MOTION NO.

1	[Motion affirming Planning Commission certification of the Final Supplemental Environmental
2	Împact Report for the San Francisco Cruise Terminal Mixed Use Project and Brannan Street Wharf Project.]
3	
4	Motion affirming Planning Commission certification of the Final Supplemental
5	Environmental Impact Report for a proposed mixed-use cruise terminal
6	facility/residential project, located on Piers 30-32 and Seawall Lot 330 and a public
7	open space project, in the location of Piers 34 and 36, in the Port of San Francisco, in
8	the City and County of San Francisco.
9	WHEREAS, The Planning Department ("Department") determined that a supplement to
10	the Waterfront Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Report, Case No. 94.155E (State
11	Clearinghouse No. 94123007), certified in Planning Commission Resolution No. 14274
12	(January 19, 1997) ("Waterfront Plan FEIR") was required for the Cruise Terminal Mixed-Use
13	project at Piers 30-32 and Seawall Lot 330 and the Brannan Street Wharf Project at Piers 34
14	and 36 (collectively, the "Project") and provided public notice of that determination by
15	publication in a newspaper of general circulation on June 9, 2001; and
16	WHEREAS, On November 17, 2001, the Department published the Draft Supplemental
17	Environmental Impact Report for the Project ("DSEIR") and provided public notice in a
18	newspaper of general circulation and mailed notice of the availability of the DSEIR for public
19	review and comment and of the date and time of the Planning Commission ("Commission")
20	public hearing on the DSEIR; and
21	WHEREAS, Notices of availability of the DSEIR and of the date and time of the public
22	hearing were posted near the Project site on behalf of the Department on November 19, 2001;
23	and
24	WHEREAS, On November 17, 2001, copies of the DSEIR were mailed or otherwise
25	delivered to a list of persons requesting it, to those noted on the distribution list in the DSEIR,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1

to adjacent property owners, and to government agencies, the latter both directly and through
 the State Clearinghouse; and

WHEREAS, A Notice of Completion was filed with the State Secretary of Resources via the State Clearinghouse on November 19, 2002; and

WHEREAS, The Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on said DSEIR on December 20, 2001, and received public comment on the DSEIR. The Department extended the period for acceptance of written comments to January 16, 2002; and

WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments on environmental issues received at the public hearing and in writing during the 60-day public review period for the DSEIR, prepared revisions to the text of the DSEIR in response to comments received or based on additional information that became available during the public review period, and corrected errors in the DSEIR. This material was presented in a "Draft Summary of Comments and Responses," published on April 30, 2002, was distributed to the Commission and to all parties who commented on the DSEIR, and was available to others upon request at the Department office; and

WHEREAS, The Department prepared a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report ("FSEIR"), consisting of the DSEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available, and the Summary of Comments and Responses all as required by law. The FSEIR also relied upon and included information from the Waterfront Plan FEIR. On May 9, 2002, the Commission reviewed and considered the FSEIR and, by Motion No. 16404, found that, acting through the Department, it had fulfilled all procedural requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs. Title 14, Sections 15000 et. seq., ("CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); that the information in the Summary of

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 2

1 Comments and Responses and any additional information that became available did not 2 require recirculation under CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5; and the Commission certified 3 the FSEIR; and 4 WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated May 28, 2002, 5 Bluewater Network filed a timely appeal of the Commission's FSEIR certification to the Board 6 of Supervisors pursuant to Administrative Code Section 31.16(a); and 7 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a duly-noticed public hearing on June 24, 8 2002, to consider the appeal of the FSEIR. The Board reviewed and considered the FSEIR 9 and the FSEIR files, heard testimony and received public comment and written 10 correspondence from the public and public agencies regarding the adequacy of the FSEIR; 11 and 12 WHEREAS, By Motion Nos. M02-99 and M02-94, on file with the Clerk of the Board of 13 Supervisors in File Nos. 020948 and 020949, which are hereby declared to be a part of this 14 motion as if set forth fully herein, the Board disapproved the certification of the FSEIR by the 15 Planning Commission and directed the Clerk to prepare findings. The Board, in Motion No. 16 M02-100, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 021164, which is 17 hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein, remanded the FSEIR to the Commission and directed the Commission to revise the FSEIR as specified in Board 18 19 Motion No. M02-100; and WHEREAS. The Commission has revised the FSEIR in accordance with the Board's 20 21 Motion No. M02-100. These revisions are included in the Revisions to the Final Supplemental 22 Environmental Impact Report (Revisions to the FSEIR), published and made available to the 23 Planning Commission and the public on October 11, 2002, and on file with the Clerk of the 24 Board of Supervisors in File No. , which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion 25 as if set forth fully herein; and

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 3 12/19/02

1	WHEREAS, The Planning Commission held a duly-noticed public hearing on
2	November 21, 2002 to consider certification of the FSEIR as revised. The Planning
3	Commission reviewed and considered the FSEIR, including the Revisions to the FSEIR, and
4	the FSEIR files, heard testimony and received public comment and written correspondence
5	from the public and public agencies regarding the adequacy of the FSEIR; and
6	WHEREAS, By Motion No.16480, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
7	File No. , which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein,
8	the Planning Commission made findings and certified the completion the FSEIR in compliance
9	with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31; and
10	WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated December 11,
11	2002, San Franciscans for a Healthy Waterfront filed a timely appeal of the Commission's
12	FSEIR certification to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Administrative Code Section
13	31.16(a); and
14	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a duly-noticed public hearing on January
15	13, 2003, to consider the appeal of the Planning Commission's certification of the FSEIR; and
16	WHEREAS, The FSEIR as revised does not add significant new information to the
17	FSEIR or change the conclusions reached in the FSEIR; the revisions clarify and add
18	additional information concerning water and air quality impacts and mitigation related thereto,
19	anti-fouling paints, and whale strikes, and revise and strengthen water and air quality
20	mitigation measures. The revisions do not alter but add support to the FSEIR's conclusions.
21	The Board affirms the Planning Commission determination in Motion No. 16480 that
22	recirculation of the FSEIR and its revisions is not required because the FSEIR revisions do
23	not identify any of the following:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Page 4
12/19/02

mitigation measure proposed to be implemented;

24

25

• A new significant environmental impact resulting from the project or from a new

1 A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact unless 2 mitigation measures are adopted to reduce the impact; A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from 3 4 others previously analyzed clearly lessening the significant environmental 5 impacts of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt it; 6 The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in 7 nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded; and 8 WHEREAS, The Revisions to the FSEIR do not identify new significant environmental 9 impacts or substantially more severe environmental impacts from the Project or from a new 10 mitigation measure, and do not identify any new feasible alternatives to the Project that are considerably different from those previously analyzed. The document does include revised 11 12 mitigation measures; however, they are not considerably different from others previously 13 analyzed, rather they strengthen the mitigation measures from those that were previously 14 analyzed. Moreover, the project sponsors have agreed to all of the revisions to the mitigation 15 measures. There is also no evidence that the FSEIR was fundamentally flawed. To the 16 contrary, on June 24, 2002, the Board of Supervisors found the FSEIR adequate in all 17 respects except the limited areas mentioned in its Motion No. MO2-100 remanding the FSEIR to the Commission: and 18 19 WHEREAS, The Project FSEIR files, the Waterfront Plan FEIR, and all

WHEREAS, The Project FSEIR files, the Waterfront Plan FEIR, and all correspondence and other documents have been made available for review by the Board, Commission and the public. These files are available for public review by appointment at the Department offices at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record before the Board; and WHEREAS, The private project sponsors and the staff of the Port of San Francisco have indicated that the current preferred alternative for the San Francisco Cruise Terminal

25

20

21

22

23

24

1	Mixed-Use Project is Alternative 5, the Reduced Building Height Alternative described in the
2	FSEIR; and
3	WHEREAS, the Board reviewed and considered the FSEIR as revised and all
4	correspondence, documents, and testimony concerning the adequacy of said FSEIR that
5	have been presented to the Board, and hereby does find that the contents of said FSEIR and
6	the procedures through which it was prepared, publicized and reviewed comply with the
7	provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco
8	Administrative Code; now therefore be it
9	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the revised FSEIR in
10	Department File No. 2000.1229E reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City
11	and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, and that the Summary of
12	Comments and Responses and the Revisions to the FSEIR contain no significant revisions to
13	the FSEIR that would require recirculation under CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5, and
14	hereby does AFFIRM THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S CERTIFICATION OF THE FSEIR.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS