Amendment of the Whole February 1, 2006.

FILE NO 050601.

ORDINANCE NO.

1 [Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process.] 2 3 Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to add Chapter 36 to establish uniform procedures for developing comprehensive neighborhood plans (the "Better 4 Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process"); amending Administrative 5 Code Section 3.4 to provide for integrated Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan budget 6 7 documents; amending the Planning Code to add Section 312A regarding discretionary 8 review for projects proposed in Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan areas, and Section 312B to establish permit review procedures for certain projects within Better 9 Neighborhoods Plus Plan areas; and making environmental findings and findings of 10 consistency with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the General 11 12 Plan. 13 Note: Additions are *single-underline* italics Times New Roman; deletions are strikethrough italics Times New Roman. 14 Board amendment additions are double underlined. Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. 15 16 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 17 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 18 Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San 19 Francisco hereby finds and determines: 20 (a) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, that the provisions of this ordinance 21 amending the Planning Code will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare. 22 (b) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 101.1, that the provisions of this ordinance 23 amending the Planning Code are consistent with the Priority Policies of Section 101.1(b) of 24 the Planning Code and with the General Plan and hereby incorporates a report containing 25

| 1 | those findings as if fully set forth herein. A copy of such report is on file with the Clerk of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Board of Supervisors in File No |
| 3 | Section 2. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding |
| 4 | Chapter 36 to read as follows: |
| 5 | CHAPTER 36 |
| 6 | SEC. 36.1. APPLICATION |
| 7 | (a) Policy. It shall be the policy of the City and County of San Francisco that future |
| 8 | substantial changes by the City in land use policies and controls that will govern specific |
| 9 | neighborhoods (i.e. geographic sub-areas of the City larger than 40 contiguous acres in size) |
| 10 | the areas of the city listed in Section 36.1(e)(1) and (e)(2) below be undertaken in coordination |
| 11 | with a comprehensive planning and implementation process. This Chapter outlines the process and |
| 12 | content of that planning, and the products to be produced. |
| 13 | This Chapter shall only apply in areas of the city that are listed in Section 36.I(g)(1) and |
| 14 | (g)(2) below and areas in which a Better Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation |
| 15 | Process has been initiated by the Planning Commission or Board of Supervisors pursuant to |
| 16 | Section 36.1(f) below. This Chapter shall not apply to the planning activities described in |
| 17 | Section 36.1(h) below. |
| 18 | This Chapter does not modify or restrict any notice or appeal provisions of the Planning Code |
| 19 | or other Municipal Codes except that Section 4 of the ordinance enacting this Chapter adds a new |
| 20 | Planning Code Section 312A relating to discretionary review where a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan |
| 21 | has been adopted and Section 312B to establish permit review procedures for certain projects within |
| 22 | Better Neighborhoods Plan areas for which a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan has been |
| 23 | adopted pursuant to the provisions of this Chapter. |
| 24 | |

| 1 | <u>lt is tl</u> | ne intent of the Board of Supervisors that in the implementation of this ordinance |
|----|-----------------|---|
| 2 | the Planning | Department use, to the extent possible, in-house staff where staff has the |
| 3 | necessary e | expertise or there are positions available to hire new staff. |
| 4 | (b) | Process. The process shall be referred to as the Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning |
| 5 | and Impleme | ntation Process. A Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process |
| 6 | shall consist | of the following elements: |
| 7 | <u>(1)</u> | A Public Input Process, as provided in Section 36.2; |
| 8 | <u>(2)</u> | A Planning Management and Accountability Process, as provided in Section 36.3; |
| 9 | <u>(3)</u> | Preparation of a Neighborhood Baseline Conditions and Needs Analysis, as provided in |
| 10 | Section. 36.4, | <u>:</u> |
| 11 | <i>(4)</i> | Preparation of a New Development Impacts Analysis, as provided in Section 36.5; |
| 12 | <u>(5)</u> | Preparation and adoption of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, as provided in Section |
| 13 | 36.7, includir | <u>ıg</u> |
| 14 | <u>(i)</u> | recommendation of an area plan |
| 15 | (ii) | recommendation of appropriate General Plan, Planning Code and Zoning Map |
| 16 | amendments, | <u>and</u> |
| 17 | (iii) | recommendation of a Public Improvements Plan and Funding Strategy, including |
| 18 | proposed fun | ding mechanisms, if any. |
| 19 | (6) | After adoption of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, preparation of semi-annual State- |
| 20 | of-the-Neighb | borhood Plan Reports, as provided in Section 36.9.2. |
| 21 | <u>(c)</u> | Resources and Timing. It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to provide sufficient |
| 22 | resources, hu | man and financial, to the Planning Department and other involved city agencies to enable |
| 23 | them to carry | out the provisions of this Chapter. It is the further intent of the Board of Supervisors that |
| 24 | the scope of v | work and budget for the preparation of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and its |
| | | |

| 1 | implementing documents, and the preparation of the required environmental review document for the |
|----|--|
| 2 | Inner Geary Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan will enable its completion within twenty-four thirty- |
| 3 | six_months. |
| 4 | (d) Interpretation. The Planning Commission shall be the sole body authorized to interpret |
| 5 | and enforce the provisions of this Chapter and its determination that the requirements of this Chapter |
| 6 | have been satisfied shall be final, binding and non-appealable. |
| 7 | (e) Planning Activities Subject to this Chapter. Commencing on the effective date of |
| 8 | this ordinance, and except as otherwise provided in this Chapter, the Better Neighborhoods |
| 9 | Planning and Implementation Process shall apply to all planning activities of the Planning |
| 10 | Department focused on geographic sub-areas of the City containing 40 or more acres that are |
| 11 | intended to result in recommendations for amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, |
| 12 | and/or Zoning Maps. These sub-areas of the City shall be referred to in this Chapter as |
| 13 | "Neighborhoods." Each individual Better Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation |
| 14 | Process shall result in a plan to be known as a Better Neighborhoods Plan. |
| 15 | (f) Initiation. A Better Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation Process may |
| 16 | be initiated either by resolution of the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors on |
| 17 | the following conditions: |
| 18 | (1) Need and Neighborhood Support: The Planning Commission determines, after |
| 19 | public hearing, that: |
| 20 | (i) A need exists for such a process. In determining need, the Planning |
| 21 | Commission shall consider each of the following eight elements: the ease of pedestrian |
| 22 | access to a variety of shops and stores; the safety of streets; the variety of transportation |
| 23 | choices; the variety of housing choices; adequacy of public gathering places; the range of |
| 24 | |

| 1 | available city services; any special character of the neighborhood; and the extent to which the |
|----|--|
| 2 | neighborhood complements the structure of a larger neighborhood of which it is a part; and |
| 3 | (ii) There is sufficient neighborhood support to justify undertaking a Better |
| 4 | Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation Process in the sub-area of the City proposed for |
| 5 | planning. |
| 6 | (2) Work Program and Budget: The Board of Supervisors may not adopt a |
| 7 | resolution of initiation until the Planning Department has prepared and presented to the |
| 8 | appropriate committee of the Board of Supervisors for its approval a work program and |
| 9 | budget detailing the anticipated tasks required to be undertaken to carry out a Better |
| 10 | Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation Process, and the anticipated time and costs of |
| 11 | Planning Department staff, staff of other departments involved in the Better Neighborhoods |
| 12 | Planning and Implementation Process, consultants, and other resources associated with each |
| 13 | task. |
| 14 | In the case of initiation by the Planning Commission, the work program and budget |
| 15 | described above must be submitted to the Board of Supervisors prior to any final action by the |
| 16 | Planning Commission to initiate a Better Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation |
| 17 | Process. |
| 18 | (g) (e) Planning Activities Subject to this Chapter. |
| 19 | (1) Application to Existing Planning Activities. The Better Neighborhoods Planning |
| 20 | and Implementation Process-This Chapter shall apply to certain planning activities efforts that are |
| 21 | currently being carried out by the Planning Department as follows: |
| 22 | (1)(a) Substantial planning activities have taken place in the Rincon Hill, Contral |
| 23 | Waterfront, Balboa Park, and Market/Octavia areas and a draft plans has ve been published and |
| 24 | <u>circulated.</u> In light of those activities To avoid the necessity of having to redo this planning the |

| 1 | provisions of Sections 36.2, 36.3, 36.4, 36.5, and 36.7.1 shall not apply to those plans. The proposed |
|----|---|
| 2 | long-term and other public improvement projects as currently described in the Draft |
| 3 | Environmental Impact Report for the Market & Octavia Neighborhood Plan will satisfy the |
| 4 | requirements of Section 36.7.3. Subsequent to the adoption of the Better Neighborhoods |
| 5 | Plus Plan for the Market & Octavia area, the Planning Department will more fully describe the |
| 6 | long term and other public improvements and will undertake environmental evaluation of the |
| 7 | proposed public improvement projects. It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to make |
| 8 | funding available for such environmental evaluation. |
| 9 | (2)(b) The Planning Department is currently conducting planning activities in the Central |
| 10 | Waterfront, Mission, Lower Potrero Hill/Showplace Square, and East SoMa areas with the intent of |
| 11 | proposing new plan policies and zoning controls for those areas. While it is desired that zoning |
| 12 | controls for those areas be accompanied by plans and implementing measures that substantially |
| 13 | comply with the provisions of this Chapter, considerable planning work has already been done and an |
| 14 | environmental impact analysis is underway. Therefore, it is the intent of this Chapter that it only be |
| 15 | applied in a manner that compliance will not significantly delay adoption of plans and implementing |
| 16 | measures, including zoning controls, for those areas. To that end, the requirements of this Chapter are |
| 17 | modified for those areas as follows: |
| 18 | (i) The requirements of section 36.2 shall not apply. |
| 19 | (ii) The requirement in Section 36.7.1 that a Better Neighborhood Plan be designed to |
| 20 | qualify as a Specific Plan shall not apply. |
| 21 | The Central Waterfront, Market/Octavia, Mission, Lower Petrero Hill/Showplace Square, and |
| 22 | East SoMa Plans may rely on existing surveys of cultural and historic resources. This does not, |
| 23 | however, eliminate an independent or CEQA-based responsibility to conduct cultural and historic |
| 24 | resource analyses of a development site if the Planning Department has not completed a current |
| | |

| detailed survey of the area in which a proposed project is located. In areas where current detailed |
|---|
| surveys have not been done, it is desirable, as funding becomes available, to conduct such surveys in |
| the future and amend the Plans to incorporate the survey findings. |

Prior to adoption of this ordinance or within 30 days thereafter, the Planning

Department shall prepare and submit to the Planning Commission for its consideration a
scope of work and a work program and budget detailing the anticipated tasks still to be
undertaken to complete a Better Neighborhoods Planning and Implementation Process for the
Mission, Lower Potrero Hill/Showplace Square, and East SoMa areas, and the anticipated
time and costs of Planning Department staff, staff of other departments involved in the
planning process, consultants, and other resources associated with each task still to be
undertaken in substantial compliance with this ordinance. The work program and budget shall
be reviewed by the Planning Commission which shall afterwards promptly submit the work
program and budget to the appropriate committee of the Board of Supervisors for its approval.

- (2) Application to New Planning Activities:
- (a) All of the provisions of this Chapter shall apply to the preparation and implementation of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and its implementing documents in a contiguous area of forty or more acres adjacent to Geary Boulevard generally between Franklin Street and Masonic Avenue. The Planning Department shall initially propose the boundaries of the proposed plan area and initiate the public input process described in Sec. 36.2 below in that area. The Planning Commission shall determine the final boundaries as part of the Public Input Process.
- (b) Within 30 days after adoption of this ordinance the Planning Department shall prepare and submit to the Planning Commission for its consideration a scope of work and a work program and budget detailing the anticipated tasks to be undertaken to carry out a Better

| 1 | Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process for the Inner Geary Boulevard |
|----|--|
| 2 | area and the anticipated time and costs of Planning Department staff, staff of other |
| 3 | departments involved in the planning process, consultants, and other resources associated |
| 4 | with each task still to be undertaken in substantial compliance with this ordinance. In addition |
| 5 | to the other requirements of this Chapter the Planning Department shall prepare or cause to |
| 6 | be prepared a detailed survey of cultural and historic resources Historic and Cultural |
| 7 | Resources Survey as provided in Section 36.6A shall be undertaken for the Inner Geary Plan |
| 8 | Area. The work program and budget shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission which |
| 9 | shall afterwards promptly submit the work program and budget to the appropriate committee |
| 10 | of the Board of Supervisors. |
| 11 | (h) Non-applicability to Certain Planning Activities. This Chapter shall not apply to |
| 12 | the following: |
| 13 | (1) General Plan Amendments, Zoning Map amendments, or Planning Code |
| 14 | amendments for single development sites, even if the development site is 40 acres or larger in |
| 15 | size. |
| 16 | (2) Amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, and/or Zoning Map focused |
| 17 | on an area 40 acres or larger in size that are limited in scope such as the creation of an |
| 18 | historic district, changes in commercial use categories in zoning districts, Planning Code |
| 19 | amendments that apply to the entire City, or the amendment of a General Plan policy. |
| 20 | (3) Planning activities that do not include land use and zoning changes focused on |
| 21 | a geographic area 40 acres or larger, such as transportation systems plans. |
| 22 | (4) Planning activities that are being carried out in conjunction with the San |
| 23 | Francisco Redevelopment Agency that relate to a redevelopment project survey area and are |
| 24 | to be incorporated into a proposed Redevelopment Project Area Plan and its implementing |

| 1 | documents unless otherwise provided in a Cooperation Agreement between the |
|----|--|
| 2 | Redevelopment Agency and the Planning Department. |
| 3 | (5) Planning activities that have been or are currently being carried out in areas |
| 4 | within the South Bayshore Redevelopment Survey Area and the Transbay Redevelopment |
| 5 | Survey Area that are not proposed for inclusion in a redevelopment project area. |
| 6 | (6) Periodic revisions and updates of elements (but not Area Plans) of the General |
| 7 | Plan, such as updates to the Housing Element. |
| 8 | (7) Those Planning activities already completed with respect to the former Schlage |
| 9 | Lock Company site in Visitacion Valley and the published November 2003 Glen Park |
| 10 | Community Plan. |
| 11 | (8) Planning activities in the area referred to as West SoMa are subject to a |
| 12 | different process, as described in Resolution No 731-04, establishing the Western SoMa |
| 13 | Citizens Planning Task Force, and are not subject to the provisions of this Chapter. |
| 14 | SEC. 36.2. PUBLIC INPUT PROCESS. |
| 15 | Each Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process shall begin with the |
| 16 | establishment by the Planning Department of a Public Input Process that includes the following |
| 17 | <u>elements:</u> |
| 18 | (a) Community Outreach Program: In order to establish a Community Outreach Program |
| 19 | for a Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process, the Planning Department |
| 20 | shall first identify and actively seek to engage the full spectrum of residents, commercial tenants, |
| 21 | property owners, community and neighborhood-based organizations, developers, faith-based, |
| 22 | community, and public service and facility providers, as well as other organizations and individuals |
| 23 | interested in the specific Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process and shall |
| 24 | craft a Community Outreach Program designed to encourage their active participation in the |

| 1 | community planning process. In addition to outreach within the specific Better Neighborhoods Plus |
|----|---|
| 2 | Plan Area, the Community Outreach Program shall include outreach designed to bring a citywide |
| 3 | perspective to the Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process. Such citywide |
| 4 | outreach efforts may include, but are not limited to, notification of neighborhood and interest groups in |
| 5 | other areas of the City, random sampling mailings or other notification to individuals citywide, and |
| 6 | efforts to contact and notifiy individuals or organizations interested in citywide planning issues. |
| 7 | This Community Outreach Program shall involve the identified community through such means |
| 8 | as neighborhood workshops, informational presentations, public dialogues, planning charettes, |
| 9 | newsletters, and web pages. This listing shall not preclude other forms or means of public |
| 10 | participation as part of a public input process. The Planning Department shall establish a public |
| 11 | noticing scheme for all public meetings and hearings held in furtherance of each Better Neighborhoods |
| 12 | Plus Planning and Implementation Process. The cost of the Community Outreach Program shall |
| 13 | include a budget for conducting outreach, including the cost of facilitation of meetings and meeting |
| 14 | logistics. The Community Outreach Program shall be reviewed in the scoping session described in |
| 15 | paragraph (b) below. |
| 16 | (b) Scoping. Before commencing a Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and |
| 17 | Implementation Process in a Neighborhood of the City, the Planning Department shall hold a Public |
| 18 | Scoping Session to receive public input, including but not limited to, the scope of the Community |
| 19 | Outreach Program, the scope of Neighborhood Baseline Conditions and Needs Analysis, and the New |
| 20 | Development Impacts Analysis and the boundaries of the proposed area to be planned. |
| 21 | (1) Notice of the Public Scoping Session shall be provided at least 30 days prior to the |
| 22 | meeting as follows: mailed notice shall be provided to all organizations and individuals who have |
| 23 | specifically requested mailed notice; notice of the meeting shall be posted on signs conforming to the |
| 24 | size and visibility requirements of Planning Code Section 306.8 in at least three dispersed locations in |
| | |

| 1 | the Neighborhood and notice shall be published at least once in an official newspaper of general |
|----|---|
| 2 | circulation. |
| 3 | (2) Notice of the Public Scoping Session shall also include mailed notice to residents, |
| 4 | including property owners and tenants, and businesses within the proposed planning area and within |
| 5 | 800 feet of the proposed planning area and to citywide organizations interested in planning activities |
| 6 | The Planning Director shall determine the adequacy of this mailed notice. |
| 7 | (3) After the Public Scoping Session, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing |
| 8 | at a regular Planning Commission meeting on the proposed Community Outreach Program to receive |
| 9 | public testimony on the scope and effectiveness of the proposal. The Planning Commission shall |
| 10 | specifically evaluate outreach to the community within the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan Area and |
| 11 | outreach citywide. If it deems it necessary and appropriate, the Planning Commission shall direct the |
| 12 | Planning Department to revise the Community Outreach Program. Notice of the public hearing shall |
| 13 | be provided at least 20 days prior to the hearing as follows: mailed notice shall be provided to all |
| 14 | organizations and individuals who have specifically requested mailed notice; notice of the meeting |
| 15 | shall be posted on signs conforming to the size and visibility requirements of Planning Code Section |
| 16 | 306.8 in at least three dispersed locations in the Neighborhood, and notice shall be published at least |
| 17 | once in an official newspaper of general circulation. |
| 18 | SEC. 36.3. PLANNING MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY. |
| 19 | For each Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process undertaken, the |
| 20 | Planning Department shall prepare a work program and budget to enable efficient project management |
| 21 | and oversight during the process, as follows: |
| 22 | (a) Content. A work program and budget shall: |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | (1) Be designed to enable completion of the preparation of the Better Neighborhoods Plus |
|----|--|
| 2 | Plan and implementing documents and the environmental evaluation document within twenty-four |
| 3 | thirty months from commencement of planning; |
| 4 | (2) Detail the anticipated tasks required to be undertaken to carry out the specific Better |
| 5 | Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process, and the anticipated time and costs of |
| 6 | Planning Department staff, staff of other departments involved in the planning process, consultants, |
| 7 | and other resources associated with each task; |
| 8 | (3) Present a date-specific schedule for carrying out the various tasks, including quarterly |
| 9 | targets regarding the extent, in percentage terms, of completion of the various tasks. |
| 10 | (b) Inter-Agency Coordination and Updates on Development of Better Neighborhoods Plus |
| 11 | Plans. All staff and consultants shall provide updates on plan development and implementation, |
| 12 | including extent of completion of tasks and plan-related expenditures to the Planning Director and the |
| 13 | Planning Director shall, in turn, provide Inter-Agency Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan Development |
| 14 | Updates to the heads of all City departments affected by development of the Better Neighborhoods Plus |
| 15 | <u>Plan.</u> |
| 16 | (c) Semi-Annual Progress Reports to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors |
| 17 | on Development of Better Neighborhoods Plus Plans. Up until final adoption of a Better |
| 18 | <u>Neighborhoods Plus</u> <u>Plan by the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Department staff shall prepare a</u> |
| 19 | report every six months from the date of the first allocation of funds for the process on the status of the |
| 20 | Work Program and Budget indicating the extent of completion of the various tasks of Planning |
| 21 | Department staff, staff of other departments, and consultants and of expenditure of funds associated |
| 22 | with those tasks. The Department shall submit this six-month Better Neighborhood Plan Progress |
| 23 | Report to the Planning Commission and to the appropriate committee of the Board of Supervisors. In |
| 24 | order to enhance public accountability and promote inter-agency coordination, the Department shall |

| 1 | post the Better Neighborhood Plan Progress Report and other relevant data to a project web-page on |
|----|--|
| 2 | the Planning Department's official website. |
| 3 | (d) Record Keeping. In the event legislation is enacted to enable the City to recapture the |
| 4 | costs of area plan and program environmental impact report preparation through fees imposed on |
| 5 | projects benefiting from individual area plans and environmental impact reports, the Department shall |
| 6 | maintain and use the records of each Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation |
| 7 | <u>Process to support the reimbursement of such funds.</u> The Department is encouraged to use web-based |
| 8 | technology to track work program and expenditures status on a real time basis. |
| 9 | (e) Semi-Annual State-of-the-Neighborhood Reports. During the development of a Better |
| 10 | Neighborhood Plan and prior to its adoption by the Planning Commission, Planning Department staff |
| 11 | shall identify appropriate performance indicators to measure future implementation of the plan that |
| 12 | shall then be incorporated into future State-of-the-Neighborhood Reports. Once a final Better |
| 13 | Neighborhood Plan is adopted by the Board of Supervisors, Planning Department staff shall present on |
| 14 | a semi-annual basis at a duly-noticed public hearing to the appropriate Plan Implementation Advisory |
| 15 | Committee a State-of-the-Neighborhood Report under Section 36.9.2. These semi-annual reports must |
| 16 | be presented until, in the opinion of the Planning Commission, they are no longer necessary for |
| 17 | monitoring implementation of the Better Neighborhood Plan. |
| 18 | (f) Fund Allocation. It shall be the policy of the Board of Supervisors to allocate funds |
| 19 | from the municipal budget for each Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process |
| 20 | based on the work program and budget developed by the Planning Department for the Better |
| 21 | Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process. Funds appropriated for each Better |
| 22 | Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process shall be placed in a separate account with |
| 23 | the Controller and released in six-month increments by the Controller upon the Planning Commission's |
| 24 | determination, after a duly-noticed public hearing on the Semi-Annual Progress Report prepared |

| 1 | pursuant to subparagraph (c) above, that the Planning Department is meeting established targets or |
|----|---|
| 2 | has justified the needed modification of the targets. |
| 3 | SEC. 36.4. NEIGHBORHOOD BASELINE CONDITIONS AND NEEDS ANALYSIS. |
| 4 | (a) Contents. The Planning Department shall conduct a study of Neighborhood Baseline |
| 5 | Conditions and Needs which assesses the conditions of infrastructure and community amenities and the |
| 6 | needs for improvements and/or additions. The study shall identify existing conditions of and |
| 7 | deficiencies in public infrastructure, community amenities, and the public health, safety, and welfare in |
| 8 | the area affected by a Better Neighborhood Plan. The topics for analysis may include, but are not |
| 9 | limited to, any or all of the following: |
| 10 | (a)(1) Streets and Transportation: such as the need for improvements to all modes of |
| 11 | transportation, including current access to public transportation, pedestrian routes, bicycle routes, and |
| 12 | for improved streetscape design. |
| 13 | (b)(2) Economic Activity and Employment: such as the need for and types of employment and |
| 14 | for increased educational/training opportunities, or need for economic development in the |
| 15 | neighborhood. |
| 16 | (c)(3) Housing: such as the need for housing in the neighborhood at all income levels, and for |
| 17 | housing targeted to specific groups such as seniors, families, persons with disabilities, and other |
| 18 | special needs, and City-wide housing needs that can currently be accommodated in the neighborhood. |
| 19 | (d)(4) Community Facilities and Services: such as the need for additional or improved |
| 20 | schools, libraries, recreation centers, child care, senior and community centers, health care, homeless |
| 21 | supportive and substance abuse services, and youth centers. |
| 22 | (e)(5) Neighborhood-Serving Businesses: such as the need for Neighborhood-Serving |
| 23 | Businesses as defined in Planning Code Section 790.68. |
| 24 | |

| 1 | (f)(6) Open Space: such as the need for public open space, including parks and recreation |
|----|---|
| 2 | facilities. |
| 3 | (9)(7) Historic and Cultural Resources: such as the need to identify, protect and enhance |
| 4 | existing historic and cultural resources in the neighborhood. The results of any such analysis shall be |
| 5 | reported to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board. |
| 6 | (h)(8) Environmental Improvements: such as the need for improved air quality conditions, and |
| 7 | energy and water conservation. |
| 8 | (b) Process. The participants in the Public Scoping Process described in Section 36.2 of |
| 9 | this Chapter and the Planning Department shall determine the specific topics for analysis. The nature, |
| 10 | depth and extent of analysis to be undertaken and the manner in which the analysis is documented and |
| 11 | reported for public review shall be determined by the Planning Department. |
| 12 | SEC. 36.5 NEW DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS ANALYSIS. |
| 13 | The Planning Department shall prepare or cause to be prepared an analysis of anticipated |
| 14 | impacts, in nature and amount, of the build-out of the development allowed by the Better |
| 15 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan, using the priority policies of the General Plan as a framework for the |
| 16 | analyses which shall be called the New Development Impacts Analysis. |
| 17 | (a) Nature of Impacts: Impacts shall include those impacts that exacerbate or increase |
| 18 | needs identified in the Neighborhood Baseline Conditions and Needs Analysis as well as any other new |
| 19 | impacts caused by the anticipated development allowed by a Better Neighborhood Plan. The New |
| 20 | Development Impacts Analysis should reference the environmental review conducted for a Better |
| 21 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan under the California Environmental Quality Act. The topics for analysis |
| 22 | may include, but are not limited to, any or all of the following: |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | (1) City Costs and Revenues: such as any changes in the costs of public services and |
|----|---|
| 2 | infrastructure-maintenance associated with new development and changes in the permitted uses, and |
| 3 | any changes in property, transfer, and sales taxes in the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan area. |
| 4 | (2) Streets and Transportation: such as impacts on all modes of transportation, traffic, |
| 5 | parking and streetscape and access to transit, pedestrian routes, and bicycle routes. |
| 6 | (3) Employment: such as impacts on the number and type of jobs and employment rates in |
| 7 | the neighborhood and potential jobs resulting from or displaced by proposed development. |
| 8 | (4) Housing: such as impacts on the number of units and affordability of rental and owned |
| 9 | housing in the neighborhood. |
| 10 | (5) Community Facilities: such as impacts on community facilities and services including |
| 11 | schools, child care, senior and community centers, libraries, health and mental health care facilities, |
| 12 | homeless supportive and substance abuse services, cultural centers, and affordable community-serving |
| 13 | space. |
| 14 | (6) Infrastructure: such as impacts on existing public infrastructure or new demand for |
| 15 | infrastructure within the neighborhood and/or other areas of the City, to implement the Better |
| 16 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan or to accommodate the build-out of development anticipated in the Better |
| 17 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan, including, but not limited to, streets, sewers and water systems. |
| 18 | (7) Businesses: such as impacts on current businesses and industries, including |
| 19 | neighborhood-serving businesses, as defined in Planning Code Section 790.68. |
| 20 | (8) Open Space: such as impacts on parks, open space, and recreational opportunities. |
| 21 | (9) Historic and Cultural Resources: such as impacts on identified historic and cultural |
| 22 | <u>resources.</u> |
| 23 | (10) Urban Design: such as shadow and wind impacts on sidewalks and open space. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | (b) Amount of Impacts. The New Development Impacts Analysis shall identify the amount of |
|----|---|
| 2 | the impacts in each category if such impacts can be quantified. The purpose of this part of the New |
| 3 | Developments Impact Analysis is to form the basis for a recommendation by the Planning Department |
| 4 | to the Board of Supervisors regarding any proposed Area-Specific Development Impact fees as part of |
| 5 | the Public Improvements Plan and Funding Strategy set forth in Section 36.7.3. |
| 6 | (1) In quantifying the amount of the impacts, the New Development Impacts Analysis shall |
| 7 | identify the cost of mitigating those impacts identified that are proposed to be mitigated by the Area- |
| 8 | Specific Development Impact Fee. To the extent that the cost of mitigating impacts may include the |
| 9 | cost to remedy existing deficiencies, the New Development Impacts Analysis shall segregate and state |
| 10 | as separate line items those costs to mitigate the increment associated with the anticipated build-out of |
| 11 | the development permitted under the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan from those costs required to |
| 12 | remedy existing deficiencies. |
| 13 | (2) Financial Feasibility. If the Planning Department proposes an Area-Specific |
| 14 | Development Impact Fee, then the New Development Impacts Analysis shall also analyze the financial |
| 15 | feasibility of any anticipated new development within the Better Neighborhood Plan area to absorb any |
| 16 | impact fees, taking into account existing fees and exactions. |
| 17 | (3) The New Development Impacts Analysis shall study methods for adjusting any proposed |
| 18 | Area-Specific Development Impact Feee, based upon changes in an appropriate construction cost index |
| 19 | or other appropriate index. |
| 20 | (c) Process and Methodology for the New Development Impacts Analysis: The participants |
| 21 | in the Scoping Process set forth in Sec. 36.2 and the Planning Department shall determine the specific |
| 22 | areas for analysis. The nature, depth and extent of study to be undertaken and the manner in which the |
| 23 | analysis is documented and reported for public review shall be determined by the Planning |
| 24 | Department. The Planning Director shall take public comment regarding the methodology proposed |
| 25 | |

| 1 | for use in the New Development Impacts Analysis at a duly-noticed public meeting that must be held a |
|----|--|
| 2 | least 20 days before the Planning Department commences any such study. Taking into account the |
| 3 | comments received at this public meeting, the Planning Department shall ensure that the New |
| 4 | Development Impacts Analysis is conducted pursuant to well-established industry-standard |
| 5 | methodologies. The Planning Director shall also take public comment regarding any completed New |
| 6 | Development Impacts Analysis at a duly-noticed meeting held no later than 45 days after publication |
| 7 | and circulation of the New Development Impacts Analysis. |
| 8 | SEC. 36.6 RELATIONSHIP TO ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION. |
| 9 | The planning process for each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall to the maximum extent |
| 10 | possible integrate the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") so that the |
| 11 | appropriate review required by CEQA is conducted concurrently rather than consecutively. The |
| 12 | objective is to enable the findings of ongoing environmental analysis to inform and influence the |
| 13 | planning process while the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan is prepared. To that end, each Better |
| 14 | Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process shall be funded and coordinated with the |
| 15 | CEQA environmental review process. |
| 16 | SEC. 36.6A HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY |
| 17 | (a) For purposes of this legislation and its application to new planning activities as |
| 18 | defined in Section 36.1(e)(2) only, the Planning Department shall prepare or cause to be |
| 19 | prepared an Historic and Cultural Resources Survey which shall include the following |
| 20 | minimum requirements: |
| 21 | (1) State of California DPR 523 A and B forms for each property within the survey |
| 22 | area built before 1961, and district forms (DPR 523 D) form as needed; |
| 23 | (2) a context statement describing the history of the survey area, with reference to |
| 24 | associations with the historical development of San Francisco; |

| 1 | (3) an evaluation of potential local, state and national historic listing eligibility, based |
|----|--|
| 2 | on context statement and architecture only: |
| 3 | (4) documentation for each building and/or parcel within the area, which must |
| 4 | include: APN, address, Assessor's office date of construction, property type, previously |
| 5 | assigned California Historical Resource Status Code (if any) and/or local historic |
| 6 | categorization, and proposed status code through this initial assessment; and |
| 7 | (5) recommendations for additional research and evaluation. |
| 8 | (b) For new planning activities as defined in Section 36.1(e)(2), the Survey must be |
| 9 | reviewed and approved by the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, the Planning |
| 10 | Commission, and the Board of Supervisors in order for the Board of Supervisors to adopt a |
| 11 | Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. |
| 12 | SEC. 36.7 CONTENT OF BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS PLANS. |
| 13 | A proposed Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall conform to the requirements of this Section. |
| 14 | SEC. 36.7.1. BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS PLANS AS COMPREHENSIVE AREA PLANS. |
| 15 | The Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process shall produce a Better |
| 16 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan that addresses the range of topics appropriate for a comprehensive plan of |
| 17 | the particular neighborhood under study, including, but not limited to, land use, housing, recreation |
| 18 | and open space, historic and cultural resources, transportation and circulation, noise effects on |
| 19 | adjacent properties, commerce and industry, urban design, and community facilities and services. The |
| 20 | Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall also be designed such that it may be added to the General Plan |
| 21 | of the City and County as an area plan through the process outlined in the Charter and in Section 340 |
| 22 | of the Planning Code, and shall carry out the priority policies of the General Plan and Section 101.1 of |
| 23 | the Planning Code. Further, it is desirable, but not mandatory, that Except as provided in |
| 24 | Section 36.1(fe)(2), the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall be designed to qualify as a Specific |

| 1 | Plan pursuant to California Government Code Section 65451. Finally, each Better Neighborhood Plan |
|----|---|
| 2 | shall include a Neighborhood Baseline Conditions and Needs Analysis and a New Development |
| 3 | Impacts Analysis as provided for in Sections 36.4 and 36.5. |
| 4 | SEC. 36.7.2. GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS, REZONING AND DESIGN GUIDELINES. |
| 5 | Each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall include recommended amendments to the General |
| 6 | Plan, the Planning Code, and the Zoning Map, as well as design guidelines, if applicable, that will |
| 7 | facilitate the implementation of the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. |
| 8 | SEC. 36.7.3 BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS IMPROVEMENTS PLAN AND FUNDING |
| 9 | STRATEGY. |
| 10 | A proposed Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan shall include a Better Neighborhoods Plus Public |
| 11 | Improvements Plan and a Funding Strategy for that Plan. |
| 12 | (a) The Planning Department shall prepare a Better Neighborhoods Plus Public |
| 13 | Improvements Plan to address the conditions and needs identified in the Neighborhood Baseline Needs |
| 14 | Analysis and to propose ways to mitigate impacts of new development identified in the New |
| 15 | Development Impacts Analysis. |
| 16 | (b) A Funding Strategy shall summarize the estimated costs of various improvements |
| 17 | identified and prioritized in the Better Neighborhoods Plus Public Improvements Plan and propose |
| 18 | specific funding strategies to finance them. The Funding Strategy may include, but is not limited to, are |
| 19 | analysis of the feasibility of the following options: |
| 20 | (1) Public Resources. Identification of federal, state, and local public resources that could |
| 21 | be used for implementation of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Improvements Plan. |
| 22 | (2) Community Facility, Business Improvement or other forms of Assessment Districts. |
| 23 | Establishment of a Mello-Roos Community Facility District or special benefit or other assessment |
| 24 | district or districts. |

| 1 | (3) Area-Specific Development Impact Fees: Based on the results of the New Development |
|----|--|
| 2 | Impacts Analysis, the Planning Department may propose an Area-Specific Development Impact Fee to |
| 3 | the Board of Supervisors which conforms to the requirements of the State Mitigation Fee Act, |
| 4 | California Government Code Section 66000 et seq., as amended. Should the Board of Supervisors |
| 5 | enact an Area-Specific Development Impact Fee for the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan Area, the |
| 6 | amount of such fee or fees shall be clearly identified in the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan so that the |
| 7 | fee or fees is a known component of future development costs. In proposing an Area-Specific |
| 8 | Development Impact Fee to the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Department shall: |
| 9 | (A) demonstrate a reasonable relationship, in both nature and amount, between the |
| 10 | proposed use of the Area-Specific Development Impact fee or fees and the type of development project |
| 11 | on which the fee is imposed; |
| 12 | (B) demonstrate that the fee or fees are proposed to be applied on a non-discriminatory |
| 13 | basis, to all new development on privately-owned property within the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan |
| 14 | area that has the same or similar use classification or other land use designation, so long as a |
| 15 | substantial number of affected privately-owned properties would be subject to the fee, and so long as |
| 16 | the fee is applied generally rather than on an ad hoc basis ("Generally Applicable Basis"). |
| 17 | (C) demonstrate that the impact to be mitigated is not already mitigated by an existing City |
| 18 | wide impact fee or in-kind exaction; |
| 19 | (D) with reference to the Better Neighborhoods Plus Public Improvement Plan identify |
| 20 | public facilities (including public improvements, public services and community amenities) for which |
| 21 | the Area-Specific Development Impact fee or fees will be spent; |
| 22 | (E) demonstrate that any proposed fees reflect a balance between the need for funds to |
| 23 | mitigate impacts identified in the New Development Impacts Analysis and the desire to provide |
| 24 | sufficient economic incentive to encourage the development permitted in the Better Neighborhoods |
| | |

| 1 | Plus Plan area. The Planning Department shall not propose any Area-Specific Development Impact |
|----|--|
| 2 | Fee which is greater than the amount of the fee determined to be feasible in the New Development |
| 3 | Impacts Analysis. |
| 4 | (F) if payment of the fee will be required prior to the date of final inspection or issuance of |
| 5 | the certificate of occupancy, discuss the rationale for the proposed early payment of the fee and |
| 6 | conformance with Gov't Code Section 66007, as amended from time-to-time. |
| 7 | (G) if different fees are proposed for different subareas of the Better Neighborhood Plan |
| 8 | Area, demonstrate that (i) there is a policy rationale for the distinction such as greater financial |
| 9 | feasibility of projects developed in a particular subarea or subareas;(ii) the fees are applied on a |
| 10 | Generally Applicable Basis, and (iii) none of the fees are greater than the maximum amount identified |
| 11 | in the New Development Impacts Analysis. |
| 12 | (H) Propose a method for adjusting the fee over time, based upon changes in a construction |
| 13 | cost index or other index appropriate for the fee to be adjusted as analyzed in the New Development |
| 14 | Impacts Analysis. |
| 15 | (I) Propose a process to update any Area-Specific Development Fees imposed pursuant to |
| 16 | this section to ensure that they remain sufficient to mitigate impacts identified in the New Development |
| 17 | Impacts Analysis while also providing sufficient economic incentive to encourage the development |
| 18 | permitted in the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan area. |
| 19 | (J) Propose a process to provide for in-kind provision of public benefits in lieu of payment of a |
| 20 | fee. Any proposal by the Planning Department regarding in-kind provision of public benefits shall |
| 21 | include a requirement that a project sponsor demonstrate to the Planning Director the following |
| 22 | elements: that the cost of the in-kind improvement is equal to or less than the proposed Area-Specific |
| 23 | Development Impact Fee; that the in-kind improvement will, to the same degree as the proposed Area- |
| 24 | Specific Development Impact Fee, mitigate the same impact for which the fee is proposed; include a |

| 1 | plan to ensure long-term retention of the in-kind improvement; and demonstrate that the in-kind public |
|----|---|
| 2 | benefits identified in a Better Neighborhood Public Improvements Plan would be more efficient than |
| 3 | payment of any Area-Specific Development Fees. The proposed process shall provide that where a |
| 4 | project sponsor can demonstrate to the Planning Director the above elements, the Planning Director |
| 5 | may approve such in-kind provision in-lieu of payment of any Area-Specific Development Fees. The |
| 6 | proposed process shall also provide that the Planning Director's determination shall be subject to |
| 7 | appeal to the Planning Commission pursuant to provisions set forth Section 312B. |
| 8 | (4) Incentives to allow greater density and/or height in exchange for additional affordable |
| 9 | units. As part of the Better Neighborhood Public Improvements Plan or Funding Strategy, the |
| 10 | Planning Department and Commission may propose zoning controls that allow exceptions to the base |
| 11 | density and/or height up to a higher density or height. If the Planning Commission recommends such |
| 12 | incentives to the Board of Supervisors, it shall demonstrate that the exceptions are permitted provided |
| 13 | that a percentage of the additional building area enabled by the additional density or height is used for |
| 14 | units affordable to persons of low and/or moderate income based on a formula that relates the cost of |
| 15 | making the units affordable to the value added to the development by the extra building area enabled |
| 16 | by the additional density or height. A proposed formula shall be designed to balance the need for |
| 17 | affordable housing with the need to provide sufficient economic incentive to encourage the development |
| 18 | permitted by the additional density or height and shall take into account any required public |
| 19 | contributions being proposed. The affordable units shall be in additional to those required by Section |
| 20 | 315 of the Planning Code. Any proposed zoning controls shall include the requirement that any |
| 21 | developer receiving a density bonus under such controls must expressly waive any right to seek a |
| 22 | density bonus under other programs set out in the Planning Code or Section 65915 of the California |
| 23 | Government Code, and must expressly waive any right to challenge any development impact fees or |
| 24 | other exactions imposed by the City on the property. |

| 1 | Sec. 36.8 BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS INTERAGENCY COMMITTEES (BNIC). |
|----|--|
| 2 | In order to facilitate the creation of an integrated public improvements planning and |
| 3 | implementation process, the development and implementation of each Public Improvements |
| 4 | Plan and Funding Strategy shall be participated in and coordinated by a Better |
| 5 | Neighborhoods Plus Interagency Committee (BNIC) comprised of representatives of the |
| 6 | departments and agencies whose responsibilities include provision of one or more of the |
| 7 | public improvements which are likely to be included in a Public Improvements Plan for that |
| 8 | neighborhood. In addition to the Planning Department and, as relevant, these agencies may |
| 9 | include, but are not limited to, the Municipal Transportation Authority, Municipal |
| 10 | Transportation Agency, Department of Public Works, Library Commission, Redevelopment |
| 11 | Agency, and Department of Recreation and Parks. The BNIC shall be chaired by the |
| 12 | Planning Director or his or her designee. |
| 13 | SEC. 36.89 BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS ENTITLEMENT PROCESS |
| 14 | (a) Site Specific Approvals. A Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and its implementing |
| 15 | documents shall seek to minimize uncertainty regarding approval of projects that conform to the Better |
| 16 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan by reducing conditional use approvals and discretionary review appeals |
| 17 | while still retaining the transparency of the process, including public notice and input. |
| 18 | (b) Discretionary Review. Provisions regarding discretionary review in Better |
| 19 | Neighborhood Plan areas are contained in Section 312A of the Planning Code. |
| 20 | (c) Limitations on Conditional Use Requirements in Better Neighborhood Plans. One of the |
| 21 | primary goals of the Better Neighborhoods Plus Planning and Implementation Process is to ensure |
| 22 | extensive community involvement coupled with in-depth professional analysis during the development |
| 23 | of the plan so that the maximum building envelope permitted and related physical design issues are |
| 24 | clearly understood by the community and defined in the plan so they do not need to be re-visited on a |
| | |

| 1 | project-by-project basis once a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan has been adopted by the Board of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Supervisors. This approach should increase certainty for both the affected neighborhood and project |
| 3 | sponsors. Accordingly, in Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan areas proposed by the Planning |
| 4 | Department to the Board of Supervisors, conditional use authorization shall not be required for |
| 5 | approval of features related to the physical volume or design of new structures, including height, bulk, |
| 6 | density and set-backs. Instead, conditional use authorizations shall be limited to addressing potential |
| 7 | use-based conflicts which are difficult to foresee or resolve through specific zoning language, such as |
| 8 | the location and size of traditional nuisance-generating activities including nighttime entertainment, |
| 9 | bars, liquor stores, auto repair and garage services, high-volume retail, commercial and institutional |
| 10 | uses where such new uses might present conflicts with existing neighboring uses. Nothing in this |
| 11 | section shall be construed to limit the requirement of conditional use authorizations for the elimination |
| 12 | or merger of existing residential units. |
| 13 | (d) Limitations on Exceptions and P.U.D.s in Better Neighborhoods Plus Plans. Better |
| 14 | Neighborhoods Plus Plans should minimize the number of project features that are subject to |
| 15 | exceptions or P.U.D.s, instead emphasizing specific rules as to what is and what is not required. |
| 16 | Specifically, the Plans proposed by the Planning Department to the Board of Supervisors shall not |
| 17 | permit the volume of a building otherwise permitted within the zoning envelope established by a plan's |
| 18 | height, bulk, setback and other dimensional requirements to be increased through exceptions and |
| 19 | variances. The use of P.U.D.s shall be limited to providing open space/site planning flexibility in |
| 20 | Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan areas and not for increases in density or developable area. |
| 21 | SEC. 36.910 BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS PLAN IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS. |
| 22 | The Planning Department shall follow the procedures described in this Section to monitor an |
| 23 | adopted Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. |
| 24 | SEC. 36. 910.1 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PIAC). |

| 1 | (a) Establishment. At the time of adoption of each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Planning Commission shall establish a Plan Implementation Advisory Committee (PIAC), consisting of |
| 3 | between 9 and 15 members. The Planning Commission shall appoint, as members of each PIAC, |
| 4 | interested property owners, residents, businesses, institutions, and community and neighborhood-based |
| 5 | organizations in the Better Neighborhood Plan area, or their representatives who, through their |
| 6 | participation in the public input process employed to prepare the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and |
| 7 | its implementing documents, or through other means, are familiar with their content, purposes and |
| 8 | objectives. In its appointments the Commission shall seek to achieve a balance among the various |
| 9 | interest groups. The Planning Commission may also appoint representatives from City departments to |
| 10 | sit as non-voting members of each PIAC. Each PIAC shall be subject to all applicable public records, |
| 11 | conflict of interest and public meeting laws. Once a year, at a regularly scheduled meeting, the |
| 12 | Planning Commission shall determine whether each existing PIAC should be dissolved or should |
| 13 | continue to exist given the current status of implementation of the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan in |
| 14 | that area. The Planning Commission, the Planning Department, and the Board of Supervisors shall |
| 15 | retain the ultimate authority and discretion over any decisions made regarding a Better Neighborhoods |
| 16 | Plus Plan as provided by the Charter, the Planning Code, the Administrative Code, and other City |
| 17 | laws and regulations. |
| 18 | (b) Authority. Each PIAC shall be advisory to the Planning Commission and Department |
| 19 | and to the Board of Supervisors. Its authority and responsibilities shall be limited to reviewing the |
| 20 | content of semi-annual State-of-the-Neighborhood Reports prepared pursuant to Sec. 36.9.2 and |
| 21 | providing advisory opinions to the City Planning Commission and the Planning Department regarding |
| 22 | implementation of the specific provisions of the Plan, including the Public Improvements Plan. Each |
| 23 | PIAC shall establish and publicize a time and date for its semi-annual meetings and shall carry out the |
| 24 | committee's administrative tasks, such as providing public notice of its meetings and keeping minutes. |

| 1 | Notice of PIAC meetings shall be mailed at least 10 days prior to the meeting to PIAC members and to |
|----|--|
| 2 | all individuals and organizations that have requested mailed notice, and shall be posted on the |
| 3 | Planning Department web site at least 10 days prior to the meeting. |
| 4 | SEC. 36910.2 STATE-OF-THE NEIGHBORHOOD REPORTS AND BUDGET REQUESTS |
| 5 | (a) Preparation. After the final adoption of a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, the |
| 6 | Planning Department shall prepare, on a plan-by-plan basis, a brief semi-annual report on the status |
| 7 | of implementation of each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan ("State-of-the-Neighborhood Reports"). |
| 8 | Each State-of-the-Neighborhood Report shall include information regarding the progress made to date |
| 9 | in implementing each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and its various components and a summary of |
| 10 | the types and character of individual development projects that have been approved during the report |
| 11 | period. Concurrent with preparation of the Plan itself, the Planning Department shall develop a set of |
| 12 | plan-based performance indicators quantifying and then monitoring progress towards implementation |
| 13 | of each of the Plan's primary goals or elements, especially those elements that address existing |
| 14 | deficiencies identified in the Neighborhood Baseline Needs Analysis and the specific mitigations |
| 15 | proposed for impacts identified in the New Development Impact Analysis. These performance |
| 16 | indicators in turn, shall be presented in a simple and uniform format in each State-of-the- |
| 17 | Neighborhood Report to permit comparison between consecutive reports over time. On an annual |
| 18 | basis, each State-of-the-Neighborhood Report shall include the proposed departmental budget for the |
| 19 | applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan for the coming fiscal year ("Annual Progress Report"). |
| 20 | The Planning Department shall consult with each department or agency having responsibility for |
| 21 | implementing a portion of a Public Improvements Plan and Funding Strategy of a Better |
| 22 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan to determine that department or agency's work plan and proposed budget |
| 23 | submission for the coming year and include that information in the Annual Progress Report. It shall be |
| 24 | |

| 1 | the responsibility of each participating City department or agency to cooperate with the Planning |
|----|--|
| 2 | Department in the preparation of the State-of-the-Neighborhood Report and related budget requests. |
| 3 | (b) Inclusion of Annual Budget Proposals. Each State-of-the-Neighborhood Report, |
| 4 | including the budget proposals of involved departments and agencies, shall be reviewed by the |
| 5 | appropriate PIAC at a semi-annual public meeting, as set forth in subsection 36.9.1(b). |
| 6 | (c) Annual State-of-the-Neighborhood Budget Hearing at Planning Commission. Prior to |
| 7 | the annual submission of Planning Department budget requests to the Mayor's Budget Office, the |
| 8 | Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing on all State-of-the-Neighborhood Reports, including |
| 9 | the annual budget submissions of those departments and agencies involved in implementation of every |
| 10 | active Better Neighborhood Plan. Notice of the hearing shall be provided at least 10 days prior to the |
| 11 | meeting as follows: mailed notice to all organizations and individuals who have specifically requested |
| 12 | mailed notice; mailed notice to members of the PIAC's; and published notice at least once in an official |
| 13 | newspaper of general circulation. This hearing may be held as part of the Planning Commission's |
| 14 | hearing on the Departmental budget request. |
| 15 | Sec. 36.11. EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVENESS OF CHAPTER PROVISIONS AND |
| 16 | APPLICABILITY TO OTHER AREAS. |
| 17 | Thirty months after the effective date of this ordinance the Planning Department shall |
| 18 | evaluate the utility and effectiveness of the provisions of this Chapter and recommend to the |
| 19 | Planning Commission, and the Planning Commission shall recommend to the Board of |
| 20 | Supervisors, any modifications that would improve their utility and effectiveness, recommend |
| 21 | any deletions of provisions found to be unworkable and recommend whether the process |
| 22 | provided for in this Chapter, however modified, should be applied to other areas of the City |
| 23 | and under what conditions. |
| 24 | |

| 1 | Section 3. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending |
|----|---|
| 2 | Section 3.4 to read as follows: |
| 3 | SEC. 3.4 INTRODUCTION AND PUBLICATION OF BUDGET. |
| 4 | The proposed budget and appropriation ordinance for all departments and offices for |
| 5 | each ensuing fiscal year, upon transmission to the Board of Supervisors by the Mayor by the |
| 6 | first working day in June of each year, shall be deemed to have been regularly introduced and |
| 7 | shall be published in a format which allows for the widest possible public understanding of the |
| 8 | resources, uses and proposed programs. To further enhance public understanding, the budget |
| 9 | format shall also include, for each City neighborhood for which there is an adopted Better |
| 10 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan pursuant to Chapter 36 of the Administrative Code, a consolidation of those |
| 11 | portions of the budgets of various departments and agencies that relate to implementation of each |
| 12 | Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan for the ensuing year. |
| 13 | Section 4. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section |
| 14 | 312A and 312B to read as follows: |
| 15 | SEC. 312A. DISCRETIONARY REVIEW WHERE A BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS PLAN HAS |
| 16 | BEEN ADOPTED. |
| 17 | (a) Where a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan has been adopted pursuant to Chapter 36 of |
| 18 | the Administrative Code, the scope of discretionary review of projects within that Better |
| 19 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan area shall be limited to: |
| 20 | (1) assuring that the project conforms with the appropriate Better Neighborhood Plan, its |
| 21 | implementing documents and any relevant Planning Code provisions, or |
| 22 | (2) requiring modifications in the building form and design to enhance a structure's |
| 23 | compatibility with adjacent properties and the surrounding neighborhood consistent with any design |
| 24 | |

| 1 | guidelines adopted by the Planning Commission and applicable in the appropriate Better |
|----|--|
| 2 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan area. |
| 3 | (b) When discretionary review of a project in a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan area |
| 4 | occurs pursuant to subsections (a)(1) and (2) above the Planning Commission may only require |
| 5 | modifications necessary to bring the project into conformity with the applicable Better Neighborhoods |
| 6 | Plus Plan, its implementing documents, any relevant Planning Code provisions and any design |
| 7 | guidelines adopted by the Planning Commission for the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan |
| 8 | area. The Planning Commission may not require a reduction in excess of 5% of the volume of the |
| 9 | building envelope of a structure that meets all applicable height, bulk, yard and setback requirements |
| 10 | established in the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, its implementing documents, and any |
| 11 | relevant Planning Code provisions, and in the case of projects containing residential uses, may not |
| 12 | require a net reduction in the number of dwelling units or a reduction in the proportion of family-sized |
| 13 | (two- or more bedroom) units. |
| 14 | SEC. 312B. PERMIT REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS WITHIN BETTER |
| 15 | NEIGHBORHOODS PLUS PLAN AREAS |
| 16 | (a) Purpose. The purpose of this Section is to establish procedures for reviewing building |
| 17 | permit applications for certain projects within an area for which a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan |
| 18 | has been adopted pursuant to Chapter 36 of the Administrative Code in order to determine |
| 19 | compatibility of the proposal with the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and for providing |
| 20 | notice to the applicable PIAC, property owners and residents neighboring the site of the proposed |
| 21 | project and to interested neighborhood organizations, so that concerns about a project may be |
| 22 | identified and resolved during the review of the permit. |
| 23 | (b) Applicability. For each Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan, the Planning Commission, |
| 24 | utilizing the guidance of the Planning Department, shall establish a threshold for projects, including |

| new construction and alteration of buildings, determined to be significant for that plan area and |
|--|
| therefore subject to the provisions of this section. The threshold for significance shall be based on the |
| specific principles of the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan and the unique aspects of its plan area, and |
| shall take into account factors such as height, bulk and density; pre-existing code provisions and pre- |
| existing thresholds for discretionary review; compatibility with the overall context of the project area; |
| and its implications on the implementation of the plan. All building permit applications for projects |
| within any area for which a Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan has been adopted pursuant to Chapter 36 |
| of the Administrative Code that meet or exceed the threshold of significance established by the |
| Planning Commission for that plan area shall be subject to the notification and review procedures |
| required by this Section instead of being subject to the procedures of Section 311 or 312. |
| Subsection 312B(e) regarding demolition permits and approval of replacement structures shall apply to |
| all R Districts. For the purposes of this Section, an alteration shall be defined as any change in use of |
| a building or an increase to the exterior dimensions of a building except those features listed in Section |
| 136(c)(1) through $136(c)(24)$ and $136(c)(26)$. Not hwithstanding the foregoing, any project that does |
| not meet the threshold for significance established by the Planning Commission for that plan area shall |
| be subject to the procedures of Section 311 or 312 as applicable. |
| (c) Building Permit Review for Compliance with Better Neighborhood Plan. Upon |
| acceptance of any building permit application subject to this Section, the Planning Department shall |
| review the proposed project for compliance with the applicable Better Neighborhood Plan, its |
| implementing documents, any relevant Planning Code provisions, and the applicable design guidelines |
| approved by the Planning Commission. The Planning Department shall design and establish a simple |
| and uniform report format to inform the public as to why a proposed project subject to this section |
| complies with the applicable Better Neighorhorhoods Plan, its implementing documents, any relevant |
| Planning Code provisions, and the applicable design guidelines approved by the Planning |
| |

| 1 | Commission. Applications determined not to be in compliance with the standards of Articles 1.2, 1.5, 2 |
|----|--|
| 2 | and 2.5 of the Planning Code, the applicable Better Neighborhood Plan, its implementing documents, |
| 3 | any relevant Planning Code provisions, and any design guidelines adopted for the applicable Better |
| 4 | Neighborhood Plan area by the Planning Commission, or with any applicable conditions of previous |
| 5 | approvals affecting the project or project site, shall be held until either the application is revised and |
| 6 | subsequently determined to be in compliance, is disapproved or a recommendation for cancellation is |
| 7 | sent to the Department of Building Inspection. |
| 8 | (1) Design Guidelines. The construction of new buildings and alteration of existing |
| 9 | buildings in the Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan area shall be consistent with the design policies and |
| 10 | guidelines of the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan as originally adopted and periodically |
| 11 | amended by the Planning Commission. The Director of Planning may require modifications to the |
| 12 | exterior of a proposed new building or proposed alteration of an existing building to bring it into |
| 13 | conformity with the design policies and guidelines of the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. |
| 14 | These modifications may include, but are not limited to, changes in siting, building envelope, scale, |
| 15 | texture of materials, detailing, frequency and size of openings, and landscaping, subject however, to the |
| 16 | limitations of Planning Code Sec. 312A. |
| 17 | (2) Preparation of a Better Neighborhood Project Compliance Report. The Planning |
| 18 | Department shall design and establish a simple and uniform report format to inform the public as to |
| 19 | why a proposed project subject to this section complies with the applicable provisions of the Better |
| 20 | Neighborhood Plan as codified in the Planning Code and the design policies and guidelines of the |
| 21 | applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan as originally adopted and periodically amended by the |
| 22 | City Planning Commission (the "Better Neighborhood Project Compliance Report"). This report shall |
| 23 | include a brief description of the proposed project in relation to any existing improvements on the site |
| 24 | provide a summary of the primary project features, including setback and yard area, building depth, |

| 1 | height and number of stories, number of units and number of parking spaces; describe how the |
|----|---|
| 2 | proposed project complies with relevant Planning Code sections; and explain how the specific project |
| 3 | contributes toward implementation of the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. The project |
| 4 | compliance report shall be accompanied by elevations and site plan of the proposed project including |
| 5 | the position of any adjacent buildings, and a graphic reference scale. |
| 6 | The project sponsor of any building permit application subject to this Section shall submit a |
| 7 | project compliance report to the Planning Department at the time of application. The Planning |
| 8 | Department will review each report, and make a determination that an application is in compliance |
| 9 | with the applicable Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan. Where a report is incomplete, incorrect, or |
| 10 | shows noncompliance, the project sponsor shall make revisions as directed by the Planning |
| 11 | Department until it can be determined that the application is in compliance with the Plan. |
| 12 | (3) Neighborhood Notification. Upon determination that an application is in compliance |
| 13 | with the development standards of the Planning Code, the Planning Department shall cause a notice to |
| 14 | be posted on the site pursuant to rules established by the Zoning Administrator and shall cause copies |
| 15 | of the Better Neighborhood Project Compliance Report to be sent in the manner described below. This |
| 16 | notice shall be in addition to any notices required by the Building Code. This notice shall also describe |
| 17 | the project review process and shall set forth the mailing date of the notice and the expiration date of |
| 18 | the notification period. Written notice shall be mailed to the notification group which shall include the |
| 19 | project sponsor, the relevant PIAC, any relevant neighborhood organizations as described in |
| 20 | Subparagraph $311(c)(2)(C)$ below, all individuals having made a written request for notification for a |
| 21 | specific parcel or parcels pursuant to Planning Code Section 351 and all owners and, to the extent |
| 22 | practical, occupants, of properties in the notification area. |
| 23 | (A) The notification area shall be all properties within 150 feet of the subject lot in the same |
| 24 | Assessor's Block and on the block face across from the subject lot. When the subject lot is a corner lot, |

| 1 | the notification area shall further include all property on both block faces across from the subject lot, |
|----|---|
| 2 | and the corner property diagonally across the street. |
| 3 | (B) The latest City-wide Assessor's roll for names and addresses of owners shall be used for |
| 4 | said notice. |
| 5 | (C) The Planning Department shall maintain a list, available for public review, of |
| 6 | neighborhood organizations which have indicated an interest in specific properties or areas. The |
| 7 | organizations having indicated an interest in the subject lot or its area, shall be included in the |
| 8 | notification group for the proposed project. |
| 9 | (3) Notification Period. All building permit applications shall be held for a period of 30 |
| 10 | calendar days from the date of the mailed notice to allow review by residents and owners of |
| 11 | neighboring properties and by neighborhood groups. |
| 12 | (4) Elimination of Duplicate Notice. The notice provisions of this Section may be waived by |
| 13 | the Zoning Administrator for building permit applications for projects that have been, or before |
| 14 | approval will be, the subject of a duly noticed public hearing before the Planning Commission or |
| 15 | Zoning Administrator, provided that the nature of work for which the building permit application is |
| 16 | required is both substantially included in the hearing notice and is the subject of the hearing. |
| 17 | (d) Requests for Planning Commission Review. A request for the Planning Commission to |
| 18 | exercise its discretionary review powers as provided in Planning Code Section 312A over a specific |
| 19 | building permit application shall be considered by the Planning Commission if received by the |
| 20 | Planning Department no later than 5:00 p.m. of the last day of the notification period as described |
| 21 | under Subsection (c)(3) above, subject to guidelines adopted by the Planning Commission. |
| 22 | The project sponsor of a building permit application may request discretionary review by the |
| 23 | Planning Commission to resolve conflicts between the Director of Planning and the project sponsor |
| 24 | |

| 1 | concerning requested modifications to comply with design guidelines adopted for the Better |
|----|--|
| 2 | Neighborhoods Plus Plan area. |
| 3 | (1) Scheduling of Hearing. The Zoning Administrator shall set a time for hearing requests |
| 4 | for discretionary review by the Planning Commission within a reasonable period. |
| 5 | (2) Notice. Mailed notice of the discretionary review hearing by the Planning Commission |
| 6 | shall be given not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the notification group as |
| 7 | described in Paragraph 311(c)(2) above. Posted notice of the hearing shall be made as provided under |
| 8 | Planning Code Section 306.8. |
| 9 | (e) Demolition of Dwellings, Approval of Replacement Structure Required. |
| 10 | (1) Unless the building is determined to pose a serious and imminent hazard as defined in |
| 11 | the Building Code, an application authorizing demolition in any Better Neighborhoods Plus Plan area |
| 12 | of a building containing one or more dwelling units or determined to be historically or architecturally |
| 13 | significant in a new or existing survey adopted or incorporated as part of the applicable Better |
| 14 | Neighborhood Plan, or if such a survey has not been adopted as part of the applicable Better |
| 15 | Neighborhood Plan area, any structure determined to be a historic resource pursuant to the Planning |
| 16 | Department's guidelines, CEQA Review Procedures for Historic Resources, shall not be approved and |
| 17 | issued until the Planning Department has granted final approval of a building permit for construction |
| 18 | of the replacement building. A building permit is finally approved if the Board of Appeals has taken |
| 19 | final action for approval on an appeal of the issuance or denial of the permit or if the permit has been |
| 20 | issued and the time for filing an appeal with the Board has lapsed with no appeal filed. |
| 21 | (2) The demolition of any building whether or not an historic resource may be approved |
| 22 | administratively where the Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the |
| 23 | Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation with the Zoning |
| 24 | Administrator, that an imminent safety hazard exists, and the Director of the Department of Building |

| 1 | <u>Inspection determines that demolition or extensive alteration of the structure is the only feasible means</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | to secure the public safety. |
| 3 | Section 5. The Planning Department concluded environmental review of this ordinance |
| 4 | pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Documentation of that review is on file |
| 5 | with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No |
| 6 | |
| 7 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney |
| 8 | |
| 9 | By: SUSAN CLEVELAND-KNOWLES |
| 10 | Deputy City Attorney |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |