisco Financial district, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to bring Steep, Boba for Good downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as I navigated the process of opening a new storefront and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lucia Fernandez-Palacios'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial 'L' and a long, sweeping underline.

Lucia Fernandez-Palacios
Executive Director, Corporate Partnerships and Marketing
Juma Ventures

Teranga
4 Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
info@terangafoods.com
415 879 8372
06/17/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and wellbeing of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As a small business owner and resident of Richmond District, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to bring Teranga downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as I navigated the process of opening a new storefront and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

Nafy Flatley
Owner
Teranga





Golden Gateway Center
460 Davis Court
San Francisco, CA
matthew@cmcapitalusa.com
650-566-6445

June 17, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express the Gateway's enthusiastic support for continued city funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Vacant to Vibrant has helped revitalize downtown San Francisco and has created a positive impact for small businesses, artists and creative organizations.

We need to City to help support the investment in downtown and guide the recovery of downtown. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

Since the onset of the pandemic, commercial vacancies have increased dramatically in San Francisco. As a property owner, Vacant to Vibrant has provided a meaningful resource to support us as we've worked to bring three new tenants into our buildings.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations.

Warm regards,

Matthew Bernstein

Matthew Bernstein
Golden Gateway Center

Storefront Opportunity Grant Recipient

Pollapak Anantakunupakorn , Osha Thai
250 Montgomery Street Suite 100
San Francisco
pollapak@gmail.com
415-794-6t315
6/17/2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a small business owner in Downtown Financial District and I'm writing to voice my support for continued funding of the Storefront Opportunity Grants Program. This program has enabled businesses like mine to exist.

As San Francisco recovers from the pandemic, please keep prioritizing this vital program. Your ongoing support means that small businesses like mine can stay open and bring vibrancy and opportunities to our communities as we work to continue building a thriving, diverse city.

The Storefront Opportunity Grants Program has enabled small businesses like mine to exist.

Thank you!

Warm regards,

Pollapak Anantakunupakorn, P.E.
Owner
Osha Thai

Pollapak Anantakunupakorn

GCS Agency
201 Jackson St.
San Francisco CA 94111
victor@gcsagency.com
+1.408.348.1537
June 18, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my unwavering support for continued funding and support for SF New Deal and the Vacant to Vibrant program through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. Amidst uncertainty and challenges presented by the pandemic, Vacant to Vibrant has created a tangible impact that is creating meaningful opportunities for small businesses, artists, and creative organizations to be at the forefront of revitalizing downtown San Francisco.

Investing in the success of downtown is an investment in the entirety of San Francisco as the health and well-being of our neighborhoods and the recovery of downtown are one in the same. Through Vacant to Vibrant, the City is laying the foundation for a resilient and diverse downtown that will drive San Francisco's continued economic recovery.

As a small business owner and resident of Jackson Square, Vacant to Vibrant and the support from the City of San Francisco has given me the opportunity to bring GCS Agency downtown and be a part of the city's revitalization while generating tens of thousands of dollars for local artists. The resources and support made available through Vacant to Vibrant were of immense benefit as I navigated the process of opening a new storefront and serving a new community downtown. As the city works to continue its recovery from the pandemic and blazes a new path into the future, the Board should continue to prioritize investments in the program.

Thank you for your ongoing dedication to a diverse, vibrant and inclusive future for downtown that supports small businesses, artists, and cultural organizations which are pivotal to a healthy city and society.

Warm regards,

Victor Gonzalez
Founder
GCS Agency



From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 18 Letters Regarding File Nos. 240595, 240596
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:59:31 PM
Attachments: [18 Letters Regarding File No. 240595.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 18 letters regarding **File No. 240595:**

Budget and Appropriations Ordinance appropriating all estimated receipts and all estimated expenditures for Departments of the City and County of San Francisco for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2024-2025 and 2025-2026.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Maria Tomasa Bulux](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restoring COB's Funding
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:03:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Maria Tomasa Bulux, and I'm with the Central American Resource Center of Northern California (CARECEN SF). We are a community-based organization that serves and empowers Latinx and immigrant communities across San Francisco.

We are very concerned about the budget cuts for important services to our community such as Oral Health, which is a very expensive service for the communities that don't have health insurance, education for the community and youth services. The Soda Tax initially was designated for CBO's to do health education. Unfortunately, the recommendations of the Soda Tax Committee have not been respected.

I'm kindly requesting that you restore funding for CARECEN's Second Chance Youth and Tattoo Removal program, and to follow the Soda Tax Advisory Committee's budget recommendations, including restoring funding for the community-based grants and \$450,000 for the Bayview, Chinatown, and Mission Children's Oral Health Task Forces who have been provided unique services to the communities. Thank you.

Maria Tomasa Bulux


Health Promotions Program Manager

tomasa@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7459

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today

From: [Fiorella Bernal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing 6/13
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:04:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Fiorella and I'm with the Central American Resource Center of Northern California (CARECEN SF). We are a community-based organization that serves and empowers Latinx and immigrant communities across San Francisco.

Cuts to our program will significantly diminish our ability to impact our communities' adoption of healthier lifestyles. This will lead to a reduction in oral health awareness and exacerbate the prevalence of chronic diseases like diabetes, linked to the consumption of sugary drinks. Our program not only educates the community about the harmful effects of sugary drinks but also promotes the benefits of choosing water. Without these programs, many of our monolingual Spanish-speaking families will continue to experience detrimental health outcomes.

I'm requesting that you restore funding for CARECEN's Second Chance Youth and Tattoo Removal program, and to follow the Soda Tax Advisory Committee's budget recommendations, including restoring funding for the community-based grants and \$450,000 for the Bayview, Chinatown, and Mission Children's Oral Health Task Forces. Thank you.

--

Fiorella Bernal



Health Promotion Program Coordinator

fiorella@carecensf.org

main: 415-914-0033 Ext:1010

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA
94110

carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE
CENTER**

**CENTRO DE RECURSOS
CENTROAMERICANOS**

***Support CARECEN SF by making a
donation today***



From: [Andrea Iraheta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Supporting CARECEN's Second Chance Program
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:13:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Andrea Rivas Iraheta and I am a Case Manager at CARECEN's Second Chance Program and Tattoo Removal Clinic. In my time at CARECEN, I've seen the importance of our program and others in the community in supporting youth and their families and ensuring they have the resources needed to not only survive, but thrive. Our programs provide critical spaces for safety, stability, care and community. Without funding for these programs, our youth are at immediate risk as they lack spaces that support their development, well-being, safety and growth, and that provide structured activities outside of school. If you're invested in the wellbeing of our communities and our youth, you cannot remove the funding from community programs that have proven to work.

I kindly ask the city and county of San Francisco, and the Board of Supervisors to restore DCYF funding to Second Chance and Family Wellness programs at CARECEN.

Thank you,
Andrea

--

Andrea Rivas Iraheta



Case Manager – Second Chance Youth Program & Tattoo Removal Clinic

andrei@carecensf.org

main: 415-642-4400 | direct: (415) 516-1310

3101 Mission Street, Suite 101, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



From: [Eli Gualip Yes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing 6/13
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:18:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Eli Gualip Yes and I'm with the Central American Resource Center of Northern California (CARECEN SF). Our community-based organization serves and empowers Latinx and immigrant communities across San Francisco.

As an immigrant that migrated from Guatemala in 1988 and raised in the mission district. My mother lend on community programming for support for her family and for her children. Our family were one of the first members of PODER and continue to be members for over 20+ years. So I can say community raised me.

With that being said, I truly know the positive impact that youth programming has on a young person. Our second Chance youth program provides direct services with our case management (giving youth opportunities to address any barriers), youth groups that allow participants to build community and it gives a safe space.

I'm kindly requesting that you restore funding for CARECEN's Second Chance Youth and Tattoo Removal program, and follow the Soda Tax Advisory Committee's budget recommendations, including restoring funding for the community-based grants and \$450,000 for the Bayview, Chinatown, and Mission Children's Oral Health Task Forces.

Thank you.

Eli Gualip Yes



Second Chance Youth Program & Tattoo Removal Clinic

eli.gualip@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 947-7620

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org



CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



From: [Caden Deguzman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing 6/13
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:32:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Afternoon,

Hello Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Caden De Guzman and I'm with the Central American Resource Center of Northern California (CARECEN SF). We are a community-based organization that serves and empowers Latinx and immigrant communities across San Francisco.

The recent decisions regarding budget cuts through the DCYF, not only has a direct impact on the various non-profit organizations in San Francisco to provide necessary resources for established Latinx families and also new migrant families, but also on the next generations of youth that will become the forefront leaders when we are no longer around. I understand that our work, not only at CARECEN SF but also all non-profit organizations that strive to promote and provide youth empowerment, may be seen as unconventional. However, I believe, and can be vouched for through the evidence in San Francisco through its generations that are still with us and those that are no longer, and the sacrifices they made, that unconventional is necessary.

I'm kindly requesting that you restore funding for CARECEN's Second Chance Youth and Tattoo Removal program, and to follow the Soda Tax Advisory Committee's budget recommendations, including restoring funding for the community-based grants and \$450,000 for the Bayview, Chinatown, and Mission Children's Oral Health Task Forces.

Thank you.

Best,

Caden De Guzman



Peer Educator

caden@carecensf.org

main: 415-642-4400 | direct: (628) 267-9953

3101 Mission Street, Suite 101, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



From: [Vanessa Bohm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment June 13th budget and appropriations
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:47:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning/afternoon Budget & Appropriations Committee,

My name is Vanessa Bohm and I am the Director of CARECEN's Family Wellness and Health Promotions Programs.

CARECEN is an immigrant rights, advocacy and direct service organization serving Latinx, immigrant and low-income residents of San Francisco. We are also the organizational lead of the Mission Children's Oral Health Task Force, one of three task forces that work to improve children's oral health in the Latinx, African American and Chinese communities – communities that disproportionately experience childhood cavities and tooth decay at alarming levels. These task forces are funded by San Francisco's Soda Tax and the Mayor's budget completely defunds these task forces and the capacity they have built over six years directly serving our communities.

The task forces not only provide outreach in the communities they serve, but oral health education that is culturally relevant and responsive both at local schools and in other community spaces that are safe and inviting and a place for communities to build networks of support among each other; they create and develop media campaigns that more effectively reach those we serve and also provide navigation support, dental screenings and linkage to oral health services to connect those we serve with essential oral health services. They provide the free distribution of tooth brushes, tooth paste, floss and other hygienic supplies that are important for the thousands of families we serve collectively, particularly when the majority of them are still trying to recover from the impacts of the pandemic.

This last year we worked closely with SFUSD to increase the effectiveness of the oral health sealant program, which was funded by the mayor – because Latinx, African American and Chinese communities have low consent rate on this program. With our help we were able to increase consent rates for our communities.

Defunding these task forces will mean fewer families signing consent forms, an increase in tooth decay for our children, pain and stress for our families, more missed days of school, and poor overall health.

We ask this committee and the city to prioritize the health of low-income

families and those who are disproportionately burdened by chronic disease by restoring funding to the oral health task forces and all community-based grants funded by soda tax revenue. Our communities have suffered deeply during the pandemic, this is not a time to make cuts to programs that are critical for their health, wellbeing and survival.

Vanessa Bohm (Pronouns: she, her, hers)

Director of Family Wellness & Health Promotions Programs

vanessa@carecensf.org


main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7460

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org

**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

[Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today](#)



From: [Stephanie Chiquillo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Public Comment for Budget and Appropriation Meeting
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:01:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Hello Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm Dr. Stephanie Chiquillo and I'm a Psychological Associate at the Central American Resource Center of Northern California (CARECEN SF). Our community-based organization serves and empowers Latinx and immigrant communities across San Francisco.

I want to express my outrage at the DCYF, DEC, and Soda Tax budget cuts to crucial services to the Latinx immigrant community. Families come to us when they are unable to access services due to language barriers, immigration issues, and cultural dissonance. Losing this funding will place Latinx families at risk for food, housing, and health insecurity, increasing the already large disparities we see in the health, education, financial, and criminal justice outcomes (to name a few) for the San Francisco Latinx community.

I am requesting that you restore funding for CARECEN's Second Chance Youth and Tattoo Removal program, and follow the Soda Tax Advisory Committee's budget recommendations, including restoring funding for the community-based grants and \$450,000 for the Bayview, Chinatown, and Mission Children's Oral Health Task Forces. Thank you.

Stephanie Chiquillo (she/her/hers), PsyD
Registered Psychological Associate
stephanie@carecensf.org
main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 745-1150
3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110
carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



THIS MESSAGE OR DOCUMENT AND ANY ATTACHMENTS ARE SOLELY FOR THE INTENDED RECIPIENT AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, USE, OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THIS MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENTS IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AND PERMANENTLY DELETE OR OTHERWISE DESTROY THE INFORMATION.

From: [CHARLES KEOHANE](#)
To: [Health Service Board \(HSS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Rates and Benefits for United Healthcare June 13th meeting
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:36:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Health Service System
City & County of San Francisco
Health Service Board

1145 Market Street 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Members of the Board:

For identification purposes only I am a retired Deputy Chief of the San Francisco Police Department writing today to express my dismay at the actions taken by the Board at the regular scheduled meeting on June 13th.

It is my understanding that an agenda item to approve the Rates and Benefits for United Healthcare (UHC) was voted down after HSS Director Abby Yant informed the HSS Board and individuals in the gallery that at a meeting with the Board of Supervisors (BOS) she was informed that keeping UHC was an “unacceptable situation” and the City “needs the money to balance the budget.” This is apparently in reference to the decision made at the June 7th HSS meeting where the Board voted to retain UHC in providing quality healthcare to retirees rather than change to Blue Shield of California to save costs.

As was brought forward at the June 7th meeting of the Board, the proposal to change vendors from UHC to Blue Shield of California appeared to be hastily rushed through without consulting the CCSF retirement community and the only information provided as to a reason for the change was that of cost. It was also brought forth that the quality and accessibility of care provided by Blue Shield of California may well be inferior that of UHC. It is my understanding that the Board after receiving numerous letters and public testimony rejected the agenda item to change vendors and voted to continue to provide those who served CCSF honorably for many years with quality healthcare.

Am I to understand that the HSS Board, at the direction of the BOS, wants to lower the quality of healthcare for those who in the later years of their lives depend on it more than ever? Is the Mayor's Budget Office aware of the issue? I would also like to inquire as to if members of the BOS did make such a statement, as to balancing the budget, will we be provided with their names so that we may voice our concerns to them directly? I believe there are other ways to balance the City's budget rather than do a disservice to those who for many years honorably served the people of San Francisco.

Regards,

Charles J Keohane
CCSF Retiree

From: [LRamlan](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Continue our Untied Health Care
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:47:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

> Dear Supv Chan,

> I am a life long Richmond resident who raised a family here and continues to live int he district. After a career with the San Francisco Police Department now that I am on a disability requirement health services wants to up end the medical plan my wife and I have earned.

> Rescind this decision and continue our Untied Health Care coverage until a fully informed discussion of options and implications can be presented to all effected parties.

>

> What is the change from Untied Health to Blue Shield all about? There has not been any review, discussion or presentation to the consumers here. The sudden change sounds like a step backward to save money at the cost of retiree members who have cannot negotiate better coverage. As retirees, we are the most in need of good coverage. We had Blue Shied before and were not happy with it. We moved to United Health Care and have been very happy with the coverage.

>

> As as CCSF retiree I vehemently disagree with these railroad tactics the City is using at a time when me and my family are not in a position to make changes in our health care. Through the years in collective bargaining we traded service for health care and went into retired trusting it was safely in place. Now the carpet the system is pulling a fast by reduce service to save money at the cost of our health. This is disgraceful. There should be transparency in negotiations before changes are make with a full understanding of the implications for subscribers - that has not been the case here. No Blue Shield - Yes United Health!

>

> Laurence Ramlan

> SFPD Retired

From: [shiba Bandeeba](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 2:24:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

shiba Bandeeba
shibabandeeba@gmail.com
1968 Great Highway
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Serena Meghani](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 3:37:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Serena Meghani
serenameghani@gmail.com
2535 Polk St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Byron Gordon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:11:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

To Shamann Walton,

I'm a resident of Potrero Hill. And I also work for the GLIDE Foundation. GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item.

I ask you to PLEASE RESTORE FUNDING to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Sincerely,

Byron Gordon
579 Texas Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

Byron Gordon
bgordon1234@yahoo.com
579 Texas Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Kasey Rios Asberry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:30:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's exemplary Center for Social Justice received a modest grant to begin addressing a colossal problem: racial health disparities for the Black community. This funding will create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

Glide has a proven track record in implementing innovative health programs that get real results for people who need them most.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item, if these cuts are made as planned would be a profound example of continuing the health redlining that leaves Black people out of creating our own solutions that actually work.

I urge you to vote to restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this significant program at Glide can proceed as planned.

Kasey Rios Asberry
kasberry@humanorigins.org
245 Hyde St
San Francisco, California 94102-3323

From: [Margaret Wilson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:02:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Please say yes to support

Margaret Wilson
Momnicoya82@gmail.com
424 Guerrero street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Aaron Dietrich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:28:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Aaron Dietrich
judaslug@yahoo.com
1445 Lakeside Dr #101
Oakland, California 94612

From: [Alisha Zhao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:29:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Alisha Zhao
azhao@compass-sf.org
141 Vicksburg St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [James Taylor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:29:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

James Taylor
jtaylor@glide.org
3000 N Spaulding Ave
Chicago, Illinois 60618-6809

From: [Solinna Ven](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore the 2024 Policy, Systems, and Environment Grants Funding
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:29:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

GLIDE's Center for Social Justice received a grant to create a Black Health Community Action Team (CAT) to engage leaders and community representatives of the Black community across San Francisco in a year-round cohort focused on preventing and mitigating harmful effects of diet-sensitive chronic diseases in the Black community through policy, research, and advocacy.

This program would form an important piece of addressing ongoing racial health disparities for the Black community in an innovative and empowering model, which is an underfunded type of work.

This grant funding comes from the Soda Tax (aka the Sugary Drink Distributors Tax/SDDT) through a recent RFP for the Policy Systems and Environments Grants, but the current version of the city budget makes significant cuts to that line item. Please restore funding to the Policy Systems and Environments Grants so that this program can proceed as planned.

Solinna Ven
sven@cohsf.org
461 Turk St
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 25 Letters Regarding File No. 240449
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 1:02:10 PM
Attachments: [25 Letters Regarding File No. 240449.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 25 letters regarding **File No. 240449**:

Hearing to consider the Mayor's May proposed budget for the Airport Commission, Board of Appeals, Department of Building Inspection, Child Support Services, Department of the Environment, Law Library, Municipal Transportation Agency, Port, Public Library, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, and Retirement System for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2024-2025 and 2025-2026.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Virginia Smedberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC Budget concerns, relating to SF's city budget
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:17:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

You have a budget vote coming up. Part of that budget is the SFPUC's budget.

I live in Palo Alto, thus I drink water provided in great part by the SFPUC (with the help of the Tuolumne River). So the costs of that water affect me directly. I also care about other users of that water, human and otherwise.

Therefore I am asking you to get an independent audit done of the SFPUC's budget - which SFPUC should pay for, since it's their responsibility to keep their customers in the "light" (rather than dark) - and to not approve the budget unless SFPUC agrees to that. My concerns are especially about their "design drought" and their projections of water use, which from what I have read are not realistic. I think we need an outside perspective.

Sincerely,
Virginia Smedberg
441 Washington Ave
Palo Alto 94301

EARTH without **ART**
is just **EH**

From: [DAVID F](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC audit
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:05:10 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board Members,

I am a native San Franciscan who wants to support performing an audit on the SFPUC. After learning about the corruption by former Department Head, Mohammed Nehru, and witnessing the over budget and over time projects in recent years, I know that this is a necessity. Do approve the funds to do this audit.

Sincerely,

David Ferguson

From: [S. R. Gilbert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Time to audit the SFPUC.
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:18:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The water hogged by Hetch Hetchy is way beyond what's needed, leading to unacceptable conditions downstream. Please have the SFPUC independently audited and send the bill to the PUC. The audit should include analysis of the Design Drought and water-demand projections).

Sincerely yours,
Sam Gilbert
1905 McAllister, San Francisco

From: [Steve Schramm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Audit budget and have SFPUC pay for it
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:02:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I encourage the Supervisors to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC (including an analysis of the Design Drought and water demand projections), and to require the SFPUC to fund it.

Thank you.

SteveSchramm

From: Jeffrey Hook
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Jalpa Brent (BOS)
Subject: Demand an audit of the SFPUC, paid by SFPUC, to address salmon decline
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:32:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Salmon are under appreciated for the benefit they bring to upriver ecosystems. They are, in effect, a **free nutrient "train"** that brings nitrogen, carbon and phosphorus from the ocean to their inland spawning grounds. This benefits plant and animal populations, which in turn benefit humans.

Because healthy salmon runs benefit us, we should prioritize the salmon run over the needs of both municipalities and agriculture. **Salmon are our best long-term investment strategy.**

Here is a summary of my argument:

- Hoard less water during drought years
 - Keep enough only for 5 years of drought, not 7-8 as is current policy
- Release enough water to keep salmon spawn counts above 10-20k
 - This ensures the nutrient "train" keeps rolling
- Give salmon top priority when distributing water
 1. Salmon
 2. Municipalities (with appropriate rationing for lawns, etc.)
 3. Agriculture
- Ag and muni demands are more elastic than that of the salmon.
 - Munis can ration, and Ag can switch to less water-intensive crops.
 - E.g. grow less alfalfa, which is animal feed. Grow fewer animals, humans consume less meat. We thrive, salmon thrive, the ecosystem thrives. Win-Win-Win.

It is common knowledge that salmon in California are in steep decline. During drought years, populations can fall catastrophically to near extinction levels.

"Lack of returning wild salmon that are of Tuolumne River origin, and the dominance of hatchery strays after droughts, do not bode well for the native Tuolumne salmon run. ... To increase wild salmon production, the State Water Board needs to adjust the allocation of Tuolumne River water, a process the State Water Board began in 2018. **Changes in the operation of the Delta pumps to reduce pumping during the emigration season would improve the success of all San Joaquin watershed salmon runs.**"



(Source: <https://calsport.org/fisheriesblog/?p=3475>) (Emphasis mine)

"Fall-run Chinook salmon are a mainstay of commercial and recreational fishing and tribal food supplies. But their populations are now a fraction of what they once were — dams have blocked vital habitat, while droughts and water diversions have driven down flows and increased temperatures, killing large numbers of salmon eggs and young fish." (Source: <https://calmatters.org/environment/water/2024/03/california-salmon-restrictions-ban-2024/>)

"[In the early 1900s]... on the basis of early commercial catch records, the maximal production levels of the Central Valley chinook salmon stocks in aggregate may be conservatively estimated to have reached approximately **1–2 million spawners** annually. Although substantial investment has been made by the state of California in managing the chinook salmon resource since the early years of the commercial fishery, chinook salmon have declined over the decades to small fractions of their previous numbers. The decline of the Central Valley chinook salmon resource was caused by several factors: overfishing, blockage and degradation of streams by mining activities, **and reduction of salmon habitat and streamflows by dams and water diversions.**" (Emphasis mine) (Source: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233103975_Historical_Abundance_and_Decline_of_Chinook_Salmon_in_the_Central_Valley_Region_of_California)

Jeffrey Hook
Palo Alto, CA

From: [Glenn Rogers](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Despite water Surplus SFPUC pushes drought scenario
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:49:38 PM
Attachments: [CSFN Logo.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Hello Supervisors,

I would like to introduce myself as the new President of CSFN.

This Design Drought that the SFPUC Commissioners are using to curtail water release into the Tuolumne River displays poor judgement. In the article enclosed, BAWSCA claims San Franciscans are using a historical low amount of water. For example, today we use only 55 gallons of water per day which is a record low. This is despite the fact our population has grown 34% in the same time frame. In the year 2012, BAWSCA determined San Franciscans used 79.3 gallons of water per day. So the SFPUC is using imaginary figures to limit the amount of water released into the Tuolumne River. This negatively impacts our salmon population. Unfortunately, this is the second year in a row the State of California has halted salmon fishing which negatively impacts the livelihood of those at Fisherman's Wharf and the indigenous peoples. Additionally, this Alternative Water Supply Plan the SFPUC is proposing will be expensive. It is projected to cost between \$17-\$21 billion which will increase water rates for the customers in the SFPUC service area. This poor governance by the SFPUC needs to be curtailed by the Board of Supervisors, please.

We ask you to have the SFPUC do an audit, that they pay for, using an outside entity, that can provide honest, reliable data about water. Today, we cannot trust any figures the SFPUC provides us, in my opinion. The SFPUC has been misusing water policy for years.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter. Please, read the article enclosed. If you have more time, the BAWSCA presentation in the article, has data that is in contrast with the SFPUC policy. What are we to believe? Are we in a record

drought or a record water surplus!

Glenn Rogers, RLA
President of Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
Landscape Architect
License 3223

<https://westsideobserver.com/24/5-despite-water-surplus-sfpuc-continues-designing-for-drought.php>

While lakes and rivers overflow SFPUC persists

by Glenn Rogers



SFPUC: Designing for Drought

••••• May 2024 •••••

For the second year, the SFPUC has canceled salmon fishing on the Tuolumne River and throughout California. That will cause hardship for thousands of local fishermen at Fishermen’s Wharf and indigenous people. On April 23, the SFPUC met to discuss water policy. In the audience were members of the Tuolumne River Trust, the Sierra Club, the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods, and a representative of the indigenous peoples.

DESIGN DROUGHT

The SFPUC, which curtails the amount of water released into the Tuolumne River, designed the Design Drought plan — a plan that is so far-reaching that it projects a scenario — a drought that last happened 25,000 years ago. (Discovered by research into a Public Records Act.) It unnecessarily curtails water to fishermen while releasing water to farmers at 4 of every 5 gallons. (See the crops below for excessive water-consuming offenders). SFPUC's plan for this scheme is similar to anticipating the snowfall in the [Ice Age](#) which lasted roughly 11,700 years ago. This plan is folly.

Despite a surplus of water in our reservoirs sufficient to withstand a drought for four years, the SFPUC has imposed a drought surcharge on San Francisco ratepayers! The SFPUC is myopically planning for an *increase* in water use even though the demand in San Francisco has declined in the last three decades.”

MUIR WOODS IN CONTRAST

Redwood Creek in Muir Woods has [Chinook Salmon](#). It also has a program to encourage salmon to return every year. The number of people who visit Muir Woods is limited to control traffic, and the parking lot is not overcrowded. Refuse pickup is determined by limiting overflow of trash into the creek. Safe to say, all park activities are guided by the overarching principle of fostering salmon return. Wouldn't it be ideal if the SFPUC had a similar principle for the the Tuolumne River?

WRONG CROPS IN SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY

Almonds, pistachios, walnuts, oranges and apricot trees are part of the problem of a list of crops growing in the San Joaquin Valley. These trees require year-round water; otherwise, they perish. Having the field go fallow is not a water-saving solution for these crops. Alfalfa is a crop for cattle that requires copious amounts of water.

One walnut—not the whole tree—requires 5 gallons of water, [for example](#). These crops should not be grown there because of their [intense water use](#). The salmon, which also require water, should win this fight for resources, in the opinion of many Californians.

WHO ARE OUR SFPUC COMMISSIONERS?

The SFPUC Commissioners are Tim Paulson, Anthony Rivera, Newsha Ajami, Kate Stacy, Dennis Herrera, Sophie Maxwell and Donna Hood. Commissioners Sophie Maxwell and Donna Hood are retiring. Both will be missed, since they voted most judiciously about the water needs for San Francisco and the Tuolumne River. Let's hope the Mayor picks good stewards of the environment as replacement Commissioners.

SFPUC HAS BLIND STEWARDSHIP

Despite a surplus of water in our reservoirs sufficient to withstand a drought for four years, the SFPUC has imposed a drought surcharge on San Francisco ratepayers! The SFPUC is myopically planning for an *increase* in water use even though the demand in San Francisco has declined in the last three decades. For example, Parkmerced has a 13% vacancy rate, and now, even worse, the business sector in San Francisco has a 36% vacancy rate! To prepare for these imagined demands for water, the SFPUC has designed a plan to pump groundwater from the west side of San Francisco's underground aquifers. All of [this planning, building, extraction, pumping and distribution](#) of underground water is expensive and unnecessary and will raise the cost of water for San Francisco residents.

WATER USE IN THE SFPUC SERVICE AREA

The State has recently asked the City of San Francisco to restrict the water it takes from the Tuolumne River. This effort is part of a larger

plan to limit the water use of thousands of long-time users. At the April 23rd meeting, several audience members requested that the SFPUC accept the new water plan rather than challenge it in court.

BAY AREA WATER SUPPLY & CONSERVATION AGENCY REPORT (BAWSCA)

In the year 2022 /2023, residents used, as an aggregate, 55 gallons of water per day—a historic low—despite a population increase of 34% during the same time frame. Only 4% of the population uses 100 gallons of water daily. The most significant offender in the water use category is Purissima Hills at over 180 gallons of water per day.

BAWSCA anticipates a new downward trend in water use.

Unfortunately, this discussion did not include agricultural [water savings](#), which uses 80% of water in the San Joaquin Valley. For example, farmers could increase drip irrigation and stop the wasteful use of flood irrigation.

We can only hope the SFPUC will manage water use honestly.

Glenn Rogers, RLA

Landscape Architect / License 3223

May 2024

From: [Jason Jungreis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: BOS should require an independent audit of SFPUC
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:55:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

I am a longtime SF resident. I am concerned that the most critical government function -- not setting policy (because of course everyone has an opinion) -- but AUDITING, to ensure that government is functioning as intended, efficiently, and with oversight, is not being performed. This seems especially true of the SFPUC, a large governmental agency with many technical functions that our representatives seem loath to wade into. Without regular auditing, there is no way to know whether the SFPUC is functioning well -- and given its history of scandals, there may be special reason to be suspect.

I strongly encourage the BOS to initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC, including an analysis of the Design Drought and water demand projections, and to require the SFPUC to fund it.

Thanks.

Jason Jungreis
527 47th Avenue 94121

From: [Darrell Neft](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC budget
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Consider these facts:

- The SFPUC budget will increase by 20% over the next two years.
- Combined water and sewer bills for San Francisco residents and businesses will increase by 8% per year. Rates will triple in just 20 years.
- The SFPUC is already carrying \$8.5 billion of debt.
- Their 10-Year Capital Plan will increase by \$3 billion this year alone, bringing the total to \$11.8 billion, plus debt service.
- By 2047, the SFPUC's annual debt service will be greater than last year's entire budget.

Darrell Neft
Sent from my iPad

From: [Anthony Barreiro](#)
To: [RonenOffice \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Audit the Public Utilities Commission
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 12:59:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Ronen -- I am your constituent in District 9, a renter living on a modest pension, and a SFPUC Water Department ratepayer. I care about our environment, especially the Bay and Delta, and I am very careful to conserve water in my home. Still, my monthly water bill goes up and up every year (not to mention PG&E, rent, groceries, etc.).

The City and County of San Francisco talks big about environmental stewardship, but our Public Utilities Commission has an awful record of stubbornly resisting even the most modest, common sense reforms that would prevent permanent degradation of the Bay and Delta, extinction of aquatic species, and the collapse of the fishing and recreation economies that rely on a healthy ecosystem.

I'm a retired social worker, I'm not an expert on water policy and infrastructure engineering. But I believe that outside experts should audit the PUC, and the PUC should pay for the audit. The Board of Supervisors needs to make this happen through this year's budget. We don't have time to let things keep getting worse.

Decades of deferred maintenance of critical infrastructure has caught up with the SF PUC, requiring a massive amount of capital investment over a short period of time. This has put the SFPUC in an extremely precarious financial position, requiring utility rates to skyrocket. Without intervention from the Board of Supervisors, the problem will continue to get worse.

Consider these facts:

- The SFPUC budget will increase by 20% over the next two years.
- Combined water and sewer bills for San Francisco residents and businesses will increase by 8% per year. Rates will triple in just 20 years.
- The SFPUC is already carrying \$8.5 billion of debt.
- Their 10-Year Capital Plan will increase by \$3 billion this year alone, bringing the total to \$11.8 billion, plus debt service.
- By 2047, the SFPUC's annual debt service will be greater than last year's entire budget.

The Crisis Could Get a Lot Worse

The SFPUC is now considering the need to invest between \$17 billion and \$25 billion in expensive alternative water supplies (doubling their budget), to produce water that will not be needed. Their water supply analysis is based on an extremely conservative drought planning scenario known as the "Design Drought." The Design Drought combines two of the worst droughts from the last century to manufacture an extremely severe 8.5-year mega-drought that might be expected once in 25,000 years, according to a document uncovered through a Public Records Act request.

The SFPUC also is basing potential alternative water supply investments on a large increase in water demand, despite the fact that water use has declined dramatically over the past three decades. Their own Finance Bureau projects water sales will remain flat. As water and wastewater rates increase, people and businesses find ways to use less, but the SFPUC's fixed costs stay the same, so rates must increase even more to cover those costs. The SFPUC's "financial death spiral" will continue to build steam.

What Needs to Happen?

The Board of Supervisors must audit the SFPUC. They should leverage their authority to approve the SFPUC budget to require the SFPUC to fund an independent audit. The audit should include a thorough review of SFPUC policies that impact rates, such as the Design Drought. The alternative is that the SFPUC might face another "ratepayer revolt" that will freeze rate increases and deprive the agency of new funds needed for required infrastructure upgrades. Without intervention, the SFPUC will likely have to be bailed out. San Francisco is already struggling to close an \$800 million projected shortfall over the next two years.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important concern, and thank you for your service to the people of District 9 and San Francisco.

With sincere best wishes,

Anthony Barreiro
973 South Van Ness Av, Apt B
San Francisco, CA 94110

=====
Anthony Barreiro (he, him) anthonybarreiro@yahoo.com
San Francisco, California, Turtle Island
Ramaytush Ohlone Land
=====

May all beings be happy, peaceful, and free.
=====

From: [Marc Silverman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC Budget & The Tuolome River
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:07:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a California resident and annual visitor to Yosemite National Park for the past 20 years, I've become a lover of the Tuolome River and the Heche Hechy basin. I've learned that the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission is currently considering the need to invest \$17 - \$25 billion in expensive alternative water supplies (doubling their budget) coming from these water sources, to produce water that is not proven to be needed as their water supply analysis is based on an extremely conservative drought planning scenario. As water and wastewater rates increase, people and businesses find ways to use less, but the SFPUC's fixed costs stay the same, so rates must increase even more to cover those costs. The SFPUC's "financial death spiral" will continue to build steam.

I urge that the Board of Supervisors must audit the SFPUC and leverage their authority to approve the SFPUC budget to require the SFPUC to fund an independent audit. The audit should include a thorough review of SFPUC policies that impact rates, such as the Design Drought. The alternative is that the SFPUC might face another "ratepayer revolt" that will freeze rate increases and deprive the agency of new funds needed for required infrastructure upgrades. Without intervention, the SFPUC will likely have to be bailed out. San Francisco is already struggling to close an \$800 million projected shortfall over the next two years.

Thank you for your time.
-Marc Silverman

From: [Samuel Butler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC budget
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:47:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my concerns at the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's water policies and how these are damaging our natural water systems in northern California. At a time of climate crisis, hotter temperatures and degrading natural water systems, it is vital that we do all we can to protect and recover these eco-systems. Instead, the SFPUC's policies seem designed to cause more harm than good.

Therefore, I am calling for an independent audit of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, including an analysis of water demand projections and the Design Drought measure. This will likely demonstrate that the Design Drought is causing harm to the Tuolumne River and San Francisco Bay Delta, and also to Hetch Hetchy ratepayers. I also request that you make the approval of the budget contingent on the SFPUC paying for the audit.

Thank you.

Sam Butler
San Francisco, CA

From: [Lonna Richmond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:17:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC, and condition your approval of the budget on the SFPUC paying for the audit.

A comprehensive performance audit will show that the SFPUC's "Design Drought" harms the Tuolumne River, the SF Bay Delta, and the Hetch Hetchy ratepayers as well.

Sincerely,
Lonna Richmond

From: [Laura Saunders](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Vote for an Independent audit of SFPUC
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:10:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I'm a D6 resident and have lived in San Francisco for over 20 years. I'm concerned about SFPUC's "design drought" which not only brand the Tuolumne River and Bay Delta but we the Hetch Hetchy ratepayers.

Please vote to require the SFPUC to fund an independent audit which includes a thorough review of SFPUC policies that impact rates, such as the Design Drought.

Without intervention, the SFPUC will likely have to be bailed out. San Francisco is already struggling to close an \$800 million projected shortfall over the next two years.

Sincerely,
Laura Saunders
170 King St
SF, CA 94107

From: [Janice Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 4:54:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please audit this entity. The Tuolumne river, the Bay Delta, and drought measures need to be looked at. The river and delta need to be protected. The SFPUC needs oversight and guidance.

Sincerely,
Jan Jones

Sent from my iPad

From: [Martin Gothberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC Audit and Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:38:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

I frequently participate at SFPUC hearings as an advocate for the Tuolumne river and the need to restore flow so that we can return to a healthy salmon-based ecosystem.

I am concerned about the Alternative Water Supply Plan and the overly conservative assumptions that will drive significant capital cost and potentially skyrocketing rates to water users. The AWSP contains many assumptions on future water usage along with an arbitrary and hugely conservative 'design drought' that has been shown to be unlikely to occur.

There needs to be an audit of the SFPUC, to include their decision making process and assumptions used in their planning. PLEASE MAKE APPROVAL OF THE SFPUC BUDGET CONDITIONAL ON THIS AUDIT/SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND INCLUDE THIS IN THEIR BUDGET. Doing so will likely save significant CAPEX and rate increases while still providing the necessary infrastructure to meet future Bay Area water needs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Martin J Gothberg

From: [Mark Moulton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: City Budget: needs independent audit of the SFPUC, condition of approval SFPUC pays for the audit
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 10:11:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

561 Lakeview Way
Emerald Hills, CA 94062

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors members,

I am a resident of the San Francisco peninsula and because my water comes from the Hetch Hetchy system I pay close attention to the management of water by the SFPUC. I sit on several Board of Directors of companies that develop affordable housing on the peninsula as well. Fresh water is a crucial factor in our ability to build new housing and the cost of that water is very important to the families we serve.

In my opinion, it is now critical that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors exercise their oversight of the SFPUC. Such oversight would begin with the Board requiring an audit of the SFPUC, paid for by the agency and presented to the Supervisors by the auditors. Such an audit must include discussion of SFPUC policies that impact rates to its customers.

Until the Supervisors have a clear picture of the financial activities, the policies that drive those financials and a presentation of the planned actions the SFPUC intends to take, customers will have no ally in protecting their interests. We all need to know now if SFPUC past and planned actions meet the scrutiny of outside evaluation for fiscal reasonableness. Tens of thousands of customers are counting on you.

Thank you,

Mark Moulton

.....
Mark Moulton | 650.670.4069 cell/text
.....

From: [Charlene Woodcock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC Budget Audit
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:40:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a native Californian, I have long taken very seriously the health of the San Francisco Bay and the great Delta, as well as our northern California water sources.

It has been deeply concerning to see the SFPUC appear to be governed more by the interests of Central Valley industrial agriculture than those of the residents and wildlife of the Bay Area. It was especially concerning to learn that the SFPUC joined a lawsuit against the state to block a plan to restore the Tuolumne and other rivers. Failure of that lawsuit represents a significant financial loss and no benefit except to delay work on a solution for San Francisco water planning.

It has become very clear that we need to continue the impressive efforts to conserve water that we've demonstrated in the past and that changes are likely needed away from extremely water-needy crops like Stuart Resnick's miles of almond orchards, grown on marginal lands and dependent on significant irrigation.

It's apparent that the 10-year Capital Plan and the extremely conservative drought planning measures taken by the SFPUC will cause rates as well as debt to increase unsustainably in the coming years. Instead of assuming and planning on huge increased use of water, the SFPUC needs to plan for and educate people to focus on conservation and greater efficiency of water use.

I very strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to require the SFPUC to commit to an independent audit that will rigorously examine the consequences of SFPUC planning on customer rates. The investor-owned monopoly public utilities commissions all over the country are close to provoking customer backlash by their disregard for the damage to ratepayers of ever-increasing rates.

Sincerely,

Charlene M. Woodcock

From: [Bernard Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC's water model
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 11:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to request that you initiate an independent audit of the SFPUC in regards to the SFPUC's drought model, specifically the assumption that San Francisco needs enough storage capacity to withstand a 8.5-year mega drought - a once in a 25,000 year event.

I'm a fisherman and hiker of the eastern Sierras and would like to see more water available for the steelhead and salmon that live south of Hetch Hetchy.

The SFPUC's current water model is too conservative. I'm sympathetic to the challenge of building long-range models, but the result of the SFPUC's 25,000-year model is an increased cost to taxpayers and a decrease in the amount of water released from Hetch Hetchy to support wildlife and the natural environment that make that watershed so distinct. Please consider models with a shorter time frame in order to leave more water for the natural environment.

Thank you,

Bernard Chen

From: [Rush Rehm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Require SFPUC fund an independent audit before approving its budget, please.
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 9:24:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I write as a long time San Francisco and Bay Area resident, concerned as most of us are about water rates, water usage, and efforts to maintain safe drinking water while maximizing efficiency and protecting the environment. A tall order I know. But here's something you can do to help.

From my work on the issue, I have come to the conclusion that the SFPUC should fund an independent audit, and that audit should take a *very* close look at policies that will affect water rates, specifically the "Design Drought." Given your authority to approve the SFPUC's budget, you could require this of the Commission. Why should you do this? Because the Design Drought is over the top, adopting a worst-case scenario with a "once in 25,000 years" prospect of occurring, assuming an eight-plus year meg-drought. The knock-on effects of these assumptions make no sense - massive spending on alternative water investments, even as conservation measures and population changes have shown water usage declining over the past several decades. And what will amount to a massive increase for rate payers, many of whom struggle to live in the area to begin with, given the price of property rental and home ownership.

Please use your authority over SFPUC budget approval to require the Commission fund an *independent* audit that reviews these policies, which will have a direct impact on rate payers, and on conservationists committed to effective and clearly reasoned water management policies.

Sincerely,

Rush Rehm
Professor, Theater and Performance Studies, and Classics (Emeritus), Stanford University
Artistic Director, Stanford Repertory Theater (SRT) <http://stanfordreptheater.com/>

From: [Laura Stokes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Super Important Hearing
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:29:50 PM
Attachments: [SFPUC Budget Crisis Backgrounder.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors of the San Francisco Government,

It's been brought to my attention that an audit is appropriate for the SFPUC. Please see the attachment below.

For something this critical to the public's continued well-being in the Bay area, I'm certain I'm not the first to email you regarding this matter.

I urge you to seriously consider this matter and make it a requirement for the SFPUC budget to undergo an independent audit. I firmly believe that regular independent audits, especially when the public's interest is at stake, are a crucial tool in maintaining transparency and accountability. While they may not solve all issues, they certainly set clear boundaries.

Laura Stokes
Stanislaus County Resident

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Peter Drekmeier <peter@tuolumne.org>
Date: Thu, Jun 13, 2024 at 12:26 PM
Subject: Super Important Hearing
To: Peter Drekmeier <peter@tuolumne.org>

--

Thank you and best regards,

Laura Stokes
<https://www.LauraStokesArtSales.com>
1.206.371.4853 cellphone
Seattle area code

Receive a free printable digital image for your use when you join the Laura Stokes Gallery mailing list. Follow this link to sign up:
<https://www.laurastokesgallery.com/info>

SFPUC Budget Crisis Backgrounder

Decades of deferred maintenance of critical infrastructure has caught up with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), requiring a massive amount of capital investment over a short period of time. This has put the SFPUC in an extremely precarious financial position, requiring utility rates to skyrocket. Without intervention from the Board of Supervisors, the problem will continue to get worse. Consider these facts:

- The SFPUC budget will increase by 20% over the next two years.
- Combined water and sewer bills for San Francisco residents and businesses will increase by 8% per year. Rates will triple in just 20 years.
- The SFPUC is already carrying \$8.5 billion of debt.
- Their 10-Year Capital Plan will increase by \$3 billion this year alone, bringing the total to \$11.8 billion, plus debt service.
- By 2047, the SFPUC's annual debt service will be greater than last year's entire budget.

The Crisis Could Get a Lot Worse

The SFPUC is now considering the need to invest between \$17 billion and \$25 billion in expensive alternative water supplies (doubling their budget), to produce water that will not be needed. Their water supply analysis is based on an extremely conservative drought planning scenario known as the "Design Drought." The Design Drought combines two of the worst droughts from the last century to manufacture an extremely severe 8.5-year mega-drought that might be expected once in 25,000 years, according to a document uncovered through a Public Records Act request.

The SFPUC also is basing potential alternative water supply investments on a large increase in water demand, despite the fact that water use has declined dramatically over the past three decades. Their own Finance Bureau projects water sales will remain flat.

As water and wastewater rates increase, people and businesses find ways to use less, but the SFPUC's fixed costs stay the same, so rates must increase even more to cover those costs. The SFPUC's "financial death spiral" will continue to build steam.

What Needs to Happen?

The Board of Supervisors must audit the SFPUC. They should leverage their authority to approve the SFPUC budget to require the SFPUC to fund an independent audit. The audit should include a thorough review of SFPUC policies that impact rates, such as the Design Drought.

The alternative is that the SFPUC might face another "ratepayer revolt" that will freeze rate increases and deprive the agency of new funds needed for required infrastructure upgrades. Without intervention, the SFPUC will likely have to be bailed out. San Francisco is already struggling to close an \$800 million projected shortfall over the next two years.

From: [Mary McVey Gill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please audit the SFPUC
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:50:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please leverage the Board's authority in approving the SFPUC budget to include a requirement that they fund an audit. Water and sewer bills for SF are expected to triple in just 20 years, and a huge amount of debt (almost \$12 billion) has been accumulated. The very conservative "Design Drought" plan is not based on reliable science. This situation cannot go on unchecked. My daughter lives in SF and has a hard time paying the rates she is being charged—she is my source of information. But I know there are many SF residents in the same situation.

Thank you,

Mary Gill
734 San Rafael Place
Stanford CA 94305

From: [gabrielle johnck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFPUC needs to be audited
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:28:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

Before approving the Budget for the coming cycle, please include the requirement that the SFPUC be audited and the cost be assigned to the SFPUC. It has been repeatedly shown by several agencies and many stakeholders that the SFPUC is basing its decisions on wild and unfounded climate projections. It is time to rein in an agency under your control and sound scientific review is used when allocating water..

SFPUC needs an independent audit.

SFPUC must pay for the audit.

Please include both in your budget approval June 25, 2024

Brielle Johnck

From: [Lauren Weston](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Budget approval comment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:51:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Lauren Weston, I am the Executive Director of Acterra: Action for a Healthy Planet (we serve 8, and sometimes 9, counties of the Bay Area). Our mission is to “bring people together to create local solutions for a healthy planet”. I also happen to have 13 years of residency in the Bayview/Hunters Point community under my belt-so for many reasons this budget is critical to my personal and professional lives.

I want to strongly suggest approval of a budget to be contingent on an independent audit of the SFPUC, and particularly to condition approval of the budget on the SFPUC paying for that audit. The current structure is not sustainable and we must find a way forward that serves both our residents and our planet. We won't have many opportunities like this to make the hard, yet, right, decision. Demand is changing rapidly and pricing needs to keep up in an equitable and just way. This is an opportunity to make better-informed decisions.

Thank you,
Lauren

Lauren Weston (she/her)

Executive Director
Acterra: Action for a Healthy Planet
(530) 219-2813
acterra.org | [Subscribe](#)

Acterra staff use [self-identified pronouns](#) to support workplace inclusion for everyone.

I respectfully acknowledge that my work takes place on the ancestral and unceded land of the Ramaytush Ohlone and/or the Yokutz. [Whose land are you on?](#)

We practice [Slow Fridays](#). Messages received on Fridays may have a delayed response.

From: [Susan Ford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PUC budget on Monday
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:43:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I understand that funds for this group will be addressed. Given the very neglectful acts of this group, I would suggest that an audit of the org before approving more money for anything. And it needs to be at their expense since the city has no funds for this.

This group is out of control and expects rate payers to subsidize profits for shareholders and bonuses for themselves!

I ask for accountability from this Commission, please.

Susan Ford
San Francisco, D3

From: [James Clarke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: City Budget Crisis
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 5:01:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors - I am writing to express my deep concern over issues relating to how the SFPUC has been handling the issue of water supply and related financial issues over the past many years. By way of introduction, I am a San Francisco native and live in Bernal Heights.

My concern stems from the fact that the SFPUC has been mis-managing our precious water supplies. Our wonderful Hetch-Hetchy water system has been under-maintained over many decades, leading now, to a zealous attempt to finally fix years of under investment. The main problem is that while these goals are laudable, the SFPUC is now embarking on a campaign to invest between \$18 to \$24 billion in very expensive alternative water supplies! Who will pay the cost of these expensive alternative water supplies? Yes, us - the ratepayers! I might be convinced of this necessity if I had faith in the SFPUC's Design Drought - which is a poorly thought out, ill-conceived draconian over-reaction to justified concerns over the impacts of climate change. A supposedly possible 8.5 year mega-drought has been used to create this doomsday scenario when the reality is that the SFPUC has always had ample water supplies even during the more recent several years of low-precipitation and snowfall that we all remember. In addition, the SFPUC uses population modeling that has not even come close to what has actually happened since the beginning of the COVID pandemic - **LOSS** of population. The SFPUC's own Finance Bureau has stated that future water sales will likely "remain flat". Despite all of these issues, the SFPUC has continued to raise rates resulting in a continuous drop in revenue! Their solution? Raise rates even higher! The SFPUC needs to take a deep and hard look at how it finances its operations in order to guarantee the long term viability of our water delivery system. Perhaps we need a basic monthly fee for every rate payer with lower usage fees that create a more sustainable and predictable budgeting process? We definitely do NOT need to keep increasing the cost of water based on water-use modeling that is completely beyond any reasonable future scenarios (eg the 8.5 year Design "mega-drought").

I firmly believe that the SFPUC needs to have an independent budget audit in order to more clearly determine future water needs, assess capital requirements and independently determine if their draconian 8.5 year mega-drought is a reasonable assumption. If this does not happen and the current practices remain in effect, I can predict a ratepayer revolt as households are faced with increased yearly rates while at the same time the SFPUC continues borrowing (and accruing debt) in order to finance its 10-year Capital Plan!

--

James L Clarke
36 Bronte Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 52 Letters Regarding File No. 240546
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 1:05:26 PM
Attachments: [52 Letters Regarding File No. 240546.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 52 letters regarding **File No. 240546:**

Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to modify the redistricting process for Board of Supervisors districts by creating an independent redistricting task force responsible for adopting supervisorial district boundaries; specifying the qualifications to serve on the independent redistricting task force and restrictions on members' activities during and after service; creating a process for selecting members of the independent redistricting task force; modifying the processes the City must follow when adopting supervisorial district boundaries; and creating a division of the Department of Elections to support the redistricting process; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Benzi Blatman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Benzi Blatman
Email benzi.blatman@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Samidha Ghosh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:05:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Samidha Ghosh
Email samidha@post.harvard.edu
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [steve seto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:15:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent steve seto
Email steve.lee.seto@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Rose Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 10:45:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Rose Sullivan

Email rosesull@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Rob Ragan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:42:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Rob Ragan
Email robrsignup@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Elizabeth Statmore](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 1:30:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Elizabeth Statmore
Email statmore@earthlink.net
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Bradley James](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:06:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Bradley James

Email b@engine1.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Lynne Sloan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 11:56:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Lynne Sloan
Email lynnnesloan@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Roberta Economidis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 8:18:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Roberta Economidis

Email Reconomidis@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Lanier Coles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:14:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Lanier Coles
Email lanier_coles@hotmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the

Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Stephanie Lehman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:39:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Stephanie Lehman
Email slehman21@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Peter Lehman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:42:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Peter Lehman
Email plehman@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Chris Lehman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:42:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Chris Lehman

Email crlehman@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Andrew Lehman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:42:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Andrew Lehman
Email alehman31@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Nick Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:03:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Nick Podell
Email nick@podell.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Mark Dutko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:42:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Mark Dutko
Email mark@dwelldesigns.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Karl Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:44:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Karl Yang
Email karlyang92@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Greg Bastis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 5:31:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Greg Bastis
Email Greg.Bastis@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Susan McDonough](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:15:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Susan McDonough
Email sdrcrm@hotmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [James Buehring](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:18:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent James Buehring

Email ja.buehring@gmail.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Jared Friedman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:30:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Jared Friedman
Email snowmaker@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Kirill Skobelev](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:33:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Kirill Skobelev
Email kskobelev@me.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Morgan Livermore](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:29:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Morgan Livermore
Email morganlivermore@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Constance Halog](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:26:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Constance Halog

Email halog@sonic.net

I live in District

I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Jamie Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 7:03:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent **Jamie Wong**
Email jamielee6@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Virginia Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 7:19:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Virginia Yee
Email virginialau1@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Zach Georgopoulos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:41:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Zach Georgopoulos
Email zachgeo@mindspring.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [MICHELLE ASIANO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:18:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent MICHELLE ASIANO
Email michelle.asiano@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Joe ASIANO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:18:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Joe ASIANO
Email joeharp123@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Michael Juan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:51:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Michael Juan

Email michaelvic05@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Erica Sandberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:21:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Erica Sandberg
Email esandberg_2000@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Greg Tolson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:57:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Greg Tolson
Email gregtolson@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [David Driver](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:27:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent David Driver
Email davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Oleg Godovykh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:18:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Oleg Godovykh
Email oleg.godovykh@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Mark Macy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:15:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Mark Macy
Email markm@macyarchitecture.om
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [John Kim](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent John Kim
Email johnvpkim1@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 12:57:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Amy Chen
Email amy080chen@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Joe Maloney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:28:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Joe Maloney
Email joemaloneyjoe@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Linda Mathews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:06:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Linda Mathews
Email Linda.mathews@yahoo.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

This is wrong in so many ways. Why and how is this even something you are voting on? You are trying to change what is a legal and fair process into a gerrymandering process. Please stop the madness.

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Jennifer Z Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:50:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Jennifer Z Yan
Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Edwin Gackstetter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:53:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Edwin Gackstetter
Email egackstetter@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [alex_yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 10:15:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent alex_yu
Email ryux168@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Jacqueline Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 12:59:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Jacqueline Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Louise Patterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:55:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Louise Patterson
Email Imuhlfrld@aol.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Lee Wittlinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:39:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Lee Wittlinger
Email lee.wittlinger@silverlake.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Jan Diamond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Jan Diamond
Email janmdiamond@pacbell.net
I live in District

I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level. I AM COMPLETELY DISGUSTED BY THIS.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities

to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Francine Lofrano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Francine Lofrano
Email ftblote@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that

votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Steven Callow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:27:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Steven Callow
Email sdcallow@pacbell.net
I live in District

I urge you to Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that

votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Sean Hutchison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 8:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Sean Hutchison
Email cdromusaebay@hotmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Matt Wilson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:23:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Matt Wilson
Email mattwilson0230@gmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Nikhil Kothari](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com
Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 8:04:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Nikhil Kothari
Email nk1984@hotmail.com
I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 304 Letters Regarding File Nos. 240547, 240548
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 1:08:07 PM
Attachments: [304 Letters Regarding File Nos. 240547, 240548.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 304 Letters regarding:

File No. 240547 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; and authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

File No. 240548 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter and the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco to 1) establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; 2) require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; 3) authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; 4) remove from the Charter certain commissions that are purely advisory and move them to the Municipal Code; and 5) eliminate the Streets and Sanitation Commission and the Our Children Our Families Council; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: joy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joy D'Ovidio](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 11:42:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Joy D'Ovidio

From: milotrauss@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Milo Trauss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 11:55:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Milo Trauss

From: bartender2thestars@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Meredith Godfrey-Kowalski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 11:54:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Meredith Godfrey-Kowalski

From: abigailporth@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Abby Porth](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:11:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Abby Porth

From: dleurey@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Douglas Leurey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 1:38:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Douglas Leurey

From: carlas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carla Schlemminger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 2:40:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carla Schlemminger

From: farahatshotwell671@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ayman Farahat](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 5:01:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ayman Farahat

From: johnhartzellmunz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John H Munz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:08:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John H Munz

From: russellpaulcohen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Russell Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:00:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Russell Cohen

From: annaleeburke@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anna Burke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:32:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Anna Burke

From: funinweho@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Gold](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:59:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Gold

From: ptmagladry@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Peter Magladry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 1:06:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Peter Magladry

From: castroyche@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Matthew Castro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:51:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Matthew Castro

From: ziv.salzman@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ziv Salzman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:10:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ziv Salzman

From: curiousmikie@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:27:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Murphy

From: mikelinsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mike Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:04:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mike Lin

From: theocincotta@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Theo Cincotta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:57:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Theo Cincotta

From: jallison8@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jamie Allison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:10:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jamie Allison

From: ddmacdowell@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [dave mac dowell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 2:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
dave mac dowell

From: skirwan43@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stephen Kirwan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 3:20:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Stephen Kirwan

From: jjuwrow@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jeff Jurow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:08:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jeff Jurow

From: anne.gundelfinger@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anne Gundelfinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Anne Gundelfinger

From: michaelsacks@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Sacks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:09:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Sacks

From: ranjit.jose.2012@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ranjit Jose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:15:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ranjit Jose

From: ochs.grace@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Grace Ochs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:16:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Grace Ochs

From: julianmunoz235@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Julian Munoz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:18:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Julian Munoz

From: ab94107@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [W. Allen Burke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:19:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
W. Allen Burke

From: allisonthuang@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Allison Thuang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:20:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Allison Thuang

From: codybreene@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cody Breene](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:23:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Cody Breene

From: DAVID.P.MOBLEY@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Mobley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:25:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Mobley

From: genevieve@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Genevieve Anderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Genevieve Anderson

From: efisch116@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ed Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:32:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ed Fisch

From: pwrshot32@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Edward Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:34:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Edward Zhang

From: unianded3@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Linda Tuggle-Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:34:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Linda Tuggle-Zhang

From: griffin.tischler@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Griffin Tischler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:39:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Griffin Tischler

From: frank@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [frank dal santo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:52:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
frank dal santo

From: verakunda78@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Vera Kunda](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:53:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Vera Kunda

From: [sfisch116@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sfish116@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:54:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan Fisch

From: mark@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Nagle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 4:54:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mark Nagle

From: reneebaum@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [RENEE TANNENBAUM](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:00:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
RENEE TANNENBAUM

From: meh2135@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Hankin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:00:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Hankin

From: cm@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carolyn Mehran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:05:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Mehran

From: mbienaime@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marc Bienaimé](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:10:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Marc Bienaimé

From: dchangster@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:13:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Chang

From: jhinsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jim Hirsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:13:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jim Hirsch

From: gretchenee@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gretchen Koch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:14:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Koch

From: tmvhirsch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tina Hirsch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:14:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Tina Hirsch

From: drogers01@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Rogers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:23:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Rogers

From: geokimm@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Flo Kimmerling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:23:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Flo Kimmerling

From: rachel@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rachel Barnard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:32:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Rachel Barnard

From: serialh@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Serial Heller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:35:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Serial Heller

From: gloria.tavera@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gloria Tavera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:42:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gloria Tavera

From: earthman@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Richard Decker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:44:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Richard Decker

From: julien.defrance@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:51:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Julien DeFrance

From: setapartforservice@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brenda Bell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 5:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Brenda Bell

From: janesjoint5@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jane Perry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:01:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jane Perry

From: sfbarj@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robert Jabour](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:03:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Robert Jabour

From: rssllkurson29@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Russel Kurson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:04:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Russel Kurson

From: gmcgovern1950@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gerald McGovern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:17:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gerald McGovern

From: susnamackowski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Mackowski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:47:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan Mackowski

From: lauraasund@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [laura larsen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:51:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
laura larsen

From: lehr.david@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Lehr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 6:57:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Lehr

From: davidotre@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Boudreau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:15:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Boudreau

From: davidboudreauotre@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Louisa Boudreau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:15:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Louisa Boudreau

From: jeffwhitesf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jeff White](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:20:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jeff White

From: sditeljan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [stephen diteljan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:37:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
stephen diteljan

From: makoto.valdez@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Makoto Valdez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:37:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Makoto Valdez

From: jennifer.yan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [jennifer.yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:41:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
jennifer yan

From: dshapiro1411@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Shapiro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 7:55:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Shapiro

From: mbeis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Eisler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:11:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Eisler

From: garchdes@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Edward Beltran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:17:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Edward Beltran

From: Awinthrop@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Amy Winthrop](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:20:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Amy Winthrop

From: mastelly23@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Mastrangelo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:24:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mark Mastrangelo

From: ted.getten@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ted Getten](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ted Getten

From: houseofsin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kerry Hopkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:39:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kerry Hopkins

From: agbraesly@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Allison Raesly](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:44:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Allison Raesly

From: gcattavelle@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gabrielle Lavelle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:48:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gabrielle Lavelle

From: Tanya.n.melillo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tanya Melillo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:07:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Tanya Melillo

From: jfletch02@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jackie Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 9:25:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jackie Fletcher

From: trace125@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Traci Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Traci Lee

From: jeunglee.susan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:10:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan Lee

From: sspence37@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Spencer Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:11:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Spencer Lee

From: davidpmobley@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Mobley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:12:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Mobley

From: juedd@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Betty Jue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Betty Jue

From: daniel.leos@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Daniel Leos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:01:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Daniel Leos

From: bossy-oboist0m@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Scott Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:10:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Scott Smith

From: gbonviri@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gene Buonviri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:29:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gene Buonviri

From: jimmchugh65@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jim McHugh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 1:00:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jim McHugh

From: attilio.pandolfo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Attilio Pandolfo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 1:00:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Attilio Pandolfo

From: mail@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Karen Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 1:07:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Karen Chan

From: Osierra_forests@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jim Soukup](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:09:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jim Soukup

From: randallow10@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Randall Low](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 3:58:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Randall Low

From: whirlygirly29@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Amie Oliver](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 5:55:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Amie Oliver

From: kyliejohnson09@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kylie Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:04:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kylie Johnson

From: sadplayhere@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alice Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:07:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Alice Thomas

From: tommcd5232@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Thomas McDaniel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:30:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Thomas McDaniel

From: lewislucas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Timothy T Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:39:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Timothy T

From: tiff.ting@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tiffany Ting](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:39:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Ting

From: graham.student@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Graham Hickman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:45:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Graham Hickman

From: maulikgshah@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [MAULIK SHAH](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 8:43:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
MAULIK SHAH

From: michaelsullivan415@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mike Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:19:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mike Sullivan

From: kitobin9@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Katherine Tobin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:24:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Katherine Tobin

From: irenekaus@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [It Kaus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 11:15:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
It Kaus

From: Blakeconway@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Blake Conway](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 12:47:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Blake Conway

From: jgranieri@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 1:15:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
JeNeal Granieri

From: bbellaf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Barbara Bella](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 1:37:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Barbara Bella

From: marianne_oest@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marianne Oest](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:08:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Marianne Oest

From: jeffrey.b.mccarthy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jeffrey Mccarthy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:13:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Mccarthy

From: jrgilstein@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joshua Gilstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:37:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Joshua Gilstein

From: richarddebono@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [RICHARD DE BONO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 2:45:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
RICHARD DE BONO

From: evapgdn@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Eva Pogodina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 3:38:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Eva Pogodina

From: kathleeninsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kathleen Crabbe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 4:21:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Crabbe

From: mja712@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Anders](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:05:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Anders

From: lilybethoneill@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lily O'Neill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:14:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lily O'Neill

From: dushyanth.nataraj@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dushyanth Nataraj](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:09:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Dushyanth Nataraj

From: kielygomes@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [K. R. Schwartz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 7:43:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
K. R. Schwartz

From: acferradeira@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Agostinho Ferradeira](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 9:37:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Agostinho Ferradeira

From: rhubinsky@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robin Hubinsky](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 10:34:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Robin Hubinsky

From: gcfuel@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gerald Crump](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 6:33:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gerald Crump

From: shelley.handler@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Shelley Handler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 8:27:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Shelley Handler

From: gloria.tavera@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gloria Tavera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:12:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gloria Tavera

From: rleong@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rodney Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:14:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Rodney Leong

From: kaul.nikki@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nikki Kaul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:32:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nikki Kaul

From: jsl@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Lyons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:34:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Lyons

From: tonyhua1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Tony Hua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:34:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Tony Hua

From: jlfreyer@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jorge Freyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:34:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jorge Freyer

From: amos.elberg@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Amos Elberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:36:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Amos Elberg

From: phnextant2.0@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Owl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:36:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Owl

From: rebeccageffert@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rebecca Geffert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:37:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Geffert

From: harmon@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Harmon Shragge](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:39:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Harmon Shragge

From: cathystauffer@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cathy Stauffer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Cathy Stauffer

From: deva.santiago@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Deva Santiago](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:41:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Deva Santiago

From: gwenfyfe@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gwen Fyfe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:43:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gwen Fyfe

From: dan.meagher.1950@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Daniel Meagher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:45:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Daniel Meagher

From: marvonsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Martin Von Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:45:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Martin Von

From: ocware@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Olivia Ware](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:45:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Olivia Ware

From: willevers@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [William Evers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:47:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
William Evers

From: ckoffel8@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carol Koffel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:47:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carol Koffel

From: carmenwoo68@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carmen Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:47:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carmen Woo

From: kwonglee223@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Paul Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:48:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Paul Lee

From: stevewoo628@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steve Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:49:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Steve Woo

From: ghooversf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Gretchen Anderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:50:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Anderson

From: elena.a.harper@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Elena Harper](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:50:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Elena Harper

From: zehnder.matt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Matt Zehnder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:55:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Matt Zehnder

From: scottjacques@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Scott Jacques](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:55:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Scott Jacques

From: greerhop@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Greer Hopkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:58:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Greer Hopkins

From: fannyzha74@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Fanny Zha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:00:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Fanny Zha

From: urbyond@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [R Ray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:04:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
R Ray

From: mplfk@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Lazarus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:06:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Lazarus

From: philrabin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Phil Rabin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:07:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Phil Rabin

From: peter96@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Peter Baumann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:08:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Peter Baumann

From: nicholas.hutter13@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nick Hutter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nick Hutter

From: stan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stanlee Gatti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:09:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Stanlee Gatti

From: brandon.esenther@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brandon Esenther](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:12:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Brandon Esenther

From: dshapiro1411@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Shapiro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Shapiro

From: naomi@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Naomi Lopez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:17:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Naomi Lopez

From: anne.gundelfinger@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anne Gundelfinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:18:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Anne Gundelfinger

From: rosemariaroot@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rosemaria Root](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:19:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Rosemaria Root

From: davigrca@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Davi Neally](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:19:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Davi Neally

From: sagarwal@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sachin Agarwal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:19:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Sachin Agarwal

From: stevedinsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stephen DeLuco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:19:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Stephen DeLuco

From: sanfranciscojt@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Judith Thompson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Judith Thompson

From: jennifer.knops@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jennifer Knops](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:20:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Knops

From: dan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dan Riley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:24:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Dan Riley

From: rblatman24@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robert Blatman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:26:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Robert Blatman

From: jfmoroney@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Moroney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:27:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Moroney

From: knotgray@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kevin Gray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:33:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kevin Gray

From: Bacisf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marianne Schier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:34:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Marianne Schier

From: Eschier@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Eric Schier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:34:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Eric Schier

From: Willjschier@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [William Schier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:37:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
William Schier

From: sharon.bacigalupi@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sharon Bacigalupi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:37:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Sharon Bacigalupi

From: ehlq@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [ERIK GAENSLER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:48:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
ERIK GAENSLER

From: [sfisch116@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sfish116@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:48:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan Fisch

From: Nomad627@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [EBERT KAN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:51:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
EBERT KAN

From: rmanso2016@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Richard Manso](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:52:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Richard Manso

From: ktzb1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kristen Bossert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:55:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kristen Bossert

From: carlas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carla Schlemminger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:56:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carla Schlemminger

From: mwakshlag@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Wakshlag](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:59:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Wakshlag

From: douglenzo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Doug Lenzo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Doug Lenzo

From: johnboylan30@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Boylan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:03:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Boylan

From: kline.frank@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [FRANK KLINE](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
FRANK KLINE

From: ajkennedy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alexander Kennedy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:19:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Alexander Kennedy

From: mbkerr@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Margot Kerr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:21:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Margot Kerr

From: sdrcrm@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan McDonough](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:21:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan McDonough

From: jwoytek@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jonathan Woytek](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Woytek

From: sammy213@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nassir Zughayir](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:23:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nassir Zughayir

From: chasenrichards@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Chasen Richards](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:30:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Chasen Richards

From: tjmadsen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [TJ Madsen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
TJ Madsen

From: susnamackowski@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Susan Mackowski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:33:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Susan Mackowski

From: terishaw@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:36:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Teresa Shaw

From: jrbassfish@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Terri Richmond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:37:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Terri Richmond

From: baron.willeford@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Baron Willeford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:40:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Baron Willeford

From: jspinelljims@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [james spinelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:42:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
james spinelli

From: lanelle.santimauro@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lanelle Santimauro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:47:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lanelle Santimauro

From: werdna39@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Andy Robin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Andy Robin

From: jasonsauvie@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jason Sauvie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:02:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jason Sauvie

From: william.b@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [William Ball](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:03:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
William Ball

From: jaxsonbrwn@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jacqueline Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:06:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Murphy

From: hello@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Spencer Camp](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:17:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Spencer Camp

From: shirazi.hilary@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Hilary Shirazi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Hilary Shirazi

From: lisachore@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lisa Chorebanian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:18:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lisa Chorebanian

From: kelkatcha@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Karim ELKatcha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:19:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Karim ELKatcha

From: bowes.alexandra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alexandra Bowes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:35:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Bowes

From: sfamc2@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Arnold Cohn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:47:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Arnold Cohn

From: martymurphy04@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Martin Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:56:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Martin Murphy

From: jennycgelbard@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [jennifer gelbard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:03:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
jennifer gelbard

From: unesceptico@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mario Ramirez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:03:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mario Ramirez

From: jake.decker@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jake Decker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:15:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jake Decker

From: dingm0942@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Ding Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:34:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Ding Ma

From: dmcg1990@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Devin McAndrew-Greiner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:40:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Devin McAndrew-Greiner

From: debbiesymanovich@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Deborah Symanovich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:46:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Deborah Symanovich

From: daphne@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Daphne Blumenthal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:52:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Daphne Blumenthal

From: cuervo.mc@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Catalina Cuervo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 5:36:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Catalina Cuervo

From: jkohn@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joel Kohn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 5:47:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Joel Kohn

From: trishwong@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Patricia Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:32:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Patricia Wong

From: bkumandan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Bharath Kumandan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:33:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Bharath Kumandan

From: billalvarado@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Bill Alvarado](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:59:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Bill Alvarado

From: laurel.b.myers@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Laurel Myers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Laurel Myers

From: rhiwindarths@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lauren Gibbs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lauren Gibbs

From: xgndm8ce@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Alexander Chow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:47:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Alexander Chow

From: john.flanagan@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Flanagan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:59:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Flanagan

From: joemaloneyjoe@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joe Maloney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:09:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Joe Maloney

From: bapresta@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Barbara Presta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:18:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Barbara Presta

From: r.clausen415@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rachael Clausen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:55:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Rachael Clausen

From: brandonbhagat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Brandon Bhagat](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:00:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Brandon Bhagat

From: e_kimch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Erika Chavez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:09:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Erika Chavez

From: mmaccormick38@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Margarida MacCormick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:24:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Margarida MacCormick

From: m.allan.paisley@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mark Paisley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:08:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mark Paisley

From: lexiefgeorge@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lexie George](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lexie George

From: stevebranton@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steven Branton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:44:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Steven Branton

From: fouzia_zaher@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Fouzia Zaheer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:00:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Fouzia Zaheer

From: michael_dorf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Dorf](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:18:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Dorf

From: stephen.rogers@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stephen Rogers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 2:12:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Stephen Rogers

From: DOCScompany@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Jacob Getzow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:02:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Jacob Getzow

From: luiwing@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [fredrick lui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:23:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
fredrick lui

From: istvan.szanda@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Istvan Szanda](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:45:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Istvan Szanda

From: hyegirlnancy@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [nancy Porter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:13:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
nancy Porter

From: njzajac@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nancy Zajac](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 6:46:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nancy Zajac

From: yeagerj415@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jennifer Yeager](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 7:16:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Yeager

From: jstokes1085@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Stokes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 7:56:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Stokes

From: annealvarezsf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Anne Alvarez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:06:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Anne Alvarez

From: lucas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lucas Dupin Moreira Costa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:12:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lucas Dupin Moreira Costa

From: plspeak@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Per Sandberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:14:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Per Sandberg

From: hormuzmostofi@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Hormuz Mostofi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:25:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Hormuz Mostofi

From: kanishka.karu@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kanishka Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:45:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kanishka Cheng

From: longardino@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [susan longardino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:56:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
susan longardino

From: raj@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Raj Lathigara](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:04:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Raj Lathigara

From: sptsantilis@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Senta Tsantilis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:49:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Senta Tsantilis

From: thecentering@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mary English](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:14:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mary English

From: darvinhuang@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [darvin huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 10:19:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
darvin huang

From: exsugardoc@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Price](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:57:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Price

From: chris@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Chris Gray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:36:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Chris Gray

From: kmarkus7@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Karen Markus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 12:59:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Karen Markus

From: laurenkatekush@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lauren Kush](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lauren Kush

From: philipmlu@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Philip Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 1:45:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Philip Liu

From: dushyanth.nataraj@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Dushyanth Nataraj](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:10:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Dushyanth Nataraj

From: arthur.petron@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Arthur Petron](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:35:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Arthur Petron

From: byron_askin@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Byron Askin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 3:36:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Byron Askin

From: steventhorson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steven Thorson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Steven Thorson

From: forrestyee@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Forrest Yee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Forrest Yee

From: sameeht@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sameet Mehta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:25:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Sameet Mehta

From: carole@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carole Schurch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 4:46:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carole Schurch

From: donnabhurowitz@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Donna Hurowitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:27:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Donna Hurowitz

From: martycerles@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Marty Cerles Jr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 8:56:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Marty Cerles Jr

From: psasfo@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Pat Andrews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 9:22:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Pat Andrews

From: lizzie.siegle@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Elizabeth Siegle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Siegle

From: trevortraina@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Trevor Traina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 4:53:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Trevor Traina

From: emann@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Eric Mann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:05:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Eric Mann

From: disp006@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Terrence McKenna](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:54:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Terrence McKenna

From: leilani@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Leilani Mason](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:03:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Leilani Mason

From: johnemmettpatterson@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [John Emmett Patterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 9:02:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
John Emmett Patterson

From: tinytim1953@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Timothy Keller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 10:03:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Timothy Keller

From: npfoss@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nate Foss](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:07:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nate Foss

From: maxyoung@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Max Young](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:56:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Max Young

From: meredithserra@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Meredith Serra](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 4:42:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Meredith Serra

From: ljunus@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Lucy Junus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:01:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Lucy Junus

From: dmarsiano@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [David Marsiano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:28:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
David Marsiano

From: mickdimas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Mick Dimas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 5:43:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Mick Dimas

From: Awinthrop@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Amy Winthrop](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 6:03:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Amy Winthrop

From: BETH.MALIK@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [ELIZABETH MALIK](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 6:47:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
ELIZABETH MALIK

From: GEOKITTA@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [GEORGE KITTA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 6:48:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
GEORGE KITTA

From: baumann.blake@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Blake Baumann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 6:48:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Blake Baumann

From: maureen_hurley@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Maureen Hurley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 7:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Maureen Hurley

From: kevinkingham@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Kevin Kinningham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 8:45:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Kevin Kinningham

From: james.higgins@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jim Higgins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:35:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jim Higgins

From: vale@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Vale Cervarich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 1:00:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Vale Cervarich

From: cixliv@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Cix Liv](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:10:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Cix Liv

From: moses.graubard@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Moses Graubard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:19:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Moses Graubard

From: jmczaia@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Joan Czaia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:00:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Joan Czaia

From: tatummq@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Stephanie McKnight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:08:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Stephanie McKnight

From: charity089@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Steven Collins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:28:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Steven Collins

From: george4d@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Larry Prager](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 9:41:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Larry Prager

From: michaelhmptn29@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [J Michael Hampton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 11:31:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
J Michael Hampton

From: macostewart@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Maco Stewart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 2:15:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Maco Stewart

From: robyn@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robyn Kaufman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 3:29:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Robyn Kaufman

From: nick@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nick Podell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 4:43:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nick Podell

From: vhundahl@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Victor Hundahl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 5:30:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Victor Hundahl

From: carol38hall@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Carol Hall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 6:46:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Carol Hall

From: swatkins100@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Sue Watkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:04:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Sue Watkins

From: juliepaul164@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Julie Paul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:35:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Julie Paul

From: michael.candelaria23@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Michael Candelaria](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, June 16, 2024 10:57:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Michael Candelaria

From: Ctabc@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Robert Lowe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 6:29:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Robert Lowe

From: gharveysf@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Glen Harvey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 7:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Glen Harvey

From: mddsf1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [marsha daniels](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:08:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
marsha daniels

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Stonestown item agenda Monday Land Use
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:19:57 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240575:**

Ordinance amending the General Plan to revise the Urban Design Element, the Commerce and Industry Element, and the Land Use Index to reflect the Stonestown Development Project.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:00 AM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS)

<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary

<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: Stonestown item agenda Monday Land Use

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please note to the SFBOS land use that since 2007/2008 we have strongly pushed for westside transit changes due to multiple large scale developments.

Parkmerced

Stonestown

800 brotherhood way

SFSU-csu

Sloat Garden Center tower

Irish cultural center

Daly City large scale housing plans at John Daly Sunset density planning on sunset Blvd Sloat Blvd and Lakeshore Mall Religious institutional Growth proposals Holloway and other sites balboa Park Reservoir

And many more projects in D7 D10 D11 which form an east to west swing of transit lacking improvements and increased congestion near and in ocean ave Holloway and 19th to the freeways.....

Without any serious plans or push to move the horse in front of the cart transit wise we are stuck continually in project by project approvals with no meat (where's the transit?) in the solutions.

Peter Albert prior SFMTA (design head) once proposed a westside transit central planning effort and Wiener suggested a westside subway. Post the 19th ave transit study by Sean Elsbernd that had no teeth to force agencies to plan and prepare documents to get the future west side systems in the federal funding que nothing has occurred!

This is pure transit negligence when cars back up safety is compromised and intersections become crossing death zones for pedestrians.

Please note we are supportive of the Stonestown project but have serious concerns based on the lacking transit solutions and options to rehab the Stonestown theater as a community center as a viable solution and living room to the community and housing proposed. A retro space ties things together (we submitted comments on a preservation based alternative for the theater's front lobby area as did Katherin Moore S.F. planning commissioner) and transit alternatives for SFSU masterplan and Parkmerced vision and the Stonestown plans looping the L taraval up Sloat to the westside of Stonestown SFSU and Parkmerced on down to Daly City or looping back up to West Portal is a serious solution to dead ended trains and lacking connectivity between districts.

I'm out of town today so cannot attend the meeting but please note the serious issues of proper and adequate transit planning on the west side of SF. As former chair of the Balboa Park Area Plan CAC we stated the need to get serious on linking districts and areas with LRV or alt new modes of mass transit including trackless trains or shuttle systems. It's needed and far overdue when you go to any Daly City mall it's not transit accessible and poorly designed for pedestrians. Don't make the same mistake with Stonestown as the M is already over capacity. 40+ min downtown is unacceptable and the lack of two side exits on platforms at Stonestown and Parkmerced ignore any future planning solutions for westside transit.

Regards

Aaron Goodman

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding File No. 240603
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:38:21 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding File No. 240603.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding **File No. 240603:**

Ordinance amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge a fee for reserving tennis and pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Shuangyan Li](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Vote NO to keep equal access to Public Court
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 10:27:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Budget & Appropriations Committee,

My name is Shuangyan Li, and I've been living in San Francisco since 2021. I'm hoping the committee could stop adding additional fee to the Tennis/Pickle Ball court reservations by voting NO on file No.240603 [Park Code-Court Reservation] at the hearing today(June 20,2024).

It is extremely important to have accessible outdoor spaces in a city like San Francisco, it give residents a place to enjoy the time with family, friends and other loved ones. With no doubt, adding any amount of fee to Pickleball and Tennis courts will damage the possibility of everyone who suppose to have equal access to public park. The current reservation system we are using already limited many folks why may not have stable internet or adjustable working schedule to book the court, please do not make this even harder for all the residents who have been living and contributing to the development of this city.

I enjoy using the public tennis court to practice tennis with my friends, and I hope there are more resources like this for others to use. I would not start playing tennis at the first place without the free tennis court in my neighborhood. In the last three years I'm in San Francisco, I have never seen a court being left on empty for a period of time, people here are willing to adept to the new booking system, respect the community agreement on playing, meet with new players and even help maintaining the court.

Again, this reservation fee will not only reduce the possibility the court being used, it could lead to further exacerbate the reluctance to use these court, so I strongly urge you to vote NO on this proposal.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

From: [Peg Stevenson](#)
Subject: Tennis Coalition San Francisco supports court reservation fee. File #240603, Item 12 on 6/20 Committee Agenda.
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 8:54:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



TO: Members of the Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee
FR: Tennis Coalition San Francisco - Peg Stevenson and Nina Kim, Co-Chairs
DT: June 20, 2024
RE: Tennis Coalition San Francisco urges support of court reservation fee

Dear Committee Members,

- We urge your support of the Recreation and Park Department's proposal to charge a \$5/hour fee for tennis and pickleball reservations (Item 12, File 240603 on the Thursday 6/20 agenda).
- Tennis Coalition San Francisco (TCSF) supports the proposal for a reservation fee on a subset of public tennis and pickleball courts around the City. Public courts are a precious resource. There are individuals, families, teams and groups who are currently unable to find a place to play tennis, and demand is growing. We recognize the concerns of people who are opposed. However, on balance, we believe the fee will encourage efficient use of courts, free up hours of time, and better match resources to community needs. Overall, it will make public courts more accessible to more people.
- Reservations for public courts citywide have been popular since the Spotery system was introduced in 2019 during the construction of the Goldman Tennis Center. However, all players have observed the problems and abuses on Spotery. Courts were fully booked within seconds of becoming available. Many hours of court time were reserved but not used by no-shows. Users created multiple accounts and bots were used to book consecutive hours and to cheat

the limit of three reservations per week per person.

- The new REC reservation system, with an improved interface and verification measures, can prevent many of the Spotery problems. But it does not substantially address no-shows and late cancellations. The reservation fee is designed for this purpose. The cost, though small, can drive changes in behavior and open up many hours of court time.
- Even with the reservation fee on a subset of courts, there will still be thousands of hours of free walk-on court time and open play all over the city. Forty-five out of the 66 court locations in San Francisco will have walk-on courts, 28 will have reservable courts and five locations will have both.
- The fee is similar to reservation fees paid by other users of RPD facilities such as picnic tables, soccer fields, and baseball fields. All of these users pay for reservations to make scheduling possible.
- The fee is at the low end of the range compared to similar fees in other cities. It is comparable to the lowest discount fee paid at Goldman Tennis Center (weekday/senior/SF resident).
- Tennis and pickleball court users will pay the same fees. There are 50 courts in the City with both tennis lines and pickleball lines. Of these, 24 will be reservable, 16 will have both reservable and free open play time, and 10 will be free and walk-up. In addition, there are two sites with 14 courts which are dedicated, single use pickleball courts – all of these will remain free and open play. Pickleball players will have thousands of hours of free time and open play time. Like tennis, the reservation fee will help show how pickleball courts are used in the City and better match the resource to public demand.
- TCSF supports the professional tennis teaching pros module which RPD is developing in the REC system. It has been a weakness that the City never permitted or regulated the many teaching pros using public courts. The new system will address this gap. Players seeking lessons will benefit from the information and access to teaching pros who are licensed and made available through the REC system. Importantly, the system will fairly compensate the city for the private business use of a public resource.
- Tennis Coalition San Francisco urges the Board of Supervisors to support the

court reservation fee proposal. The fee is modest and the benefits to the community are significant. Overall, the REC system and the reservation fee are fair and reasonable ways to make the best use of a scarce and valuable public resource.

TENNIS COALITION SAN FRANCISCO champions public tennis by connecting, energizing and advocating for the tennis community. We are the nonprofit side of the public-private partnership that built the Goldman Tennis Center.

info@tenniscoalitionsf.org

Tennis Coalition San Francisco

c/o SF Parks Alliance

1074 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Budget & Appropriations Committee, File 240603, item12
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 8:47:14 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240603**:

Ordinance amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge a fee for reserving tennis and pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rob Rossi <rossi_rob@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 11:40 AM
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Budget & Appropriations Committee, File 240603, item12

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

- Dear Budget/Appropriations Committee:

Regarding File #240603, Item 12, I and my colleagues are active tennis players and we strongly believe that charging \$5/for tennis or pickle ball reservations is not the problem with regard to the reservation system.

The use of tennis & now pickle ball teaching instructors that use the reservation system by having their pupils reserve courts for private lessons that are not park and rec authorized, plus the no show frustration (no penalty is permitted and the courts go unused) are the issues.

The plan for Park & Rec to license the tennis/pickle ball instructors is an attempt to gain control of the unauthorized instructors.

Most of them who I know personally will not want their fees compromised/split with the City, and they will even lie about their fees and take more under the table (100%) as they do now. Already non-SF resident instructors come from

Marin, East Bay and Peninsula to ply their trade. If you think drug dealers flocking to SF to sell fentanyl is a problem, you'll get a lot more instructors doing the same.

If you restrict the instructors to one reservation per day at a location, so they will not monopolize the location, as they often do at Moscone or Mountain Lake tennis (without Park Rangers enforcing the current law), then that may justify the licensing of instructors. Lax enforcement is the issue, even when Park Rangers or Rec directors are called in.

You mention baseball and soccer fields are charging fees for private program reservations. Their size is larger than a tennis court(s) or even an outdoor basketball court. Would people/kids that use a basketball court for an informal team practice want to pay a fee to reserve an outdoor?

The no show frustration of people booking a reservation and not showing without a penalty may be eliminated by having a QR code at each reserved site for check in. If someone fails to cancel before or check in, then their account will be frozen for a week or so.

Furthermore, for decades that date back to the 1970's and beyond, the Twin Peaks reservoir on Clarendon used to be the site of a basketball court and 3 large tennis courts. People could teach their kids to ride bikes also, as the reservoir is quite large, even larger than the reservoir where Alice Marble Tennis courts are still located above the iconic top of Lombard's crooked street.

That was unfortunately closed in the last 10 years and fenced off. That could easily be up and running and restrict the hours to 8am -6 pm for general use or specifically pickleball (as the current game's noise issue is deafening—no pickleball player would want to live next door to one)

Thank you for what you allocated already for the quick resurfacing job at JP Murphy recently and continued work throughout the City.

Regards,

o Dave Lang

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public comment on tennis courts proposed fee
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 8:34:36 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding proposed public court fee reservations.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Benjamin Alpers <balpers@alumni.stanford.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 6:51 PM
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on tennis courts proposed fee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see below for my comment on the proposed fees for public court reservations:

- I believe reserving courts should be free as they are a public park resource. Reserving public courts isn't a convenience or a luxury, it's necessary in a populous environment where there is a finite amount of facilities.
- The premise of charging a fee for court reservations has been stated as "reducing no-shows". However, other reasons have since been mentioned by Recs and Park, which makes it confusing as to the community why this fee should or should not be instituted. The commission needs to be more transparent about the reason for this proposal.
- If reducing no-shows is in fact the primary objective, a fee is not the best solution to implement. Simpler measures should be taken BEFORE resorting to fees across the board. Such as:
 - **Waitlists:** A waitlist where someone who wants an already-booked court is notified if the court opens up for reservation. This would teach players that there is often a queue of people waiting for popular courts - when their favorite court is booked, they know next time they book a court that others may want it. This would show reservation owners that they are preventing other people from reserving if they were to no-show. On the page it could show "3 people on waitlist" and/or show that number in the reminder email. If the spot is released, the waitlist could receive an email/text saying the court is now open to reserve.
 - **Reservation Limits or "Credits":** Limit the number of reservations a player can make per year for free - perhaps 2 per week instead of 3 per week as was the case in Spotery. This incentivizes players to only book courts they can show up to, because they would use up their credits by no-showing and not cancelling. Now that the new Rec reservation platform has improved the issue of uniqueness of profiles such that there aren't multiple accounts per user, this is feasible. Perhaps players could go OVER the limit by paying a \$5 fee per reservation if they wanted even more reservations.
 - **Refundable deposit fee:** collect a fee but refund it if they show up to the court. A fee should only be a penalty for reserving and no-showing. A link/QR code on the court that players use to "check in" to the court while they are present would incentivize people to show up or cancel beforehand. While a link could have loopholes where a player could save the link "check-in" from anywhere, that would require extra steps and would not be convenient - it would be more convenient to just cancel your reservation ahead of time, especially if given a reminder email. There are probably also simple ways to work around that loophole from a technical perspective.
- **Transparency:**

- If there are other primary reasons for instituting a \$5 fee - those should be published for transparency. If the reservation system needs funding to be sustainable; if coaching and school practicing needs a way to be charged for their use; if court maintenance needs funding – all those would be reasonable issues that could be proposed to have a fee. But none of those benefits have been stated as the primary objective.
- If fees are instituted, and then no-shows persist – then what? The above measures would then need to be considered anyway, which would be more effective to do BEFORE instituting a fee. A fee would perhaps reduce reservations across all types of players, not just players with a propensity to no-show. If reducing no-shows is the goal, then the measures taken should target that issue specifically.

- **Fundraising:**

- If funds are needed to make the reservation system and/or court facilities more sustainable - there are other solutions that Recs and Park should pursue. For instance - the reservation website is prime real estate that very specific groups of people view regularly - this could be sold as advertising space either to local businesses, major brands, or used for public programs that otherwise would have to spend money on outside services.

Thanks,

Benjamin Alpers

SF resident, district 8

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opposition to File No. 240684- Resolution Supporting the Justice for Renters Act
Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 9:46:38 AM
Attachments: [Opposition Letter File No. 240684- Resolution Supporting the Justice for Renters Act.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding **File No. 240684:**

Resolution supporting The Justice for Renters Act, a California State Proposition on the November 5, 2024, ballot; and reaffirming the City and County of San Francisco's support for repeal of the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charley Goss <charley@sfaa.org>
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2024 3:44 PM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton,

Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Power, Andres (MYR) <andres.power@sfgov.org>; Janan New <janan@sfaa.org>
Subject: Opposition to File No. 240684- Resolution Supporting the Justice for Renters Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Attached please find a letter in opposition to File No. 240684: Resolution Supporting the Justice for Renters Act, on behalf of:

- The San Francisco Apartment Association
- Advance SF
- Bay Area Council
- Building Owners and Managers Association San Francisco
- Housing Action Coalition
- San Francisco Association of Realtors
- San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
- Kilroy Realty Corporation
- L37 Development
- Build Group
- Emerald Fund
- Plant Construction
- Prado Group
- Presidio Bay Ventures
- Related California
- The BayLands Company
- Tishman Speyer
- TMG Partners
- Webcor Builders
- Wilson Meany

This proposed resolution is Agenda Item 49 on the agenda for the full Board of Supervisors meeting on Tuesday, 6/18/24. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions or concerns.

Best,

Charley Goss
Government and Community Affairs Manager
San Francisco Apartment Association

415.255.2288 ext. 114



San Francisco Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

June 17, 2024

Re: Opposition to File No. 240684: Resolution Supporting the Justice for Renters Act

Dear Supervisors,

We write to you on behalf of the undersigned organizations in opposition to Supervisor Preston’s proposed resolution to support the “Justice for Renters Act” on the statewide November 5, 2024 ballot (File No. 240684). As you know, the “Justice for Renters Act” (JFRA) would fully and abruptly repeal the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act, thus allowing California cities like San Francisco to immediately impose strict vacancy control in addition to rent control on single family homes, condominiums, and new apartment buildings.

The implementation of vacancy control as would be enabled by the passage of the Justice for Renters Act and supported in this resolution would fully derail San Francisco’s efforts and its mandate to meet its housing production goals, and would undermine the recent, commendable efforts that San Francisco has made to streamline housing production at all income levels while creating an environment where capital is attracted to investing in San Francisco mixed-income housing projects.

The passage of JFRA would effectively eliminate any financial incentive to invest in new housing production in San Francisco, and make moot the city’s efforts to meet its mandate to add 82,000 new units of housing by 2031, thus jeopardizing hundreds of millions of dollars in state funding for affordable housing and transit.

Contrary to the findings referenced in the proposed resolution, academic experts have repeatedly demonstrated that extreme rent control stifles new housing construction, perpetuating shortages and driving up costs for renters. Additionally, the proposed ballot measure undermines pro-housing laws by allowing cities that oppose new development to ignore state housing laws and refuse to build their fair share of housing.

This resolution sends a clear but dangerous message to affordable housing and mixed-income developers, trades unions, pension funds, endowments, builders, investors, banks, and lenders that the City and County of San Francisco is overtly hostile to investment in new housing.

If the JFRA passes in November and vacancy control is imposed, the end result will be less Affordable Housing, less workforce housing, less mixed-income market-rate housing, less in-lieu fee money for MOHCD, and less first-time ownership housing, exacerbating our housing crisis and eliminating housing opportunities for our teachers, first responders, service industry workers, and families.

In addition to the signatories to this letter, the following individuals or groups have come out in opposition to the JFRA:

- United Brotherhood of Carpenters
- Norcal Carpenters Union
- California Council for Affordable Housing
- Senator Toni Atkins
- Assembly Member Buffy Wicks
- YIMBY California

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Janan New and Charley Goss, San Francisco Apartment Association

Chris Wright and Wade Rose, Advance SF

Jim Wunderman, Bay Area Council

David Harrison, Building Owners and Managers Association San Francisco

Corey Smith, Housing Action Coalition

Mary Jung and Jay Cheng, San Francisco Association of Realtors

Daniel Herzstein, San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Mike Grisso, Kilroy Realty Corporation

Eric Tao, L37 Development

Ross Edwards, Build Group

Oz Erickson and Marc Babsin, Emerald Fund

Chris Rivielle, Plant Construction

Dan Safier and Craig Greenwood, Prado Group

Cyrus Sanandaji, Presidio Bay Ventures

Bill Witte, Related California

Greg Vilkin, The BayLands Company

Maggie Kadin, Tishman Speyer

Michael Covarrubias, TMG Partners

Matt Rossie, Webcor Builders

Christopher Meany, Wilson Meany

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Science
Date: Monday, June 17, 2024 9:20:11 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding various subjects.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: danielreuter58@icloud.com <danielreuter58@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2024 5:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Science

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

I learned in my chemical engineering studies and work as a chemical engineer that science is observable and repeatable. In elementary school I learned a boy is born with a penis and a girl is born with a vagina. Then I learned only a female can have a baby and that the code for sex is in the DNA.

For thousands of years human has seen a boy is born with a penis and a girl is born with a vagina and only a female can have baby.

Who are the people that they think they can change science? I know many Americans have become dumb since the early 1960s and are easily misled. Dumb people lack wisdom.

For those who think evolution is true, I have never witnessed a male lion become a female lion. The male lion has too much PRIDE.

I learned elementary school geometry well. I know that the parts do not fit logically when one considers the geometry in homosexual sex.

Someone told me the truth can be painful. When a doctor tells a patient they have cancer it is the truth but painful. We can live in truth or live lies. We all have choices to make and they have consequence. We know from basic physics every action has a reaction.

I have seen how parts of San Francisco have become a dump like other major cities in America with homeless encampments and drug needles in the streets.

People who live in denial live a lie.

We know many Americans depend on government to be their Mommy. Will someday soon Mommy become a tyrant or has it already?

I hope I did not hurt anyone's feelings.

Thanks for your time,

Dan Reuter