

1 [Board response to the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled “The homeless have
2 homes, but they are still on the street”]

3 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the**
4 **recommendations contained in the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled “The**
5 **homeless have homes, but they are still on the street”.**

6
7 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of
8 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
9 Court on the recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and,

10 WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
11 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
12 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
13 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
14 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
15 which it has some decision making authority; and,

16 WHEREAS, The 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled “The homeless have
17 homes, but they are still on the street” is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
18 File No. 081018, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully
19 herein; and,

20 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1 of the subject Civil Grand Jury Report states: “The
21 Controller and the Board of Supervisors’ Budget Analyst should update the 2001-2002
22 analysis of the City's homeless spending”; and

23 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: “The City should order that comprehensive
24 cost/benefit analysis be performed on current supportive housing programs to include: (A)
25 costs of the supportive programs for various homeless populations compared to the cost

1 avoidance through reduced use of emergency services, hospitals, jails, etc., and (B)
2 comparative analysis of specific programs and supportive housing models to assess reasons
3 that the cost of providing supportive housing differs substantially between different programs
4 that seem equally successful in outcome”; and

5 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: “With the success of Housing First, the
6 City should address the quality of life issues that concern the welfare of all San Francisco
7 residents and should develop programs that enforce the law, demonstrate compassion, and
8 move the affected population from harm reduction to meaningful living”; and

9 WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11 Court on Recommendations Nos. 1, 2 and 9 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report;
12 now, therefore, be it

13 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
14 Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. _____ of the 2007-2008 Civil Grand
15 Jury Report entitled “The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street”; and be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly
17 or partially with Recommendation Nos. _____, as follows _____; and, be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
19 implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through
20 the development of the annual budget.

21
22
23
24
25