<b>-:</b> 12	a Mirrosala ave		
ΓII€	Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)		
	Grant Information Form (Effective March 2005)		
	rpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and pend grant funds.		
The	e following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:		
1.	I. Grant Title: Koshland Park Improvements		
2.	2. Department: Recreation and Park Department		
3.	Contact Person: Tamar Barlev Telephone: (415) 831-6842		
4.	Grant Approval Status (check one):		
	[x] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved		
5	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,625,000		
	Matching Funds Required: \$0 Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):		
Jev	Grant Source Agency: Trust for Public Land & Theodore & Frances Geballe Philanthropic Fund of the vish Federation Bay Area Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):		
Fur app incl	Proposed Grant Project Summary: Trust for Public Land and the Theodore & Frances Geballe Philanthropic and of the Jewish Federation Bay Area, is proposing to grant cash and in-kind design services valued at proximately \$1,625,000 for the design, installation, repair and construction of improvements to Koshland Park luding ADA pathway improvements, new planting areas, terracing, new and replacement fencing, resurfacing of basketball court and children's play area, and replacement and refurbishing of park furniture.		
9.	Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:		
Sta	ort-Date: upon approval by the Board of Supervisors End-Date: upon notice of substantial completion		
10a	a. Amount budgeted for contractual services:		
b	b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes		
c	c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements? N/A		
c	d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A		
11a	a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No		
	o1. If yes, how much? \$ o2. How was the amount calculated?		

<ul><li>c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?</li><li>[] Not allowed by granting agency</li><li>[] Other (please explain):</li></ul>	[X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services	
c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?		
12. Any other significant grant requirements or co	mments:	
**Disability Access Checklist***		
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check a	Il that apply):	
[x] Existing Site(s) [] Existing Structure [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Stru [] New Site(s) [] New Structure(s)		
concluded that the project as proposed will be in cother Federal, State and local access laws and required.	e Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all gulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with exceptions, as described in the comments section:	
<ol> <li>Comments: Compliance includes but is not limited to:         <ol> <li>Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the RPD Disability Access Coordinator (DAC) or the DPW DAC.</li> <li>Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices, and procedures.</li> <li>Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner to ensure communication access.</li> </ol> </li> <li>RPD DAC project plan review, approval, and final completion sign-off required.</li> </ol>		
Departmental Disability Access Coordinator: John  Date Reviewed: 11/5/2025	Romaidis, CASp (Name) Docusigned by:  John Romaidis	
	~84 <b>(Signature)</b>	
Department Approval:  Phillip A. Ginsburg (Name)  AF27F6596709494 (Signature)	General Manager (Title)	