

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: contact@growsf.org; blueprint@sfbblueprint.org; [Griffin Lee](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: URGENT - San Franciscans DESERVE a LEANER, BALANCED, Accountable, Common-Sense Budget
Date: Monday, June 1, 2026 10:12:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie,
Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The proposed FY2026-27 and FY2027-28 budget does not go nearly far enough. In fact, it is a total JOKE. Don't you think?

We were promised cuts, staffing and commission reductions. We now end up with a bloated record budget of nearly \$17B.

WTF indeed!

Perhaps city hall could offer a masterclass on how to call something a 'reduction' while still spending more than ever.

Truly impressive work, Daniel! Truly!



San Francisco has a spending problem — and incremental reductions around the edges do not address it.

CUT DOWN THE FAT - GET RID OF THE CRAP.

Hundreds of millions, if not billions, of dollars in waste and fraud continue to flow through city contracts and grant portfolios with little accountability and even less transparency.

San Franciscans are not getting value for their tax dollars.

Why are we still giving out so much to all of these corrupt “non-profits” who only are non-profit by name, when their disgraced executives are racking up 200/300/400/500/750K a year!

COMMON SENSE AND FISCAL RIGOR

City Hall must become a leaner, more nimble government. That means making hard choices, eliminating redundant programs, and holding department heads accountable for outcomes — not just expenditures.

The current approach lacks the courage and leadership this city deserves.

Where is the accountable leadership you once praised so vehemently during your campaign? Those days all seem long gone now, and your spending has gone even more reckless than your predecessor.

I urge you to go further, cut deeper, and govern with the fiscal discipline San Franciscans have every right to expect.

Please do us all a favor and go back to the drawing board.

That's all...

JD.

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#); blueprint@sfbblueprint.org
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 12:51:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Budget, MYR \(MYR\)](#); blueprint@sblueprint.org; info@connectedsf.com
Subject: Re: URGENT - SF BUDGET - ROLL BACK NON-PROFIT GRANTS TO PRE-PANDEMIC, 2015 LEVELS!
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 1:53:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

Your record-high \$17B budget isn't acceptable, viable, or fiscally responsible.

SO-CALLED "NON-PROFITS", COMMISSIONS, CITY STAFF.... WE NEED MORE CUTS.

You all have a major spending issue. City Hall has a spending issue. Democrats have a spending issue.

How about spending less, for once?

Get back to the drawing board.

Thank you.

On Mon, May 25, 2026 at 10:09 AM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

So where are we on all of these cuts?

Have you reached the billion dollar yet? At least rolled back non-profit grants to pre-pandemic levels?

We, San Franciscans, deserve nothing else but a balanced budget. Bonus points if you get us to an excedentary budget surplus.

Once again, you need to make those cuts. Urgently. We now have less than a week.

Please stop wasting our hard-earned taxpayer dollars on all of this fraud and abuse, corrupt non-profits and other frivolous programs.

If those suckers can pay their executive 6-figure salaries ranging from quarter to half-a-million dollar, they do not need our money.

How about they fundraise on their own, privately, or through their own members going forward?

This would allow for some rather efficient and quite overdue natural selection, wouldn't it?

Once again, we have yet to see your campaign promises in action. Where is your rigor? Where is your pragmatism? Where are your common-sense and accountable leadership.

We need more cuts.

You know what to do. Reverse all non-profit grants to pre-pandemic/2015 levels. From 1.6B last year to 0.6B "only" going forward. We'll now have a budget surplus of \$200M. All this needs is political will, and political courage. Will you embody that courage?

Best regards,

JD.

On Sun, May 24, 2026 at 11:02 AM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

How about rolling back non-profit grants to pre-pandemic, even to 2015 levels?

Why are we spending almost twice as much on this, nowadays, than in 2019?
Why is it that we are spending \$1.6B on all of this fraud and abuse?

Cut down non-profit and other grant programs by a billion dollar or more, and the deficit will be gone! Voila! You'll suddenly have a surplus budget.

It didn't take a PhD or a multi-million dollar study from the morons of McKinsey to find that one out. Did it?

All this takes is political courage. Will you have that courage?
Will you demonstrate common sense, and accountable leadership?

Or will you just cave to unions, corrupt non-profits and the hordes of radical-left lunatics who recently protested your budget in the hallways of city hall?

How about mandating that none of their so-called executive can earn more than the minimal wage? Instead of their astronomical 6-figure quarter-to-half a million dollar paychecks?

We The People, We Taxpayers... deserve a BALANCED BUDGET.

Even better, make it excedentary! A surplus budget is possible too! If you have what it takes...

Just cut down the waste. Cut down the abuse. Cut down the BS.

Cut down the CRAP!

Here's for your information below.

San Francisco budget overview, FY2010-11 through FY2025-26

Fiscal Year	Total Budget (all funds)	Grants/Aid category (approx.)	Grants as % of budget	Budget gap that had to be closed*
2010-11	~\$6.5 B	not cleanly published	—	~\$483 M projected gap closed

2011-12	~\$6.8 B	not cleanly published	—	~\$380 M projected gap closed
2012-13	~\$7.3 B	not cleanly published	—	~\$170 M projected gap closed
2013-14	~\$7.9 B	not cleanly published	—	balanced (gap closed)
2014-15	~\$8.6 B	not cleanly published	—	balanced (gap closed)
2015-16	~\$8.9 B	~\$0.6–0.7 B est.	~7–8%	balanced
2016-17	~\$9.6 B	~\$0.6–0.7 B est.	~7%	balanced
2017-18	~\$10.1 B	~\$0.6 B (≈2018 baseline)	~6%	balanced
2018-19	~\$11.05 B	~\$0.6 B	~5–6%	balanced
2019-20	~\$12.3 B	growing	—	balanced
2020-21	~\$13.6–13.7 B	growing	—	~\$1.5 B projected gap closed (COVID)
2021-22	~\$12.4–12.6 B	~\$1.0–1.2 B est.	~9%	balanced
2022-23	~\$13.95 B	~\$1.2–1.4 B est.	~9–10%	balanced
2023-24	~\$14.6 B	~\$1.4 B est.	~10%	~\$780 M two-year gap closed
2024-25	~\$15.9 B	~\$1.6 B	~10%	~\$789 M two-year gap closed
2025-26	~\$15.9 B (signed by Mayor Lurie)	~\$1.6 B+	~10%	~\$800 M deficit closed

Mayor Lurie,

Your prompt response is expected.

Please advise,

JD.

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [blueprint@sfbblueprint.org](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Budget, MYR \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Re: BUDGET FOLLOW-UP: End the Nonprofit Executive Pay Racket — AUDIT, CAP, and CUT NOW!
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 1:56:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Can we please start spending less?

On Thu, May 14, 2026 at 11:54 AM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:
Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I have a simple question: if these organizations are genuine nonprofits serving the public good, why are their executives paying themselves \$100,000, \$200,000, \$300,000, or even \$400,000 a year — largely funded by San Francisco taxpayers?

The word “nonprofit” is not a blank check. It is a legal designation that is supposed to reflect a mission-driven, community-serving organization. It is not supposed to be a vehicle for executives to enrich themselves on the public dime while city residents go without adequate services.

Yet that is exactly what is happening. The city of San Francisco funnels tens of millions of dollars annually to these organizations with little transparency, weak oversight, and virtually no performance accountability.

Meanwhile, we are told by Connie Chan herself, that there is no money left to cut.

I demand the following immediately:

1. A full public audit of every nonprofit receiving city funds, including the compensation of all executives and senior staff.
2. An immediate cap on city-funded compensation. No executive at any organization receiving San Francisco taxpayer dollars should be collecting more than the salary of the Mayor himself. Make them work the minimal wage, in the true sense of public service and the so-called non-profit values they so much aspire to.
3. Termination of any contract with organizations that cannot demonstrate clear, measurable outcomes for the communities they claim to serve.
4. Full public disclosure of all nonprofit grant recipients, contract amounts, and executive pay — posted online in plain language for every resident to see.

This is not about punishing legitimate charitable work. It is about ending the abuse of a system that has allowed connected insiders to profit handsomely while the city bleeds red

ink.

The gravy train stops here. Do your jobs.

Thank you!

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [blueprint@sfbblueprint.org](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Budget, MYR \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Re: A BALANCED BUDGET ISN'T OPTIONAL. JUST MAKE MORE CUTS.
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 2:02:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

When do we stop all of this insanity?
Once again, your record-high \$17B budget is a complete non-sense.

We were promised cuts, staffing and commission reductions. Where are these cuts?

Where is the fiscal rigor and responsible spending?
Where is the accountable leadership you once promised us?

I urge you to go further, cut deeper, and govern with the fiscal discipline San Franciscans have every right to expect.

Please advise.

JD.

On Thu, May 14, 2026 at 12:07 PM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

Will your upcoming budget finally be balanced, or again show hundreds of millions of dollars of deficit?

Will you finally show courage and your promised yet long overdue “accountable leadership”, or, like all of your predecessors, will you cave to the pressure of unions, radical-left lunatics, so-called “non-profits” and other hordes of protestors who recently swarmed city hall, or even Connie Chan because she said so as this doesn’t fit her “working people” agenda?

Will you raise taxes, or make the necessary cuts (to city hall staffing levels, to commissions and commissioner's benefits, to “non-profits” and other frivolous programs, that we so desperately need to get back on track?

Time is ticking.

A BALANCED BUDGET ISN'T OPTIONAL.

Why can't we even have the ambition of an excedentary budget? Or is that too much to shoot for, in a city known to always spend more and more of the money it doesn't even have?

JUST MAKE IT HAPPEN.

Best regards,

JD.

> On May 12, 2026, at 18:29, Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

>

> A BALANCED BUDGET ISN'T OPTIONAL. MORE CUTS ARE DESPERATELY NEEDED.

>

> You've promised us "accountable leadership", please deliver on it, and go back to the drawing board.

>

> Once again, A BALANCED BUDGET ISN'T OPTIONAL.

>

> Make it happen.

>

> Best regards,

>

> JD.

From: Julien DeFrance
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); WongStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Mavor, MYR (MYR); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Budget, MYR (MYR); blueprint@sfbudget.org; Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Re: SF BUDGET - HOW ABOUT SPENDING LESS ON ALL OF THIS CRAP?
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 2:07:35 PM
Attachments: image.png
 image.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

With the record-breaking budget proposal presented yesterday, it is even more critical today to take a step back and look again, at where we are spending the most, for what results, and what outcomes.

How about spending less, a lot less, on all of these so-called non-profits and their overly paid executives?
 How about spending less, a lot less, on all of these corrupt, redundant programs?
 How about spending less, overall?

This bloated budget is a complete disgrace.

Fiscal rigor. Discipline. Less spending. Actual accountable leadership.
 San Franciscans deserve better.

Please get back to work.

On Wed, May 20, 2026 at 4:23 PM Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:
 Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

How about spending less on all of this crap?
 Why are we spending almost twice as much on this shit, nowadays, than pre-pandemic?

Cut down non-profit and other grant programs by a billion dollar or more, and the deficit will be gone! Voila!
 You'll suddenly have a surplus budget.

It didn't take a PhD or a multi-million dollar study from the morons of McKinsey to find that one out. Did it?

All this takes is political courage. Will you have that courage?
 Will you demonstrate common sense, and accountable leadership?

Or will you just cave to unions, corrupt non-profits and the hordes of radical-left lunatics who recently protested your budget in the hallways of city hall?
 How about mandating that none of their so-called executive can earn more than the minimal wage? Instead of their astronomical 6-figure quarter-to-half a million dollar paychecks?

We The People, We Taxpayers... deserve a BALANCED BUDGET.
 Even better, make it excedentary! A surplus budget is possible too! If you have what it takes...

Just cut down the waste. Cut down the abuse. Cut down the BS.

Full Budget

City Grant Program (Non Profit Funding) Has Ballooned

- The city budgeted **grants of \$1.7B** in FY2025 which are **92% concentrated in six departments** Homeless, Early Childhood, Children/Families, Human Svcs, Economic and Workforce Development, Mayor
- Adjusted for inflation, grants have **grown by over \$700M since 2019**
- Press coverage of fraud... how widespread?
 - 2023 Citizen Grand Jury report: significant opportunity to reduce waste, fraud, and abuse through better goals and metrics and more oversight, **without materially impacting outcomes for residents**
 - Controller recently implemented increased audit requirements, but **performance tracking still absent**

CITY GRANT PROGRAM (2024 \$ in thousands)				% OF TOTAL			'25 v '19
	2019	2024	2025	2019	2024	2025	
homelessness, supp housing	213	486	607	24%	31%	36%	395
early childhood	0	279	285	0%	18%	17%	285
children, youth, families	248	273	287	28%	17%	17%	39
human services	218	178	166	24%	11%	10%	(52)
econ, workforce dev	32	101	95	4%	6%	6%	63
mayor	54	97	92	6%	6%	6%	38
city administrator	6	48	34	1%	3%	2%	28
adult probation	47	34	18	5%	2%	1%	(29)
sheriff	5	15	13	1%	1%	1%	8
general city resp	8	13	12	1%	1%	1%	4
public health	10	12	12	1%	1%	1%	2
arts commission	9	12	11	1%	1%	1%	2
status of women	0	11	12	0%	1%	1%	12
public works	9	9	8	1%	1%	0%	(1)
building inspection	27	7	5	3%	0%	0%	(22)
puc	3	3	3	0%	0%	0%	(0)
recreation and parks	1	2	2	0%	0%	0%	2
environment	2	2	2	0%	0%	0%	0
human rights	1	1	2	0%	0%	0%	1
district attorney	0	1	1	0%	0%	0%	1
public library	0	1	1	0%	0%	0%	1
ethics	2	0	0	0%	0%	0%	(2)
juvenile probation	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	(0)
rent arbitration board	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	(0)
treasurer	0	0	0	0%	0%	0%	(0)
city administrator - technology			0				
TOTAL	897	1,585	1,667	100%	100%	100%	770

https://data.sfgov.org/City-Management-and-Ethics/Budget/xdgd-c79v/about_data
<https://abag.ca.gov/tools-resources/data-tools/consumer-price-index>
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/2023_CGJ_Report_Hitting_the_Performance_Bullseye_-_Contracting_for_Better_Out_h8IGNXC.pdf

Official City Forecast

- **Annual deficit of \$1.1B by FY2030**
 - Driven by \$1.8B growth in spending, offset by \$700M revenue growth
 - City charter requires a balanced 2-year budget (9.101(c))
- **Mayor's budget team is faced with the conundrum of how much to accomplish now versus over time**

		Change from AAO Budget 2026-27	Projection 2026-27	Projection 2027-28	Projection 2028-29	Projection 2029-30
SOURCES Increase/(Decrease)						
Fund Balance & Reserves						
1	Use of Fund Balance	(229.6)	(152.7)	296.8	72.0	(152.7)
2	Reserves - Use	-	44.8	(26.1)	(26.1)	(26.1)
	Subtotal Fund Balance & Reserves	(229.6)	(107.9)	270.8	46.0	(178.8)
Revenues						
3	General Fund Taxes, Revenues and Transfers net of Items below	281.7	564.8	504.8	781.1	941.3
4	FEMA Revenue	(1.7)	(87.0)	(87.0)	(87.0)	(87.0)
5	Public Health - One-time Revenues	(4.0)	(49.6)	(49.6)	(49.6)	(49.6)
6	Public Health - Operating Revenues	24.2	120.6	171.7	232.6	259.5
7	Federal Policy Impacts	(84.5)	(84.5)	(221.8)	(226.0)	(204.8)
8	Other General Fund Support	(3.2)	4.6	12.6	15.1	17.4
	Subtotal Revenues	212.5	458.8	330.8	565.2	676.8
	TOTAL CHANGES TO SOURCES	(17.1)	350.9	601.5	712.1	698.0
USES Decrease/(Increase)						
Baselines & Reserves						
8	Contributions to Baselines	(66.8)	(142.5)	(140.3)	(199.5)	(231.6)
9	Contributions to Reserves	(26.6)	(52.4)	(63.3)	(36.8)	(11.5)
	Subtotal Baselines and Reserves	(93.4)	(195.0)	(203.6)	(236.4)	(243.1)
Salaries & Benefits						
10	Previously Negotiated Closed Labor Agreements	0.4	(121.4)	(268.5)	(268.5)	(268.5)
11	Projected Costs of Open Labor Agreements	(6.0)	(27.2)	(141.4)	(232.1)	(355.9)
12	Health & Dental Benefits - Current & Retired Employees	(7.8)	(50.0)	(104.6)	(165.1)	(231.5)
13	Retirement Benefits - Employer Contribution Rates	(16.4)	(15.7)	(19.1)	(32.0)	3.5
14	Other Salaries and Benefits Savings / (Costs)	(4.5)	8.6	5.1	2.1	8.1
	Subtotal Salaries & Benefits	(36.2)	(205.7)	(528.5)	(686.6)	(844.3)
Citywide Operating Costs						
15	Capital, Equipment, & Technology	(1.1)	32.1	(0.8)	(30.7)	(61.3)
16	Multiyear Inflation on Nonprofit Grants	-	(24.1)	(49.6)	(75.8)	(102.8)
17	Minimum Compensation Ordinance	(0.4)	(2.7)	(5.8)	(8.7)	(11.3)
19	Debt Service & Real Estate	(10.0)	(45.7)	(97.3)	(84.9)	(88.8)
20	Sewer, Water, and Power Rates	0.2	(5.1)	(11.3)	(17.6)	(23.3)
21	Workers' Compensation Claims	-	1.1	(1.4)	(3.4)	(5.3)
22	Citywide Technology Operating Costs	1.0	(4.1)	(8.2)	(12.1)	(16.1)
23	Other Citywide Costs	(0.5)	(1.7)	(4.1)	(6.8)	(9.8)
	Subtotal Citywide Operating Costs	(10.8)	(60.2)	(178.6)	(239.9)	(318.9)
Departmental Costs						
24	City Administrator's Office - Convention Facilities Subsidy	1.8	(3.8)	(5.9)	(8.8)	(10.3)
25	Elections - Number of Scheduled Elections	0.0	(0.4)	(1.6)	(4.2)	(2.0)
26	Ethics Commission - Public Financing of Elections	(0.7)	(3.0)	(2.7)	(5.5)	(1.3)
27	Affordable & Permanent Supportive Housing Project Costs	(1.3)	(8.1)	(16.0)	(21.2)	(31.0)
28	Homelessness - Expiring Grants for Shelters	-	-	(17.8)	(44.1)	(55.7)
29	Human Services Agency - IHSS and Other Benefit Costs	1.6	(18.1)	(40.8)	(70.5)	(108.7)
30	Public Health - Operating Costs	(7.1)	(50.8)	(88.9)	(130.1)	(174.9)
31	Downtown Recovery and Activation	-	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7
32	Major Departmental Savings from FY 2025-26/FY 2026-27	-	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1
33	All Other Departmental Savings / (Costs)	(5.2)	(15.2)	(12.1)	(14.4)	(17.2)
	Subtotal Departmental Costs	(11.0)	(78.5)	(165.1)	(289.0)	(380.3)
	TOTAL CHANGES TO USES	(151.4)	(629.4)	(1,079.8)	(1,453.9)	(1,786.6)
	Annual Projected Surplus/(Shortfall)	(168.5)	(168.5)	(474.3)	(741.7)	(1,088.6)
	Two-Year Surplus/(Shortfall)	(642.8)	(642.8)			

https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/Five_Year_Financial_Plan_FY_25-26_through_FY_29-30_FINAL_0.pdf

From: [Yesenia Lacayo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Request to Baseline the Additional \$3.8M for SFILEN and SFRRN
Date: Tuesday, June 2, 2026 2:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope you are doing well. My name is Yesenia Lacayo, and I am writing with appreciation for your continued support of San Francisco's immigrant communities, community-based organizations, and sanctuary values.

I am reaching out to respectfully ask the Board of Supervisors to support baselining the additional \$3.8 million for SFILEN and the San Francisco Rapid Response Network in the FY 2026–27 budget.

Through my work with SFILEN and SFRRN, I have seen how deeply immigrant families rely on this network. This funding supports critical services such as legal consultations, deportation defense, Know Your Rights education, rapid response, dispatch coordination, multilingual outreach, and trusted community-based support.

I also want to highlight that we are meeting the deliverables tied to the supplemental funding. These dollars are not sitting unused — they are directly supporting services, staffing, coordination, and response capacity across the city. The issue is not whether the work is happening or whether the networks are accountable. The issue is stability.

Without baselining this additional funding, the infrastructure San Francisco already relies on remains temporary and vulnerable year to year. At a time when immigrant families are experiencing fear, uncertainty, and increased federal threats, we need to be able to sustain the work, retain staff, and continue showing up for our communities.

I am grateful for the Board's leadership and for the ways many of you have continued to stand with immigrant communities. I respectfully ask that you champion a budget add-back or amendment to baseline the full additional \$3.8 million for SFILEN and SFRRN in the final budget.

Thank you for your time, your leadership, and your continued commitment to San Francisco's immigrant families.

With appreciation,
Yesenia Lacayo

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Budget, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: contact@growsf.org; blueprint@sfbudget.org; [Griffin Lee](#)
Subject: URGENT - SF FY2026-2027 BUDGET \$17B Record Raises Questions & Demands Answers...
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2026 2:08:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie,
Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express serious concern regarding the recently published FY2026-2027 budget.

At \$17 billion, this ridiculously insane and bloated spending plan breaks all records. And frankly, it is a complete disgrace!

Where are the campaign promises of good government, accountable leadership, and fiscal responsibility?

Where is the rigor?

We were promised cuts, staffing and commission reductions. Where are these cuts?

- Why are we still spending so much on all of these corrupt "non-profits", their grossly overpaid "executive" clowns, and so many other redundant or frivolous programs?
- Why are we still spending so much on the whole homeless industrial complex, who we all know is just a complete waste of our taxpayer dollars, given its questionable outcomes.

Have you all lost your minds?

San Franciscans were told this administration would be different.

Instead, we are presented with a budget that raises uncomfortable questions:

- Why does City Hall and why do the Democrats in charge have such a spending problem?
- Was the skepticism right all along, that we simply cannot count on elected Democrats to cut down fraud, waste, and abuse?

Do we need to start voting for Steve Hilton and his other Republican friends to finally see the change we so desperately need? Same at the local level?

Or will you finally deliver on your promises?

I would appreciate a direct response on the following:

- What specific measures are in place to eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse within this budget?
- How does a record-breaking \$17B budget reflect fiscal responsibility and accountability?

- Which campaign commitments on government efficiency are reflected in this spending plan, and where?

San Francisco residents deserve straight answers, not spin. I look forward to your response.

Please advise,

JD.