

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
MITIGATION MEASURES				
<i>Cultural Resources (Archeological Resources) Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-2a: Protect Historical Resources from Adjacent Construction Activities</p> <p>The project sponsor of a development project in the Draft Plan Area and on the Adjacent Parcels shall consult with Planning Department environmental planning/preservation staff to determine whether adjacent or nearby buildings constitute historical resources that could be adversely affected by construction-generated vibration. For purposes of this measure, nearby historic buildings shall include those within 100 feet of a construction site if pile driving would be used in a subsequent development project; otherwise, it shall include historic buildings within 25 feet if heavy equipment would be used on the subsequent development project. (No measures need be applied if no heavy equipment would be employed.) If one or more historical resources is identified that could be adversely affected, the project sponsor shall incorporate into construction specifications for the proposed project a requirement that the construction contractor(s) use all feasible means to avoid damage to adjacent and nearby historic buildings. Such methods may include maintaining a safe distance between the construction site and the historic buildings (as identified by the Planning Department preservation staff), using construction techniques that reduce vibration, appropriate excavation shoring methods to prevent movement of adjacent structures, and providing adequate security to minimize risks of vandalism and fire.</p>	<p>Project sponsor; contractor; and Planning Department’s Environmental Review Officer (ERO).</p>	<p>Establish means to be used and include in construction specifications prior to issuance of building permits for demolition or construction.</p>	<p>Project sponsor; construction contractor(s).</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-2b: Construction Monitoring Program for Historical Resources</p> <p>For those historical resources identified in Mitigation Measure M-CP-2a, and where heavy equipment would be used on a subsequent development project, the project sponsor of such a project shall undertake a monitoring program to minimize damage to adjacent historic buildings and to ensure that any such damage is documented and repaired. The monitoring program, which shall apply within 100 feet where pile driving would be used and within 25 feet otherwise, shall include the following components. Prior to the start of any ground-disturbing activity, the project sponsor shall engage a historic architect or qualified historic preservation professional to undertake a pre-construction survey of historical resource(s) identified by the San Francisco Planning Department within 125 feet of planned construction to document and photograph the buildings of existing conditions. Based on the construction and</p>	<p>Project sponsor to retain appropriately qualified consultant to carry out pre-construction survey, and retain an appropriately qualified consultant to install and manage monitoring equipment, if required.</p>	<p>Prior to and during construction, if required.</p>	<p>Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist shall review and approve construction monitoring program. Project sponsor and/or consultant to submit monthly reports during excavation, foundation and exterior construction activities.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
 850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
 REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>condition of the resource(s), the consultant shall also establish a maximum vibration level that shall not be exceeded at each building, based on existing condition, character-defining features, soils conditions, and anticipated construction practices (a common standard is 0.2 inch per second, peak particle velocity). To ensure that vibration levels do not exceed the established standard, the project sponsor shall monitor vibration levels at each structure and shall prohibit vibratory construction activities that generate vibration levels in excess of the standard. Should vibration levels be observed in excess of the standard, construction shall be halted and alternative construction techniques put in practice, to the extent feasible. (For example, pre-drilled piles could be substituted for driven piles, if feasible based on soils conditions; smaller, lighter equipment might be able to be used in some cases.) The consultant shall conduct regular periodic inspections of each building during ground-disturbing activity on the project site. Should damage to either building occur, the building(s) shall be remediated to its pre-construction condition at the conclusion of ground-disturbing activity on the site.</p>				

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-3: Archeological Testing</p> <p>Based on a reasonable presumption that archeological resources may be present within the project site, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of an archeological consultant from the rotational Department Qualified Archeological Consultants List (QACL) maintained by the Planning Department archeologist. The project sponsor shall contact the Department archeologist to obtain the names and contact information for the next three archeological consultants on the QACL. The archeological consultant shall prepare an Addendum to the Vanished Community: Archeological Research Design and Treatment Plan for the SF-80 Bayshore Viaduct Seismic Retrofit Project (J. McIlroy & M. Praetzellis (ed. 1997).</p> <p>The Addendum to the Archeological Research Design and Treatment Plan (ARDTP) shall have the following content:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Summary: Description of subsurface effect of the proposed project and of previous soils-disturbing activities; 2) Historical Development: If demographic data for the project site is absent in the discussion in the ARDTP, the addendum shall include new demographic data regarding former site occupants; 3) Identification of potential archeological resources: Discussion of any identified potential prehistoric or historical archeological resources; 4) Integrity and Significance: Eligibility of identified expected resources for listing to the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR); Identification of applicable Research Themes/Questions (in the ARDTP) that would be addressed by the expected archeological resources that are identified; 5) Impacts of Proposed Project; 6) Potential Soils Hazards: Update discussion for proposed project; 7) Archeological Testing Plan (if archeological testing is determined warranted): the Archeological Testing Plan (ATP) shall include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A) Proposed archeological testing strategies and their justification B) Expected archeological resources C) For historic archeological resources 	<p>Project sponsor to retain qualified professional archeologist from the pool of archeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department.</p>	<p>Prior to commencement of demolition and soil-disturbing activities, submittal of all plans and reports for approval by the ERO. Considered complete when Project sponsor retains a qualified professional archeological consultant.</p>	<p>The archeological consultant shall undertake an archeological testing program as specified herein. (See below regarding archeological consultant's reports)</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
 850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
 REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Historic address or other location identification b) Archeological property type D) For all archeological resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Estimate depth below the surface b) Expected integrity c) Preliminary assessment of eligibility to the CRHR E) ATP Map <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Location of expected archeological resources b) Location of expected project sub-grade impacts c) Areas of prior soils disturbance d) Archeological testing locations by type of testing e) Base map: 1886/7 Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. map <p>The archeological consultant’s work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure at the direction of the ERO. All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less than significant level potential effects on a significant archeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Sect. 15064.5 (a)(c).</p>				

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><i>Consultation with Descendant Communities:</i> On discovery of an archeological site¹ associated with descendant Native Americans, the Overseas Chinese, or other potentially interested descendant group an appropriate representative² of the descendant group and the ERO shall be contacted. The representative of the descendant group shall be given the opportunity to monitor archeological field investigations of the site and to offer recommendations to the ERO regarding appropriate archeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archeological site. A copy of the Final Archeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.</p>	<p>Project sponsor/archeological consultant</p>	<p>For the duration of soil-disturbing activities. Considered complete upon submittal of Final Archeological Resources Report.</p>	<p>Project sponsor/archeological consultant shall contact the ERO and descendant group representative upon discovery of an archeological site associated with descendant Native Americans or the Overseas Chinese. The representative of the descendant group shall be given the opportunity to monitor archeological field investigations on the site and consult with the ERO regarding appropriate archeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archeological site. Archeological consultant shall prepare a Final Archeological Resources Report in consultation with the ERO. A copy of this report shall be provided to the ERO and the</p>	

¹ The term “archeological site” is intended here to minimally include any archeological deposit, feature, burial, or evidence of burial.

² An “appropriate representative” of the descendant group is here defined to mean, in the case of Native Americans, any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List for the City and County of San Francisco maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission and in the case of the Overseas Chinese, the Chinese Historical Society of America. An appropriate representative of other descendant groups should be determined in consultation with the San Francisco Planning Department archeologist.

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><i>Archeological Testing Program.</i> The archeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval an archeological testing plan (ATP). The archeological testing program shall be conducted in accordance with the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property types of the expected archeological resource(s) that potentially could be adversely affected by the proposed project, the testing method to be used, and the locations recommended for testing. The purpose of the archeological testing program will be to determine to the extent possible the presence or absence of archeological resources and to identify and to evaluate whether any archeological resource encountered on the site constitutes an historical resource under CEQA.</p> <p>At the completion of the archeological testing program, the archeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If based on the archeological testing program the archeological consultant finds that significant archeological resources may be present, the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archeological testing, archeological monitoring, and/or an archeological data recovery program. No archeological data recovery shall be undertaken without the prior approval of the ERO or the Planning Department archeologist. If the ERO determines that a significant archeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either:</p> <p>A) The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archeological resource; or</p> <p>B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archeological resource is of greater interpretive than research</p>	<p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.</p> <p>Project sponsor, and archeological consultant, in consultation with the ERO.</p>	<p>Prior to any excavation, site preparation or construction and prior to testing, an ATP is to be submitted to and approved by the ERO. Considered complete with approval of ATP by ERO and on finding by ERO that ATP is implemented.</p> <p>At the completion of the archeological testing program. Considered complete on submittal to ERO of report on ATP findings.</p>	<p>representative of the descendant group.</p> <p>Archeological consultant to undertake ATP in consultation with ERO.</p> <p>Archeological consultant to submit results of testing, and if significant archeological resources may be present, in consultation with ERO, determine whether additional measures are warranted. If significant archeological resources are present and may be adversely affected, project sponsor, at its discretion, may elect to redesign the project, or implement data recovery program, unless</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.</p> <p><i>Archeological Monitoring Program.</i> If the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant determines that an archeological monitoring program shall be implemented the archeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to any project-related soils disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine what project activities shall be archeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils- disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work, driving of piles (foundation, shoring, etc.), site remediation, etc., shall require archeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archeological resources and to their depositional context; ▪ The archeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archeological resource; ▪ The archeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule agreed upon by the archeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in consultation with project archeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archeological deposits; ▪ The archeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect soil samples and artifactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis; ▪ If an intact archeological deposit is encountered, all soils-disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archeological monitor shall be 	<p>Project sponsor, and archeological consultant, in consultation with the ERO.</p>	<p>The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet prior to commencement of soils-disturbing activities. If ERO determines that archeological monitoring is necessary, monitor throughout all soils-disturbing activities. Considered complete on approval of AMP by ERO; submittal of report regarding findings of AMP; and finding by ERO that AMP is implemented.</p>	<p>ERO determines the archeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use is feasible.</p> <p>If required, archeological consultant to prepare Archeological Monitoring Program in consultation with the ERO.</p> <p>Project sponsor, project archeological consultant, archeological monitor, and project sponsor’s contractors shall implement the AMP, if required by the ERO.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
 850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
 REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/pile driving/construction activities and equipment until the deposit is evaluated. If in the case of pile driving activity (foundation, shoring, etc.), the archeological monitor has cause to believe that the pile driving activity may affect an archeological resource, the pile driving activity shall be terminated until an appropriate evaluation of the resource has been made in consultation with the ERO. The archeological consultant shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archeological deposit. The archeological consultant shall make a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archeological deposit, and present the findings of this assessment to the ERO.</p> <p>Whether or not significant archeological resources are encountered, the archeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings of the monitoring program to the ERO.</p> <p><i>Archeological Data Recovery Program.</i> The archeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accord with an archeological data recovery plan (ADRP). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP prior to preparation of a draft ADRP. The archeological consultant shall submit a draft ADRP to the ERO. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archeological resource is expected to contain. That is, the ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, should be limited to the portions of the historical property that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archeological resources if nondestructive methods are practical.</p> <p>The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Field Methods and Procedures.</i> Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations. • <i>Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis.</i> Description of selected cataloguing 	<p>Project sponsor and project archeological consultant.</p> <p>Project sponsor and project archeological consultant, in consultation with ERO.</p>	<p>After completion of excavation. Considered complete on submittal to ERO of report on monitoring program.</p> <p>If there is a determination by the ERO that an ADRP is required. Considered complete on submittal of ADRP to ERO.</p>	<p>Submit report on findings of monitoring program.</p> <p>If required, archeological consultant to prepare an ADRP in consultation with the ERO.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>system and artifact analysis procedures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Discard and Deaccession Policy.</i> Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and deaccession policies. • <i>Interpretive Program.</i> Consideration of an on-site/off-site public interpretive program during the course of the archeological data recovery program. • <i>Security Measures.</i> Recommended security measures to protect the archeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities. • <i>Final Report.</i> Description of proposed report format and distribution of results. • <i>Curation.</i> Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities. <p><i>Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects.</i> The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco and in the event of the Coroner’s determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) (Pub. Res. Code Sec. 5097.98). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, ERO, and MLD shall have up to but not beyond six days of discovery to make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of, human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects (CEQA Guidelines. Sec. 15064.5(d)) with appropriate dignity. The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects. Nothing in existing State regulations or in this mitigation measure compels the project sponsor and the ERO to accept recommendations of an MLD. The archeological consultant shall retain possession of any Native American human remains and associated or unassociated burial objects until completion of any scientific analyses of the human remains or</p>	<p>Project sponsor and archeological consultant, in consultation with the San Francisco Coroner, NAHC and MLD.</p>	<p>In the event human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered. Considered complete on notification of the San Francisco County Coroner and NAHC, if necessary.</p>	<p>Archeological consultant/ archeological monitor/project sponsor or contractor to contact San Francisco County Coroner. Implement regulatory requirements, if applicable, regarding discovery of Native American human remains and associated/unassociated funerary objects.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>objects as specified in the treatment agreement if such as agreement has been made or, otherwise, as determined by the archeological consultant and the ERO.</p> <p><i>Final Archeological Resources Report.</i> The archeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archeological resource and describes the archeological and historical research methods employed in the archeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the final report.</p> <p>Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound, one unbound and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p>	<p>Project sponsor and archeological consultant, in consultation with ERO</p> <p>Archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.</p>	<p>If applicable, after completion of archeological data recovery, inventorying, analysis and interpretation.</p> <p>Considered complete on submittal of FARR and approval by ERO and written certification to ERO that required FARR distribution has been completed.</p>	<p>If applicable, archeological consultant to submit a FARR to ERO.</p> <p>Once approved, archeological consultant to distribute FARR.</p>	
Noise Mitigation Measures				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-2: General Construction Noise Control Measures</p> <p>To ensure that project noise from construction activities is minimized to the maximum extent feasible, the project’s construction contractor(s) shall undertake the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project’s general contractor shall be required to ensure that equipment and trucks used for project construction utilize the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures and acoustically-attenuating shields or shrouds, wherever feasible). The project’s general contractor shall be required to locate stationary noise sources (such as compressors) as far from adjacent or nearby sensitive receptors as possible, to muffle such noise sources, and to construct barriers around such sources and/or the construction site, which could reduce construction noise by as much as 5 dBA. To further reduce noise, the contractor shall locate stationary equipment in pit areas or excavated areas, 	<p>Project sponsor and project general contractor(s).</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permit, incorporate practices identified in M-NO-2 into the construction contract agreement documents. Considered complete upon submittal of contract documents incorporating identified practices.</p> <p>Implement measures</p>	<p>Project sponsor to submit to Planning Department and DBI documentation designating an on-site construction complaint and enforcement manager and protocol for complaints pertaining to noise.</p> <p>Project sponsor to provide copies of contract documents to Planning Department that show</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>if feasible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project’s general contractor shall be required to use impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) that are hydraulically- or electrically-powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically-powered tools. Where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used, along with external noise jackets on the tools, which could reduce noise levels by as much as 10 dBA. The following noise control requirements in specifications provided to construction contractors. Such requirements could include, but not be limited to, performing all work in a manner that minimizes noise disruption to the courts, offices, and various commercial and industrial uses to the extent feasible; use of equipment with effective mufflers; undertaking the most noisy activities during times of least disturbance to surrounding residents and occupants, as feasible; and selecting haul routes that avoid residential buildings inasmuch as such routes are otherwise feasible. Prior to the issuance of building permits, along with the submission of construction documents, the project’s general contractor shall submit to the Planning Department and Department of Building Inspection (DBI) a list of measures to respond to and track complaints pertaining to construction noise. These measures shall include (1) a procedure and phone numbers for notifying DBI, the Department of Public Health, and the Police Department (during regular construction hours and off-hours); (2) a sign posted on-site describing noise complaint procedures and a complaint hotline number that shall be answered at all times during construction; (3) designation of an on-site construction complaint and enforcement manager for the project; and (4) notification of Hall of Justice courts and offices on the east side of the building as well as offices and residences within 100 feet of the project construction area at least 30 days in advance of extreme noise-generating activities (defined as activities generating noise levels of 90 dBA or greater) about the estimated duration of the activity. 		<p>throughout all phases of construction. At least 30 days prior to any extreme noise-generating activities, the project sponsor shall notify building owner and occupants within 100 feet of the project construction area of the expected dates, hours, and duration of such activities. Considered complete upon completion of construction.</p>	<p>construction contractor agreement with specified practices identified.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-3: Incorporate Noise Attenuation Measures to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels</p> <p>Noise attenuation measures shall be incorporated into the building design to ensure that interior noise levels within the podular housing units do not exceed 45 dBA (L_{dn}) and are maintained at 50 dBA (L_{dn}) or below within the building’s classrooms and offices. Noise attenuation measures that could be incorporated into the building design to ensure that these performance standards can be met include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Install fixed, double-paned windows, • Provide air space between exterior wall and interior walls, • Design ventilation systems (including vents) to achieve interior noise levels of 45 dBA (L_{dn}), and • Increase insulation of exterior walls. 	<p>Project sponsor, qualified acoustical consultant, and project general contractor(s).</p>	<p>Design measures that meet interior noise level standards to be incorporated into building design and evaluated prior to issuance of a final building permit and certificate of occupancy.</p>	<p>Planning Department and Department of Building Inspection.</p>	
<i>Air Quality Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-AQ-4: Best Available Control Technology for Diesel Generators</p> <p>The project sponsor shall ensure that the backup diesel generator meet or exceed one of the following emission standards for particulate matter: (1) Tier 4 certified engine, or (2) Tier 2 or Tier 3 certified engine that is equipped with a California Air Resources Board (ARB) Level 3 Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS). A non-verified diesel emission control strategy may be used if the filter has the same particulate matter reduction as the identical ARB verified model and if the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) approves of its use. The project sponsor shall submit documentation of compliance with the BAAQMD New Source Review permitting process (Regulation 2, Rule 2, and Regulation 2, Rule 5) and the emission standard requirement of this mitigation measure to the Planning Department for review and approval prior to issuance of a permit for a backup diesel generator from any City agency.</p>	<p>Project sponsor.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of permit for backup diesel generator from City agency.</p>	<p>Submittal of plans detailing compliance and documentation of compliance with BAAQMD Regulation 2, Rules 2 and 5.</p> <p>Project sponsor and the ERO.</p>	<p>Considered complete approval of plans detailing compliance..</p>

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
IMPROVEMENT MEASURES <i>(Improvement measures are not required under CEQA. The PMND identifies Improvement Measures to avoid or reduce less-than-significant impacts of the proposed project. The decision-makers may adopt these Improvement Measures as conditions of approval.)</i>				
<i>Transportation and Circulation Improvement Measures</i>				
<p>Improvement Measure I-TR-1: Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan</p> <p>As an improvement measure to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by the proposed project and to encourage use of alternate modes, the San Francisco Department of Public Works (SFDPW) could develop and implement a TDM Plan as part of project approval. The following TDM measures have been identified for the proposed project, and are based on the standard Planning Department TDM Program measures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Identify TDM Coordinator</u> The project sponsor should identify a TDM Coordinator for the project site. The TDM Coordinator would be responsible for the implementation and ongoing operation of all applicable TDM measures described below. The TDM Coordinator could be a brokered service through an existing transportation management association (e.g., the Transportation Management Association of San Francisco, TMA SF), or the TDM Coordinator could be a staff member (e.g., SFDPW or Sheriff’s Department facility manager). The TDM Coordinator would not have to work full-time at the project site. However, the TDM Coordinator should be the single point of contact for all transportation-related questions from facility employees and City (i.e., Planning Department) staff. The TDM Coordinator should provide TDM information to facility employees about the transportation amenities and options available at the project site (e.g., Class 1 bicycle parking spaces) and nearby (e.g., Muni bus routes). 2. <u>Provide TDM Training for the TDM Coordinator</u> 3. <u>Provide Transportation and Trip Planning Information to Facility Employees and Visitors</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3a. New-hire packet. Provide a transportation insert in the new-hire packet that includes information on transit service (local and regional, schedules and fares), information on where transit passes could be purchased, information on the 511 Regional Rideshare Program and nearby bike and car share programs, and information on where to find additional web-based alternative transportation materials (e.g., 	<p>Project sponsor</p>	<p>Prior to project approval. Considered complete upon Planning Department approval of a TDM Plan.</p> <p>Implementation of this improvement measure is ongoing during the life of the project.</p>	<p>The project sponsor to provide a draft TDM Plan to the Planning Department for review and approval. The project sponsor will identify a TDM Coordinator.</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>NextMuni phone app). This new-hire packet should be continuously updated as local transportation options change, and the packet should be provided to each new facility employee. Provide Muni maps, San Francisco Bicycle and Pedestrian maps upon request.</p> <p>3b. Current transportation resources. Maintain an available supply of Muni maps, San Francisco Bicycle and Pedestrian maps, schedules, information and updates, for visitors.</p> <p>3c. Posted and real-time information. A local map and real-time transit information could be installed on-site in a prominent and visible location, such as within the public lobby of the proposed RDF. The local map should clearly identify transit, bicycle, and key pedestrian routes, and also depict nearby destinations and commercial corridors. Real-time transit information via NextMuni data could be displayed on a digital screen.</p> <p>4. <u>Annually conduct a City-approved commuter survey of staff and visitors.</u></p> <p>5. <u>City Access for Data Collection</u></p> <p>As part of an ongoing effort to quantify the efficacy of TDM measures, City staff may need to access the project site to perform trip counts, and/or intercept surveys and/or other types of data collection. All on-site activities should be coordinated through the TDM Coordinator. DPW or Sheriff's Department should assure future access to the site by City staff.</p>				
<p>Improvement Measure I-TR-2: On-Street Commercial Loading Spaces</p> <p>As an improvement measure to accommodate commercial loading/unloading activities for the 480-484 Sixth Street building, DPW could replace the existing driveway on Sixth Street that would be eliminated with up to two commercial loading spaces. The commercial loading/unloading spaces would need to be approved at a public hearing through the SFMTA.</p>	<p>DPW to design and SFMTA to approve design and implement.</p>	<p>To be determined by the SFMTA.</p>	<p>SFMTA to hold public hearing and provide documentation.</p>	
<p>Improvement Measure I-TR-3: Construction Management Plan and Public Updates</p> <p>Construction Coordination – To reduce potential conflicts between construction activities and pedestrians, bicyclists, transit and vehicles at the project site, the contractor is required to prepare a Construction Management Plan for the project construction period. The project sponsor/construction contractor(s) is also required to meet with DPW, SFMTA, the Fire Department, Muni Operations and other City</p>	<p>Project construction contractor(s)</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits. Considered complete upon Planning Department approval of</p>	<p>Project sponsor and project construction contractor(s) to coordinate with DPW, SFMTA, the Fire Department, Muni</p>	

**MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR
850 BRYANT STREET – HALL OF JUSTICE
REHABILITATION AND DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Actions and Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>agencies to coordinate feasible measures to reduce traffic congestion, and other measures to reduce potential traffic, bicycle, and transit disruption and pedestrian circulation effects during construction of the proposed project. This review considers other ongoing construction in the project area.</p> <p>Construction Truck Traffic Restrictions – To minimize potential for conflicts between construction truck traffic traveling to and from the project building site, and nearby peak period commute traffic, to the extent feasible, the construction contractor shall limit construction truck trips to and from the project building site, as well as staging or unloading of equipment and materials, to between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. The hours of construction truck restrictions would be determined by the SFMTA.</p> <p>Carpool, Bicycle, Walk and Transit Access for Construction Workers – In addition to required elements of the Construction Management Plan, to minimize parking demand and vehicle trips associated with construction workers, the construction contractor shall include as part of the Construction Management Plan methods to encourage carpooling, bicycle, walk, and transit access to the project site by construction workers (such as providing transit subsidies to construction workers, providing secure bicycle parking spaces, participating in free-to-employee ride matching program from www.511.org, participating in emergency rider home program through the City of San Francisco (www.sferh.org), and providing transit information to construction workers).</p> <p>Project Construction Updates for Adjacent Businesses and Residents – In addition to required elements of the Construction Management Plan, to minimize construction impacts on access to nearby institutions, businesses and residents, the project sponsor, as part of the Construction Management Plan, shall provide nearby residences and adjacent businesses with regularly-updated information regarding project construction, including construction activities, peak construction vehicle activities (e.g., concrete pours), travel lane closures, parking lane and sidewalk closures. For example, a regular email notice could be distributed by the project sponsor that would provide current construction information of interest to neighbors, as well as contact information for specific construction inquiries or concerns. Similarly, a construction website could be created to provide such construction information.</p>		<p>a Construction Management Plan.</p> <p>Implement measure throughout all phases of construction. Considered complete upon completion of construction.</p> <p>Implement measure throughout all phases of construction. Considered complete upon completion of construction.</p> <p>Implement measure throughout all phases of construction. Considered complete upon completion of construction.</p>	<p>Operations, and other applicable City agencies.</p> <p>Project construction contractor(s) would limit construction truck trips and staging and unloading to between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.</p> <p>Project sponsor could request the construction contractor to encourage carpooling and transit access to the site by construction workers.</p> <p>Project sponsor to provide nearby residences and adjacent businesses with regularly-updated information regarding project construction and appropriate contact information. An e-mail notice could be circulated by the project sponsor that would provide current construction information of interest to neighbors.</p>	