This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I'm writing in regard to File No. 230663, the proposed ordinance to permanently opt San Francisco out of AB 1416's requirement to show on the ballot a short list of the supporters and opponents of each local ballot measure.

I have been serving as the Board's appointee to the Elections Commission for the past nine years, though I'm writing this email as an individual.

I encourage you to at least consider limiting the opt-out in the ordinance to the elections covered by the proposed budget, which are the 2024 elections. This way, greater voter transparency can remain the City's baseline requirement, and San Francisco can look for ways to bridge any budgetary or technical gaps for the next time. This would also let the City see how the new requirement works for state measures before making any decision on local measures.

For comparison, an April 19 Mercury News article reported that Santa Clara County, Los Angeles County, and Orange County have said they plan to remain opted in.

AB 1416 (2021-22) was supported by a long list of good-government groups, including California Common Cause, the Western Center on Law & Poverty, the League of Women Voters of California, California Environmental Voters, CALPIRG, and California Clean Money Campaign, as well as by our own state legislators.

AB 1416's author Assemblymember Santiago acknowledged in the bill analyses that while the voter guide contains detailed information about the measures, this information isn't necessarily easy to access:

Although voters can look to the voter information guide to decipher the facts on ballot measures, this document can be long and confusing for voters to navigate.

For example, in the case of the November 8, 2022 election, the supporters and opponents of San Francisco's 14 local measures were spread and interspersed across 130 pages of San Francisco's 256-page booklet (pp. 63 - 192).

For your convenience, I'm attaching a 1-page mock-up that Director Arntz included in his slide presentation to the Board's Budget and Appropriations Committee on June 14, as I don't think this slide was posted online. It illustrates how ballot measures might look on the ballot with and without opting out.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Chris Jerdonek

[1] AB-1416 (2021-22): https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220AB1416

[2] 4/19/2023 Mercury News article: <u>https://www.mercurynews.com/2023/04/19/contra-costa-will-not-list-supporters-and-opponents-on-election-ballots/</u>

## Ordinance: Municipal Elections Code

Ordinance amending the Municipal Elections Code to opt out of state law that would require the names of supporters and opponents of a local ballot measure to be listed in the ballot statement or question for the measure

Prior to State Law

31 REFERENDUM ON 2020 LAW THAT WOULD PROHIBIT THE RETAIL SALE OF CE TOBACCO PRODUCTS. A "Yes" vote approves, and a "No" vote rejects, a 2020 law prohi	
flavored tobacco products. Fiscal Impact: Decreased state tobacco tax revenues ranging t dollars annually to around \$100 million annually.	
REPERENDUN SA 2020 BATAS NA MAGBABAWAL SA RETAIL NA PAGBEBENTANG NA PRODUKTONG TABAKO NA MAY FLAVOR. Nag-sapruba ang botong 'Oo' at tuma sa isang 2020 batas na nacbabawal sa retail na pagbebenta ng ilang partikular na produkt Epekto sa Pamanlapit. Mas kauniting ita sa buwis sa tabako ng estado na mula	tanggi ang botong "Hindi ong tabako na may flavor
sampu-sampung milyong dolyar bawat taon hanggang sa humigit-kumulang \$100 milyon taun-taon.	YES / 00 🔿
	NO / HINDI 🔘
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS / MGA PROPOSISYON NG LUNGSOD AT COUNTY	
A Shall the City amend the Charter to allow City employees who retired before Novembe supplemental cost of living adjustment to their pensions even if the retirement system is no the Retirement Board to have an individual employment contract with its executive director	t fully funded and allow
Dapat bang amyendahan ng Lungsod ang Tsarter upang mapahintulutan ang mga empley nagretiro bago ang Nobyembre 6, 1996, na makatanggap ng karagdagang pera sa kanilan makaayon sa halaga ng pamumuhay, kahit na hindi lubusang napopondohan ang sistema s	g pensiyon at nang
nagretiro bago ang Nobyembre 6, 1996, na makatanggap ng karagdagang pera sa kanilan	g pensiyon at nang

State Measures Only



## State and Local Measures

flavored tobacco products. Fiscal Impact: Decreased state tobacco tax rev dolars annually to around \$100 million annually. Supporters: Kate Font, D Presidert. Heart Health CA: Jorge Reves. Chair. Cancer Action Network O	irector, Pediatricians CA; Kim Ryder,
Finance League; Josh Courts, President, Independent Commerce Counsel	
REPERENDUM SA 2020 BATAS NA MAGBABAWAL SA RETAIL NA PA NA PRODUKTONG TABAKO NA MAY FLAVOR. Nag-aapruba ang boto sa isang 2020 batas na nagbabawal sa retail na pagbe6enta ng ilang parti	
Epekto sa Pananalapi: Mas kaunting kita sa buwis sa tabako ng estado r bawat taon hanggarg sa humigit-kumulang Si100 milyon taun-taon. Mga Ta Pediatricians CA; Kim Ryder, Piesidente, Heart Health CA; Jorge Reyes, T kalaban: Mike Goa, Direktor, CA Finance League; Josh Courts, Pangulo, I Hao. CFO. Taxoavers Tocether	a mula sampu-sampung milyong dolyar gasuporta: Kate Font, Direktor, agapanculo, Cancer Action Network Mg
nalere, radagee regener	YES/ OO 🔘
	NO / HINDI 🔘
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS / MGA PROPOSISYON NG LUNGSOD AT COUN	TY
A Shall the City amend the Charter to allow City employees who retired b	
supplemental cost of living adjustment to their pensions even if the retriem- the Retirmem Board to have an individual employment contract with ites Supervisors: Safai, Walton, Chan, Dorsey, Melgar, Mandelman, Peskin, Pr CSFNI; CRS; District 11 Lasque; EMA; Save Our Armazing City; OMI Cultu Dapat bang amyendahan ng Lungsod ang Tsarter upang mapahintulutar magretior bag: ang Nobyembre 6, 1996, na makatanggan pa karagdaga makaayon sa halaga ng pamumuhay, kahit na hindi lubusang napopondoh paintulutan ang Retirement Board na magkaroon ng pang-indibidan a te	ecutive director? Supporters: eston, Ronen, and Stefani Opponents: ral Participation Project; Harold Chin e ang mga empleyado ng Lungsod, na ng pera sa kanilang pensiyon at nang an ang sistema para sa pagreretiro, at
the Retirement Board to have an individual employment contract with its ex Supervisors: Safai, Walton, Chan, Dorsey, Melgar, Mandelman, Peskin, Pr CSFNI; CRS; District 11 League; EMA; Save Our Amazing City; OMI Cult Dapat bang amyendahan ng Lungsod ang Tsarter upang mapahintulutar nagretiro bago ang Nobyembre 6, 1996, na makatanggap ng karagdaga makaayon sa halaga ng pamumuhay, kahit na hindi lubusang napopondoh pahintulutan ang Retirement Board na magkaroon ng pang-indibidwal na k ehekutibong direktor nito? Mga Tagasuporta: Supervisors: Safal, Walton, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, and Stefani Mga Kalaban: CSFNI; CRS; Liga ng	ecutive director? Supporters: eston, Ronen, and Stafani Opportents: and Participation Project; Harold Chin ang mga empleyado ng Lungsod, na ng pera sa kanilang pensiyon at nang ang sistem para sa pagreetiro, at ontrata sa pag-eempleyo sa Chan, Dorsey, Melgar, Mandelman, Jistrito 11; EWIA; Iligtas ang Aming
the Retirement Board to have an individual employment contract with its ex Supervisors: Safal, Walton, Chan, Dcrsey, Melgar, Mandelman, Peskin, Pr CSFNI; CRS; District 11 League; EMIA; Save Our Amazing City; OMI Cultu Dapat bang amyendahan ng Lungsod ang Tsarter upang mapahintulutar nagretiro bago ang bobyembre 6, 1996, na makatanggap ng karagdaga makaayon sa haliga ng pamumuhay, kahit na hindi lubusang napopondoh	ecutive director? Supporters: eston, Ronen, and Stafani Opportents: and Participation Project; Harold Chin ang mga empleyado ng Lungsod, na ng pera sa kanilang pensiyon at nang ang sistem para sa pagreetiro, at ontrata sa pag-eempleyo sa Chan, Dorsey, Melgar, Mandelman, Jistrito 11; EWIA; Iligtas ang Aming

From:	Hickey, Jacqueline (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: OPPOSING Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #8 [Municipal Elections Code - Supporters
	and Opponents in Ballot Questions for Local Measures] File #230663
Date:	Wednesday, June 14, 2023 11:08:36 AM

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2023 10:38 PM

**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-

legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** OPPOSING Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #8 [Municipal Elections Code - Supporters and Opponents in Ballot Questions for Local Measures] File #230663

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## TO: Budget and Appropriations Committee members and Board of Supervisors members

I am opposing the Mayor's legislation to opt out of AB1416 (Santiago) (2021 - 2022).

Eileen Boken, President

Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK)\*

\*For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone