

March 16, 2021

The Honorable London N. Breed, Mayor, City of San Francisco  
The Honorable Shamann Walton, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department  
Sharky Laguana, President, San Francisco Small Business Commission  
Maryo Mogannam, President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants Association

San Francisco City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Breed, President Walton, General Manager Ginsburg, President Laguana and President Mogannam,

My name is Tracey Sylvester, and I am the owner of EHS Pilates, a San Francisco Legacy Business on Valencia Street. I serve on the executive board of the San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations (SFCDMA) and its legislative committee. I am also on the board of the Mission Merchants Association, and a member of the San Francisco Independent Fitness Coalition (SFIFSC), Valencia Corridor Association (VCMA), and Hispanic Chambers of Commerce (HCC). I have been advocating for small businesses in San Francisco for more than 12 years.

I am writing today to bring attention to the Fitness/Wellness Industry—a business sector that has been noticeably absent from the Economic Recovery Task Force, the ERTF Report, and the presentation at the emergency BOS session on December 22, 2020. This industry sector is in desperate need of financial support and inclusion in the city's recovery efforts. Even as the vaccinations roll out and our capacity increases inside, many people may not be comfortable sharing enclosed spaces in close proximity. Under the greater umbrella of the Shared Spaces program, the Recreation and Parks Permit and Reservation program has been a lifeline to many of the shuttered fitness and wellness businesses in San Francisco. We believe this Shared Spaces: Rec and Parks Permit and Reservation program is critical for the long-term survival of this industry.

I am writing today with a specific request, that the city leadership work with Rec & Parks to develop a longer-term partnership as part of the Shared Spaces Program. The Rec and Parks Permit and Reservation program is currently the only support offered by the city to help our industry sector's survival. We want the park's permits for small businesses to be permanently included in the city's recovery plans aligned with Shared Spaces, so we can project and plan for long-term recovery. This will let our trainers know they can count on continued work/employment and assure that our clients' will have access to safe guided physical activity and movement therapy. As it stands, we are only given permit extensions for one to two months at a time, and we have not been given any lead time on the extensions which leaves us with little opportunity to market or financially plan for all our stakeholders.

The San Francisco outdoor fitness/wellness classes have been incredibly popular and have served thousands by offering your constituents a way to maintain physical and mental health and build and foster community. Moreover, they have created small revenue streams to lessen the mounting debt we, and many of our peers, are accruing. We are desperate for support as we all walk this long road of recovery together.

Please consider including Recreation and Parks Permit and Reservation program in Shared Space legislation to transition Shared Spaces from an emergency response into a permanent program through and after the pandemic or as a stand alone program to help our industry sector's survival.

Thank you for your time. I am available if you have any questions or would like to discuss them in a phone meeting or email exchange.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tracey Sylvester', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Tracey Sylvester

**From:** [Bob Planthold](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors](#), (BOS); [Haney, Matt](#) (BOS); [Walton, Shamann](#) (BOS); [Chan, Connie](#) (BOS); [Stefani, Catherine](#) (BOS); [Mar, Gordon](#) (BOS); [Preston, Dean](#) (BOS); [Melgar, Myrna](#) (BOS); [Mandelman, Rafael](#) (BOS); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha](#) (BOS); [Peskin, Aaron](#) (BOS)  
**Cc:** [Mahogany, Honey](#) (BOS); [Mcdonald, Courtney](#) (BOS); [Zou, Han](#) (BOS); [Burch, Percy](#) (BOS); [Gallardo, Tracy](#) (BOS); [Gee, Natalie](#) (BOS); [Evans, Abe](#) (BOS); [ChanStaff](#) (BOS); [Bennett, Samuel](#) (BOS); [Mullan, Andrew](#) (BOS); [Falzon, Frankie](#) (BOS); [Angulo, Sunny](#) (BOS); [Hepner, Lee](#) (BOS); [Yan, Calvin](#) (BOS); [Quan, Daisy](#) (BOS); [Lovett, Li](#) (BOS); [Wright, Edward](#) (BOS); [PrestonStaff](#) (BOS); [MelgarStaff](#) (BOS); [Fieber, Jennifer](#) (BOS); [MandelmanStaff](#), (BOS); [Temprano, Tom](#) (BOS); [Mundy, Erin](#) (BOS); [Bintliff, Jacob](#) (BOS); [Adkins, Joe](#) (BOS); [Monge, Paul](#) (BOS); [Beinart, Amy](#) (BOS); [Lerma, Santiago](#) (BOS); [Li-D9, Jennifer](#) (BOS); [Chinchilla, Monica](#) (BOS); [Morris, Geoffrea](#) (BOS); [Chung, Lauren](#) (BOS); [ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org); [Low, Jen](mailto:Low, Jen) (BOS); [megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.  
**Date:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 8:41:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FYI about foreseeable problems with a permanent Shared Space program.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

**Date:**Sat, 6 Mar 2021 16:45:52 +0000 (UTC)

**From:**Richard Rothman <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>

**To:**[Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org) <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>

**CC:**Pi Ra <[srira@saction.org](mailto:srira@saction.org)>, Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Hello,

I and the other members of the Disability community are very concerned about item 17 and making shared space permanent there is no mention in this resolution about how this will affect residents who have mobility issues. If you take away all the parking spaces for shared spaces will be no room for people to park their cars and get to the restaurants or any other stores in the neighborhood. I think this issue should be discussed with the disability community before you pass this resolution. It seems the city is just making it harder for the residence of the disability community to live in the city.

Please send this back to the committee so there can be a discussion with the disability community about this issue.

Thanks  
Richard Rothman  
415 350-7629

**From:** [Bob Planthold](#)  
**To:** [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Gordon Mar](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [RivamonteMesa, Abigail \(BOS\)](#); [Mahogany, Honey \(BOS\)](#); [Zou, Han \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Gallardo, Tracy \(BOS\)](#); [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#); [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#); [Bennett, Samuel \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Falzon, Frankie \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Quan, Daisy \(BOS\)](#); [Lovett, Li \(BOS\)](#); [Wright, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Temprano, Tom \(BOS\)](#); [Mundy, Erin \(BOS\)](#); [Adkins, Joe \(BOS\)](#); [Monge, Paul \(BOS\)](#); [Beinart, Amy \(BOS\)](#); [Lerma, Santiago \(BOS\)](#); [Li-D9, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Chinchilla, Monica \(BOS\)](#); [Morris, Geoffrea \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](mailto:Low, Jen (BOS)); [megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Bob Planthold](#)  
**Subject:** Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.--suggested slightly additional text  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 12:36:56 PM

---

Supes.,iç½

Please note the response to Supe. Haney's staff aide, below.

1] Specifically, that ERT had 0000000000 reps./ staff support from

any city agency tasked to work with / for

seniors & / or people with disabilities,

such as MOD, Mayor's Disability Council, Disability & Aging Services Commission,

nor from any SF agency working with/ for people with disabilities/ seniors,

such as ILRCSF, the Lighthouse, and/ or Senior & Disability Action.

2] That Supe. Haney's aide's suggestion to consider adding text is positively addressed

with a 1-1/2 line addition to the last 2 lines of the reso.:

ESPECIALLY FOR VULNERABLE CONSTITUENCIES WHO CONSISTENTLY NEED

SAFE & UNRESTRICTED ACCESS TO ALL CURBS.

Please consider supporting addition of such text, or a similar text.

Thanks.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.--suggested slightly additional text

**Date:**Mon, 8 Mar 2021 12:13:30 -0800

**From:**Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

**To:**McDonald, Courtney (BOS) <[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)>  
**CC:**Pi Ra <[srira@sdaction.org](mailto:srira@sdaction.org)>, [rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net) <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>,  
RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, Bob Planthold  
<[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Ms. McDonald,

Much of the basis for extending the Shared Spaces Program relies upon  
the recommendations of the Mayor's Economic Recovery Task Force.

Yet, no one from MOD, the Mayor's Disability Council, the SFi½ Disability & Aging  
Services Commission,

nor from any disability-focussed agency serving/ working with people with disabilities-

whether ILRCSf, the Lighthouse, Senior & Disability Action, were members or staff  
advisors

to the ERTF.

This lack is partly why some advocates for seniors and people with disabilities  
communicated widely with the Supervisors.

As for suggested text to Supe. Haney's reso., modify the very last 2 lines  
of the last "Further Resolved" clause to read

"... to work together to prioritize equitable participation,

**ESPECIALLY FOR VULNERABLE CONSTITUENCIES WHO CONSISTENTLY  
NEED SAFE & UNRESTRICTED ACCESS TO ALL CURBS,**

in a permanent Shared Spaces program".

{ Amending text added in solid capital letters}

Bob Planthold

McDonald, Courtney (BOS) wrote:

Hi Bob, thanks for reaching out and raising this concern. We agree that the needs  
of residents with mobility issues should definitely be addressed if & when Shared  
Spaces is extended.

The resolution that Supervisor Haney introduced is intended to be a very high level statement about the need for some version of a shared spaces program that lasts beyond June, so we intentionally did not every issue that needs to be addressed. We are simply encouraging City Departments to design a long term program.½

The Mayor will actually be introducing legislation to establish a permanent version of a shared spaces program in the coming months where details such as those you mention will be addressed. We anticipate very substantive conversation around that legislation and they are meeting with stakeholders now. If you haven't been in touch with their office already to discuss specific components of the shared spaces program, I recommend reaching out to them to shape the legislation. You can reach [robin.abad@sfgov.org](mailto:robin.abad@sfgov.org) who is one of the program managers.½

All that being said, if you have a specific amendment or phrase you would like us to consider to amend the resolution tomorrow, I'd be happy to review that language and consider an amendment.½

Thanks again,½

½

Courtney McDonald

Legislative Aide

Supervisor Haney, District 6

[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)

---

**From:** Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 8:40 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <[rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <[honey.mahogany@sfgov.org](mailto:honey.mahogany@sfgov.org)>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)>; Zou, Han (BOS) <[han.zou@sfgov.org](mailto:han.zou@sfgov.org)>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <[percy.burch@sfgov.org](mailto:percy.burch@sfgov.org)>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <[tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org](mailto:tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org)>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <[natalie.gee@sfgov.org](mailto:natalie.gee@sfgov.org)>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <[abe.evans@sfgov.org](mailto:abe.evans@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff (BOS) <[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS)

<[samuel.bennett@sfgov.org](mailto:samuel.bennett@sfgov.org)>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS)  
<[andrew.mullan@sfgov.org](mailto:andrew.mullan@sfgov.org)>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS)  
<[frankie.falzon@sfgov.org](mailto:frankie.falzon@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>;  
Hepner, Lee (BOS) <[lee.hepner@sfgov.org](mailto:lee.hepner@sfgov.org)>; Yan, Calvin (BOS)  
<[calvin.yan@sfgov.org](mailto:calvin.yan@sfgov.org)>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <[daisy.quan@sfgov.org](mailto:daisy.quan@sfgov.org)>; Lovett,  
Li (BOS) <[li.lovett@sfgov.org](mailto:li.lovett@sfgov.org)>; Wright, Edward (BOS)  
<[edward.w.wright@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.w.wright@sfgov.org)>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <[prestonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:prestonstaff@sfgov.org)>;  
MelgarStaff (BOS) <[melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org)>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS)  
<[jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]  
<[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Temprano, Tom (BOS)  
<[tom.temprano@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.temprano@sfgov.org)>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <[erin.mundy@sfgov.org](mailto:erin.mundy@sfgov.org)>;  
Bintliff, Jacob (BOS) <[jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org](mailto:jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org)>; Adkins, Joe (BOS)  
<[joe.adkins@sfgov.org](mailto:joe.adkins@sfgov.org)>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <[paul.monge@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.monge@sfgov.org)>; Beinart,  
Amy (BOS) <[amy.beinart@sfgov.org](mailto:amy.beinart@sfgov.org)>; Lerma, Santiago (BOS)  
<[santiago.lerma@sfgov.org](mailto:santiago.lerma@sfgov.org)>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org)>;  
Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <[monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org)>; Morris, Geoffrea  
(BOS) <[geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org](mailto:geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org)>; Chung, Lauren (BOS)  
<[lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org](mailto:lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org)>; [ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org) <[ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org)>;  
Low, Jen (BOS) <[jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)>; [megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org)  
<[megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

i½

i This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or  
i½ attachments from untrusted sources.

i½

i½FYI about foreseeable problems with a permanent Shared Space program.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:** Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

**Date:** Sat, 6 Mar 2021 16:45:52 +0000 (UTC)

**From:** Richard Rothman <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>

[Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)

**To:** <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)  
<[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>

**CC:** Pi Ra <[srira@sdaction.org](mailto:srira@sdaction.org)>, Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Hello,

I and the other members of the Disability community are very concerned about item 17 and making shared space permanent there is no mention in this resolution about how this will affect residents who have mobility issues. If you take away all the parking spaces for shared spaces will be no room for people to park their cars and get to the restaurants or any other stores in the neighborhood. I think this

issue should be discussed with the disability community before you pass this resolution. It seems the city is just making it harder for the residence of the disability community to live in the city.

Please send this back to the committee so there can be a discussion with the disability community about this issue.

Thanks  
Richard Rothman  
415 350-7629



**From:** [Chris Arvin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 2:10:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Chris Arvin and I'm a District 5 resident and member of the SFMTA's Citizens' Advisory Council. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used

for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,

– Chris

**From:** [michael howley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 2:28:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Michael Howley and I'm a resident of District 5. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how

the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,  
Michael

**From:** [Ali Salahi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 2:32:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Ali Salahi and I'm a bay area native and district 6 resident. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating, at all times (24/7), on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how

the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,  
Ali Salahi

**From:** [Danilo De Leon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** Advocating for truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 2:35:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Danilo De Leon and I've been a resident in District 9 for over 3 decades. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

I'm fully on board with taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. *At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business.* We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that **anyone** can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,  
Danilo De Leon

**From:** [Jeff Hanak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** ITEM 201422  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:20:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Subject: Item 201422 - Urging Departments to Create a Permanent Shared Spaces Program

Good Evening:

I am writing to you as a small business owner and resident of San Francisco. As we see some hope on the horizon for our small business climate in San Francisco, we ask for the Board to support the creation of a permanent shared spaces program, as proposed by Supervisor Haney.

This program along with the leniency of the ABC, has allowed for us to provide some normalcy to our residents of the Bay Area and a lifeline for our restaurants to retain staff. The shared spaces program and slow streets has shone a ray of light in all of our communities in a time where uncertainty is the only thing that is stable.

There are still many unknowns when our residents will be comfortable to dine inside, vaccine or not. Shared Spaces will allow for us to accommodate guests and staff not yet comfortable providing service inside.

Your support in these trying times is needed and appreciated.

Regards,  
Jeff Hanak



[www.liholihoyachtclub.com](http://www.liholihoyachtclub.com) | [Instagram](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

Join the our [CLUBHOUSE](#) to stay in the know.

[nopalito](#) / [Dear Inga Smokehouse](#)



**From:** [Natasha Cougoule](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 8:05:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Natasha and I'm a Salesforce employee living in the Marina district. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how

the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,  
Natasha

--

Natasha Cougoule  
University of California, Berkeley  
B.A. Economics, May 2018  
[ncougoule@berkeley.edu](mailto:ncougoule@berkeley.edu) | [\(408\) 533-3601](tel:(408)533-3601)

**From:** [Aguinaldo, Rhea \(ECN\)](#)  
**To:** [Natasha Cougoule](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com)  
**Subject:** RE: Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 10:13:17 AM

---

Hi Natasha,

Thank you for your email. I am confirming receipt for the Office of Small Business, and will share with leadership.

Kind regards,

Rhea

---

Rhea Aguinaldo  
Asst. Project Manager, Case Manager  
Office of Small Business  
City and County of San Francisco  
Mobile: 415-713-5695 | Desk: 415-554-6408  
Office: 415-554-6134

[rhea.aguinaldo@sfgov.org](mailto:rhea.aguinaldo@sfgov.org) | [www.sfosb.org](http://www.sfosb.org)

OSB is closed until further notice. Services are provided by email and phone only.

Sign up for [OSB e-news](#) for small business announcements & resources. Click [here](#) to review past e-news.

[COVID-19 Resources and Assistance for SF Businesses](#)

---

**From:** Natasha Cougoule <[ncougoule@berkeley.edu](mailto:ncougoule@berkeley.edu)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 8:00 AM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); SFOSB (ECN) <[sfosb@sfgov.org](mailto:sfosb@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Natasha and I'm a Salesforce employee living in the Marina district. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth

inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,

Natasha

--

Natasha Cougoule  
University of California, Berkeley  
B.A. Economics, May 2018  
[ncougoule@berkeley.edu](mailto:ncougoule@berkeley.edu) | (408) 533-3601

**From:** [Steph Wiseman](#)  
**To:** [Pam Hemphill](#)  
**Cc:** [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Vote Yes on item 38: limit the Ferris wheel to ONLY one more year  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 10:15:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

On Mar 8, 2021, at 7:12 PM, Pam Hemphill <[pam.hemphill@gmail.com](mailto:pam.hemphill@gmail.com)> wrote:

.

**From:** [MTABoard](#)  
**To:** [Natasha Cougoule](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 11:13:26 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Merry morning, Natasha.

Please know the SFMTA Board is in receipt of your email. Thank you for taking the time to let them know of your support (with provisions) of the program.

Sincerely,

**Sophia Simpliciano 415-646-2546**

Executive Assistant to the SFMTA Director of Transportation



---

**From:** Natasha Cougoule <[ncougoule@berkeley.edu](mailto:ncougoule@berkeley.edu)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 8:00 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; MTABoard <[MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com)>; [sbac@sfgov.org](mailto:sbac@sfgov.org)  
**Subject:** Let's Make Truly Shared Spaces

EXT

Dear Board of Supervisors, SFMTA Board Members and Office of Small Business,

My name is Natasha and I'm a Salesforce employee living in the Marina district. I'm writing in support of making the Shared Spaces program permanent, but with provisions that businesses must provide spaces the entire community can use – truly shared spaces.

The shift of the status quo – taking some of the large amount of public space used for storing empty cars and turning it into space for people – is an important one and a good one. The benefits of Shared Spaces to San Francisco's incredible small businesses cannot be understated. At the same time, we must recognize that public space can and should be used to benefit the entire community, not just those who have the ability or desire to patronize a specific business. We must view the extension of this program in the contexts of the city, one with massive wealth inequality, and in many areas, a lack of enough places to simply sit and take a break.

Creating more spaces to sit that anyone can use benefits many people. As a few examples, consider a transit rider waiting for the bus, a person with a disability looking for a place to take a break from walking, someone without the disposable income required to consistently access private businesses, or an unhoused person. I do not believe these people should be turned away from seating on publicly-owned

land.

We must envision a future for these public spaces that is inclusive. Shared Spaces on busy streets should not go empty because they are restricted to customers only. Shared Spaces with roofs should not be boarded up on a rainy day because a business is closed. A person who purchases a \$6 burrito should not be turned away from the Shared Space next-door because they don't have the money to purchase a full-course meal from a high-end restaurant.

San Francisco has recent examples of the ways we've prioritized the whole community when it comes to public space. The existing parklet program has required businesses to make their parklets available to the entire community. The creation of the Privately-Owned Public Open Space (POPOS) program has provided incredible spaces that are open to everyone. As we work towards shifting some of the massive amount of public space used for empty car storage towards uses for people, let's continue that tradition and envision how the entire community will benefit from the program.

Thank you,

Natasha

--

Natasha Cougoule  
University of California, Berkeley  
B.A. Economics, May 2018  
[ncougoule@berkeley.edu](mailto:ncougoule@berkeley.edu) | [\(408\) 533-3601](tel:(408)533-3601)

This message is from outside of the SFMTA email system. Please review the email carefully before responding, clicking links, or opening attachments.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.--suggested slightly additional text  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 3:43:04 PM

---

**From:** Bob Planthold <political\_bob@att.net>

**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 12:36 PM

**To:** Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Gordon Mar <gordon@jwjsf.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS) <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>; Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <honey.mahogany@sfgov.org>; Zou, Han (BOS) <han.zou@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <frankie.falzon@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <li.lovett@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <tom.temprano@sfgov.org>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Lerma, Santiago (BOS) <santiago.lerma@sfgov.org>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org>; Morris, Geoffrea (BOS) <geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; ernest.jones@sfgov.org; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; megan.imperiale@sfgov.org; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Bob Planthold <political\_bob@att.net>

**Subject:** Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.--suggested slightly additional text

Supes., i; 1/2

Please note the response to Supe. Haney's staff aide, below.

1] Specifically, that ERT had 0000000000 reps./ staff support from

any city agency tasked to work with / for

seniors & / or people with disabilities,

such as MOD, Mayor's Disability Council, Disability & Aging Services Commission,

nor from any SF agency working with/ for people with disabilities/ seniors,



such as ILRCSF, the Lighthouse, and/ or Senior & Disability Action.

2] That Supe. Haney's aide's suggestion to consider adding text is positively addressed

with a 1-1/2 line addition to the last 2 lines of the reso.:

ESPECIALLY FOR VULNERABLE CONSTITUENCIES WHO CONSISTENTLY NEED  
SAFE & UNRESTRICTED ACCESS TO ALL CURBS.

Please consider supporting addition of such text, or a similar text.

Thanks.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.--suggested slightly additional text

**Date:**Mon, 8 Mar 2021 12:13:30 -0800

**From:**Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

**To:**McDonald, Courtney (BOS) <[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)>

**CC:**Pi Ra <[srira@sdaction.org](mailto:srira@sdaction.org)>, rrothma@pacbell.net <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>, RivamonteMesa,  
Abigail (BOS) <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Ms. McDonald,

Much of the basis for extending the Shared Spaces Program relies upon

the recommendations of the Mayor's Economic Recovery Task Force.

Yet, no one from MOD, the Mayor's Disability Council, the SFi½ Disability & Aging  
Services Commission,

nor from any disability-focussed agency serving/ working with people with disabilities-

-

whether ILRCSf, the Lighthouse, Senior & Disability Action, were members or staff  
advisors

to the ERTF.

This lack is partly why some advocates for seniors and people with disabilities

communicated widely with the Supervisors.

As for suggested text to Supe. Haney's reso., modify the very last 2 lines of the last "Further Resolved" clause to read  
"... to work together to prioritize equitable participation,  
**ESPECIALLY FOR VULNERABLE CONSTITUENCIES WHO CONSISTENTLY  
NEED SAFE & UNRESTRICTED ACCESS TO ALL CURBS,**  
in a permanent Shared Spaces program".

{ Amending text added in solid capital letters }

Bob Planthold

McDonald, Courtney (BOS) wrote:

Hi Bob, thanks for reaching out and raising this concern. We agree that the needs of residents with mobility issues should definitely be addressed if & when Shared Spaces is extended.

The resolution that Supervisor Haney introduced is intended to be a very high level statement about the need for some version of a shared spaces program that lasts beyond June, so we intentionally did not cover every issue that needs to be addressed. We are simply encouraging City Departments to design a long term program.

The Mayor will actually be introducing legislation to establish a permanent version of a shared spaces program in the coming months where details such as those you mention will be addressed. We anticipate very substantive conversation around that legislation and they are meeting with stakeholders now. If you haven't been in touch with their office already to discuss specific components of the shared spaces program, I recommend reaching out to them to shape the legislation. You can reach [robin.abad@sfgov.org](mailto:robin.abad@sfgov.org) who is one of the program managers.

All that being said, if you have a specific amendment or phrase you would like us to consider to amend the resolution tomorrow, I'd be happy to review that language and consider an amendment.

Thanks again,

Courtney McDonald

Legislative Aide

Supervisor Haney, District 6

[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)

---

**From:** Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 8:40 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <[gordon.mar@sfgov.org](mailto:gordon.mar@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <[rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <[honey.mahogany@sfgov.org](mailto:honey.mahogany@sfgov.org)>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <[courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org](mailto:courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org)>; Zou, Han (BOS) <[han.zou@sfgov.org](mailto:han.zou@sfgov.org)>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <[percy.burch@sfgov.org](mailto:percy.burch@sfgov.org)>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <[tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org](mailto:tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org)>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <[natalie.gee@sfgov.org](mailto:natalie.gee@sfgov.org)>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <[abe.evans@sfgov.org](mailto:abe.evans@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff (BOS) <[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <[samuel.bennett@sfgov.org](mailto:samuel.bennett@sfgov.org)>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <[andrew.mullan@sfgov.org](mailto:andrew.mullan@sfgov.org)>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <[frankie.falzon@sfgov.org](mailto:frankie.falzon@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <[lee.hepner@sfgov.org](mailto:lee.hepner@sfgov.org)>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <[calvin.yan@sfgov.org](mailto:calvin.yan@sfgov.org)>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <[daisy.quan@sfgov.org](mailto:daisy.quan@sfgov.org)>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <[li.lovett@sfgov.org](mailto:li.lovett@sfgov.org)>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <[edward.w.wright@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.w.wright@sfgov.org)>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <[prestonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:prestonstaff@sfgov.org)>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <[melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org)>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <[tom.temprano@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.temprano@sfgov.org)>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <[erin.mundy@sfgov.org](mailto:erin.mundy@sfgov.org)>; Bintliff, Jacob (BOS) <[jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org](mailto:jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org)>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <[joe.adkins@sfgov.org](mailto:joe.adkins@sfgov.org)>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <[paul.monge@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.monge@sfgov.org)>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <[amy.beinart@sfgov.org](mailto:amy.beinart@sfgov.org)>; Lerma, Santiago (BOS) <[santiago.lerma@sfgov.org](mailto:santiago.lerma@sfgov.org)>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org)>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <[monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org](mailto:monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org)>; Morris, Geoffrea (BOS) <[geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org](mailto:geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org)>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <[lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org](mailto:lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org)>; [ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org) <[ernest.jones@sfgov.org](mailto:ernest.jones@sfgov.org)>; Low, Jen (BOS) <[jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)>; [megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org) <[megan.imperiale@sfgov.org](mailto:megan.imperiale@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

ï¿½

ï This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or ï¿½ attachments from untrusted sources.

ï¿½

ï¿½FYI about foreseeable problems with a permanent Shared Space program.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:** Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

**Date:** Sat, 6 Mar 2021 16:45:52 +0000 (UTC)

**From:** Richard Rothman <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>

**To:** [Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org) <[Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>

**CC:** Pi Ra <[srira@sdaction.org](mailto:srira@sdaction.org)>, Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Hello,

I and the other members of the Disability community are very concerned about item 17 and making shared space permanent there is no mention in this resolution about how this will affect residents who have mobility issues. If you take away all the parking spaces for shared spaces will be no room for people to park their cars and get to the restaurants or any other stores in the neighborhood. I think this issue should be discussed with the disability community before you pass this resolution. It seems the city is just making it harder for the residence of the disability community to live in the city.

Please send this back to the committee so there can be a discussion with the disability community about this issue.

Thanks

Richard Rothman

415 350-7629

**From:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.  
**Date:** Monday, March 8, 2021 8:54:53 AM

---

---

**From:** Bob Planthold <political\_bob@att.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 8:41 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Mahogany, Honey (BOS) <honey.mahogany@sfgov.org>; Mcdonald, Courtney (BOS) <courtney.mcdonald@sfgov.org>; Zou, Han (BOS) <han.zou@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Evans, Abe (BOS) <abe.evans@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Bennett, Samuel (BOS) <samuel.bennett@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Falzon, Frankie (BOS) <frankie.falzon@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Quan, Daisy (BOS) <daisy.quan@sfgov.org>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <li.lovett@sfgov.org>; Wright, Edward (BOS) <edward.w.wright@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <tom.temprano@sfgov.org>; Mundy, Erin (BOS) <erin.mundy@sfgov.org>; Bintliff, Jacob (BOS) <jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Monge, Paul (BOS) <paul.monge@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Lerma, Santiago (BOS) <santiago.lerma@sfgov.org>; Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org>; Chinchilla, Monica (BOS) <monica.chinchilla@sfgov.org>; Morris, Geoffrea (BOS) <geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; ernest.jones@sfgov.org; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; megan.imperiale@sfgov.org  
**Subject:** Fwd: Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

FYI about foreseeable problems with a permanent Shared Space program.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**Item 17 on Tuesdays agenda about SharedSpace.

**Date:**Sat, 6 Mar 2021 16:45:52 +0000 (UTC)

**From:**Richard Rothman <[rrothma@pacbell.net](mailto:rrothma@pacbell.net)>

**To:**[Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:Abigail.Rivamontemesa@sfgov.org) <[abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org](mailto:abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org)>, [matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>

**CC:**Pi Ra <[srira@sdaction.org](mailto:srira@sdaction.org)>, Bob Planthold <[political\\_bob@att.net](mailto:political_bob@att.net)>

Hello,

I and the other members of the Disability community are very concerned about item 17 and making shared space permanent there is no mention in this resolution about how this will affect residents who have mobility issues. If you take away all the parking spaces for shared spaces will be no room for people to park their cars and get to the restaurants or any other stores in the neighborhood. I think this issue should be discussed with the disability community before you pass this resolution. It seems the city is just making it harder for the residence of the disability community to live in the city.

Please send this back to the committee so there can be a discussion with the disability community about this issue.

Thanks  
Richard Rothman  
415 350-7629