

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED
MAR 26 2015

and Environmental
Affairs

### **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)	
SFO Terminal 3 Expansion and Renovation			N/A	
Case No.		Permit No.	Plans Dated	
2015-0026	70ENV			3 11
✓ Addition/		Demolition	New	Project Modification
Alteration		(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)
The San Franci approximately 1 arrivals level, a	isco Interna 1,005,975 s pprox. 50,00 tal addition	Planning Department approval.  tional Airport proposes to renovate and expand the quare feet (sf) across three stories. The proposed 00 sf on the second-floor mezzanine level, and approf approximately 170,000 sf. Interior renovations were stories.	project would add appr prox. 100,000 sf on the	rox. 20,000 sf on the ground-floo third-floor departures level,
	IPLETED 1	BY PROJECT PLANNER		
		1 or 3 applies, an <i>Environmental Evaluation</i>		
	Class 1 – I	Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alter	ations; additions un	der 10,000 sq. ft.
	residences	New Construction/ Conversion of Small Str s or six (6) dwelling units in one building; con use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permit	mmercial/office stru	
		velopment		
STEP 2: CEO		TS BY PROJECT PLANNER		
If any box is	checked l	oelow, an Environmental Evaluation Applic	ation is required.	
	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)			
	hazardou manufact or more o	as Materials: If the project site is located on to smaterials (based on a previous use such as uring, or a site with underground storage to food disturbance - or a change of use from it and the project applicant must submit an Enveloped.	gas station, auto rep nks): Would the pro ndustrial to residen	pair, dry cleaners, or heavy ject involve 50 cubic yards tial? If yes, this box must be

	Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).			
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units. Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?			
<b>✓</b>	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)			
	<b>Noise:</b> Does the project include new noise-sensitive receptors (schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) fronting roadways located in the noise mitigation area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Noise Mitigation Area)			
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)			
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.			
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.			
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.			
	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. <u>If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental</i> Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.</u>			
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.			
Comments a	and Planner Signature (optional):			
involve more than 50 Revised Site Cleanup	thas been reviewed by the Planning Department's archeologist, and has been determined to not have any effects related to archeology. The proposed project would cubic yards of excavation on a site with underground storage tanks. The project would be subject to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board of Order 99-045-adopted by the Airport-requiring investigation and remediation of hazardous materials encountered in soil and/or groundwater. Additionally, the project pplicable San Mateo County Health System requirements regarding storage tank removal. Therefore the proposed project would not result in significant impacts related our materials.			
STEP 3: PR	OPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE			
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
	IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)			
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.			
	tegory B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. tegory C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.			
L▼ Ca	tegory c. Not a rustoffed resource of Not rige Engine (under 45 years of age). GO 10 31E1 0.			

## STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	Check all that apply to the project.				
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.				
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.				
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations.				
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.				
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.				
	6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of- way.				
	7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No.</i> 3: <i>Dormer Windows</i> .				
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.				
Note	Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.				
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.				
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.				
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.				
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.				
STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER					
Che	ck all that apply to the project.				
L	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.				
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.				
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.				
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				
	6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.				
	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.				

·	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Inter (specify or add comments):	ior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties			
· 🗆	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):				
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Pres	ervation Coordinator)			
	10. Reclassification of property status to Category C.  Planner/Preservation Coordinator)  a. Per HRER dated:(attach HRE  b. Other (specify):				
Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.					
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an Environmental Evaluation Application to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.				
Ė	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.				
Com	ments (optional):				
Prese	rvation Planner Signature:				
	P 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project apply):  Step 2 – CEQA Impacts	t does not meet scopes of work in either (check all that			
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review				
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Applicati	on.			
Ø	No further environmental review is required. The proje	ct is categorically exempt under CEQA.			
	Planner Name: Erik Jaszewski	Signature:  Digitally signed by Erik Jaszawski			
	Project Approval Action: Airport Commission Hearing It Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	Erik Jaszewski obcitylanning, userskrivonnenial Planning, cn=Erik Jaszewski, email=Erik Jaszewski@efgov.org Date: 2015.03.18 10:38:04-07:00*			
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within days of the project receiving the first approval action.				

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Case No. Plans Date Modified I		Previous Building Permit No.			
Plans Date		Previous Building Permit No.	DY DUIL DUIL D		
	•		New Building Permit No.		
			-		
Modified I	<u>ed</u>	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action		
Moainea i					
	Project Description:				
DETERMINA	TION IE DDO IECT CO	NSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIF	ICATION		
			IOATION		
j	red to the approved project, would the modified project:  Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;				
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code				
1 1 1	Sections 311 or 312;				
	Result in demolition	as defined under Planning Code S	Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
	Is any information be	ing presented that was not know	n and could not have been known		
	at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may				
	no longer qualify for				
If at least	one of the above box	es is checked, further environme	ntal review is required CATEX FORI		
DETERMINA	TION OF NO SUBSTANT	IAL MODIFICATION			
	The proposed modifi	cation would not result in any of	the above changes.		
If this box is	checked, the proposed mo	difications are categorically exempt und	er CEQA, in accordance with prior project		
		ntal review is required. This determinat filed to the applicant, City approving enti	ities, and anyone requesting written notice.		
Planner Name:		Signature or Stamp:			
		-			
		÷			