

From: [Robert Kozma](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Handouts
Date: Sunday, February 22, 2026 3:28:22 PM
Attachments: [Statement of Robert Kozma on File No 251247.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi John,

I'm planning on speaking on the sprinkler mandate at the Land Use Committee meeting. I suspect it's too for you to share a copy of my presentation (attached) so I plan on bringing copies to be passed out. How many should I bring?

Thanks,

Bob

Robert B. Kozma, Ph.D.
Emeritus Principal Scientist
SRI International
San Francisco
<http://robertkozma.com>
bob@robertkozma.com
+1 415-623-4340

Kozma, R., *Make the World a Better Place: Design with Passion, Purpose, and Values*.
Wiley & Sons, 2023

Statement on File No. 251247 by Robert Kozma

Hello, my name is Robert Kozma. I am speaking on behalf of myself and many of my neighbors at the Summit, 999 Green St., in District 3. We want people to live in fire safe buildings. And we want to strengthen the Fire Code.

We agree with the purpose of the Code: to save lives; the lives of firefighters, our neighbors' lives, our own. We want a fire safe building that saves lives.

Installing sprinklers is one way to do that. But there are other means of making buildings fire safe and saving lives. These alternative means can be listed, categorized, measured and objectively judged to be quantifiably equivalent, using nationally recognized standards, such as the National Fire Protection Association 101 Standards (<https://www.nfpa.org/codes-and-standards/nfpa-101-standard-development/101>).

Section 1103.5.4.4 of the San Francisco Fire Code allows for the use of these alternative means and standards. Yet there is no publicly stated mechanism in place for doing that. Consequently, this vital section of the Code is inoperable.

We propose language that strengthens this section to make it the primary approach to achieving fire safety. It puts the emphasis on saving lives, rather than on a particular way to do that, and it gives the people who live in these unique, legacy buildings the ability, in collaboration with fire prevention engineers and the Fire Marshal, to choose the approach that works best in their situation from among quantifiably equivalent means to reduce the risk to themselves and to fire fighters.

San Francisco Fire Code
Proposed friendly amendment: Alternative Technical Equivalency wording

1103.5.4. [For SF] Automatic Sprinkler System for Existing High-Rise Buildings

All existing residential high-rise buildings shall be equipped with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 where any of the following conditions apply:

...

Any existing high-rise ~~not exempted from this section~~ that does not provide an automatic sprinkler system throughout the residential occupancy is out of compliance and subject to immediate code enforcement action unless 1) the high-rise is exempt from this section or 2) the high-rise successfully demonstrates safety equivalence through the procedure and criteria set in Sec. 1103.5.4.4. The owner shall maintain the sprinkler system in accordance with Title 19 of the California Code of Regulations.

...

Sec. 1103.5.4.4. Authority of Fire Official.

The Fire Marshal ~~may approve~~ shall establish a procedure and acceptance criteria for modifications and alternate methods, and materials and fire safe community practices by which a building can present it is clearly evident evidence, independently and professionally derived, that a reasonable degree of fire safety is currently provided or will be achieved within the timeframe of the Code. In such cases, the Fire Marshal ~~may shall~~:

1. ~~Consider~~ Evaluate alternative protection can be shown using based on nationally recognized, quantifiable, performance-based standards (such as NFPA 101 A), principles, and tests, and generally recognized and well-established methods of fire protection. If the agreed upon conditions specified by this process are not met within the agreed upon timeframe or are not subsequently maintained, the requirement for a automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 and elaborated on in this Section 1103.5.4 will automatically go into effect.
2. Waive specific individual requirements if it can be shown that such requirements are not physically possible, require disproportionate effort, or pose an undue hardship with little increase in life safety and that a practical alternate cannot be provided; and
3. Grant necessary extensions of time when it can be shown that the specific time periods are not physically practical or pose an undue hardship. The granting of an extension of time for compliance may be approved by the Fire Marshal based on the showing of good cause and on approval of an acceptable, systematic, progressive plan of correction.

For purposes of this Section 1103.5.4.4, the determination of “undue hardship” shall include, but not limited to, consideration of the building owner’s financial hardship and whether compliance with the automatic sprinkler requirements in Sections 1103.5.4 through 1103.5.4.5.3 would result in the displacement of residents from their homes.



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sherri Howe



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Steve Giannone



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Artem Tkachenko



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Yesenia Beatriz



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Adrian Chu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Aaron Bellings



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Evelyn Fong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Evelyn Fong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Jacon Arnall



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Pov Oum



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ane Fung



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ching Ng



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ming Ng



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Shameran Anderer



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Philip A Parker



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Susan Fisch



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Elizabeth Statmore



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Robert Kinoshita



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Carmen Woo



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ronald Rose



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Annette DiResta



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Jennifer Stanley



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Helen Kong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Peter Tan



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Robert Blatman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Peter Cling



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Pao-Ching Wang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Robert Wyrsh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Karen Schwartz



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Scott Jacques



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
John Ungar



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ditka Reiner



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Berit Muh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Dann Shia



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Sherial Heller



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Nicole Flowers



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Gretchen Addi



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
James Hirsch



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Trim Wellbeloved



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Louis Saroni



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
David Solis



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Paul Yopes



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Kathryn Duryea



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Dan Rabinowitz



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
David Shapiro



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Jane Day



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Anthony Day



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ben Herman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Geoffrey Brooks



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Venus Zheng



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Charles Bowman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Devon Herrington



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Jeffrey Roe



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
David Soffa



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Marc Brenman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
John Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Betty Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ann Chang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Sherry Lau



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Lisa Tsang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Lindon Stearns



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Laurel Myers



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Zarana Udani



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Dennis Shea



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Michael Flores



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Victoria Kleemann



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Devlin Stanley



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Devlin Stanley



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Shane Stanley



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Mabel Young



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Dona Crowder



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Rowland Wing



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Janet Fong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Curt Cournale



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
James Laden



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
James Laden



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Carmen Carr



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ramin Ekhtiar



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Charles Lee McKim



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Barbara Miller



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Mickelin Burnes-Browne



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Althea Kippes



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Tina Wong



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Maggie Wu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Phillip Tsang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Harvey Young



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Rose Preciado



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Tina Shih



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Ed Haddad



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Erich Sonnberger



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Christine Luciano



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Greggory Onza-Tasher



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Tina Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Tina Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Maggie Wu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Harvey Young



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Rose Preciado



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Laena Wilder



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Brooke Lau



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Robert Parrish



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Chuck Heaver



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Rota Schmid



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Milan Jezdmirovic



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,
Kevin Kropp



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Merti Walker



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ronni Garfield



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jay Aurora



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Barbara Artson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kathleen Hall



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Richard Sarro



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ruth Krishnan



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sandra Gandolfo



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kevin Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Lewis Kallinsky



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Olivia Aramini



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ellie Jones



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Robert Link



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Frances Ferry



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Henrik Thorenfeldt



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joe Jajeh



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
George Green



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Esteban Gomez



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Shaban Shakoori



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Emerita Barriis



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Cheryl Bower



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Christina Formaini



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Justin Choy



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Christian Elbeck



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Madeline Gibson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Karen Dell'ssola



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Laura Pallin



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Valeria Del Valle



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kelly Canady



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
William Crum



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Nolan Formway



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Antioinette Payne



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Patrick Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Monica Maresca



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Jennifer Riddle



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Adelina Martiniuc



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Paul Andreini



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

David Juarez



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Eve Combs



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Sharon Tsang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Jeff Fowler



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Samantha Shendow



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Erik McIntosh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Rubi Kawamura



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Alina Karelina



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Monica Pauli



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Mark Campana



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Lauren Neuschel



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Robert Kinoshita



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Jay Greenberg



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Jason Gorski



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Ammala Block



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Delphine Hirasuna



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Michael Onufer



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

David Pennebaker



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Breanna Gingras



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Wesley Dere



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Karen Monks



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Elizabeth Roeder



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Nicholas Raggio



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Hellen Tong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Ann Dykstra



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Victoria Lam



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Shubham Naik



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Mei Long



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Doug Long



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Jiangliang Zang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Lillian Lachman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Yuan Shui



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Joni Lachman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Mary Macpherson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Mary Gilpatrick



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Bella Shi



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Alan Louie



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Tina Cen-Camarao



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Zheng Fu Lu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Crystle Vitari



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Tiffany Pavon



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Massimo LoPorto



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Craig Greenwood



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Sam Young



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Bilques Smith



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Christopher Noceti



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Karen Wong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

KJ Kohlmyer



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Mark Anthony Venegas



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

James Testa



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Alan Thuma



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Michael Neri



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Ken Eggers



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Claudia Siegel



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Michael Li



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Roque Farnandes



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Nick Nicklas



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Evan Matteo



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dona Crowder



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jim Sohm



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Roger Perez



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Michael Tom



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dennis Eison



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ronni Garfield



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Billie Violette



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Steven Stiller



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Louis Saroni



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
David Ayerdi



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kate Stephenson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kevin Delmore



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kevin Stephenson



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Katy Worthing



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Caroline Kahn Werboff



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Carol Solfanelli



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
William Chang



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Peter Rubin



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
John Oldfield



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Christy Vitiello



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Carole Soss



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dan Shia



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Robert Wyrsh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Marjorie Brand



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Rex Hesner



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jessica Ho



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Cat Wong



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Marisa Ongbhaibulya



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Yanhua Liu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Billy Huang



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kelly Huang



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Wilson Lee



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Michael Chow



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Vivian Pang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Silvia Damen



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ron Chang



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sharon Collier



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Eric Weaver



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sam Young



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ann DeBellevue



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dona Crowder



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Lauren Bensinger



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Steed Ahn



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ann Dykstra



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ann Dykstra



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Matthew Goulden



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Erin Thompson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Donald Sambucci



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mary Lou Castellanos



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Alaina Arii



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Camille Perry



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kate Stoll



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Olga Milan-Howells



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Alec Mironov



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
William C



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Tyler Mende



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Justin Palmer



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jean Lai



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sarah Henrie



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Lisa Riddle



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Amy Clemens



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dan Donnelly



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Curt Cournale



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Philip Mc Carthy



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Trim Wellbeloved



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Irene Herman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jannette Madarang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Helen Bohl



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Libby Dodd



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Deborah Nosowsky



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Aprilynn Stewart



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Lauren Fraser



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Max Isaacman



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Frank Noto



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
John Stanley



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Althea Kippes



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ralph Brott



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Robert Noelke



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Susan Fisch



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Katherine Congdon



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
David Nolley



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
John Robert Smith



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Hatun Noguera



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jennifer Stanley



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Helen Kong



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Heng Kok Lee



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joseph McFadden



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Karina Velasquez



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Allan Cadgene



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Susan Chan



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kim Stoddard



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
David Juarez



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Rhonda Cartwright



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Erin Thompson



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Carol Solfanelli



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Miros Rodriguez



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Heather Stoltz



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Burton Jang



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Eric Andresen



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Louis Saroni



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
David Ayerdi



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Julie Peisner



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kam Tong Chak



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Tom Mylett



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
James Rogers



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

K T



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Annie Leung



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ivan Leung



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Gerald Moore



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Gerald Moore



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jay Lampus



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mark Collins



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Roxanne Miner



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Roxanne Miner



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Dean Badessa



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kerry Francis



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jenny Gelbard



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mary Lou Castellanos



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Colleen Cotter



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Alan McCarthy



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Margaret Mylett



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kathleen Morgan



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Alex Stumpf



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
James Mylett



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
George Green



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Rachel Barnard



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Regan Caponi



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
James Kastner



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sean Mamola



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joseph Jajeh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Leyza Yardley



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Raymond Ho



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Raymond Ho



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Marie Carr



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Tania Toubba



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Linda Gordon



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jim Oneil



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Burton Jang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Phill Boersma



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Yan Wang



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Drew Wilkerson



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Tony Villa



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Stefan Engst



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jeffrey Gibson



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jon Rockmore



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Monica Chung



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Gary McCauley



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Matt Flynn



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Erik McIntosh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joie Ryan



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kathleen Crabbe



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ben Coleman



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ben Coleman



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Robert Taylor



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Kilby Stenkamp



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Catherine Abalos



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mia Baldini



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Donald Sambucci



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Rogue Fernandes



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Karen Mai



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Eugene Pak



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jeff Woo



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
King Hsiao



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joy Liu



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joy Liu



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Sabina Girsh



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Laura Asher



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Stephen Fronk



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Laura Kaufman



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Susan McBride



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Silvia Damen



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Brian Garrett



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Bianca Baca



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
John Lee



Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Marc Dickow



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Valerie Avril



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Paul Ybarbo



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mike Koran



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Scott Rose



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Massimo LoPorto



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Jeannie Gant



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Arthur Tom



BY NEIGHBORS FOR A BETTER SF
Blueprint

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Gina Blancarte



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Neal Corkery



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Carol Solfanelli



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Daniel Hershkowitz



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Ned Rote



We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
William Chang

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["Stephanie Falkenstein"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Bell, Tita \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: RE: Proposed amendments to sprinkler mandate requirements for pre-1975 residential high-rises - BOS File No. 251247 - LUT February 23, 2026
Date: Friday, February 20, 2026 10:10:00 AM
Attachments: [RHN_Sprinkler_Mandate_02.19.2026.docx.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Falkenstein <stephanie.falkenstein@rhnsf.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:10 PM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; Bell, Tita (BOS) <tita.bell@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Proposed amendments to sprinkler mandate requirements for pre-1975 residential high-rises

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear John,

In advance of the Monday, February 23rd hearing for the Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee, Russian Hill Neighbors is submitting a letter in support of the proposed amendments to Sprinkler Mandate.

Please see the attached letter for more details.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Falkenstein

--



Stephanie Falkenstein, President



February 19, 2026

Department of Land Use & Transportation

Attention: John Carroll John.Carroll@sfgov.org

Regarding: Proposed amendments to the 2022 mandate regarding sprinkler requirements for pre-1975 residential high-rises

Dear Land Use and Transportation Committee:

We are writing in support of the proposed legislation to delay implementation dates and incorporate hardship exemptions for the 2022 Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate. Many of our members have relayed serious concerns about fire sprinkler requirements for certain residential high-rise buildings constructed pre-1975. There are approximately twenty such buildings in Russian Hill.

In addition, we support the parallel establishment of an advisory council to undertake a fresh and comprehensive review of alternative approaches to reach a more practical balance among fire safety goals and cost and displacement concerns. We are unaware of any other jurisdiction that has adopted a comparable mandate to the one proposed. The previous 2016 report by the Budget and Legislative Analyst referenced potential alternative approaches that could be updated as a starting point.

We appreciate your support for these efforts.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Falkenstein, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
stephanie.falkenstein@rhnsf.org

cc: Supervisor Stephen Sherrill SherrillStaff@sfgov.org, Supervisor Danny Sauter SauterStaff@sfgov.org, Tita Bell, Chief of Staff, Supervisor Sauter tita.bell@sfgov.org

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 589 Letters regarding File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 11:54:39 AM
Attachments: [589 Letters regarding File No. 251247.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 589 Letters regarding File No. 251247.

File No. 251247: Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings. (Mayor, Sherrill, Sauter)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:24:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gary Johnson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:02:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Clare Tarushka

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:29:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Howard Banks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:27:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

michael simons

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:26:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

ROBERT JENSON

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:50:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joel Goodrich

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:07:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Chad Huffman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 5:55:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Griffin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 5:54:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ellen Griffin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:06:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steven Shieh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:00:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Judy Wilson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:45:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Maia Litvin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:40:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Allison Bowie

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Don Wal

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:02:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lydia Olson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:48:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Hermogenes Almonte

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:39:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tarang Srivastava

From: [Akriti Gupta](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:16:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Akriti Gupta

Email akriti.g@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Akriti Gupta

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:13:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Akriti Gupta

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:13:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sheila Ordonez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:07:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mari Maurille

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:59:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mecque Tucker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:57:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vicki Coll

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:22:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Zohreh Malek

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:22:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Orona

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:21:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roxana Salehoun

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Josefina Romero

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Myerberg

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:01:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cynthia Molstad

From: [Kristi Hoerauf](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 4:06:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Kristi Hoerauf

Email kjhoerauf@outlook.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Kristi Hoerauf

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 4:03:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kristi Hoerauf

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:54:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jonathan Jump

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:54:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Geneva Bumb

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:52:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christina Abad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:42:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco’s high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bonnie Hu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:29:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Lande

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:24:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Janet Fitzpatrick

From: [The Radich Family](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:12:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chris Radich <rad.fam3@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 10, 2025, 12:50 PM

to Board.of.Supervisors



Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today as a very concerned owner and resident of a condominium unit in a pre-1975 concrete high-rise structure. First, I request you support Supervisor Sherrill's new fire code proposal for the period 2026-2028. The modifications proposed by Supervisor Sherrill provides affected buildings with additional time for permitting and infrastructure installation. Such relief is necessary to allow thoughtful compliance with the sprinkler mandate. Please note that the January 1, 2035 for full sprinkler installation remains unchanged.

The current fire sprinkler mandate was passed without notice or consultation with the impacted residents and properties. As currently written, the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit legislation imposes an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on residents. No other city or state has similar retroactive sprinkler requirements. Those municipalities that considered similar legislation reversed course after critically assessing the unreasonable financial and physical burdens placed on building residents. As discussion on this mandate moves forward, I urge your support for exempting Class Type 1, fire-resistant buildings from the Fire Sprinkler mandate.

I thank you in advance for your consideration of this matter.

Respectfully,

Chris Radich
1835 Franklin Street
San Francisco, 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shaundra Dysart

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:11:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mimi Sparrow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Patrick Barber

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

JOAN WIDJAJA

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Trudi Michael

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:03:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rachael O'Meara

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

robert mayer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:52:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ka Yi Cheng

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:47:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Soran Mofiti

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:16:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Irene Soto

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anand Bayaraa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ana Dierkhising

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:03:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tony Fox

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:56:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Geoffrey Norman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:52:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Julien DeFrance

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:43:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Phyllis Harlick

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:19:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christine Bartlett Hinckley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:19:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Caldwell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:58:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eugene Shenkar

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:51:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gina Verni

From: [Michael Lavigne](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Lavigne

Email michael@michaellavigne.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Michael Lavigne

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:46:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Lavigne

From: [Heidi Huchberger](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:45:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Heidi Huchberger

Email eheidim@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Heidi Huchberger

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:43:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Heidi Huchberger

From: [Irina Shenkar](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:42:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Irina Shenkar

Email irinashenkar@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Irina Shenkar

From: [Goughalano House](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Retrofit proposal
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:35:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Supervisors,

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs which will be passed on to elderly, fixed-income tenants like us who would be forced us to re-locate. Depending on the implementation, we may have to move during the implementation as well,. This would be a major and costly disruption for us and likely many many others.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

From: [Norm Dito](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:34:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Norm Dito

Email normdito@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Norm Dito

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:31:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Norm Dito

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:21:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jean lee

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:06:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jack Soslow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:06:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brooke Soslow

From: [Stephanie Slusher](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:01:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Stephanie Slusher

Email smslusher@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Stephanie Slusher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:01:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Irina Shenkar

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:59:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephanie Slusher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:55:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ann DeBellevue

From: [Ammala Block](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:54:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Ammala Block

Email ammala@pm1sf.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Ammala Block

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:45:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ammala Block

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:45:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Frank Castaldini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:42:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joanne Braley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:28:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Betty Taisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:27:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vanessa Kitchen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:23:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Petra Langer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:23:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Paolucci

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:23:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Luke Ford

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:23:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kevin Wakelin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brian Marabello

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:20:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Janson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:20:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marco Fraternali

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:20:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brandi Mayo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:20:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Kollen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:20:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Aimee Tenente

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:19:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ceily Landman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:19:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andreas Ernst

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:19:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elliott Burdin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:19:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dena Aslanian-Williams

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:19:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Meghan Hilbert

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:18:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alex Shvartsman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:14:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Paulo Serna

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:57:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Swink

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:28:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anna Garza

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:18:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jill Faber

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:14:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Jones

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:35:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Diane Reed

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:42:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Leonard Pang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:41:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jim Mailhes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:00:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

ROBERT PENNISI

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:38:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kathleen Price

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:59:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

jeff morrow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:43:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Shafton

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:37:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Luita Persyko

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:12:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David George

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:14:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brenda Ward

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ruth Rivard

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:02:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dorothy Norkus

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:57:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jim Hewitt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:48:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ellen Anderson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:34:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michelle Conrad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:32:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Linda Harrison

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:33:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Larry Reed

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:07:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lynette Berry

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:33:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marivel Osorio

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:19:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roberto Cantu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:01:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Pete Alexander

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 7:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Erickson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 7:21:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Dannenberg

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 7:13:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Blaydes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 6:41:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shaun Dickson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 6:32:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ulises Bello

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 6:11:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brian Hill

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 6:05:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Toby Scott

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:53:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William N. Post

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:42:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gareth Dolby

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:35:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Jonn

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:16:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Monika Becker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:04:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Cherington

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:03:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Downey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 5:00:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bob Hanrahan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 4:47:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

frank ramos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 4:38:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Toby Rosenblatt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 4:16:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dale Engblom

From: [Thomas & Belle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 4:05:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the SF Board of Supervisors,

We are homeowners at 66 Cleary Court and are writing again to respectfully request an exception or alternative compliance pathway under the retroactive sprinkler mandate. We understand this mandate was passed with good intentions, and we support fire safety, but based on our experiences living here, it may cause more harm than good in a building like ours.

To our knowledge, no other city or state has implemented a comparable retroactive requirement of this scale. Many have reconsidered similar mandates after confronting the same feasibility and displacement concerns.

66 Cleary Court is built with reinforced concrete and protected steel; each dividing wall is approximately 8 inches of solid concrete. In SF's own 2016 analysis, only approximately 4% of residential fires occurred in non-combustible concrete buildings. This data shows that not all buildings carry the same level of risk. Applying a blanket retrofit requirement across very different high-rises doesn't account for those differences, particularly in reinforced concrete high-rises designed for compartmentation and containment.

Our greatest hazard is water, not fire.

Our unit alone has had three major water leaks in the past three years, each requiring tens of thousands of dollars in repairs, with the most recent occurring this week. This is not isolated. Insurance industry data (The Hartford, multi-year analysis) identifies accidental water discharge and sprinkler leakage among the leading causes of building water damage, with high-rises at disproportionate risk: a leak on an upper floor cascades downward through multiple units.

A single sprinkler head can release 20–40 gallons of water per minute. Even a short accidental activation can flood a unit quickly. The NFPA recorded over 30,000 unintentional activations in 2015 alone, with damage estimated at \$1,000+ per minute of discharge. In a building like ours, where water already causes serious damage, adding an extensive network of sprinklers will increase the chance of serious water damage, mold, displacement, and increased insurance costs.

There are other serious impacts as well:

-

Financial and housing impact: Our residents cannot absorb this level of assessment.

Many are elderly and on fixed incomes, and we do not have the ability to finance six-figure costs. For some, this could mean losing their homes. Costs of this magnitude also make units harder to sell. We are already seeing listings in affected buildings sit on the market as buyers hesitate over large, uncertain assessments and long construction timelines.

- **Vulnerable residents:** Relocation would be extremely difficult for many of our neighbors, particularly those who are elderly or require medical assistance.
- **Asbestos and construction disruption:** Drilling through concrete walls will disturb asbestos-containing materials, requiring hazmat remediation, extending timelines and costs significantly.
- **Ceiling height:** With only eight-foot ceilings, there isn't much room to work with. Adding pipes and supports would lower the ceiling height even more, especially for taller residents.
- **Citywide scale:** Ours is one of over 120 buildings facing this mandate simultaneously. That could mean tens of thousands of San Francisco residents displaced at once.

We are not asking to be purely exempt from fire safety. We are asking for solutions that make sense for our building, and the proposed mandate does not. Please help us stay in our homes.

Respectfully,

Thomas Barber & Belle Chuang
66 Cleary Court, #1206
San Francisco

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 3:41:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nina Dosanjh

From: [Victoria P.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 3:29:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am the owner of a studio in the historic Hamilton building in the Tenderloin. The Hamilton is affected by the proposed fire sprinkler retrofit requirement in the updated 2022 fire code, even though it is a Class 1A construction, fire-resistant building built with non-combustible materials like reinforced concrete, steel and plaster.

This mandate will result in a significant hardship to me as a government employee and a recipient of down payment assistance loan from the Mayor's Office of Housing. As such, I am on a fixed income and below the city's median income. The Fire Code rules would require property owners to install sprinkler systems that could cost as much as [\\$300,000 per homeowner](#) and [displace](#) thousands of residents. No other city or state has similar retroactive sprinkler requirements, and many have revised this requirement after trying to implement it. When the City passed the mandate in 2022, they did so without any proper outreach to owners and residents in my building and community. They also did so without updated estimates on the financial burden to residents or the effects of displacement, lead or asbestos remediation.

I respectfully request that you vote to pass the proposed new fire code with the five-year extensions to the compliance deadlines. This will allow the City and homeowners like me much needed time to thoroughly understand and address the impact of the fire sprinkler mandate. However, it is not ultimately the solution I'm seeking to mitigate the crushing effects of the sprinkler mandate itself - essentially a \$2 billion tax on condo, co-op and apartment building owners. While passing the current code is a good first step, I'm also asking for further investigation into alternate solutions to the mandate.

Thank you:

Victoria Pons

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 2:33:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Phoebe Douglass

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 2:23:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Matthew Jones

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 2:22:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nick Y

From: [Ramona Rideout](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement – File 251347
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:54:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement – File 251347

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors & Land Use Committee Members,

I am a 75-year-old single condominium owner in a 1963 high-rise subject to the City's retroactive fire sprinkler mandate.

Our building is constructed of concrete, with radiant heat throughout every unit and asbestos ceilings. Because of these conditions, the estimated cost to each of our 150 owners is approximately **\$300,000 per unit** — a catastrophic amount that many simply cannot finance. Seniors living on fixed incomes may lose their homes.

I am one of the fortunate seniors who worked for more than 30 years and saved carefully for a modest, frugal retirement. This mandate would profoundly and permanently alter those plans.

If required to proceed:

- My retirement savings would be cut nearly in half.
- I could not recoup the \$300,000 by selling; my property value has already declined by more than 25% following press about the mandate, and sales in our building have effectively stopped.
- During construction, I would need to relocate, and finding affordable temporary housing in San Francisco would be extremely difficult.
- After completion, ceiling heights would be reduced from 8 feet to approximately 7 feet 1 inch to accommodate the sprinklers — further diminishing property values.
- With such losses, purchasing another home in the Bay Area would likely be out of reach. I could lose my home of 15 years and the community I have built here.

This is not an investment property. It is my home.

I respectfully ask you to vote in favor of the proposed fire code amendment that includes five-year extensions to the compliance deadlines. The extension is a necessary first step — though not a final solution — for the thousands of residents facing similar consequences.

When this mandate was passed in 2023, there was no meaningful outreach to homeowners and no updated analysis of financial hardship or displacement since 2016. I urge you to commission that analysis now so future decisions are informed by a full understanding of their impact.

I worked for three decades to secure a modest retirement in the city I love. I am simply

asking for the opportunity to remain in my home.

Respectfully,
Ramona Joy Rideout
66 Cleary Court, Apt 1401

"An ounce of data is worth a thousand pounds of opinion."

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:50:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Espinosa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:22:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Carver

From: [Jill Turner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247: My Comments
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:15:54 PM
Attachments: [66 CC -- Fire sprinkler mandate letter-FV -- 2-23-26 Meeting -- Bd of Supervisors.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello --

As a long-time owner at 66 Cleary Court, please find attached my comments on the onerous fire sprinkler mandate that is the subject of the meeting on February 23, 2026.

Sincerely,
Jill S. Matousek Turner
415-850-8753 cell

JILL MATOUSEK TURNER
1874 OAK CIRCLE
YOUNTVILLE, CA 94599
415-850-8753 / jillturnerpr@gmail.com
Owner: 66 Cleary Court, #1201, San Francisco, CA 94109

February 17, 2026

TO: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org (via email: 1) for the record, 2) in anticipation of the February 23, 2026, meeting, and 3) to share with interested parties)

SUBJECT: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

Dear sirs/madams:

I am a longtime owner at 66 Cleary Court, condominiums built in 1963. I purchased my condominium – #1201 – in December 1986, and lived there for over 30 years. In 2019, my husband and I moved to Napa Valley due to deteriorating living conditions in San Francisco, then COVID, and I rented my condominium to others. I learned not long ago of the fire sprinkler mandate to retrofit Cleary Court. I could not object more vehemently. This letter updates my two previous communications to various San Francisco officials, beginning December 10, 2025.

While I absolutely want all residents and firemen (and police officers) to be safe at work and at home, this is an absurdly expensive solution to address a very rare problem – at least at Cleary Court. While I cannot speak to the appropriateness of the fire sprinkler mandate for other residences, I strongly believe this ham-handed approach is not necessary for Cleary Court.

Here is why:

1. There have been just two fires at Cleary Court since 2012, so an average of one every 6-1/2 years. Looking online for records of fires at Cleary Court, I see just two: One on July 25, 2012, and another on August 16, 2025. (Note I called for fire records at 415-920-2933 and spoke with Charlie: he noted that fire records were digitized in 2010 and many records were lost in the 1989 earthquake, so records are not complete. I have since filled out a Fire Report Request form and sent it to FireRequests@sfgov.org. Their reply confirms information is only available from 2010 on.)

2. This Joseph Eicher building is made of heavy concrete. "...The architectural firm of Jones + Emmons designed the 18-story high rise building located at 66 Cleary Court. This structure consists of 15 stories of living space and 3 levels for tenant parking. The building features 150 units, mostly 3 bedroom homes ranging in size from 1,100-1,200 square feet. All units feature radiant heat, private balconies or terraces **as well as 8-inch thick, load bearing concrete walls** that help minimize

noise between units...” (See: <https://www.eichlerforsale.com/cathedral-hill-eichler/>) In fact, I further understand that Cleary Court is a Class 1, fire-resistant building built with non-combustible materials like protected steel, in addition to reinforced concrete.

3. Concrete is one of the most fire-resistance construction materials available. This addresses my AI question asking “Is concrete fire resistant?” “...Conclusion: While not completely indestructible, concrete is one of the most fire-resistant construction materials available, providing significant protection by not burning and slowing the spread of fire. Its durability in a fire depends on the specific mix and conditions, but its resistance is far superior to many other building materials.”

4. Furthermore, from an owner’s perspective, the anticipated, staggering cost of up to \$300,000 is likely to be untenable for most owners and puts them at risk of losing their homes.

This is true of those who purchased their units decades ago and are now retired and on fixed incomes – and is particularly onerous for them. However, it is also true for younger residents, who perhaps are now working for Meta or AI companies where a \$300,000 bite out of a \$500,000 salary is still A LOT! This is an EXTRAORDINARY expense for any homeowner, anywhere! This of course includes Cleary Court, where the deteriorating livability of San Francisco in the past few years under previous city leadership has dramatically cut real estate values. (Think of this for YOU, dear reader: how would you feel if this vast expenditure were in front of you?)

5. Moreover, that huge cost is not even the end of the expenses. According to various reports, residents would need to move out of their homes while the retrofit work was underway. So regardless of whether one lived in one’s unit or rented it out, there are numerous additional costs associated with this project – making a bad situation even worse. According to “Rental Market Trends” for San Francisco on [Zumper.com](https://www.zumper.com/rent-research/san-francisco-ca) (<https://www.zumper.com/rent-research/san-francisco-ca>) as of January 2026, the average cost of one-bedroom housing is \$3,500 per month, and the average cost of a two-bedroom is \$4,920. So assuming the need for housing during the fire sprinkler retrofit for, say three to six months, the additional cost ranges from \$10,500 to \$29,520. Moreover, that is at today’s rental prices, not those in the future and/or when rental demand spikes due to this project as thousands of similarly impacted San Francisco residents are displaced.

6. In fact, house values in San Francisco have gone down significantly in the past few years, while costs and special assessments have gone up dramatically. Our Cleary Court neighbors sold their home several years ago for \$1.2 million – now condominiums are on the market for 25% less! And the fire sprinkler mandate, if implemented, is sure to cause many more sales, and at declining prices, as owners – angry, upset, scared, and panicking – try to sell and get out before values fall even further or the home sales market is glutted. This is WRONG on so many levels. To receive a possible charge for \$300,000 for a home that might sell for \$800,000-\$900,00 (and with minimal perceived added value for that \$300,000) is truly a disservice to homeowners who purchased in good faith a San Francisco home they could afford.

I am sure many owners at Cleary Court would prefer to risk a highly unlikely fire rather than lose their home, equity, financial security, and well-being due to this well-intentioned, but very misguided solution to a problem that rarely exists, at least at Cleary Court. It should also be noted that Cleary Court owners paid not long ago over \$33,000 for a variety of repairs, plus several thousand dollars last year for balcony repairs. Furthermore, I now understand updating the fire alarm system, an imminent requirement in 2026 also imposed by the city, will cost each owner another \$7,450! And this despite the fact that the building already has hard-wired fire alarms that are extremely loud (as I remember from the regular fire drills held in the building).

6. Owners affected by this mandate have not had meaningful or sufficient input on this matter.

This may be wrong – and I hope it is. But I understand that the many owners impacted by this mandate were not consulted on this issue – and apparently outreach to affected parties was minimal at best. If true, this is wrong on many levels: that owners and voters who elected San Francisco officials to act on their behalf and in residents’ best interests did not participate in discussions on this major mandate. Rather, it seems that city officials and/or related employees – perhaps those in power in the past – have made a spectacularly expensive decision, unilaterally, without necessary input from their constituents and neighbors who will bear the financial brunt of their decisions. In fact, at \$300,000 per unit, the cost to retrofit Cleary Court is \$45 million dollars! Even at half that, the cost is outrageous!

7. Mayor Lurie was elected for change – to make the city vibrant once again, and to reduce the cost of living, bureaucracy and complexity of city government.

San Franciscans, particularly those of us who pay the city’s hefty tax bills and other costs, want and deserve to live in the beautiful place we once knew – an affordable city with safe and clean streets where those who work hard can get ahead. I read the Mayor is doing good job on those voter mandates – bravo! – and I hope the Board of Supervisors shares these goals. However, the cost of this fire sprinkler ordinance goes completely in the wrong direction of these objectives – bringing back the despair of recent years and now the risk of displacement and financial insolvency or ruin for many homeowners.

It is for all these reasons that I respectfully request this fire sprinkler mandate be terminated, once and for all.

Sincerely,



Jill Matousek Turner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:08:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dawna Deason

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 12:21:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Krummenacker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 12:20:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Richard Custer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 12:01:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rachelle Yongvanich

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:57:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Wyrsh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:17:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lisa Wandzilak

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:15:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Blatman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 11:00:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elvis Isagholi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:53:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Richard WEAVER

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:50:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Caroline Kahn Werboff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:49:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joseph McFadden

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:46:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ron Boyd

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:45:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eduardo Rodriguez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lawrence Chan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:32:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephen Dalton

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:31:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wilson Lee

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:31:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Fisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:31:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Art Lasky

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:14:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karina Velasquez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:12:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Yedi Wong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 10:08:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sean Moore

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Stephanie Lehman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:56:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Bonalanza

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:55:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Armando Marrero

From: [susan_pasley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:42:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am the owner of a studio apartment in the Hamilton building in the Tenderloin. My building is affected by the proposed fire sprinkler retrofit requirement in the updated 2022 fire code, even though it is a Class 1A construction, fire-resistant building constructed with non-combustible materials like reinforced concrete, steel and plaster.

The Fire Code rules would require property owners to install sprinkler systems that could cost as much as \$300,000 per homeowner, as well as displacing residents while work is done. When the City passed the mandate in 2022, they did so without any proper outreach to owners and residents in my building and community. They also did so without updated estimates on the financial burden to residents or the effects of displacement, lead or asbestos remediation.

This mandate would result in an overwhelming hardship to me personally. I live on a very modest fixed income and simply could not handle the cost of installing a sprinkler system. I have lived in San Francisco for 60 years, but would be forced to sell my property (no doubt at a loss) and abandon the city that has been my home for decades. I am hoping that all of you, as Supervisors, will support long-term residents who make up the community and have contributed to the life of the city over the years.

I am requesting that you vote to pass the proposed new fire code with the five-year extensions to the compliance deadlines. This will allow the City and homeowners like me the necessary time to understand and address the impact of the fire sprinkler mandate. Most importantly, I'm also asking for further investigation into alternate solutions to the mandate.

Thank you for your consideration,

Susan Pasley

631 O'Farrell St, Unit 1010

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:38:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vincent Tetreault

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:28:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Curt Renfro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:10:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Hill

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:08:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

CHRISTOPHER STYNER

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 9:02:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Johnston

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:57:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Castillo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:55:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Holger Banski

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:50:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Mitchell Smith

From: [Steve Dalton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 8:20:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I am the owner of a studio condominium in the historic Hamilton building in the Tenderloin. The Hamilton is affected by the proposed fire sprinkler retrofit requirement in the updated 2022 fire code, even though it is a Class 1A fire-resistant structure built with non-combustible materials such as reinforced concrete, steel, and plaster.

I am 62 years old and expect to retire within the next couple of years. Like many long-time San Francisco residents, I will soon be living on a fixed retirement income. The financial impact of this mandate is therefore deeply concerning to me personally. Estimates suggest that required sprinkler retrofits could cost as much as \$300,000 per homeowner or more, creating an overwhelming financial burden and potentially displacing many residents who have worked for decades to remain in this city.

When the mandate was adopted in 2022, many property owners and residents in buildings such as mine were not fully aware of the potential financial consequences, nor were there updated estimates regarding the true costs, possible displacement, or remediation issues such as lead or asbestos. As someone approaching retirement, unexpected costs of this magnitude could significantly affect my ability to remain in my home and maintain financial stability in the years ahead.

I respectfully request that you support passage of the proposed fire code update that includes the five-year extensions to the compliance deadlines. This extension would provide homeowners, residents, and the City the time necessary to fully evaluate the real impacts of the mandate and to explore practical alternative approaches that maintain safety while avoiding severe financial hardship.

Thank you for your consideration and for your service to the residents of San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Dalton
631 O'Farrell Street, Unit 811
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 3:08:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gurinder Singh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:38:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gilbert Jenkins

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 1:28:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bryce Yoshioka

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 17, 2026 12:36:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Diego Naranjo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:45:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Randall Brooks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:50:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joseph Kelleher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:43:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Gardner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:21:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Rodriguez

From: [Ave Seltsam](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, February 19, 2026 11:23:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Ave Seltsam

Email aveseltsam@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Ave Seltsam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:10:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nicholas Noriega

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:36:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alex silva

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Larry Barsetti

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:23:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Armando Mendez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:11:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Hart

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:08:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Camille Camarena

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mike Marcu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:00:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shane Yamashita

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:55:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Candice Dunn

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:52:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mindy Bratton

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:52:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rodney Farren

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:52:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco’s high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Quiroz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:50:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sandra Farren

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:47:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Rauls

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:23:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Arthur Naya

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:06:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

robin Piccone

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:02:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carla Reynolds

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:47:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeff Kogen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:42:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rebecca White

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:27:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

james murphy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:54:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lavynia Parker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:47:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kenneth Hathaway

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:35:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William Huffman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:58:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michelle Azimi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:57:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Neil Gardner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:28:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Larry Scott

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Debbie Carlson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:26:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ernie Byal

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jon Rockmore

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:16:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kent Heckethorn

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:16:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mora Gorin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:00:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Debbie Sabori

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Boal

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:28:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joe Shelton

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:27:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Trochim

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:05:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Paul Alvarez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:01:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Pelletier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:01:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kevin Brown

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:57:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Diane Margison

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:52:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bill Bennett

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:48:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Angela Hussein

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Payne

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:37:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Irene Meleski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:30:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

EDWARD Platero

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:26:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Greg Beals

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:18:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William Wallace

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:16:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rose Martinez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:08:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Delphine Arzouman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:57:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Debra Mann

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:53:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Cox

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:47:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Julia Tosti

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:46:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ralph Klein

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:37:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephen Laminack

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:37:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Art Lasky

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeffrey Morgan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:17:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tim Harding

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:12:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tim Hurley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:05:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christian Murphy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:01:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Herlinda Hernandez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:01:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

travis valles

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:54:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Raymond Garcia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:52:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alexandria Garcia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:46:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Laura Zierhut

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:42:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Florence Holt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:35:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cindy Mcdaniel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:35:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

THOMAS McKELVEY

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:33:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Matthew Bejanian

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:26:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Swift

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:21:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dale Howard

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:04:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marci Pittman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:56:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

david kemp

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Phillip Trella

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:53:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Terence De Ruyter

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:49:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Richard Sanders

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:42:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco’s high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Felix Mendelson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:38:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nathan White

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:35:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gerald Bajek

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:30:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Teleso Satele

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:22:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robin Campos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:21:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robin Campos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Don Lasir

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:12:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Tong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:02:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christina Enriquez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:54:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Melissa Wong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:49:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dian Tardiff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:48:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bryan Lemon

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:47:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Forest Muther

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:46:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alan DuBoff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:44:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Steiner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:41:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

SCOTT BAXTER

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:27:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Chad Haines

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:27:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eddy St

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 11:01:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Melissa Clark

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:53:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

daisy van

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:46:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Wilhelmson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:44:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lawrence MILLER

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:43:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jose Torres

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:43:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

john Glenn

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:36:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Walker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:34:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

JAMES STAUNTON

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:32:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ellenor Bovay

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:30:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sandra Gullotto

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:30:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Gullotto

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:26:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ken Campbell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:19:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Cooper

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 10:05:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brian Isleib

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:58:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephen Hugi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:54:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vincent Ortega

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:52:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joseph Pecoraro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:48:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Kushner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:48:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

George Langkafel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:48:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mary Williams

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:48:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mary Williams

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:47:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michelle Langkafel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:47:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Dietrich

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:43:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cletus Carter

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:40:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Hallock

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:36:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Arlitt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:35:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jennifer Martin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:29:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kristin Bingaman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:26:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Randall Low

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:24:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gary Anderson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:21:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

A. Rodriguez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:16:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anthony Eichele

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:10:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gina Verni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:10:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Williams

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:08:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gina Verni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:07:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Randy Nunnink

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 9:04:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bill Babbs

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:53:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Emberly Blake

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:52:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wm Smith

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:45:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Twanna Oliver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:43:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Twanna Oliver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:37:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Augensen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:34:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Oxford

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:31:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

russell cabaniss

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:24:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas Andrews

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:23:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kim Sousa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:10:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anthony olmos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:07:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Charnow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 8:04:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brent Brodowski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:51:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Maribeth Reece

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:42:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Emery Wilson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:41:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vincent Awbrey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:39:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Riner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:39:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gerald Lovatt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:31:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Haynes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:25:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Deborah Kushner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:12:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Erika Montoya

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:09:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

elly dodson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:07:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

dennis dodson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 7:02:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

chad caselli

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:51:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dontavius Haul

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:48:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Erika Montoya

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:43:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kenneth Herzog

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:42:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Juan Estrada

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:40:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

daniel barraza

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:35:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tracy Reynolds

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:35:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marian Putnam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:33:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charlie Brown

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:25:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jefferson Kraft

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:24:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Augustine Ramirez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:07:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Juan Martinez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:06:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Del Soldato

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 6:03:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lisha Gordon

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:58:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anne Fung

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:52:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Martha Coloma

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:51:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Guy Crauwels

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:51:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Coloma

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:50:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Reina Sandoval

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:45:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Carver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:35:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David J Bray

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:35:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Debra Hurtado

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:32:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joan Starks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:30:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eddie galstjan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:26:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nathan Sontag

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:23:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Goodwin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:13:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

D Seavey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:12:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sue Bruce

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:07:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Zac Lampson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 5:01:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Cassidy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:51:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Baker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:42:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elise Ortiz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:22:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Darryl Crider

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 4:08:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lisa Kisling

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:53:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

william marszal

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:14:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cheri Galecki

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:12:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

matt bell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:10:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dianne Bartkus

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 3:07:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Victor Vasquez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:46:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas Rossebo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:21:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Stoddard

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 2:06:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steven Velasquez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:31:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

J Danjou

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:29:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Lucht

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:18:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alan Mortenson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:18:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Zee Quesadillo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 1:01:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Whitmer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:52:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Rosenblad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:31:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

gilbert gallegos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:26:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bruce Hampton

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:23:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeff Taylor

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:18:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

AI Ueda

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:17:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sean Levingston

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 16, 2026 12:07:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Peter Vavaroutsos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Cano

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:48:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dennis Hartman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:42:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Curtis

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:41:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roberto Cantu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:40:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Viet Nguyen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:25:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Kelsey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:25:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Kelsey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:25:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Kessel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:25:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Randy Garcia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:23:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steven Crum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:16:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christian Bacilio

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:07:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Greg Sarafian

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:04:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jose Mendoza

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 11:04:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dara Alvarez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:59:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robb Klein

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:54:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Copeland

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:54:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

MARK CROCKER

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:50:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rhonda Reeder Perez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:49:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas Jones

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:49:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mike Pickering

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:47:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Sicotte

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Omar Sanchez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:44:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Hart

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:42:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joe Napolitano

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:27:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sally Mitchell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:25:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

brian long

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:20:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Wakefield

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:17:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Minna Albagli

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:17:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Frederick Swafford

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:14:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Harmon

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:12:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

JV Glaser

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:10:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Krummenacker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:02:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

K. Reschke

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:02:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Steiner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 10:00:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dennis Myers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 9:55:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Josefina Brooks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 8:03:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anita Lo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 7:56:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dianne Weaver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 7:27:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rachel Michael

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 6:12:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anthony Navarro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 4:54:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Leah Johnson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 4:49:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joy Liu

From: [Suzee Barrabee](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 4:03:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: The SF Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie

I am writing to you regarding the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit requirement. I am a single woman close to retirement and I am living in my dream home. I saved all my life to be able to buy a place that I feel happy and safe in. I do consider myself rich in the things that matter – a comfortable, happy home in a welcoming and close-knit community. However, I am definitely not wealthy enough to be able to absorb a \$200,000+ assessment to cover the cost of the fire sprinkler retrofit plus the possible costs of having to move out during the installation.

I do understand the concern for fire safety, however, is this one solution right for all affected buildings? It does not seem as if other considerations were taken into account when drafting this proposal. Physical safety is of course, important, however, this greatly affects people's financial well-being and ability to afford and stay in their own homes. It truly is a hardship for all income levels. And I am personally worried and scared how this will affect my home, my neighbors and my future.

I encourage you to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings and collaborate with homeowners, tenants and their representatives to find an alternative, noninvasive solution that will protect residents and visitors.

Best,

Suzee Barrabee
999 Green Street

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 2:53:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rita Schmid

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 9:19:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Teresina Tenorio

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 8:07:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bistra Bejanski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 1:09:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dolly Chammas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 11:34:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Oleg Verbitski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 6:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tina Low-Lee

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 5:30:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mindelle Kershner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 3:32:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Allan Cadgene

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 11:56:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Uli Bello

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 7:37:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

ussama freij

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 14, 2026 7:26:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Margo Goldman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 10:39:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Clay Gjevre

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 10:03:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ari Shpan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 8:47:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Frykman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 7:54:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Louis Saroni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 6:52:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Hermogenes Almonte

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 6:22:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eileen Mougeot

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 6:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Lim

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 6:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dennis Shea

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 5:47:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cheryl Villanueva

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 5:19:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Richard Caceres

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 5:12:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dorian Faust

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 4:38:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gabrielle Bunker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 4:21:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Genevieve Quick

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 4:12:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gregory Fontenot

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 4:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jenny Gelbard

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 3:55:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

marjorie brand

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 3:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jing Fang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 3:06:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

jaime watson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Travis Hale

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:39:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Irina Varum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:36:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gary Varum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:34:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jacqueline NeJaime

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:33:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gary Briggs

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:28:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

joyce glick

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:21:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Miros Rodriguez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Yaohong Yang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:19:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jim Fisher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brian Boisson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:17:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Butch Haze

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James White

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:14:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrew Black

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:13:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cédric Tchante

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:12:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Monica Pauli

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:12:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alexander Varum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Ranum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:10:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Link

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:09:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Frank Villanueva

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:08:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Voss

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:08:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mike Machado

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:07:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jenn Davis

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:03:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Voss

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:03:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Traig McKnight

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 2:02:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Renee Voss

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 1:06:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Max Isaacman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 13, 2026 12:46:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jason Gorski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 12, 2026 7:09:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christine Irsfeld

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 12, 2026 4:31:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Werboff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 12, 2026 1:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Shaw

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 5:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kevin Kropp

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 3:09:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Milan Jezdmirovic

From: [Nora Rooney](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 2:43:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Nora Rooney

Email norarooney26@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Nora Rooney

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 12:29:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rita Schmid

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:36:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Chuck Heaver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:36:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Parrish

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:35:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brooke Lau

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:27:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Maria Del Rosario-Cuevas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:15:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Laena Wilder

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:15:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joseph Frank

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:14:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Greggory Onzo-Tasher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 11, 2026 10:13:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christine Luciano

From: [Jay Elliott](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 8:14:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Jay Elliott

Email jayelliott415@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Jay Elliott

From: [B Tullis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement file 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 12:29:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We live at 1000 North Point St. (Fontana East) #1708. Our building is concrete-rebar construction with three elevators. There is a concrete fire escape stairs at the east and west ends of building. Each elevator lobby has easy access to south concrete walkways to these fire escape stairs. All apartments are constructed with steel (not wood) and Sheetrock and front doors are fire-rated composite.

The mandatory SFFD retrofit was improperly done without giving residents the opportunity for required input. If our building is forced to do such mandatory work our association fees will be beyond our means and force us out. Additionally, we will likely then be unable to sell our unit, therefore be unable to buy another place to live. Last, if we had to move out for 1-3 months during unit retrofit our income will not cover hotel in addition to monthly Fontana East Assessments. Please consider senior citizens that may become homeless if this mandate is not stopped.

Regards, Bryan and Marina Tullis

Sent from my iPad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 10:19:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Erich Sonnberger

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 10:17:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ed Haddad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 10:16:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tina Shih

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 8:50:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rose Preciado

From: [stoop safe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Resident and Registered San Francisco Voter
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 7:05:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Concerned 1200 Gough Resident and Registered San Francisco Voter
John Kawamoto

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 12:30:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Harvey Young

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 6:28:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

phillip tsang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 5:38:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Maggie Wu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 3:25:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tina Wong

From: [marc de Latour](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 12:06:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear [Recipient Name],

My name is Marc de Latour. I am a lifelong Bay Area native and a resident owner at 1200 Gough Street.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the current sprinkler mandate. This requirement places an undue financial burden on hardworking San Francisco homeowners during an already fragile condo market.

Requiring every unit in a reinforced concrete building to undergo extensive drilling is impractical and unnecessary. Our building has a low fire failure due to its three-foot-thick concrete walls and the absence of nearby flammable vegetation. The current mandate lacks the nuance necessary to distinguish between high-risk wood structures and low-risk concrete buildings. We can't nanny every possible outcome that makes the financial realities of existing in capitalism untenable.

As tax-paying homeowners already struggling with the cost of living in this city, we ask that you reconsider this mandate. It needs to be rethought to reflect the structural realities of different buildings and the financial impact on residents.

Best regards,

Marc de Latour

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 11:07:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Althea Kippes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 11:02:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

MICKELIN BURNES-BROWNE

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 11:01:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Miller

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 10:34:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Lee McKim

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 10:33:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carmen Carr

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 10:33:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ramin Ekhtiar

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 10:21:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Laden

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 9, 2026 9:27:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Curt Cournale

From: [kristen.fenech](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247; 1200 Gough, Owner
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 7:07:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a 20 year owner and 24 year resident at 1200 Gough, I'm surprised and incredulous to understand the Fire Commissioner's intention to include our building in the above mandate. In addition to a concrete exterior and core, our unit's walls are almost entirely solid concrete (making hanging anything challenging), with two separate concrete/steel staircases; there is a fire hose on each floor and all units have large balconies for additional escape, as well as 24/7 door personnel and security cameras, smoke detectors and annunciators.

Risk is a personal and communal choice, and whilst as a risk-averse person myself, I may go to greater efforts to avoid personal and financial threats than my neighbor, I am comfortable living in my building knowing that if my neighbor happens to have a kitchen fire, it will not spread to other units before it is detected by our smoke detectors, by the smell, or sight in such a densely populated building. The concrete will slow any spread. The risk, however, of water damage from sprinklers automatically being deployed mistakenly does concern me.

Of course, disaster can strike, for example my great great grandmother owned a boarding house on 2nd Street in 1906...it burned down, as did much of that part of the city with stretched resources and wood buildings. I myself was completing my nursing degree in NYC on 9/11/2001 when two jets hit the Twin Towers...these are both once in a generation or two freak catastrophes which no amount of safeguarding could have prevented. In a building such as 1200 Gough, can you imagine anything short of the above scenarios which would cause the entire building to be engulfed in flames given the composition and current safeguards? ***I would invite you to come tour our building and individual units to understand exactly why a sprinkler mandate makes no sense in our building***...a fire cannot spread between units, short of an explosion that involved jet fuel, etc.

With so much low hanging fruit causing so much risk to residents on our very sidewalks, I hope this board realizes it's constituency would benefit enormously both physically and psychologically if the city would focus upon removing and arresting the impaired drug addicts and dealers on the blocks around our building, the ones who light regular fires on sidewalks, in bushes and in playgrounds, light our trash bin at our bus stop on fire, break into cars, access electricity from street lights, and threaten and sometimes assault us as we walk in our neighborhood, as well as defecate, leave needles and garbage absolutely everywhere...this is

not how this neighborhood has always been, and the fact that when we report it to police or 311 we do not get response adds insult upon injury. Project Homeless Connect and the Oasis Hotel have both brought this to our neighborhood, and have zero accountability to us.

In the mean time, please rescind this onerous, impossible mandate for our building...it makes no sense.

Thank you,

Kristen Fenech
1200 Gough 23D

From: [Usha and John Burns](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 5:07:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Usha and John Burns

Email Johnmburns48@yahoo.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Usha and John Burns

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 2:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Janet Fong

From: [Michael Bosse](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 2:02:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I've lived at 1200 Gough Street for 25 years in a high rise apartment. My wife's dad was a fireman. He was nervous about us living above the 7th floor (max reach for a ladder truck) and we always reassured him of our safety because our building is concrete, including interior walls, with two fully separate fire escape stairs with metal staircases. This is the safest possible set up in case of a fire, much more resilient than modern buildings that the city permits today and which are only required to have 2 hour rated materials surrounding their fire escapes.

I understand that our building (Cathedral Hill Tower) at 1200 Gough is listed as supporting this new requirement to install interior sprinklers on our building. Let me be clear, we do not support this.

The ordinance is unnecessary and a poor use of resources at a time when street conditions that the city has let deteriorate mean many buildings are vulnerable to fires lit by those in our streets intent on arson.

In our neighborhood multiple small fires are called in weekly, many lit next to buildings. We feel very fortunate that our building has a completely inflammable concrete exterior and 24 hour staff with video surveillance. Poor St Marks church nearby is not so lucky and has suffered major damage to its front doors.

What the city and the fire chief should be doing is addressing the urgent problem of street fires before people are seriously hurt instead of this effort which further demoralizes condo owners at a tough time to be a condo owner in SF. Two weeks ago I called in a street fire that I watched start - an accelerant was used and twenty foot flames kept up next to a multi story building. The fire dept responded promptly but no one ever followed up with me to ask for facts or conduct an investigation to hold people responsible. How many dangerous acts of arson will go uninvestigated before there is a serious incident? Why are we spending so much time and money on a much more remote risk while the city burns in front of us. Let's not replicate Emperor Nero.

Please:

1. act promptly to clarify in writing that 1200 Gough is not subject to this ordinance.
2. Amend the ordinance to only apply to buildings where the cost benefit truly justified such an expensive and risky retrofit.
3. Prioritize police and fire collaborating to arrest and prosecute those lighting dangerous fires on our streets. Confiscate accelerants found on the street and interdict those buying them with the intent of arson.

Sincerely,

Michael
1200 Gough Street

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 11:00:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rowland Wing

From: [Vera Loveday](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, February 8, 2026 8:52:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Vera Loveday

Email vera.loveday@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Vera Loveday

From: [Yvonne Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 10:51:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Yvonne Lin
Email yglin@alum.mit.edu

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste.

Sincerely,

From: [Ju Ran Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: Mandatory fire Sprinkler
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 5:27:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been living my place for over 35 years and my step father has been living this home for 45 years. This mandatory fire sprinkler makes us losing our sleep since last year. We are possibly losing our home in the future for financial difficulties.

If not revised, this mandate requires that:

- By January 1, 2027, we must provide permit-ready drawings for the sprinkler installation, meaning that we will have to engage fire safety consultants right away to prepare plans, meet with the fire department and building inspectors, and negotiate water and power supply with the PUC and PG&E. This will cost approximately \$30,000-\$75,000 next year.
By January 1, 2031, each building must have installed the system-mandated infrastructure, including plumbing, pumps, backup power, and connections to water and power. An estimate of this work is in the range of \$1-\$3 million per building. There would also be ongoing costs for maintaining the system and providing power 24x7.
- By January 1, 2035 each building must have installed the mandated sprinklers in every unit. An estimate of the cost of this last step is in the range of \$100,000 to \$300,000 per unit. As a concrete building, with 3 bedroom units, we can expect our costs to be on the high end of the range.
- In a building like ours with concrete 8' tall ceilings, code-required earthquake brackets for holding sprinkler pipes below the ceiling, we would mean we will have 11" minimum soffits to cover the sprinkler pipes in corridors and inside units. This would bring our ceiling heights in these soffit locations to 7" which is below the height required by code to be considered "Living space".
- Temporary relocation will likely be needed due to the construction and the required asbestos and lead remediation involved.

Please help us
Find a better solution.
Thank you.

From: [Alison B. Stanley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 2:48:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure.

As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting at 1:30pm.

Thank you very much,

Alison Stanley, Cathedral Hill Tower

1200 Gough Street, 8-D

San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 10:36:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dona Crowder

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 10:23:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mabel Young

From: [Allison Jacobs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 9:15:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: The SF Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie

I am writing to plead with you to do two things:

1. Accept the 5 year delay in at least the first two deadlines for the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit requirement, and
2. Take the time to hold TAC meetings and get a BLA report on this mandate.

As a Board member and President of the 2200 Pacific HOA for the past 5 years, I am acutely aware of how distraught homeowners and tenants are about this fire sprinkler mandate. Why might this issue be such an extreme crisis for them?

1. Most of them are on fixed income. Those homeowners who are younger, stretched to afford a unit in our building. Both of these groups **cannot afford the cost of a sprinkler mandate**. Among those who are between these two age groups, few can afford the \$200-300K per unit cost for the sprinkler mandate. After all, they already have to pay for property taxes, their mortgage, HOA dues (which are higher for older buildings), insurance, utilities, and other high costs of living.
2. Because of the **lead and asbestos** in our building all residents would have to **move out for the fire sprinkler retrofit**, which is likely to take 1 year or more. That represents additional housing expenses on top of the cost of the sprinkler retrofit. It's also unbelievably daunting to many of our oldest residents who have expected to never have to move from their homes which they have always planned to stay in for the rest of their lives.
3. This sprinkler mandate represents the **third massive fire system expense that the SFFD has imposed on our building in the last 5 years** or so!
 1. First we were required by SFFD to update our main fire alarm system, and elevate all fire alarm pull stations **in 2019-2022** in order to be allowed to update our aging elevator machinery.
 2. **Now we are in the middle replacing that brand new system** and spending \$1.3 million to comply with the City-mandated upgrade to our fire alarm sounders to meet the new code for 75 decibels at every potential sleeping location and to also install an ERRCS system to boost fire fire-fighter radio transmission capability, and
 3. **Now also** SFFD and the City are requiring this fire sprinkler mandate.
4. Our building has **concrete floors and concrete ceilings, which are among the most fire safe construction types**. Our ceilings are just 8 feet above the floors. **Fire sprinklers with the required earthquake brackets would force a 14" drop** in our ceiling height in both the corridors and in the individual units. That means our **ceiling heights would be 6'10", which is below code for "living spaces"**. (Code height for most living spaces is 7'6".) The sprinkler mandate is completely unreasonable because of this.
5. Even if it is structurally feasible, which is not a certainty, **drilling holes throughout our structural concrete** is a risk to our buildings, as would be the potential leaks from extensive additional fire water piping.

This mandate is not justified by the safety record in the 126 buildings on your fire sprinkler retrofit list. Over the last 60 years, there has only been on fire in these buildings that caused any injury, and zero deaths.

This mandate is being pushed by "Labor" and is effectively a form of extortion, given the lack of safety record to justify its exorbitant expense. **It's one of the most extreme examples of how the City of San Francisco is unaffordable.** No other city in the country has such a mandate.

Please don't do this to the residents in my building and the other 125 buildings in the city impacted by the mandate.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of all the facts and the human impact this unaffordable measure will have.

Allison Jacobs

From: [Rachael Clausen](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 9:02:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Rachael Clausen

Email r.clausen415@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Rachael Clausen

From: [Edward Fisch](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 8:39:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Edward Fisch

Email efisch116@comcast.net

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Edward Fisch

From: [ANDREW NADELL](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 1:05:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent ANDREW NADELL

Email caius@caius.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Andrew T. Nadell, M.D.

ANDREW NADELL

From: [Margie Rogerson](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 10:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Margie Rogerson

Email goldberrys@aol.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Margie Rogerson

From: [Michael Vallejos](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 9:32:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Michael Vallejos

Email michaelvallejoss@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners, tenants, or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Additionally, these costs won't just be absorbed by building owners. These costs will be passed on to tenants as well. Let's do better by all residents of SF.

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new

fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Michael Vallejos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 6:32:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shane Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 6:31:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Devlin Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 6:31:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ocelia Stanley

From: [Patrick Francis](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 6:02:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Patrick Francis

Email unaguitarra@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Patrick Francis

From: [Lonna Denny](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 5:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Lonna Denny

Email lonnadenny@sbcglobal.net

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Lonna Denny

From: [Jennifer Moffitt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 3:35:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concern and opposition to the sprinkler retrofit mandate, which affects 126 buildings throughout the city and approximately 15,000 city residents. I am one of those who would be affected. A public school teacher, I own a studio apartment in the Hamilton in the Tenderloin, one of these affected buildings.

I ask you to reverse this mandate or to create an exemption for these buildings unless they undertake a *major* systemic renovation. Even before the costs of relocating during a sprinkler retrofit are calculated, the estimated expense for these retrofits is between \$10,000 and a staggering \$300,000 per unit . These added expenses would probably lead to me having to lose my home. I am a 35-year resident of San Francisco who has been working as a teacher in San Francisco Unified since 1998. I have scrimped and saved and led a life of careful financial responsibility, including by making use of the Mayor's Office of Housing program in order to buy my condo. My condo is a significant part of the plan I have been building to enable me to teach in this city and to live in this city on retirement, probably continuing to serve the school district as an overqualified substitute teacher.

Then just sell the condo and leave, you might say, perhaps? This retrofit mandate increases the risk that property values will continue to decrease in my building. They have already fallen by over 30% since the pandemic, a reflection of the frequently appalling conditions in a neighborhood that has suffered city neglect. A resident of another building shared at a December 11 meeting on this sprinkler mandate that three sales of units in his building had fallen through in recent weeks due to the requirement to disclose the possibility of this astronomically expensive mandate. Decline in property values directly impacts city tax revenue—many of us already negotiated to have our property value lowered and thus pay lower taxes last year. On top of that, I don't even know what would happen to my MoHCD Downpayment Assistance Loan in these circumstances.

This regulation was mandated without a transparent process, no feasibility studies, and with

no homeowner input. Why don't homeowners and taxpayers have a say in this? Instead, only building unions have been given the opportunity to weigh in on these requirements. Further, a 2016 study for the Board of Supervisors, which indicated there would be financial hardship and provided alternatives, did not appear to have been considered in the decision-making

Please vote, at the very least, to extend the timeline for the mandate, to reverse this mandate or to create the exemption noted above.

Thank you for your time,

Jennifer L. Moffitt

631 O'Farrell St. Unit 603

415-475-9653

From: [Aaliyah Yusuf](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 2:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Aaliyah Yusuf

Email aaliyahyusuf3@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Aaliyah Yusuf

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 2:18:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Victoria Kleemann

From: [richard.brandi](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 2:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent richard.brandi

Email rbrandi@earthlink.net

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

richard brandi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 11:59:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Flores

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 11:50:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dennis Shea

From: [Gary San Francisco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 10:10:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello to my Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to you to express my concern and opposition to the sprinkler retrofit mandate. This affects 126 buildings throughout the city and app 15,000 City residents. I own a one-bedroom apartment in the historic Hamilton building in the Tenderloin, one of these affected buildings.

I ask you to reverse this mandate or to create an exemption for these buildings unless they undertake a *major* renovation. Even before the adjacent costs are calculated, the estimated minimum expense will be tens (and maybe even hundreds) of thousands of dollars for my unit to have sprinklers in every room. As a homeowner in the Tenderloin, this added expense and construction is onerous, and may lead to my having to leave my home during the construction. And where am I to go?

Additionally, property values continue to decrease in my building due to this situation that lurks in the background. Who wants to buy a property that comes with this time bomb of disruption and expense? Values in the building have already fallen over 30% since the pandemic, a reflection of the conditions in a vulnerable neighborhood that has already borne the brunt of City neglect. This decline directly impacts the City's property tax revenue, and that should matter to you.

Finally, I'm deeply concerned that this regulation was mandated without a transparent process, no feasibility studies, and with no homeowner input. Why don't homeowners and taxpayers have a say in this? Instead, only building unions have been given the opportunity to weigh in on these requirements. So it seems clear who pulled the weight with the Board that voted in this ordinance.

Please, I urge you to either reverse this mandate or create the exemption I noted.

Thank you,
Gary W. Routt
Unit 1702

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 10:10:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas McCarthy

From: [Montalvo, Albert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Security Fire System Upgrades
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 9:02:07 AM
Attachments: [Outlook-53uvcoh2.png](#)
[Outlook-xgs3gcwi.png](#)
[SDS_OutdoorSensor.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Board of Supervisors,

I'm reaching out to check on the status of the City & County of San Francisco security upgrades at,

- DPH campus
- ZSFG campus
- SFPUC various sites
- County jails
- SFPD facilities
- Juvenile Justice analog camera upgrade
- And various other sites within the City of County of our lovely city.

If you're exploring other technologies, I'd be glad to share information on additional solutions, including a patented shooter detection system with active shooter intelligence. Please review the SDS Outdoor Sensor attachment. There is an indoor version.

Shooter Detection Systems is actively deployed across a wide range of large-scale SLED and critical infrastructure environments, including:

- A major international airport in the Los Angeles area
- A large city government in Maryland
- State deployments in Wisconsin, Rhode Island, and Delaware
- Major municipal implementations in cities such as Boston and Lakeland
- Large port authorities in both South Carolina and North Carolina
- Significant enterprise and public-sector environments in the NY and Chicago market

These deployments span municipal buildings, transportation hubs, ports, and other high-traffic public facilities, and they reflect both indoor and outdoor use cases where rapid detection and automated response are critical.

For context, Everon Solutions LLC is a national security and fire/life-safety provider with:

- 100+ locations nationwide
- Two company-owned, UL-listed monitoring centers

The National Strength You Want. The Local Knowledge You Need.™



everOn™

We support a wide range of services across enterprise and public-sector environments.

One Ideal Partner

Security and life safety solutions for standard to complex multisite and large-scale operations nationwide.

Security Solutions

- Access control systems
- Intrusion alarm systems and monitoring
- Video systems, remote monitoring, and analytics

Fire and Life Safety Solutions

- Fire alarm systems and monitoring
- Fire sprinkler and suppression systems*
- System testing and inspections
- Advanced smoke, heat, and gas detection systems
- Emergency communication (ERCES, BDA, DAS)
- Mass notification
- Ancillary services (emergency lights, extinguishers, fire and smoke dampers)



everOn™

*In select markets

Specialized Solutions

- ATM/ITM solutions*
- Safes and vaults - UL Listed
- Healthcare solutions
- Personnel and asset locating
- Security gates
- Wi-Fi enabled EAS
- Structured cabling for safety and security*

Services

- Systems integration
- 24/7/365 UL Listed monitoring
- Hosted and remote managed services
- Installation, service, and maintenance
- Testing and inspections
- Online customer platform
- Design engineering
- Analytics and reporting

everOn™

If this is of interest, I'd welcome the opportunity to connect—please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Albert Montalvo

Systems Integration Representative

Everon Solutions

4384 Enterprise Place, Fremont, CA 94538

E: albertmontalvo@everonsolutions.com

M: (925) 895-3649

www.everonsolutions.com

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 8:46:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Zarana Udani

From: [James Ruggiero](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 - 1200 Gough Street
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 7:56:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. **That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure.**

As a 65-year-old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialog on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if possible at 1:30PM.

-James Ruggiero
1200 Gough Street 4B
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 7:44:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Laurel Myers

From: [Mohammad T Ashrafzadeh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement. File 251247—1200 Gough Street
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:05:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Board Members,

I am, Mohammad T Ashrafzadeh Owner of Unit 13F, given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandates sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. THAT IS NOT CORRECT. WE ARE NOT IN SUPPORT OF THE MEASURE.

As a 65-year-old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT IS opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic. I intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I can at 1:30 pm.

Sincerely,

Mohammad T Ashrafzadeh
5262 Tully Rd.
Modesto, CA 95356

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); grapeky3@gmail.com
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 8:29:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lindon Stearns

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 7:04:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lisa Tsang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 6:26:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sherry Lau

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 6:22:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ann Chang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 4:49:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Betty Wong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 4:29:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Wong

From: [Ferda Tran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\); Ayda Basaran](#)
Subject: Fire sprinkler -251347-1200
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:58:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner

Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:49:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marc Brenman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:48:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Sofa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:36:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeffrey Roe

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:24:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Devon Herrington

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Charles Bowman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:00:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Venus Zheng

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 2:15:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$750K per condo in the Comstock) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Geoffrey Brooks
Unit 605 1333 Jones St (Comstock Building)
San Francisco CA 94109

Geoffrey Brooks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 2:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ben Herman

From: [Tracy Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com; [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 1:44:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is Tracy Chen, one of the residents at 66 Cleary Ct, San Francisco. I am writing to respectfully express my concerns regarding the sprinkler retrofit requirement File 251247. I fully understand and support the importance of fire safety and protecting our community. Public safety is a shared responsibility, and I deeply appreciate the City's efforts to keep residents safe. However, I sincerely hope the Board will consider the significant financial burden this requirement places on hardworking families like mine.

We are a family of four with two young children—one three years old and one one year old. I am a full-time nurse works in San Francisco, caring for patients and serving our community on the front lines of healthcare, and my husband also works full-time. As first-generation immigrants, we have worked tirelessly to build a stable life in the San Francisco Bay Area. We have been working hard for more than 13 years in the Bay Area to finally build and afford our new home. This home represents decades of sacrifice, perseverance, and commitment to this community.

San Francisco is more than just where we live—it is our home. We love this city and the Bay Area, and we have invested our time, energy, and dreams into building our lives here. Yet, the cost of living continues to rise at an overwhelming pace. Between housing costs, childcare, food, healthcare, transportation, insurance, and other essential daily expenses, many families like ours are already stretched to our financial limits.

The substantial cost of a sprinkler retrofit represents a serious financial hardship. For working families, immigrants, young parents, seniors on fixed incomes, and middle- or low-income households, this mandate could force an impossible decision between complying with the requirement and meeting basic living needs. It also risks accelerating displacement, pushing long-term residents and essential workers out of San Francisco at a time when our city needs stable, committed community members more than ever.

Beyond individual families, this requirement may also have broader community consequences—reducing housing stability, increasing financial stress, and weakening the diversity and workforce that make San Francisco strong. Policies meant to improve safety should not unintentionally cause housing insecurity or force families to leave the city they love.

We do not want to move. We do not want to start over elsewhere. After more than 13 years of hard work in the Bay Area, we want to stay, contribute, serve our community, and raise our children here in San Francisco.

I respectfully urge the Board to consider flexible and compassionate solutions, such as extended or phased deadlines, income-based financial assistance, grants, low-interest loans, tax credits, or alternative compliance options that still uphold safety goals. A balanced approach could protect residents while also recognizing the financial realities of long-term, hardworking families.

Thank you for your time, your service, and your dedication to the people of San Francisco. I deeply appreciate your consideration and sincerely hope for a thoughtful, humane, and community-centered approach to this issue.

Sincerely,
Tracy Chen

66 Cleary Ct, Apt 209
San Francisco, CA
415-370-0245
Tracy216chen@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [lisa fox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247-1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 1:40:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear [Recipient Name],

I am writing to clarify that Cathedral Hill Tower, located at 1200 Gough St., has been incorrectly listed as supporting a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of its type. We do not support this measure.

Cathedral Hill Tower is a 65-year-old concrete building featuring two interior stairwells separated by two-hour fire-rated walls. I am opposed to any mandate requiring buildings like ours to undergo full sprinkler retrofitting. There are alternative preventative measures available that address fire safety and alerts without causing the irreparable financial disaster this mandate would impose on our owners.

I look forward to further dialogue on this critical topic and intend to attend the meeting on February 9 at 1:30.

Best regards,

Lisa Fox

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 1:28:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anthony Day

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 1:20:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jane Day

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:56:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Shapiro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:54:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dan Rabinowitz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:53:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kathryn Duryea

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Paul Yopes

From: [Robert Uram](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Repeal the High Rise Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:14:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The existing mandate is unaffordable, enormously disruptive, and would have a broad negative impact on the city even beyond the homeowners directly impacted. Please support a repeal of the mandate.

Robert Uram

From: [Suzee Barrabee](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, February 15, 2026 4:03:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: The SF Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie

I am writing to you regarding the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit requirement. I am a single woman close to retirement and I am living in my dream home. I saved all my life to be able to buy a place that I feel happy and safe in. I do consider myself rich in the things that matter – a comfortable, happy home in a welcoming and close-knit community. However, I am definitely not wealthy enough to be able to absorb a \$200,000+ assessment to cover the cost of the fire sprinkler retrofit plus the possible costs of having to move out during the installation.

I do understand the concern for fire safety, however, is this one solution right for all affected buildings? It does not seem as if other considerations were taken into account when drafting this proposal. Physical safety is of course, important, however, this greatly affects people's financial well-being and ability to afford and stay in their own homes. It truly is a hardship for all income levels. And I am personally worried and scared how this will affect my home, my neighbors and my future.

I encourage you to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings and collaborate with homeowners, tenants and their representatives to find an alternative, noninvasive solution that will protect residents and visitors.

Best,

Suzee Barrabee
999 Green Street

From: [Allison Jacobs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, February 7, 2026 9:15:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: The SF Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie

I am writing to plead with you to do two things:

1. Accept the 5 year delay in at least the first two deadlines for the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit requirement, and
2. Take the time to hold TAC meetings and get a BLA report on this mandate.

As a Board member and President of the 2200 Pacific HOA for the past 5 years, I am acutely aware of how distraught homeowners and tenants are about this fire sprinkler mandate. Why might this issue be such an extreme crisis for them?

1. Most of them are on fixed income. Those homeowners who are younger, stretched to afford a unit in our building. Both of these groups **cannot afford the cost of a sprinkler mandate**. Among those who are between these two age groups, few can afford the \$200-300K per unit cost for the sprinkler mandate. After all, they already have to pay for property taxes, their mortgage, HOA dues (which are higher for older buildings), insurance, utilities, and other high costs of living.
2. Because of the **lead and asbestos** in our building all residents would have to **move out for the fire sprinkler retrofit**, which is likely to take 1 year or more. That represents additional housing expenses on top of the cost of the sprinkler retrofit. It's also unbelievably daunting to many of our oldest residents who have expected to never have to move from their homes which they have always planned to stay in for the rest of their lives.
3. This sprinkler mandate represents the **third massive fire system expense that the SFFD has imposed on our building in the last 5 years** or so!
 1. First we were required by SFFD to update our main fire alarm system, and elevate all fire alarm pull stations **in 2019-2022** in order to be allowed to update our aging elevator machinery.
 2. **Now we are in the middle replacing that brand new system** and spending \$1.3 million to comply with the City-mandated upgrade to our fire alarm sounders to meet the new code for 75 decibels at every potential sleeping location and to also install an ERRCS system to boost fire fire-fighter radio transmission capability, and
 3. **Now also** SFFD and the City are requiring this fire sprinkler mandate.
4. Our building has **concrete floors and concrete ceilings, which are among the most fire safe construction types**. Our ceilings are just 8 feet above the floors. **Fire sprinklers with the required earthquake brackets would force a 14" drop** in our ceiling height in both the corridors and in the individual units. That means our **ceiling heights would be 6'10", which is below code for "living spaces"**. (Code height for most living spaces is 7'6".) The sprinkler mandate is completely unreasonable because of this.
5. Even if it is structurally feasible, which is not a certainty, **drilling holes throughout our structural concrete** is a risk to our buildings, as would be the potential leaks from extensive additional fire water piping.

This mandate is not justified by the safety record in the 126 buildings on your fire sprinkler retrofit list. Over the last 60 years, there has only been on fire in these buildings that caused any injury, and zero deaths.

This mandate is being pushed by "Labor" and is effectively a form of extortion, given the lack of safety record to justify its exorbitant expense. **It's one of the most extreme examples of how the City of San Francisco is unaffordable.** No other city in the country has such a mandate.

Please don't do this to the residents in my building and the other 125 buildings in the city impacted by the mandate.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of all the facts and the human impact this unaffordable measure will have.

Allison Jacobs

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["Chris Etow"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Glenn](#)
Subject: RE: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement "File 251247"
Date: Friday, February 6, 2026 9:28:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

Please note that this ordinance is NOT on agenda for the February 9, 2026 meeting of the Land Use and Transportation committee.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-
[Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Etow <chrisetow@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2026 6:11 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Glenn <gtetow@gmail.com>

Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement "File 251247"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi John,

We live in a high-rise apartment located at 1200 California Street. Our building is a nonprofit HOA in San Francisco and has been designated for fire sprinkler retrofitting. We are writing to request that you support amending the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings as well as collaborate with the 126 affected buildings' residents (more than 9,800 units) for an alternative, noninvasive solution that will protect residents and visitors.

Personally, we are extremely distressed that we closed escrow on our apartment in late 2023 without **any disclosure** that the SF Board of Supervisors passed this sprinkler ordinance. We would NOT have purchased this apartment nor any other high-rise apartment affected by this extraordinary mandate. The **covert** nature of this edict will potentially place unreasonable physical and financial hardships on us (as well as our neighbors).

As a member of a nonprofit HOA, our building's resources are limited and our budget is specifically based on actual annual expenses. Annual increases to our HOA budget are limited by state law yet the sprinkler mandate and its **significant** financial impact to each of us would further burden our HOA's ability to budget and pay for these new assessments. The financial obligation for this single undertaking will likely limit the financial health and well-being of the 1200 California Street community for years and also result in an inability to maintain our building as needed. Additional costs will mount since we'll likely need to seek temporary housing elsewhere during the retrofitting process all the while paying our mortgage, monthly HOA plus the financial responsibility of this special assessment. We are both retired and rely on our social security pensions that provide us a fixed income.

The affordability concerns that we have are also shared by most residents in San Francisco, not only because of the direct cost of compliance but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City. We appreciate that the Mayor aims to make San Francisco more affordable and livable; however, this mandate will have severe negative impacts on this goal and will continue to drive tax paying citizens out of the City.

Respectfully,

Glenn and Chris Etow

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 264 Letters Regarding File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:41:45 PM
Attachments: [264 Letters Regarding File No. 251247.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 264 letters regarding **File No. 251247:**

Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Bonnie H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: FIRE SPRINKLER RETROFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 25 Seven 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 1:54:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Forwarding my email from early December again so that it can be included in the file for the consideration of the Supervisors.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Bonnie H** <bonnieyhu@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Dec 4, 2025 at 2:29 PM
Subject: Fire sprinkler SF ordinance
To: <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>, <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>, <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>

Dear Supervisor Sauter, Supervisor Mandelman, and Mayor Lurie,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with my building's Board and management on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. I am not opposed to fire safety measures. In fact, I welcome a dialog with you and your team on ideas for implementing financially reasonable, practical, and effective measures that would improve fire safety in my building.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors.

If the code is not changed, this increase in expense will seriously jeopardize my ability to continue owning my condo at a time when the housing market in San Francisco has still not recovered from covid. Since covid (right when I purchased my property), my property value has dropped by almost 25% and has remained there. This ordinance would be a huge financial burden for me, especially as I have also had to take a significant pay cut this year due to the challenging job market.

Bonnie

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 3:41:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Holly Kully

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:06:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Ernst

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 6:05:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Farkas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 6:31:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

samantha competente

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 6:33:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lisa Ong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 6:58:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Schier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Laena Wilder

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 8:30:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bianca Baca

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 8:51:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Kirchberger

Michael Kirchberger

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 9:42:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Brittain

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 9:46:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tina Low-Lee

From: [Geoffrey Brooks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Patricia Brooks](#); [Terri Perozzi](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247. Re Unit 605 1333 Jones St SF CA 94109
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 9:48:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Board of Supervisors

From Geoffrey (age 81) and Patricia (age 78) Brooks

We have lived very safely in the Comstock High Rise building since 2010.

The expense of retrofitting would be devastating to us financially as this requires us to move out and find alternative accommodation during the process when it happens.

The expense (we have heard numbers of ~ \$2 million per apartment) is outrageous given that there could be only an incremental improvement in fire safety...given the buildings concrete and glass construction ... The Comstock already has an outstanding fire safety record, and all the fire suppression systems installed during construction (to code) are in working order and routinely checked by the SFFD. We have regular emergency fire drills.

Another big issue with our apt is that we have a heated floor system through out. This would likely be damaged when the ceiling of Unit 505 directly below us is removed for installation - an additional cost for us from retrofitting...

One needs to ask what is the benefit to cost ratio for this seemingly unnecessary expense to the owners If there would actually be a marginal improvement in safety, which we would doubt...

Hopefully in an age when the Board of Supervisors is trying to eliminate wasteful R&R which add to the expense of building and maintaining property in the great city of San Francisco; this unnecessary piece of "retro" engineering will be tabled!

Sent from my iPad

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:08:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Amanda Martin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:08:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Meryl Bennan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:08:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Molli Milner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:08:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jessica Rucker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:08:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Kline

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:09:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alex Klonsky

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:15:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kat Rains

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 10:44:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mike Riordan

From: [Sylvia Hughes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 12:01:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in apartment 9A at 2200 Pacific Avenue in San Francisco. My building is concrete, with metal wall supports, eight foot ceilings, and fire sprinkled hallways; in other words, quite fire proof, as are many buildings on your list of buildings potentially requiring retrofits.

In the past 60 years, there have been no lives lost to fires in these buildings—four injuries caused by an electric scooter fire is the sum total of incidents. Does this record justify the enormous costs and displacements you would cause by the potential new requirements?

Our building, like many of the period, has eight foot ceilings. If the requirement that every room have fire sprinklers goes into effect, we would either have pipes running across every ceiling, or our ceilings would be of substandard (less than seven feet) height. In addition, it would be impossible to remain in the apartment while these modifications are installed. Do you really ask that all the tenants in all 126 buildings, many of whom are in my age group (I am 81), must relocate or become homeless for some months?

I have owned a house with a required sprinkler system in every room, and over a fifteen year period, had three incidences where the system developed a leak, causing damage to floors, walls, and furniture. With a retrofit system, I suspect there would be potentially more problems than with one installed as part of an initial build.

Do these potential problems and costs really outweigh the fact that no major injuries and no loss of life has been demonstrated where there are no universal fire sprinklers, but where many other fire safety features exist, such as fireproof building materials, hallway sprinklers, alarms, etc.?

Than you for your consideration.

Sylvia Hughes
2200 Pacific Avenue
Apartment 9A

From: [Karen Llamas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 Request to Amend the fire Sorinkler Retrofit Requirement
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 12:45:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing as a homeowner at 66 Cleary Ct. in an older San Francisco high-rise to urge you to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement and work with affected properties on more practical and affordable life-safety measures.

This mandate was passed without any consultation with residents or building owners and imposes an extreme financial and physical burden. The estimated cost of up to **\$300,000 per unit** is simply unaffordable for most homeowners and would force many of us into serious financial hardship.

In addition, the construction required to install such a system would likely necessitate temporary relocation and could cause long-term disruption to our homes and community.

I strongly support fire safety, but this ordinance is not a reasonable or sustainable solution. Please reconsider this mandate and collaborate with building representatives to develop feasible, cost-effective safety measures that achieve the same goals without devastating residents financially.

Thank you for your attention and for considering the impact this requirement will have on long-term San Francisco homeowners.

Sincerely,

Karen Llamas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:15:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Yuan Shui

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:15:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lillian Lachman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:19:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Joni Lachman

Joni Lachman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Mary Macpherson

Mary Macpherson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:46:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mary Gilpatrick

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:51:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alan Louie

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:51:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bella Shi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:53:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tina Cen-Camarao

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:04:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.
Zheng Fu Lu

Signed

Zheng Fu Lu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:09:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Crystle Vitari

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:13:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tiffany Pavon

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:13:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Massimo LoPorto

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

craig greenwood

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:13:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sam Young

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:14:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bilques Smith

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:14:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christopher Noceti

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Kong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:20:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

KJ Kohlmyer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mark Anthony Venegas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:27:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alan Thuma

Alan Thuma

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:27:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Testa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:28:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Neri

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:29:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ken Eggers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:30:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Claudia Siegel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:32:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Li

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:38:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roque Fernandes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:42:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nick Nicklas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:46:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Ernst

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:47:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Timothy Hayden

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:50:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Peter Tham

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:50:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Selina Loc

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 5:51:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wendy Chen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:00:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shannon Hughes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:00:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Suzan Reily

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:19:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shannon Cronan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:34:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marilyn Saner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:35:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alan Louie

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:38:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dan Dodd

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:39:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ammala Block

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:42:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Donna Chan

Donna Chan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 6:45:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Lindsay Stang

Lindsay Stang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:16:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Edward Guo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:24:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wilson Kam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:27:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Regan Caponi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wilson Lee

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:51:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Aviva Kamler

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:03:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

End the sprinkler retrofit mandate.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. The sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Russell Davis

From: [Jeffrey Robert Schulte](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:07:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic.

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:40:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shwu Chun Su

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:43:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shwu Chun Su

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:53:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Patricia Lam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:06:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nancy Lim

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Matt Flynn

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:08:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Maggie Visser

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:38:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Rosin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:21:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Ackerman
Former Treasurer of a 39 Unit Condo HOA

Michael Ackerman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeannie Cheng

From: [Jill Turner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:50:11 PM
Attachments: [66 CC -- Fire sprinkler mandate letter -- Bd of Supervisors -- 2-9-26 Meeting .pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear sir/madam,

Please find attached my letter regarding the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247. I am submitting this 1) for the record, 2) in anticipation of the February 9 meeting, and 3) to share with other interested parties on this matter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Jill M. Turner
707-944-2433
415-850-8753 cell

JILL MATOUSEK TURNER
1874 OAK CIRCLE
YOUNTVILLE, CA 94599
415-850-8753 / jillturnerpr@gmail.com
Owner: 66 Cleary Court, #1201, San Francisco

February 3, 2026

TO: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org (via email: 1) for the record, 2) in anticipation of February 9, 2026, meeting, and 3) to share with interested parties)

SUBJECT: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

Dear sirs/madams:

I am a longtime owner at 66 Cleary Court, #1201, condominiums built in 1963. I purchased my condominium in December 1986, and lived there for over 30 years. In 2019, my husband and I moved to Napa Valley due to deteriorating living conditions in San Francisco, then COVID, and I rented my condominium to others. I learned not long ago of the fire sprinkler mandate to retrofit Cleary Court. I could not object more vehemently. This letter updates my letter to various San Francisco officials, dated December 10, 2025.

While I absolutely want all residents and firemen (and police officers) to be safe at work and at home, this is an absurdly expensive solution to address a very rare problem – at least at Cleary Court. While I cannot speak to the appropriateness of the fire sprinkler mandate for other residences, I strongly believe this ham-handed approach is not necessary for Cleary Court.

Here is why:

1. There have been just two fires at Cleary Court since 2012, so an average of one every 6-1/2 years. Looking online for records of fires at Cleary Court, I see just two: One on July 25, 2012, and another on August 16, 2025. (Note I called for fire records again today at 415-920-2933 and spoke with Charlie: he noted that fire records were digitized in 2010 and many records were lost in the 1989 earthquake, so records are most likely not complete. I have since filled out a Fire Report Request form and sent it to FireRequests@sfgov.org for the years 2000-2026 [year-to-date], when I understand information is available.)

2. This Joseph Eicher building is made of heavy concrete. "...The architectural firm of Jones + Emmons designed the 18-story high rise building located at 66 Cleary Court. This structure consists of 15 stories of living space and 3 levels for tenant parking. The building features 150 units, mostly 3 bedroom homes ranging in size from 1,100-1,200 square feet. All units feature radiant heat, private

balconies or terraces **as well as 8-inch thick, load bearing concrete walls** that help minimize noise between units...” (See: <https://www.eichlerforsale.com/cathedral-hill-eichler/>)

3. Concrete is one of the most fire-resistance construction materials available. This addresses my AI question asking “Is concrete fire resistant?” “...Conclusion: While not completely indestructible, concrete is one of the most fire-resistant construction materials available, providing significant protection by not burning and slowing the spread of fire. Its durability in a fire depends on the specific mix and conditions, but its resistance is far superior to many other building materials.”

4. Furthermore, from an owner’s perspective, the anticipated, staggering cost of \$300,000 is likely to be untenable for most owners and puts them at risk of losing their homes. This is true of those who purchased their units decades ago and are now retired and on fixed incomes. It is also true for younger residents, who perhaps are now working for Meta or AI companies where a \$300,000 bite out of a \$500,000 salary is still A LOT! This is an EXTRAORDINARY expense for any homeowner. This includes Cleary Court, where the deteriorating livability of San Francisco in the past few years under previous city leadership has dramatically cut real estate values. (Think of this for YOU, dear reader: how would you feel if this vast expenditure were in front of you?)

5. Moreover, that huge cost is not even the end of the expenses. According to various reports, residents would need to move out of their homes while the retrofit work was underway. So regardless of whether one lived in one’s unit or rented it out, there are numerous additional costs associated with this project – making a bad situation even worse. According to “Rental Market Trends” for San Francisco on [Zumper.com](https://www.zumper.com/rent-research/san-francisco-ca) (<https://www.zumper.com/rent-research/san-francisco-ca>) as of January 2026, the average cost of one-bedroom housing is \$3,500 per month, and the average cost of a two-bedroom is \$4,920. So assuming the need for housing during the fire sprinkler retrofit for, say three to six months, the additional cost ranges from \$10,500 to \$29,520 – and that is at today’s rental prices, not those in the future or if demand spikes due to this project.

6. In fact, house values in San Francisco have gone down significantly in the past few years, while costs and special assessments have gone up dramatically. Our Cleary Court neighbors sold their home several years ago for \$1.2MM – now condominiums are on the market for 25% less! And the fire sprinkler mandate, if implemented, is sure to cause many more sales, and at declining prices, as owners – angry, upset, scared, and panicking – try to sell and get out before values fall even further or the home sales market is glutted. This is WRONG on so many levels. To receive a possible charge for \$300,000 for a home that might sell for \$800,000-\$900,00 (and with minimal perceived added value) is truly a disservice to homeowners who purchased in good faith a San Francisco home they could afford.

I am sure many owners at Cleary Court would prefer to risk a highly unlikely fire rather than lose their home, equity, financial security, and well-being due to this well-intentioned, but very

misguided solution to a problem that rarely exists, at least at Cleary Court. It should also be noted that Cleary Court owners paid not long ago over \$33,000 for a variety of repairs, plus several thousand dollars last year for balcony repairs. And I now understand updating the fire alarm system, an imminent requirement in 2026, will cost each owner another \$7,450! And this despite the fact that the building has hard-wired fire alarms that are extremely loud (as I remember from the regular fire drills held in the building).

6. Owners affected by this mandate may not have had meaningful or sufficient input on this matter. This may be wrong – and I hope it is. But I understand that the many owners impacted by this mandate were not consulted on this issue. If true, this is wrong on many levels: that owners and voters who elected San Francisco officials to act on their behalf and in residents’ best interests did not participate in discussions on this major mandate. Rather, it seems that city officials and/or related employees have made a spectacularly expensive decision, unilaterally, without necessary input from their constituents and neighbors who will bear the financial brunt of the decisions. In fact, at \$300,000 per unit, the cost to retrofit Cleary Court is \$45 million dollars! Even at half that, the cost is outrageous!

7. Mayor Lurie was elected for change – to make the city vibrant once again, and to reduce the cost of living, bureaucracy and complexity of city government. San Franciscans, particularly those of us who pay the city’s hefty bills, want and deserve to live in the beautiful place we once knew – an affordable city with safe and clean streets where those who work hard can get ahead. I read the Mayor is doing good job on those voter mandates – bravo! – and I hope the Board of Supervisors share these goals. However, the cost of this fire sprinkler ordinance goes completely in the wrong direction of these objectives – bringing back the despair of recent years and now the risk of displacement and financial insolvency or ruin for many homeowners.

It is for all these reasons that I respectfully request this fire sprinkler mandate be terminated, once and for all.

Sincerely,



Jill Matousek Turner

From: [Leslie Rogers](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:12:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Leslie Rogers

Email leslietrogers@gmail.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Leslie Rogers

From: [John Bansavich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:59:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I have been a condominium owner and resident at 66 Cleary Court in San Francisco since 2002.

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on myself and our residents.

If the code is not changed, my husband and I will be financially, physically and emotionally impacted (e.g., by having to relocate during an extended period of construction), and (2) the burden of expense this will cost us is unacceptable. I simply won't be able to afford living in San Francisco any longer. Both my partner and I have lived in SF for over 40 years.

Please reconsider the hardship that this will incur on so many people in my building. As I look forward to retiring in SF in the next couple of years, this will make it impossible for me to do so.

Respectfully, John Bansavich and Matthew Stimson, 66 Cleary Court, Unit 303, SF CA

From: [Cheryl Feiner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:07:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors-

I understand that Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed as part of a group of properties that would be subject to a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties. Cathedral Hill Tower is incorrectly included in this list because our building does not need the mandated sprinkler system. Cathedral Hill Tower is a 65-year-old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls.

The unit owners and I oppose any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building.

Thank you.

Best,

Cheryl

From: [Joyce Chan](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:10:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Joyce Chan
Email jmch888@aol.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Joyce Chan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:40:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kathleen Hall

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:41:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

ruth krishnan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:45:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sandra Gandolfo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kevin Wong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:53:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lewis Kallinsky

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:54:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Olivia Aramini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:55:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ellie Jones

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:56:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Link

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:56:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed Susan Frances Ferry

Frances Ferry

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 7:58:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Richard Sarro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:04:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Henrik Thorenfeldt

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:04:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joe Jajeh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:05:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

George Green

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:07:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Esteban Gomez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:08:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shaban Shakoori, J.D.

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:14:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Emerita Barriis

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:16:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

cheryl bower

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:16:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cristina Formaini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:16:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Justin Choy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:21:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christian Elbeck

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:22:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Madeline Gibson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:30:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Dell'Isola

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:33:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Laura Pallin

[laura.pallin@sf.gov](#)

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:42:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Valeria Del Valle

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:51:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kelly Canady

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:52:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William Crum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 8:56:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,

Nolan Formway

Nolan Formway

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:04:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Antoinette Payne

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:07:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Patrick Wong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:08:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Monica Maresca

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:09:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jennifer Riddle

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:11:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Adelina Martiniuc

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:14:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Paul Andreini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:17:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

-David Juarez

David Juarez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:20:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eve Combs

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:23:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sharon Tsang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:23:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeff Fowler

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:27:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Samantha Shendow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:32:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Erik McIntosh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:40:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Rubi Kawamura

RUBI KAWAMURA

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:52:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alina Karelina

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 9:58:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Monica Pauli

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:07:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mark Campana

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:08:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kathleen Crabbe

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:09:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lauren Neuschel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:20:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Robert Kinoshita

Robert Kinoshita

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:42:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jay Greenberg

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:44:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jason Gorski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 10:51:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Seff

From: [Christina Orth](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:09:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Christina Orth

Email cmorth.90@gmail.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Christina Orth

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:17:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ammala Block

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:21:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ren grendahl

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:44:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Delphine Hirasuna

Delphine Hirasuna

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 11:48:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed, Michael Onufer, DRE#

Michael Onufer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:02:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Pennebaker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:30:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Breanna Gingras

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:42:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Wesley Dere

From: [Derek Hetzler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Please Repeal the Retrofit Sprinkler Requirement
Date: 2025-11-08 08:26
From: Derek Hetzler <hetzlerd@sonic.net>
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Cc: Kaela Colwell <kaelacolwell@gmail.com>

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to request that you please amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents. If this comes to bear, we will no longer be able to afford living in San Francisco.

On top of that, disruption caused by installing sprinklers is enormous. My building, as most older high-rise buildings, has asbestos. Sprinklers cannot be installed without disturbing asbestos. It is not safe to live in an environment where asbestos is disturbed, thus I - and most of your other constituents affected by this sprinkler retrofit requirement - will have to find and pay for a temporary home.

Derek & Kaela Hetzler

1835 Franklin St. #402

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 1:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Monks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 1:33:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Roeder

From: paulirv@sonic.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 2:31:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Esteemed Supervisors:

I'm writing to request that you reject the current proposal to update the Fire Sprinkler code originally to have become effective on 1/1/2026. A more reasonable solution would include a provision for building-by-building review of each of the 126 buildings affected by the ordinance, assessing the fire risk for each building, and permitting exemptions for buildings in which the cost of retrofitting far outweighs the risk.

I ask you to consider:

- I purchased my 480 sq ft studio condo in the Tenderloin in 2002 via the Mayor's Office on Housing' Down Payment Assistance Program (DALP)
- I am now a senior citizen living on a fixed income.
- A sprinkler retro fit will trigger asbestos and lead paint remediation, forcing me to move out of my condo.
- I am unable to afford renting a second home in SF for the duration of the work.
- Approving this legislation will effectively force me into homelessness or to leave SF, as it likely will for many of the 9,800 residents of the 126 affected buildings, worsening the city's current housing crisis.

Additional considerations:

- My building at 631 O'Farrell Street was built in 1929 of concrete and steel.
- The only wood in the building consists of door and window frames, doors, and internal condo contents, significantly decreasing the risk of fire spread beyond a single unit.
- The most recent fire in the building was 50 years ago, was contained in a single unit, and resulted in no injuries.

Finally:

- As an owner of a unit in the building I was never properly noticed by the city.
- I'm told that the SF DWP does not currently have the infrastructure in my neighborhood to provide sufficient water volume/pressure for sprinklers in every room of a 21 story building, forcing the Home Owners Association to remediate city infrastructure deficits, and hence, significantly increasing cost.

For these reasons, please vote to send this legislation back for review and redrafting.

Paul Irving

631 O'Farrell Street, # 1015

San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 2:44:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nicholas Raggio

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 2:48:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Hellen Tong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 2:53:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ann Dykstra

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:08:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully but firmly urge the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear, measured, and evidence-based approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement.

While we support effective fire-safety measures, the current mandate applies a one-size-fits-all solution that fails to account for significant differences in building design, structural feasibility, and resident circumstances. As written, the policy imposes disproportionate burdens on many existing residential high-rises and creates serious unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans.

In some buildings, compliance costs may reach as high as \$300,000 per condominium unit. When combined with extensive and disruptive construction, these costs create an untenable situation for many residents, particularly seniors, fixed-income households, and long-term homeowners. For many, compliance is not financially possible. Without reform, the mandate risks forcing widespread displacement and directly contradicts the City's stated goals of preventing displacement and preserving existing housing.

We respectfully request that the City legislatively establish a formal Technical Advisory Committee composed of independent experts, including structural engineers, architects, fire-safety professionals, and housing experts, to evaluate the technical feasibility, financial impact, and real-world consequences of the sprinkler retrofit requirement.

We further request that implementation and enforcement of the mandate be delayed until the committee completes its analysis and publicly releases its findings, allowing policymakers to act based on data, practicality, and equity. Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without a measured and informed approach, this mandate risks causing significant harm to residents and the City's housing stock. We urge the City to act responsibly and urgently to protect both public safety and housing stability.

Victoria C. Lam

Victoria Lam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:29:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shubham Naik

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:38:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mei Long

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:38:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Doug Long

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 3:40:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jiangjiang Zang

From: [Saroni, Louis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirements File 251247
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 10:59:23 PM
Attachments: [Sprinkler Mandate .eml](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From: [Lindsay Robinson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247- PLEASE AMMEND!
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 3:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you so much for your attention to this matter. The residents of 66 Cleary Court greatly appreciate any effort to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings in our city. We are confident a more financially viable solution can be found that will not price residents out of their homes. We know for a fact residents in our community will face homelessness if this retrofitting goes forward. This is an unacceptable trade off.

We have dozens and dozens of lower income families and seniors living in our building. The required retrofitting will put an untenable financial burden on these residents, myself included. I have spent the last 25 years as a nonprofit fundraiser, giving back to those in our community who need it most. I've committed myself to a life of service which is not easy to do in a city as expensive as San Francisco. I saved for 18 years to buy my apartment four years ago, but if these fire sprinkler requirements go through, I will lose all of the equity I have built in my home and be forced to sell. This will absolutely devastate me financially. Please do not let this happen to people who have worked so hard to stay in the city. We love San Francisco and have fought so hard to live here.

We understand fire safety is a real concern for the residents of our building, and in other high rise apartment buildings across the city. With strong strategic leaders such as yourselves, I'm confident you can find another way to prioritize safety without wiping residents out financially.

Thank you,
Lindsay Robinson
66 Cleary Ct #310
415-793-3478

From: [Eve Meyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 3:27:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors -

I am writing to ask for your support and assistance to REPEAL the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement which will bankrupt and displace some 15,000 San Franciscans in the next few months. If you cannot do this, at least support a YES VOTE on February 9 to EXTEND and EXEMPT implementation in as many cases as possible.

Nearly ten thousand SF families - retirees like me and young working families like my neighbors - face losing their housing and/or life savings due to the \$300,000+ contribution from each household required by the BOS and the SFFD, for sprinkler retrofit of pre-1975 high rises at our expense.

Although this was passed in 2022, we were never given any notification that this was happening until a few weeks ago, contrary to Sunshine Act requirements. We are mostly seniors, living in our condos on our life savings with no income other than Social Security. The Social Security is our mortgage payment. If we deplete our life savings for sprinklers, we have no money for food and medicine. The BOS will consider, on February 9, action to delay the expense for three years but this may not help us all. The very existence of this legislation makes it impossible for us to sell our unit in order to survive on income. (No one buys under these circumstances.) And yet we would still have to pay - and face additional \$20,000-\$30,000 expenses for lodging during the 2 - 3 months of installation.

A Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) could be formed as part of the Feb. 9 action to EXEMPT individual buildings for structure and water access appropriate to even qualify for participation. This proposal would EXTEND implementation for three years in order to evaluate each of the 126 potential buildings, comprising 9,000 units, nearly 3,000 of which are condos. During this time we would not be able to sell our unit or borrow against it because of the pending \$300,000 charge. We could only declare insolvency and have our mortgages foreclosed.

The prospect of nine thousand families losing their housing because of this dilemma has not yet alarmed anyone. We need your help in managing this requirement immediately, before the crisis happens.

Sincerely yours,

Eve R. Meyer
415-350-5822

From: [Ramona Rideout](#)
To: [SauterStaff](#)
Cc: [SherrillStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 4:59:41 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-gvjuqw0s.png](#)

Thank you, Tomio & Tita!

Quick update on my friend in the condo with what I earlier referred to as “early”Alzheimer’s. Another friend in the building told me this morning that our mutual friend with Alzheimer’s has been ringing her bell on a nightly basis, asking if her parents still live there.

She will need to move into a memory care facility soon, which she can only afford by selling her condo. Due to the sprinkler mandate it either won’t sell, or will sell at a greatly reduced price.

Thank you for your help!

Ramona Joy Rideout

On Thu, Jan 29, 2026 at 4:46 PM SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Ramona,

I've cc'd Supervisor Sauter's Chief of Staff, Tita Bell, who has been working on this issue for our office.

Thank you so much for your email and your kind words.

Because you are a District 2 resident, I know Supervisor Sherrill's office will want to respond to your questions. But Tita can share more if you have additional questions we can help with.

Best,

Tomio

Office of Supervisor Danny Sauter

District 3 | Board of Supervisors | City & County of San Francisco

[*1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Rm. 260*](#)

[*San Francisco, CA 94102*](#)

sauterstaff@sfgov.org



[Sign up for our official District 3 newsletter HERE!](#)

From: Ramona Rideout <ramonarideout@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 1:02 PM
To: SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Ramona Rideout <ramonarideout@gmail.com>
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Supervisors Sherrill & Sauter,

Thank you for your leadership and advocacy on behalf of residents in the buildings affected by the sprinkler retrofit mandate. I am one of those residents, and I truly appreciate your work in securing the three-year extension, which has provided a critical financial reprieve for many homeowners.

That said, I am concerned that the extension has also created serious unintended hardships that deserve urgent attention.

As you know, the announcement of the mandate has effectively frozen sales in the 126 affected buildings. Adding three more years to this uncertainty risks deepening that freeze, with devastating financial consequences for many residents. I hope your office is also hearing from realtors and lenders, who are seeing firsthand how this has disrupted the market.

I have seen these impacts directly in my own building. A young family on my floor was preparing to move to the suburbs when news of the ordinance broke. Since then, they have been unable to sell their unit, leaving their plans, finances, and future in limbo.

Even more troubling is the situation of a neighbor who is in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. As her condition progresses, she will almost certainly need to sell her unit and move into memory care—likely well before the three-year extension expires. Under the current conditions, she may be unable to find a buyer at all, or may be forced to sell at a steep loss, potentially leaving her without sufficient funds to pay for the care she will need.

These are not isolated cases. For thousands of residents across the 126 buildings, the ordinance risks causing severe financial harm, and in some cases, financial ruin.

I respectfully ask: what solutions are being considered to address these unintended consequences, particularly for residents facing time-sensitive life events such as illness, relocation, or family needs?

Thank you for your continued service and for considering the real-world impacts of this policy. I appreciate your attention and would welcome any guidance or next steps your office can share.

Sincerely,
Ramona Rideout
[66 Cleary Court, Unit 1401](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94109](#)

"An ounce of data is worth a thousand pounds of opinion."

From: [Judith Kneeter](#)
To: senator.weiner@senate.ca.org
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 5:13:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please, hear me out. I am 90 years old and have been living in the Bay Area since 1986. This is the first home I have ever owned here and have loved it and this historic building ever since I moved into it in 1998. It is a 15 story solid concrete Eichler building. I live on a fixed income and this mandate to install sprinklers in our apartments is going to wipe me out financially, probably require me to sell my home of 27 years at a loss (if it is even saleable) and force me to leave San Francisco. I am certain that there are many others in my circumstances who will experience the same fate if this measure proceeds.

I understand that the major concern is safety, but I contend that the record does not show that a solid concrete building like ours is the sort of construction that requires this extreme measure. This is not a Victorian apartment complex built from wood.

Please think about the impact on me and many others and reconsider going forward on this mandate.

Thank you,

Judith Kneeter

66 Cleary Court

Apt. 1006

San Francisco, 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); seattlechang124@gmail.com
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 5:47:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William Chang

From: [Len Shustek](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Donna Dubinsky](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 7:47:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to ask that you reconsider the unfunded mandate that sprinklers be installed in old high-rise buildings.

Like many good ideas -- how about undergrounding all of the ugly overhead wires on city streets? -- it would be wonderful to have, but the costs are prohibitive. Forcing sprinklers to be retrofitted into an old building imposes an unfair burden on apartment owners, not only financially but also logistically, since the apartments have to be vacated for the work to be done.

Please don't make living in SF even more unaffordable than it already is.

Respectfully,
Len Shustek
1750 Taylor Street #1801
len@shustek.com

From: [Emily Shenfield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Please Amend - Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 10:10:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors and other involved parties,

I'm writing to urge you to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. Please work with our buildings on feasible life safety measures that protect residents without imposing devastating financial and logistical hardship.

This mandate was passed without consulting those it most affects. For many of us, the costs — estimated at up to \$300,000 per unit — would be financially ruinous. I own a unit in one of these concrete buildings. The retrofit would not only make my home partially uninhabitable during construction but would also permanently alter its structure and livability.

My husband and I are starting a family this year. Navigating this project as a young and growing family would be a major challenge, potentially forcing us to consider leaving a city that we dearly love.

I support improving fire safety, but this blanket mandate isn't the answer. I ask that you reconsider the implementation and collaborate on alternative safety measures that achieve the same goals without destroying the homes and finances of long-term residents.

Thank you for listening and for protecting both safety *and* livability in our city.

Sincerely,
Emily Shenfield
Resident, 66 Cleary Court

From: [Denise Miura2015](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Humble please re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 11:19:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing today to make a humble plea regarding Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 being imposed upon residents and owners of the 125 buildings affected in the City.

My name is Denise Miura, and I represent my mother-in-law Shigeko Miura, who owns unit #1107 of 66 Cleary Court in the Western Addition district. This was my in-laws' home since 1980 until 2013 when Shigeko, who had suffered from dementia since 2010, had to be transferred to an assisted living facility, as her caregiving needs exceeded our capacity to continuing caring for her.

As of 2016, we have been renting out her unit. Residual rent is relatively modest, after paying steadily rising Homeowner Association dues and property taxes, and having to reduce rent significantly in 2020 due to the Covid pandemic. Still, we are very fortunate and grateful for the income, as it definitely contributes to paying for her expensive care.

I have since learned of the potential for EACH unit of our building to be assessed up to \$300,000 to address fire safety measures. Such expected exorbitant amounts poses a HUGE strain on our ability to pay and will likely force us to sell her unit. After paying the fire safety costs and taxes, any net sum that remains to help pay her continuing caregiving expenses will likely be quickly exhausted. She will no longer have the steady stream of rental income, such as it is.

I wrote last month to request, respectfully, that the Board take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our building on alternative and feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

I understand the fire sprinkler mandate, though well-intentioned, was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings in the City and places an unreasonable and undue financial hardship on our owners, to say nothing of what will happen to residents, who may lose their homes.

Thank you very much for your attention to helping us keep our properties and homes from exceptionally higher possible expenses...

Stay safe,

Denise Miura

for Shigeko Miura

owner of 66 Cleary Court #1107

San Francisco, CA 94109

Tel (415)200-5116

From: [David Boley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 11:59:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My name is David Boley. My wife and I are writing in regard to the sprinkler mandate which was passed by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in 2022.

My wife and I moved to Fontana East five years ago to be close to our son and grandchildren. The apartment is expensive, but the move was within our pension budget. Our HOA fees keep rising as expected due to inflation and other city requirements such as the recently installed fire alarms in sleeping areas and the exterior updates. However, the special sprinkler mandate, which has been reported to cost \$250,000 to \$300,000 per unit, will not be affordable on our income. We have a mortgage on the apartment, so walking away is not an option.

Also, my wife and I were living in our apartment in 2022 when the mandate was passed. There was no notice to the residents who will be affected before the vote was taken. There was no meeting or opportunity to express our concerns.

In addition, a large number of residents will need to relocate during sprinkler installation due to asbestos, lead paint, and construction dust causing a huge impact on housing availability and cost. Where will we go and who will pay for our relocation?

The mandate was passed without determining if the city owned infrastructure can provide sufficient water. Also, a statistical study should have been completed to determine the probability of harm versus the cost of the remedy. How many people in San Francisco have died or been injured in the past 20 years in concrete high-rise buildings? The fire department would have these statistics.

We urge the board to rethink this mandate, especially as it applies to steel and concrete buildings that have steel and concrete exits. There have been multiple fire alarms due to system malfunctions, and we have always been able to exit the building using the concrete and steel stairways near our apartment. If there was an actual fire, the same protected stairway would continue to be accessible. The new fire alarms in each apartment will notify residents in sufficient time to escape the building via these protected exits. We also have a fire extinguisher and fire blankets in our apartment. All stoves are electric, not natural gas, and there are sprinklers in each storage area and in the garage.

This issue is an extreme conundrum for us. This mandate is causing extreme stress and anxiety that is more detrimental to our health than the chance of a fire. Our savings account is designated for possible assisted living or other long-term care. We never imagined that the City of San Francisco would expect us to choose between our San Francisco home and possible future custodial care.

In summary, we would like this mandate to be cancelled. If the fire department personnel believes that improvements in fire safety are important for our building, then we should have a discussion with all stakeholders about fire safety measures that are more cost effective than sprinklers in each apartment. Thank you kindly for taking this matter into consideration.

Respectfully,

David and Ellen Boley

From: [Adelina Martiniuc](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 2:03:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request that you **amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings** and work with our communities on more feasible safety measures that still protect residents and visitors.

The current sprinkler mandate was passed without consultation with affected residents and imposes an **unreasonable financial and logistical burden** on those of us who live in these buildings.

On a personal level, this mandate would have a devastating impact on my family. I'm raising **two children under the age of four** here in San Francisco, and as anyone living here knows, raising young kids in this city is already incredibly challenging and expensive. Facing an additional **cost of up to \$300,000 per unit**, along with the possibility of **temporary relocation** during construction, would make it impossible for us to remain in our home and in the city we love.

I fully support the goal of improving fire safety, but this measure, as written, would **displace families, burden working parents, and accelerate the exodus of middle-class residents from San Francisco**. There must be a more balanced approach, one that ensures safety without forcing residents out of their homes.

Please reconsider and **amend this code** so that it only applies when a building undergoes major renovation or expansion, and work with building owners and residents on achievable, cost-effective safety improvements.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for your service to our city.

Sincerely,
Adelina Martiniuc

66 Cleary Ct, San Francisco, zip 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 3:48:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carol Solfanelli

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 4:28:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Caroline Kahn Werboff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 5:20:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Katy Worthing

Katy Worthing

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 5:28:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed,

Kevin G. Delmore

Kevin Delmore

From: [Joanne Hurley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FIRE SPRINKLER RETROFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 25 Seven 251247
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 5:36:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors:

Let me start by stating that I am not opposed to fire safety, however I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings.

The fire sprinkler mandate places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors. Per The San Francisco Standard on November 20 (**San Francisco wanted to protect condo owners from fires. It might displace them instead**), the Budget and Legislative Analyst estimated \$113,000 to \$300,000 *per unit in 2016* - over nine years ago. Our building has many elderly residents and people living on limited incomes. Don't let our ZIP code fool anyone into thinking we are a wealthy community here. On top of the cost, what will the disruption entail? Will we and our elderly neighbors have to move out during all this? With the current lack of affordable housing, where will everyone go and how much will we have to pay in rent (on top of our mortgage)? Additionally, the condo market is relatively soft right now. If we wish to sell and must disclose the potential for hundreds of thousands of dollars in work required watch that market get softer.

As noted in the San Francisco Chronicle on November 17 (**Sprinkler shock: Owners of S.F. high-rise condos stunned by \$300K mandate**) of the apartment fires in 2022, the vast majority were not in buildings that would be impacted by the sprinkler ordinance. I recognize that no one wants to be perceived as against safety, but you can't retrofit every building on the backs of owners living in expensive San Francisco. We already went through the requirement of installing improved smoke detectors and fire alarms in our building. My 815 sq. ft. condo has three extremely loud horns within 12 feet of one another. Two of them are back-to-back on a thin wall. Overkill?

Rather than impose this expensive and disruptive mandate (which was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings), I prefer you work with my building's Board of Directors and Property Manager on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. We welcome a dialog on ideas for implementing financially reasonable, practical, and effective measures that would improve fire safety in my building.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Warm regards,
Joanne Hurley
1001 Pine Street
San Francisco

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 7:15:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kate Stephenson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 9:12:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Ayerdi

David Ayerdi

From: [Nadya Williams](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Strong Opposition to Throwing \$1.4 Billion down a Subway Tunnel
Date: Friday, January 30, 2026 11:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a native San Franciscan, 33 years in North Beach. Spending billions on an unneeded extension of the (little-used already) Central Subway is insane, when Public Surface Transit - the busses and street cars that so many of us use - is on a fiscal cliff. More funding for BART to run all night would put us into the ranks of a major world city, would it not?

\$1.4 Billion - All so "a convention goer can get to Fisherman's Wharf in ten minutes to eat a bowl of clam chowder"?!?

- *Nadya Williams*

- *Why Minneapolis?*

Because the city is precisely Minnesota's 5th Congressional District of Somali-American Muslim Woman, Representative Ilhan Omar. So, "This is what you get folks when you voted for her." Think she'll be reelected when she runs again in 2027??

Nadya Marina Connolly Williams - Associate member since 2003 of Veterans For Peace, San Francisco Ch. 69 / 1436 Grant Ave. #10, San Francisco, CA 94133 / CELL: (415) 845-9492 / EMAIL: nadyanomad@gmail.com

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 9:44:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Louis Saroni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 9:50:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steven Stiller

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 10:53:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Billie Violette

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 11:01:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ronni Garfield

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 1:43:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed - Dennis Eison - Realtor SFAR

Dennis Eison

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 4:51:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Tom

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 5:02:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

roger perez

From: [Sheryl Squires](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 31, 2026 5:15:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

As a longtime resident of 66 Cleary Court, I am deeply concerned about the burden this would place on homeowners like myself. I'm sure none of you would want to face a similar situation — being required to make such an enormous and life-altering expenditure on your home, especially with little notice or discussion. It is unreasonable to think that everyone has \$300K available for fire safety measures, let alone the cost of relocating during the work.

66 Cleary Court is high-rise condominium complex built with cement walls. The risk of a fire spreading throughout the building is little to none. In fact, just a few months ago, there was a kitchen fire in one of the units which did not spread past the individual unit.

I ask that you consider how deeply this initiative affects the people who call these buildings home. I strongly support practical fire safety improvements, but I urge you to modify this requirement to balance safety with fairness and financial reality.

Thank you for your time and for considering the real-world impact this mandate will have on residents like myself.

Sheryl Squires

66 Cleary Court #906

San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 6:04:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jim Sohm

From: [Chris Etow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Glenn](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement "File 251247"
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 8:31:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We live in a high-rise apartment that we also own located at 1200 California Street. Our building is a nonprofit HOA in San Francisco and has been designated for fire sprinkler retrofitting. We are writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings and that you collaborate with the 126 affected buildings' residents (more than 9,800 units) for an alternative, noninvasive solution that will protect residents and visitors.

Personally, we are extremely distressed that we closed escrow on our apartment in late 2023 without **any** notice that the SF Board of Supervisors passed this sprinkler ordinance. We would NOT have purchased this apartment nor any other high-rise apartment affected by this extraordinary mandate. The covert nature of this decree will potentially place unreasonable physical and financial hardships on us (as well as our neighbors).

As a member of a nonprofit HOA, our building's resources are limited and our budget is specifically based on actual annual expenses. Annual increases to our HOA budget are limited by state law yet the sprinkler mandate and its **significant** financial impact to each of us would further burden our HOA's ability to budget and pay for these new assessments. The financial obligation for this single undertaking will likely limit the financial health and well-being of the 1200 California Street community for years and also result in an inability to maintain our building as needed. Additional costs will mount since we'll likely need to seek temporary housing elsewhere during the retrofitting process all the while paying our mortgage, monthly HOA plus the financial responsibility of this special assessment. We are both retired and rely on our social security pensions that provide us a fixed income.

The affordability concerns that we have are also shared by most residents in San Francisco, not only because of the direct cost of compliance but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City. We appreciate that you and the Mayor wish to make San Francisco more affordable and livable; however, this mandate will have severe negative impacts on this goal and continue to drive tax paying citizens out of the City.

Respectfully,

Glenn and Chris Etow

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 9:34:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dona Crowder

From: [AT&T Yahoo Mail](#)
To: senator.weiner@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Dear Board of Supervisors, Sprinkler System - 66 Cleary Court
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 12:25:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, I am a retired 95 year old College professor living in my apartment/condominium at 66 Cleary court with my partner Johnny Hong. I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed and we are faced to make the changes described it will negatively impact my finances [The truth is I do not have such funds as required and it would bankrupt me]. In addition at my age it is impossible for me to relocate while such renovations take place both physically and fiscally.

We are aware that these decisions to change the code are intended to add to the safety of San Francisco residents but it seems not to have been well thought out. Can the board of supervisors please revisit this and instead of causing extreme and damaging hardship adjust the code to accommodate people such as we are at 66 Cleary Court.

Respectfully, Daniel Glicksburg

Number 1109

66 Cleary Court

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 12:37:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sherri HOwe

From: [Jason R](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 12:48:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm the current Board Chair of the HOA behind 1200 Gough Street, Cathedral Hill Tower. We are a 138 residential unit tower that is all concrete (interior walls inclusive) and was constructed in the 1960s. We have watched the developments around the fire code with some alarm, particularly the blanket nature of its applicability and speed to market for intended compliance. We are currently implementing the existing in-unit annunciators that are also required. Given the concrete structure of this building and 8' interior ceilings, we have concerns whether the structure can even support a full building sprinkler system retroactively applied, and while cost is not a primary driver against safety of owners/residents, it cannot be ignored if the implementation of a sprinkler system means a measurable % of owners would simply choose to walk away from their units, leading to potential foreclosures and unprecedented stress on the financial viability of the HOA as a going concern. A 65 year old property such as this is already undergoing significant upkeep as a matter of course, and we believe fire safety via full sprinklers needs a more nuanced discussion on a building by building basis with the fire marshal and the City/County of SF to determine when/if such a system is required. We look forward to continuing this dialogue and on behalf of the actively engaged voters in these 138 units, will be actively participating in the process on this issue.

Kind Regards,

Jason Russell
CHT Board Chair
jasrussell@outlook.com
M: 415-655-3840

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 1:27:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Steve Giannone

Steve Giannone

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 1:48:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Artem Tkachenko

From: [Hugo Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 5:27:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sirs/Madames,

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is absolutely not true. A measure like this would cause an impossible expense on a 65 year old building that has 22 floors of concrete. We would not be able to cover the expense nor sell the unit given the mandate. Please reconsider this as it is not possible for Cathedral Hill Tower owners and there are other measures that could be taken.

Warm regards,
Hugo Yang (unit 20 D in Cathedral hill tower)

From: [B Scott Klika](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 5:38:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. I am not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners (especially Senior Citizens on fixed incomes) and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm; however, I may not be able to attend in person due to physical constraints but would be able to if it were opened up and accessible via ZOOM or iTeams.

Sincerely yours,

-- scott

B Scott Klika
1200 Gough St Unit 15A
Tel: 415-779-5566
Email: bsklika@outlook.com

From: [Lauren Zorfas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 5:58:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members:

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Kind regards,
Lauren Zorfas
Unit 17D

From: [Graham Gallivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 6:52:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Best,
Graham Gallivan

From: [john lavette](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Sunday, February 1, 2026 8:01:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
John Lavette

From: [Cory Sanderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: FIRE SPRINKLER RETROFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 25 Seven 251247
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 8:15:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Forwarding my prior email for inclusion in the file for the vote on this matter.

Thank you,
Cory Sanderson
415-240-3539

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cory Sanderson** <cscorysanderson@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Nov 23, 2025 at 3:10 PM
Subject: SF Condo Fire Sprinkler Mandate
To: <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>

Dear Supervisor Sauter,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with my building's Board and management on other suitable proposals. I am a resident of Pine Terrace at 1001 Pine St.

I was surprised when the recent article appeared in the Chronicle, but assumed it did not apply to our building, as we have two interior stairwells that are made of thick poured concrete and ostensibly meet the 2 hour fire rating standard. However, I was livid when I received an email from our Board president that it *does* apply to us.

When I bought my studio condo unit in the building in December of 2020, I bought into this building largely *because* it is quite resistant to fire. It's a tensioned concrete slab building with subterranean parking (the parking areas were later retrofitted with sprinklers). Even the walls between the units are ferrocement on metal framing (not wood), leading me to believe that this is already quite a firesafe building.

The only reason I can discern from the publicly available information for why this ordinance applies to us is that one stairwell is truly interior, but the other—also interior—stairwell, is accessed from an exterior landing at the end of each hall on our 14 floor building. It's an unusual arrangement. If my supposition is correct, then it seems far smarter and more cost-efficient to mandate fire doors at the stairwell access points for a building that otherwise seems to comply with the requirement. From a policy standpoint, it would seem prudent to allow for more building-by-building assessments to determine the right solutions to balance

cost and safety.

I find it impossible to believe that the existing ordinance would substantially increase safety in a meaningful way in our building. I was not opposed and promptly paid the special assessment to upgrade the fire alarm system in our building a few years ago (a \$4K bill in my case) and would have no opposition to the relatively modest cost to mandate fire doors or even extend the existing sprinkler system to the stairwells, since our building already has a sprinkler system.

However, the cost of installing a full sprinkler system in the building would likely run well into the millions, if not tens of millions, of dollars for this 161 unit, 14-story building. Furthermore, with short eight foot ceilings throughout floors separated by tensioned concrete slabs, I don't know how this would even be accomplished in a practicable way.

After years of renting rooms in the city making well below the median salary, I eventually went to grad school and worked my way into a great career. I bought my condo for \$560K and now make a base salary of \$160K. My studio condo is tight for two, but affordable, and my partner is planning to move in next year. However, I could never afford the years of monthly assessments to pay for this proposed work—even if it came in at the low end of the published estimate.

After living here for 13 years, I've made a great and stable life in San Francisco that I've worked hard and long to attain and contributed to several successful SF-based startups over the course of those years, as I still am today. But the reality is that, if I had to sell at a significant loss, in this real estate market and nearing 40 years old, I would most likely move out of the city. At a time when the city badly needs more housing, adding this onerous and ill-conceived blanket policy strikes me as backwards.

It's my hope that the city supervisors will work out an amendment to this policy that contains the cost and recognizes that a one-size fits all solution isn't the right way forward.

Thank you,
Cory

Cory Sanderson
415-240-3539

From: Nersi.Boussina@morganstanley.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: Nersi.Boussina@morganstanley.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 8:36:44 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Nersi Boussina

Managing Director, Financial Advisor

Morgan Stanley

101 California Street, 23rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 693-6878
(800) 347-3488
(415) 707-5242 mobile
(415) 578-9796 Fax

True wealth is not a matter of bank accounts or assets. It's in the values we live and share.

My goal is to provide a high level of service while helping my clients achieve financial success.

If you are aware of anyone who can benefit from my services, please let me know.



Please visit my website:

<https://fa.morganstanley.com/nersi.boussina>

Please consider the Environment before printing this email

The information and data contained herein is from sources considered reliable, but their accuracy and completeness is not guaranteed. This report has been prepared for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to be used as a substitute for account statements provided on a regular basis from Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, and data in this report should be compared carefully with account statements to verify its accuracy. The Firm strongly encourages clients to consult with their own accountants or other advisors with respect to any tax questions. This report is being provided as a courtesy. By providing this report, we do not represent or agree that we will monitor the investments in your account(s) or deliver future reports.

If Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, its affiliates and Morgan Stanley Financial Advisors and Private Wealth Advisors (collectively, "Morgan Stanley") provide "investment advice" as defined under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as applicable, regarding a retirement or welfare benefit plan account, an individual retirement account or a Coverdell education savings account (collectively, "Retirement Account"), Morgan Stanley is a "fiduciary" under ERISA and/or the Code. When Morgan Stanley provides investment education (including historical performance and asset allocation models), takes orders on an unsolicited basis or otherwise does not provide "investment advice", Morgan Stanley will not be considered a "fiduciary" under ERISA and/or the Code. For more information regarding Morgan Stanley's role with respect to a Retirement Account, please visit www.morganstanley.com/disclosures/dol. Tax laws are complex and subject to change. Morgan Stanley does not provide tax or legal advice. Individuals are encouraged to consult their tax and legal advisors (a) before establishing a Retirement Account, and (b) regarding any potential tax, ERISA and related consequences of any investments or other transactions made with respect to a Retirement Account.

If you would like to unsubscribe from marketing e-mails from Morgan Stanley Wealth Management, you may do so here:

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://cloud.msml.morganstanley.com/unsubpagesalesforce?Source=Outlook___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NjkwYjY2ZTI1ZTdIMWlxMDU4MDM2ZmZmZGYwZGM5NT03OjQ0NGU6NzFhZTQzZWm3NjAzMTJlZTkwZWUyMDAyMmFjYzZhZTI0NjlkMWExODRmNTIIN2M1MjgwMjJkN2JmZlE1NWY5MDp0OIQ6Tg. Please note, you will still receive service e-mails from Morgan Stanley Wealth Management.

You may have certain rights regarding the information that Morgan Stanley collects about you. Please see our Privacy Pledge https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.morganstanley.com/privacy-pledge___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NjkwYjY2ZTI1ZTdIMWlxMDU4MDM2ZmZmZGYwZGM5NT03OjY1ZDY6MTFiM2Q5ODU0YTg3ZjQ2Njc5ZDNmZTE4ZWY0N2FjY2U2OGUxZGFmY2EzYzhjYWQzZTIyMjU1MTdhNDU5ZjFIMDp0OIQ6Tg for more information about your rights.

From: [Zach Georgopoulos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 9:41:28 AM
Attachments: [image407947.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower ("CHT") at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes CHT. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Sent via Mobile Phone - please excuse typos

ZACH GEORGOPOULOS
Attorney



Georgopoulos & Economidis LLP

235 Montgomery St, Ste 1060
San Francisco, CA 94104

T: 415.986.4000
F: 415.986.4001
E: zach@gelawgroup.com

This message contains information that may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail, and delete the message.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, please be advised that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (or in any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this communication (or in any attachment). If you would like to receive written advice in a format that complies with IRS rules and that may be relied upon to avoid penalties, please contact the author of this e-mail.

From: [Andrew Littlefield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 11:52:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is believed that Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough St., the building that I live in, is part of a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties. That is wrong, we are not in support of the measure. 1200 Gough St. is a 65 year old concrete building with tow interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two hour fire rated walls, and we are opposed to any measure that mandates putting in full building sprinklers. There are other ways of dealing with fire alert and prevention without bankrupting both the owners and this building. Let's continue to discuss this important issue, and I will be at the February 9th. meeting at 1:30 at City Hall.

Thank you,

Andrew Littlefield

1200 Gough St. #19F
San Francisco, CA
94109

Andrewll1@Yahoo.com

From: [Angella Jagdeo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 12:35:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm. Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200Gough Street Owner

Angella

From: [Evan Sipe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 2:04:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

As someone who has family who lost their house to fire, I fully support fire safety, but to make such sweeping changes without considering the financial impact is extraordinarily difficult.

I have lived in San Francisco for 8 years and at 66 Cleary Court for three. We moved while pregnant with my first child and are now happily expecting our second.

My husband and I want to raise our children in this fantastic city, but the anticipated costs associated with this code would make that unlikely. We love living at 66 Cleary Court with its cement walls and beautiful design. Retrofitting the entire building as outlined in the code and then each additional charge for units would be prohibitive and exorbitantly expensive.

I urge you to consider other life safety measures, to establish common sense exemption guidelines, and to support San Francisco families.

Best,

Evan Rahman

66 Cleary Court

From: [Jas Kimbell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 2:24:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. **That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure.**

As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building.

I am 64 years old, was diagnosed with breast cancer and absolutely cannot afford the cost associated with a project that can be addressed fire safety in other ways given the structure of our building.

We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm or if it is rescheduled.

Jasbir Kaur-Kimbell

1200 Gough St #11F

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 3:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

merti walker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 3:12:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed. Ronni Garfield

Ronni Garfield

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 3:53:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jay Aurora

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 5:07:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Artson

From: [Midori Tatro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 - Please revisit this mandate!
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 5:09:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Office of the Mayor,

I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. I support better fire safety, but the ordinance in its current form would create severe financial and social harm for thousands of residents.

Estimated retrofit costs range from \$113,000 to \$300,000 per unit, which could translate into thousands of dollars in new monthly HOA fees or loan payments. These retrofits require opening walls, ceilings, and sometimes surrounding streets, which means major disruption and likely displacement for many residents.

The ordinance also provides no plan for where displaced residents will go, even though thousands could be forced out during construction. Many affected homeowners are seniors, fixed-income residents, and long-time community members who cannot absorb six-figure costs or months of displacement. This risks pushing vulnerable people out of their homes and undermining property values.

There are also fairness and process issues. Homeowners bear the full cost even though lower-cost alternatives, such as upgraded fire-resistant doors or partial sprinkler systems, were not fully evaluated. The proposal has moved forward without an updated independent cost-benefit analysis and with limited public input.

I respectfully urge you to pause the ordinance and pursue a more balanced approach. A new independent study, real financial relief or hardship protections, and a clear relocation plan are essential. The city should fully consider safer and more affordable alternatives before imposing costs that many residents cannot withstand.

Thanks for your consideration.

Best regards,
Midori Okubo

From: [Linda Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 8:02:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am given the understanding that Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. **That is not correct. We do not support the measure.**

As a 65-year-old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. As a family of 4 with young children, we are already strapped down by childcare costs. We cannot afford to take on such a huge assessment.

We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend to attend the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Thank you kindly,
Linda Ma

From: [Saroni, Louis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirements File 251247
Date: Monday, February 2, 2026 10:59:23 PM
Attachments: [Sprinkler Mandate .eml](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From: [Saroni, Louis](#)
To: [Saroni, Louis](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Saturday, November 29, 2025 12:46:36 AM

I'm writing to protest the potential San Francisco high rise sprinkler mandate. I'm a third generation San Francisco native who lives in one of these buildings. 1835 Franklin St 502 I has been my home for 60 years and now I'm potentially faced with two to three hundred thousand dollars expense to put a sprinkler system in that might not even be feasible to install to the suggested specifications. I really don't understand how San Francisco can continuously make decision that affects thousands of homeowners without considering the consequences and hardship that all these new ordinances put on homeowners. This city is so expensive to live in already and now it potentially forces more people out of their homes which is totally opposite of affordable housing. I'm all for safety of the people, but we must take a closer look into this proposed mandate to see if it really applies to all the buildings on the list. If the city is willing to foot the bill and deal with thousands of homeowners be displaced during constriction, then I might have a different opinion. I strongly feel that this mandate be voted down for the good of the people.

Thanks,
Louis Saroni III
415 203-8306

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: gregs@pacificpensionactl.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: mkhadka@citiscapesf.com; YWestland@att.net
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 2:11:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

Greg Schlappich
415.713.7161
gregs@pacificpensionactl.com

From: gregs@pacificpensionactl.com <gregs@pacificpensionactl.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 12:00 PM
To: 'Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org' <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: 'mkhadka@citiscapesf.com' <mkhadka@citiscapesf.com>; 'YWestland@att.net' <YWestland@att.net>
Subject: RE: Vote to Repeal Retrofit Sprinkler Requirement

Forwarding this to your attention – important!

Greg Schlappich
415.713.7161
gregs@pacificpensionactl.com

From: gregs@pacificpensionactl.com <gregs@pacificpensionactl.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 9, 2025 11:15 AM
To: 'Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org' <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: 'mkhadka@citiscapesf.com' <mkhadka@citiscapesf.com>; 'YWestland@att.net'

<YWestland@att.net>

Subject: Vote to Repeal Retrofit Sprinkler Requirement

Attention: Board of Supervisors

Please see attached.

Cc: Marisa Khadka, Yumi Westland

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 2:22:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Sincerely,
Eileen Bermingham
San Francisco, CA

Eileen Bermingham

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 2:30:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Caldwell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 2:44:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Eric Turner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 2:58:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Terrence Jones

Terrence Jones

From: [Laura Robertson](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 3:24:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email rubykuma@duck.com

From your constituent Laura Robertson

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.

2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Laura Robertson

From: [Shirley Fogarino](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 3:24:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email scoopfoggy@prodigy.net

From your constituent Shirley Fogarino

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.

2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Shirley Fogarino

From: [Shirley Fogarino](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 3:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Shirley Fogarino

Email scoopfoggy@prodigy.net

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Do you guys GET IT??!!! Do not pontificate about

affordability and how working and middle class people can't make it in the city, then overreach and pass a mandate that will seriously BURDEN SF building owners and homeowners. WE ARE NOT TECHIES AND FINANCE BROS WITH \$200k PER YEAR SALARIES! Many of us are on FIXED INCOMES! Your Trumpian actions will surely force many of us out of our homes! STOP THIS NOW!

Thank you!

Shirley Fogarino

From: [Richard Naidus](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 4:33:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Richard Naidus
Email rmnaidus@gmail.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Richard Naidus

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 4:46:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

angela ma

From: [Melissa Aurand](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:04:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Melissa Aurand
Email melissa.w.aurand@gmail.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Melissa Aurand

From: [Ron Blatman](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:13:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Ron Blatman

Email ronblatman@gmail.com

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Ron Blatman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:28:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Betty Taisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:28:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Micah Ikemire

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:35:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sampson Lo

From: [Don Mariacher](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:37:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Don Mariacher
Email donmariacher@comast.net

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can ill afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted or asked for input when this legislation was passed and are now faced with hundreds of thousands in costs and face dislocation from their homes due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. This is also having a dramatic effect on property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask the Board of Supervisors to amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation. If that's not possible then the proposed deadlines must be extended and an unbiased study should be undertaken to determine practical alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you!

Don Mariacher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:38:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Annie Au-yeung

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 5:56:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Yesenia beatriz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 6:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Adrian Chu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 7:30:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Aaron Bellings

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 8:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Evelyn Fong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 8:10:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jacob Arnall

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 9:01:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Pov Oum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 9:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anne Fung

From: [Randel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Randel Martindale](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 — 1200 Gough Street Owner
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 3:48:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am given to understand Cathedral Hill Tower at 1200 Gough Street is listed on a group of properties in support of a pending measure that would mandate sprinkler systems in residential properties of the type that includes Cathedral Hill Tower. That is not correct. We are not in support of the measure. As a 65 year old concrete structure with two interior stairs that are separated from the rest of the building by two-hour fire-rated walls, CHT is opposed to any measure that mandates retrofitting buildings like CHT with full building sprinklers. There are other preventative measures we believe that can address fire alert and prevention without bankrupting owners and this building. We look forward to continuing this dialogue on this very important topic and intend on attending the February 9 meeting if I am able to at 1:30pm.

Kind regards,
Randel Martindale
1200 Gough Street, 7E
San Francisco, CA

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 7:58:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ching Ng

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 8:20:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ming Ng

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 9:38:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shameran Anderer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:08:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Philip A Parker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:16:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Susan Fisch

Susan Fisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:18:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Statmore

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:19:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Kinoshita

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carmen Woo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:24:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ronald Rose

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:32:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Annette DiResta

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:40:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jennifer Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:43:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Helen Kong

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:47:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Peter Tan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:48:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Blatman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:48:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

PAO-CHING WANG

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:48:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

peter cling

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:49:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Wyrsh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:53:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Schwartz

From: [Laura Higbie](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Compromise (or Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:59:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Laura Higbie

Email lhigbie@hotmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Laura Higbie

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:02:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This appears to be an absurd policy.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Jacques

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:03:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Ungar

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:07:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ditka Reiner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:10:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed
Berit Muh

Berit Muh

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:11:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dan Shia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:12:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sherial Heller

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:18:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nicole Flowers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:25:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gretchen Addi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:26:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Hirsch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:31:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Trim Wellbeloved

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 11:35:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Louis Saroni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, February 5, 2026 12:00:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

David Solis

David Solis

From: [Sylvia Hughes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, February 4, 2026 12:01:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live in apartment 9A at 2200 Pacific Avenue in San Francisco. My building is concrete, with metal wall supports, eight foot ceilings, and fire sprinkled hallways; in other words, quite fire proof, as are many buildings on your list of buildings potentially requiring retrofits.

In the past 60 years, there have been no lives lost to fires in these buildings—four injuries caused by an electric scooter fire is the sum total of incidents. Does this record justify the enormous costs and displacements you would cause by the potential new requirements?

Our building, like many of the period, has eight foot ceilings. If the requirement that every room have fire sprinklers goes into effect, we would either have pipes running across every ceiling, or our ceilings would be of substandard (less than seven feet) height. In addition, it would be impossible to remain in the apartment while these modifications are installed. Do you really ask that all the tenants in all 126 buildings, many of whom are in my age group (I am 81), must relocate or become homeless for some months?

I have owned a house with a required sprinkler system in every room, and over a fifteen year period, had three incidences where the system developed a leak, causing damage to floors, walls, and furniture. With a retrofit system, I suspect there would be potentially more problems than with one installed as part of an initial build.

Do these potential problems and costs really outweigh the fact that no major injuries and no loss of life has been demonstrated where there are no universal fire sprinklers, but where many other fire safety features exist, such as fireproof building materials, hallway sprinklers, alarms, etc.?

Than you for your consideration.

Sylvia Hughes
2200 Pacific Avenue
Apartment 9A

From: [Alec Bash](#)
To: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Cc: [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Bell, Tita \(BOS\)](#); [Madeleine Trembley](#); [Barry Shiller](#); [Michele Hennessey](#); [Andrews, Michelle \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Code/Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate - Land Use and Transportation Committee 2/9/26
Date: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 4:17:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Melgar, Chen and Mahmood,

We're writing on behalf of the [Gateway Tenants Association](#) (GTA) and for the residents within the almost 1200 highrise apartments at [The Gateway Apartments & Townhomes](#). We are four rent-controlled 22- and 25-story apartment buildings built over three blocks in the mid-to-late 1960s as part of the [Embarcadero - Lower Market \(Golden Gateway\) Redevelopment Plan](#), along with 58 townhomes built atop the three-level podium at our base.

The sprinkler mandate passed by a previous Board of Supervisors in 2023 received very little public review and has implications not well thought through. Today there's debate about the unit cost of adhering to the new sprinkler mandate, but we believe all acknowledge there would be considerable displacement involved. **In fact, we believe this would far surpass the likely displacement of rent-controlled residents that the City estimates might occur with the recently-adopted Family Zoning Plan.**

Other important considerations:

- Cost of inserting a sprinkler system into existing concrete buildings while dealing with hazardous materials remediation and the required public notices.
- Uneven capacity of the City water systems to support the addition of sprinklers in areas which may already be at capacity, e.g., when enclosing a Noe Valley sunroom in 2011, one of us was told the water supply in the street was inadequate to support even the one additional sprinkler required.

We support the amendments introduced by Supervisor Danny Sauter and Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, in collaboration with both Mayor Daniel Lurie and the Fire Department, to extend both the Step 1 and Step 2 deadlines by five years. We understand that this extension allows more time to discuss the exemption process and definition of undue hardship.

Finally, at some point within our lifetimes a major earthquake may occur, which could cause major damage and displacement in many buildings subject to the sprinkler retrofit mandate. The ensuing need for capital improvements would be an ideal time in which to incorporate sprinklers or the most modern fire suppression technology available at that time. We urge that this also be considered during the extension.

Thank you for considering our concerns.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Trembley
President, Gateway Tenants Association

Alec Bash, AICP
VP (External Affairs), Gateway Tenants Association
Chair, GTA Safety Committee

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 40 Letters Regarding File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 12:46:00 PM
Attachments: [40 Letters Regarding File No. 251247.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 40 letters regarding **File No. 251247:**

Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: cbmoore0807@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cbmoore0807@gmail.com; "Roger Greer"
Subject: FW: Please Amend the High-Rise Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 5:29:39 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We understand there will be a meeting on February 9th at 1:30 pm to discuss the Fire Sprinkler Mandate. We are unable to attend but want to share the letter we sent in December to your colleagues in our City's government.

Thank you,

Roger Greer and Constance Moore
1170 Sacramento Street, 8D
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: cbmoore0807@gmail.com <cbmoore0807@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 3:50 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; FireAdministration@sfgov.org; Fire.Commission@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; SauterStaff@sfgov.org; mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org
Cc: 'Roger Greer' <rogergreer@sbcglobal.net>; 'Connie Moore' <cbmoore0807@gmail.com>
Subject: Please Amend the High-Rise Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Importance: High

We are writing to urge each of you to pass legislation to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for existing residential high-rise buildings and to consider the severe financial and personal hardships that residents like us will face under the current requirement.

We fully support fire safety in our city. Our building, *The Nob Hill* at 1170 Sacramento Street, has complied with all previous fire-safety mandates, but this particular requirement goes far beyond what homeowners can, and should, reasonably bear.

Our building, like so many others in the City, has managed its finances prudently but has not prepared for such a capital expense. This mandate will create a significant hardship for all owners (financially and emotionally as we

will be required to fund this work through either a significant special assessment or increased HOA fees and find temporary housing for an extended period while the work is completed).

Please help create a pathway that protects safety while also protecting residents from unmanageable costs and disruption.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Roger Greer and Constance Moore

1170 Sacramento Street, 8D

San Francisco, CA 94108

From: [Lin Family](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 5:48:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as an owner of Cleary 66 Ct. to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings like ours and consider other feasible life safety measures for their residents. We are writing to express our deep concern that if this mandate is enforced without amendment, the consequences, not only for my household but also my neighbors', will be severe and immediate.

1. Family Disruption: As an immigrant family of four with two elementary-aged children, any construction lasting more than one month would be profoundly difficult. We do not have families or relatives we can go to. Especially that our children are placed in two different public schools in the city by SFUSD. This extended project would force a non-ideal relocation to us, creating a disruptive change to our crucial daily routines and our children's schooling, in addition to the significant unbudgeted costs and major logistical challenges. It would be a very undesirable situation for any family, especially those with children. Children would no longer have a safe and stable home which would be bad for their well-being.

2. Financial Burden and Extreme Hardship: This unfunded mandate will impose an unforeseen and unrealistic capital assessment on fixed-income residents and modest savers. Our monthly HOA fees have already escalated by nearly 50% in the last four years, which has already been difficult. Not that there has been no support on that, but imposing a multi-thousand dollar per-unit cost of this retrofit would place an extreme and unsustainable financial hardship on our fixed incomes and limited savings, compounding the stress we already face from the rising cost of essential expenditures. If the city chooses to proceed with this mandate, we strongly request the immediate establishment of a robust financial incentive or rebate program to make compliance feasible, as we lack the final resources to absorb this cost otherwise.

We are committed to safety but request to amend the fire code immediately. We seek alternative, feasible life safety measures that protect residents without compromising the stability of our community. Thank you for taking action to prevent this hardship.

Sincerely,
Tristan & Sharon
Parents/66 Cleary Ct owners

From: [Beth Cort](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FIRE SPRINKLER RETROFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 251247
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 6:04:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Beth Cort

From: [meracosta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 7:43:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

As a senior with my medical conditions, it would be a significant health hardship for me to even begin to think of the idea to have to relocate (temporarily or permanently) for such a renovation to occur here at 66 Cleary Court. The stress alone would exasperate my current medical conditions.

It is widely understood that moving is considered one of the most traumatic events in a person's life. A temporary move would double this traumatic event since it would require the initial moving out and then moving back in. Not to mention, at this time it is not known exactly when in the future I would be required to move. But I would be further up in my years and most likely my health situation would possibly have advanced.

Being that we are in San Francisco, the financial cost would be exorbitant to perform the following:

- If I were to decide to sell, I would lose in the sale as this retrofit would not only make it extremely difficult to sell my condo in a timely manner but I would have to significantly reduce the sale price to even generate any buyer interest and/or I would have to pay the assessment price for the retrofit as part of the sale.
- Selling or temporarily relocating during construction, results in the following expenses:
 - o The hundreds of thousands of dollars for the assessment cost I shall be required to pay as a condo owner at 66 Cleary Court
 - o Moving & packing/unpacking expense to move out/in (split move expense if I am required to store some belongings)
 - o Having lived at 66 Cleary Court for 20 years, temporary housing, which we all know is skyrocketing in San Francisco, would be egregiously more per month

than my current monthly living expenses (especial on a month-to-month lease as there would be no guarantee on the exact timeframe that I would need to vacate my condo).

- Utility expenses for both temporary housing and my permanent residence
- Temporary storage for furniture/belongings of a 3-bedroom condo
- As a person that does not drive, I may have added transportation expenses if I am not able to secure temporary residency near my doctors, grocery, etc.

I am sure there are more expenses I am not thinking of at this moment; however, I am also concerned with the effects on all the residents living here at Cleary Court. This type of financial expense could ruin livelihoods. Some people live paycheck to paycheck. I fear how many tenants would need to walk away from or sell their condos, which not only would ruin their lives but the long-term effect/burden for anyone remaining at Cleary Court should there be too many vacant units resulting in the loss of ongoing basic Home Owners Association dues for general maintenance.

Please take into consideration the above forementioned and make the honorable decision that maintains livelihoods for the residents of 66 Cleary Court and the other impacted buildings in our beautiful city that is San Francisco, which includes these older buildings.

Sincerely,

Mercedes Barberis

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S10, an AT&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone

From: [Carrie Ludwig](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 8:03:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I'm a longtime resident of a mid-1960s high-rise building that is home to many senior citizens. I request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on alternative and affordable fire safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to, or discussion with, the affected buildings. It will add an onerous physical and financial hardship on our residents. If the code is not amended, my neighbors and I will incur costly special assessments that impose a significant financial burden on most of us who are living on fixed incomes. We will also be forced to relocate during a long construction period at a high additional cost, with major inconvenience and disruption to our lives.

Living in San Francisco is very expensive, but I love living in the City and don't want to move away. Please help us by alleviating this impending and unnecessary mandate.

Carrie G Ludwig

From: [John Hopkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 1:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

PLEASE HELP! The fire sprinkler mandate places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on the majority of our 150 residents living in moderate priced housing.

We are a 70 and 74 yr old retired couple who have spent over 50 yrs contributing to our San Francisco community and supporting those in need. We moved into our condo building expecting to fully live out our retirement in the city we have loved since first coming here in 1973. We expected our (rare) middle class, moderate priced condo that we purchased would allow us to live out our final years comfortably (and modestly) given the high cost of living in San Francisco. We are shocked at the heartless decision and complete disregard for people's economic situation and the huge costs to our savings and well-being. Especially for seniors living on rapidly diminishing fixed income.

This law is a devastating blow to our retirement income. The anticipated \$300,000.00 cost (and even a \$50,000 cost) for this system wipes out a major part of our retirement savings. We will be forced to sell our condo at a major reduction (\$300k less) and move out of the city which so many of our friends and community have been forced due to the high cost of living and lack of affordable housing. The hardship created by this requirement is huge and being driven from our home and losing half of our retirement savings at this stage of life is devastating. Mentally it is very depressing as we have no other income or children to support us. We will have at least 9 years of our retirement savings wiped out in addition to a huge tax bill for taking out and depleting a major portion of our 401k savings.

We understand the concern for safety, however, a building with 18" cement walls, floors and ceiling will not burn down. Our units are composed of entire glass walls and sliding doors with a balcony off of every room. Surely some concessions should be made for the construction design and feasibility of our building and unlikelihood of being trapped inside due to hard wired smoke detectors in every unit and easy access out of our units onto balconies from every room. There have been a couple fires in the building and each of them easily extinguished, contained within one unit with no impact outside the individual unit where they started.

We understand more feasible mitigation efforts are being proposed to add additional life saving measures to our building. We implore you to consider less expensive options considering our buildings particular design instead of a blanket black and white policy. This measure will have a major impact on our seniors lives and the mental health and anguish this impact is having on us at this stage of our lives. We are completely distraught and implore you to show some compassion for our middle class and senior community faced with this insurmountable cost and loss of our home.

Sincerely,

John Hopkins

Michael Yezi

66 Cleary Court, San Francisco



Virus-free www.avast.com

From: [John Merten](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire sprinkler Fire Sprinkler "Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 4:16:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi BOS:

My wife and I purchased a small unit at Fontana East and only recently found out about a fire sprinkler mandate. The building we bought into has many current fire enhancements including multiple exterior exit routes and sprinklered common areas. Requiring that the fire sprinkler meet the standard as though the building was built new today is unimaginable and economically unfair.

For one the ceiling heights will not accommodate unplanned/ not originally designed piping, major holes will need to be drilled through cast in place concrete walls, meter upgrades and pipe runs vertically through the structure will all cause more impacts than benefits.

This impact will cause people to have to move out, and the cost will be beyond outrageous. I can see this costly easily \$200,000 for our unit plus all the associated common changes, fees, permits and inspections. We can not afford to do this, we are already stretching ourselves in order to live in such an expensive city.

I know that it is common for sprinklers or other changes to be required when a homeowner does a major remodel but in this case we are planning to tear up the building. I have never heard of this overreach by the authorities in any place in the world. I guess San Francisco has a reputation for excess regulation and overreach by the public sector and I suppose this is the proof.

I would prefer if the Board was to reconsider this mandate and remove it entirely.

Sincerely,

JOHN MERTEN



LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE | SITE PLANNING

232 SIR FRANCIS DRAKE BLVD

SAN ANSELMO, CA 94960

415 721 0905

www.studiogreen.com

From: [ROBERT GADOMSKI](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 5:21:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My wife and I have lived at 1200 California Street in San Francisco since 2008 in a 26 story high rise made out of reenforced concrete. Each floor has two exists onto an external concrete staircases on opposite sides of the floor open to the air. Each floor has steel fire exit doors to greatly reduce the likelihood of smoke and flames from going into the stair case. We have a variety of fire protection systems that are fully functional and are making significant capital cost upgrades in next two years. We have had no fire incidents I am aware of since we moved in. We are retired on fixed income.

We object to the pending fire ordinances fir high rise buildings that requires sprinklers in each unit. This is very expensive and unnecessary. We will not have use of our apartment for months.

*Thanks,
Bob*

Robert E. Gadomski

1200 California Street
Apartment 15B
San Francisco, CA 94109

C: [+1-610-745-0659](tel:+16107450659)
NEW EMAIL: gadomsre@me.com

From: [James Henderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Mandate Update
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 5:32:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, as a shareholder at Fontana East, we are extremely disappointed to hear of a potential mandate to install sprinkler systems in every unit across 22 buildings.

To say that lives will be disrupted is a gross understatement. It would not be surprising if a large number of residents are forced to leave the city, not only because of the construction disruption but also the cost that buildings will levy on residents, making potential unit sales difficult at best and driving down the value of the properties, at least in the short term. Some residents literally may have nowhere to go or may be physically unable to move.

We urge you to reconsider this project in the interest of fairness to all whose lives will change, and highly likely not for the better, as a result of this mandate.

Thank you.
Jim and Theresa Henderson
Unit 208, Fontana East

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [James Ruggiero](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 9:25:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am a longtime San Francisco resident and bought a studio in Cathedral Hill Tower for what I thought would be my retirement in the city I love. I am not rich, and the fire sprinkler retrofit requirement may imperil my ability to remain in the city given the massive expense required to retrofit sprinklers in an already-constructed concrete building. I want to forward this to you and ask that you consider revising this requirement so that it doesn't force people like me out of their homes in the city.

Thank you.

James Ruggiero

Fire Marshal Law-

At the recent Fire Commission Meeting and in our meeting with Chief Crispen, you and Chief Crispin stated that approximately 70 buildings have submitted documents indicating they will comply with the retroactive installation of automatic fire sprinklers pursuant to the 2022 San Francisco fire code. I understand our property at **1200 Gough Street** is included on that list.

I would like to be clear that our building is opposed to the imposition of this requirement and ask that you NOT imply that we are supportive and have the means to comply with the regulation. This form was merely returned to you to meet the reporting deadline and was not intended to confirm that we were in a position to comply, as no research or plan had been developed at that time. If there is a form that we need to complete to remove our building from this list, please advise.

Please confirm receipt of this request and let me know if you have any questions. As stated several times publicly and privately, our building is not opposed to fire safety measures. In fact, we welcome a dialog with you and your team on ideas for implementing financially reasonable, practical and effective measures that would improve fire safety in our building. After all, these are our homes.

-James Ruggiero

1200 Gough Street Unit 4B

From: [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Regualtions
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 10:12:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Susan Fisch and my husband and I are senior citizens living in D3. I am writing to you on behalf of the proposed sprinkler requirements for older apartment buildings. To require that every apartment install sprinkler systems in every room of their apartment is just ludicrous. The cost for the installation could be as much as \$300,000 or more for us, and that doesn't even take into consideration the cost involved in having to move out of our apartment while the installation is taking place. Where would we go? What would we do with our furniture? We are senior citizens living on a fixed income, like many of our neighbors. Although we might not be financially ruined, there are neighbors in our building who would be, as well as seniors in many other neighborhoods . It is clear that the unions are supporting this measure because they stand to make millions of dollars for their members. To believe that the union support is motivated solely because of their concern for our safety is too incredulous to be believed. And to give them voting power on the TAC is a conflict of interest that is just staggering .

Many US cities (including Los Angeles and San Diego) has looked at similar regulations, only to ultimately refuse to implement them (we have done the research on this subject). Throwing senior citizens out of our homes because of your concern for our safety is ridiculous, and you know that. Allowing the unions to decide what happens to us is an abomination . Is passing this measure the political legacy that you want? Is this your version of upholding San Francisco values – causing over 10,000 people to potentially lose our homes and be forced out on the street?

Susan Fisch
D3

From: [Jerry Kay](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 10:20:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a co-owner of a unit in 66 Cleary Court, I am adding my voice and concern over the questionable rules adopted requiring fire sprinkler systems in the older condominium and apartment buildings. While building safety ought to be a major concern, how it is implemented is also of great concern.

Our unit would be one of those impacted to absorb incredibly great costs, vacant tenancy and a host of other problems that will worsen housing availability and affordability unless less severe restrictions are considered and adopted.

Please listen to those of us who understand the problems and re-work the retrofit requirements to not cause such undue harm.

Thank You,
Gerald Kay,

From: nuth@redwoodlake.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 10:28:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

We am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. The initial fire sprinkler mandate was passed with little discussion or assessment of its feasibility and cost. We hope that this email arrives in time for you to consider some alternatives.

My wife and I will retire this year and had hoped to age in place in our apartment. But this mandate to install sprinklers in our apartment will cost us far more than we can afford, and burden us with staggering debt. According to the latest estimates, installing sprinklers in our merged apartment will cost us \$500,000 to \$600,000! We would be forced to take out loans that would take years to pay down. Otherwise, we may need to sell our apartment, but the sprinkler assessment would then pass to a buyer and make it far more difficult to sell our unit. Finally, the construction itself would also take several months to complete, forcing us to find alternative housing.

All our residents want our building to be safe. We have complied with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has implemented to make our building safer, including recent updates to our alarm and first responder communication systems. However, the requirements of this mandate go beyond what is affordable.

Unfunded mandates of this scope add to the burdens that make San Francisco unlivable for most people. Please, on behalf of ourselves and other apartment dwellers in San Francisco, reconsider this requirement. We urge you to amend or reconsider the fire sprinkler retrofitting mandate for older high-rise buildings and to consider other feasible safety measures to protect our residents.

Thank you,

Peter Nuth

Christine Scheerder

1750 Taylor Street

San Francisco, CA

From: [Michael Tognarelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); shaman.walton@sfgov.org; [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Amend/Repeal the SF Older High-Rise Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 11:01:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mr. Mayor,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, my expected cost would be comparable with my current annual salary. Relocating during the retrofit, and the cost associated with that, would also be an expensive hardship. In short, I'd probably have to move out and take a considerable loss on my property value.

Further, the demographics in our building skew to a more senior population. Many people face health issues and/or live on fixed incomes. For this group, the assessed cost may be prohibitive to their retaining their ownership, and even if they can absorb the cost, relocation to an accommodating temporary home, for some, may be infeasible.

We have recently had a meeting at Fort Mason with Supervisors Sherril and Sauter and the mayor's representative wherein many very germane opinions were voiced by residents. I hope that you may be able to review recordings. At the time of the meeting, the supervisors and the mayor's representative in attendance were very supportive of our position. It is very disappointing to hear that the mayor himself may have reversed course. I sincerely hope that he will reconsider.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Regards,

Michael Tognarelli
Board Member and Shareholder
Fontana East Apartment Corporation

From: [Ashley Marriott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 3:38:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a homeowner at **Fontana East** to state in the strongest possible terms my **opposition to the proposed sprinkler retrofit mandate** for existing high-rise condominium buildings. This mandate is not only financially devastating but raises serious questions about fairness, legality, and government overreach.

1. The Proposed Mandate Would Impose Financial Hardship of an Unprecedented Scale

Retrofit estimates for buildings like Fontana East run into the **tens of millions of dollars**, translating into **hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit**—costs that would be pushed entirely onto homeowners.

This is not a “minor improvement” or a manageable assessment. It is a **catastrophic financial blow**.

Many of our residents are seniors, long-term owners, and individuals living on fixed or moderate incomes. A mandate of this magnitude would effectively force them out of their homes. This is **displacement via legislation**, and it is unacceptable.

2. Legal and Equity Concerns

The City must seriously consider whether it is **legally defensible** to require:

- Individual homeowners to fund a massive infrastructure project they did not cause
- Retroactive compliance on buildings that met all codes at the time of construction
- Mandates so burdensome that they threaten property ownership and housing stability

Such a law could be subject to legal challenge under:

- **Takings Clause concerns** (imposing extreme costs without compensation)
- **Due process concerns** (retroactive burdens without necessity or reasonable justification)
- **Equity and discrimination concerns** (disproportionate impact on seniors and fixed-income residents)

Other cities that attempted similar mandates were forced to revise or abandon them once the financial and legal implications became clear.

3. Massive Construction Intrusion and Health Risks

A building-wide retrofit of this scale requires:

- Opening ceilings and walls in every single unit
- Weeks of construction per residence
- Potential exposure to asbestos or other legacy materials
- Disruption for residents who work from home or have health issues

This is not simply an upgrade. It is a **multi-year, highly invasive construction project** taking place literally inside people's homes.

4. Fontana East Already Has Strong Fire-Safety Systems

Fontana East is a fire-resistive building with:

- A monitored fire alarm system
- Concrete construction
- Fire-rated walls and corridors
- Established emergency procedures
- HOA oversight and professional management

The City has **not demonstrated** that sprinkler retrofits would produce a safety benefit significant enough to justify forcing homeowners into financial ruin.

5. The Mandate Will Harm, Not Protect, the Community

If enacted, this mandate will:

- Cause **foreclosures and forced sales**
- Depress property values
- Drive out long-time San Franciscans
- Increase HOA delinquencies
- Destabilize an essential segment of the housing market

6. Reasonable Alternatives Exist

We urge the City to consider alternatives that provide safety without financial destruction:

- Performance-based evaluations
- Fire-alarm and smoke-control enhancements
- Partial retrofits
- Exemptions or waivers for buildings with strong fire-safety records
- Financial assistance or city-supported grant programs

The proposed sprinkler mandate is financially impossible, legally questionable, and socially harmful. It places an unbearable burden on residents and threatens the stability of communities like Fontana East.

I strongly urge you to **withdraw or substantially revise** this proposal and commit to a fair and economically realistic fire-safety strategy—one that protects lives **without destroying livelihoods**.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this critical issue.

Sincerely,
Ashley Marriott
Homeowner, Fontana East

1000 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA

From: [Jenny Gelbard](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie's Affordability Goal vs. The Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 5:56:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

In his recent State of the City address, Mayor Daniel Lurie made it clear: making San Francisco affordable is his top priority. However, the current fire sprinkler mandate (File #251247) does the exact opposite.

I live in one of the impacted buildings. We are being told to prepare for assessments that could reach \$300,000 per unit. For the many in my building, this is not a "safety upgrade"—it is an eviction notice. You cannot claim to support housing stability while legislating the mass displacement of long-term residents.

We urge you to support the Mayor's proposal to delay this mandate and thoroughly re-evaluate its necessity.

Sincerely,

Jenny Gelbard

From: [Vic Scafani](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 24, 2026 9:17:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors.

My name is Vic Scafani and I live at one of the high-rise residential buildings, the Fontana East, 1000 North Point St., where you are requiring a fully sprinkler-equipped system to be installed. The building here is primarily constructed of lots of concrete, steel, and drywall. Even the doors are all fire-rated. It appears that there wasn't a stick of wood used anywhere during the construction. Everywhere one looks, all one sees is concrete, steel and drywall. In addition, the Building recently completed the installation of a fire alarm system. I can appreciate the Board's well intentioned interest in fire safety, however, this ordinance, if not altered, will certainly push me and countless neighbors out of our homes, as it is very expensive and not to mention, very intrusive. I would have to sell at a loss, up to \$300K. I can't afford to stay here. Not only the increased HOA dues, moving expenses, renting a new residence, and all at the same time, the expenses continue here, while the work is being performed. I definitely can't afford the huge HOA increase, and I can't afford to pay for two residences at the same time. Please find a better solution to this situation, that still addresses fire safety, but that does not displace me out of my home, possibly even out of the City that I love, the City where I was born, where I work, where I vote, where I pay lots of taxes, where my friends and family are, and where I have lived all my life. Please do the right thing and protect us all from this unnecessary financial and personal hardship.

Sincerely,
Vic Scafani
1000 North Point Street Apt. #1106
San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell: 650-333-3567

From: [Renata Weigl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 25, 2026 9:22:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

Dear all,

I am an owner and resident of 1835 Franklin Street, a building that will be affected by the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement (San Francisco Fire Code. Sections 1103.5.4 through 1103.5.4.5.4)

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high- rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on all of us in the building.

We all understand the safety of fire sprinklers, but the retrofitting for our building is extremely expensive and creates logistical problems that our community is unprepared to deal with at this time.

I hope you consider this request to amend the sprinkler retrofitting requirement, it would be greatly appreciated by our community here on Franklin Street.

Thank you,

Renata Weigl
1835 Franklin Street
San Francisco

From: simonweigl@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 25, 2026 9:25:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear board of supervisors,

I am a resident of one of the older high-rise buildings affected by the enacted fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement. I am writing to respectfully request that you take action to amend this mandate and work collaboratively with affected properties to develop feasible life-safety measures that protect both residents and visitors.

The current fire sprinkler requirement was implemented without prior notice or consultation with impacted buildings. As a result, it places an unreasonable physical and financial burden on residents—many of whom may not be able to afford the significant costs or disruptions involved.

I urge you to consider alternative safety solutions and engage directly with building representatives and residents to create a more practical and equitable path forward.

Simon Weigl
1835 Franklin St

From: mjyezzi@earthlink.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 25, 2026 3:36:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Please help and show some compassion and care for both working, middle class people and retired seniors on fixed retirement income. The reckless mandate for sprinklers is a major financial blow for those who cannot afford a huge assessment and whose only major investment (like most Americans) is in their home.

We (like many of those effected) cannot afford this cost and will be extremely hurt financially. Those of us seniors living on fixed incomes would have major changes to our standard of living, forced to find new housing (probably an RV for us) and possible bankruptcy. We will be driven out of our city and community that we have loved and contributed to for decades.

Show some understanding of the nuances in individual buildings regarding this matter and the alternatives to more gradually implement this change. Not everyone can afford \$300,00 or even \$50,000. The cost quoted by trade associations is a complete joke. The cost will be a huge sum of money which many of us do not have. It is cruel to expect people to come up with this amount of money. How do you expect retired seniors and middle-class people to afford this? Unlike what some may think, not all San Franciscans have deep pockets. This is an enormous amount of money which is impossible for us to absorb.

We are only able to afford to live here because we live on a reverse mortgage. This mandate will completely uproot us from our home, lose most everything we have in our condo in a city that offers no other affordable options to us.

We beg you for your help in keeping us in our homes and city we love. This is a major crises for us that is inflicting major stress and uncertainty into our lives. Please be reasonable and look at the major impact this will have on so many.

Michael Yezzi
66 Cleary Court, Apt. 709
San Francisco



Virus-free www.avast.com

From: [Henry van den Bedem](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 25, 2026 7:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a **San Francisco resident and shareholder at Fontana East** (1000 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA 94109) to express my **strong opposition** to the proposed mandate requiring existing high-rise residential buildings like ours to be fully sprinkler-equipped.

I urge the Board to oppose a one-size-fits-all sprinkler retrofit mandate for older, low-risk high-rise buildings. The requirement would create **substantial and unnecessary financial hardship** for us, and potentially permanently displace long-term homeowners, seniors, and families in our tight-knit community. In light of **San Francisco's ongoing housing affordability crisis**, imposing **additional high, involuntary costs** on homeowners is the last thing we need.

The significant financial and personal burdens of a full sprinkler retrofit in buildings like Fontana East are not justified by the marginal safety benefit, especially given our building's inherent structure.

- **Low-Risk Construction and Proven Safety:** Fontana East is a **concrete and steel structure** with a **proven safety record**. This construction type provides superior **fire resistance and compartmentalization**, already mitigating fire risk effectively alongside existing robust safety systems (e.g., alarms, fire doors). A **gallery** on every floor that runs the length of the structure provides ample opportunity to **escape fire zones**.
- **Targeting the Wrong Risk Profile:** A mandate that fails to differentiate between high-risk structures and robust, fire-resistant buildings like ours is **inefficient public policy**. The focus should be on high-risk buildings, or on retrofits during significant renovations, where costs and disruption can be managed.
- **Exorbitant and Disproportionate Costs:** The necessary special assessments for shareholders to cover **permits, infrastructure (water, backup generators), and sprinkler installation** are on the order of \$1,000s/month, **financially unsustainable** for us and particularly others on fixed incomes, forcing them out of their homes.
- **Major Personal Disruption and Relocation:** The installation requires **invasive work** in every unit, necessitating the removal and replacement of ceilings. This will require **us to relocate for an extended period**, incurring substantial personal expense and emotional stress on top of the financial assessment.

Imposing this mandate without considering the actual risk profile and the extraordinary impact on existing homeowners is unreasonable and only **exacerbates San Francisco's housing affordability plight**. Please reconsider this proposal and exempt existing, low-risk, concrete

and steel high-rise residential buildings like Fontana East from this sweeping mandate.

Thank you,

Henry van den Bedem
1000 N. Point Street #807, San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Nawid Jamali](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Law, Chad \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 25, 2026 11:23:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am a unit owner at 1200 Gough Street (Cathedral Hill Tower). I am writing regarding **File 251247** to express my deep concern over the retroactive fire sprinkler mandate as it is currently structured.

A comprehensive feasibility study must take place before this mandate is adopted or enforced. As a resident making ends meet, the cost to our building represents a staggering financial burden that many of us simply cannot absorb. The City must acknowledge that for many of us, this mandate is not just a safety measure, but a financial crisis that threatens our ability to remain in our homes.

At the recent Fire Commission Meeting and in our meeting with Chief Crispin, it was stated that approximately 70 buildings have submitted documents indicating they will comply with the retroactive installation of automatic fire sprinklers. I understand our property at 1200 Gough Street is included on that list.

I would like to be clear that our building is **opposed to the imposition of this requirement** and ask that you **NOT imply that we are supportive and have the means to comply**. Our previous filing was merely to meet a reporting deadline and was not intended to confirm we are in a position to comply, as no research or plan had been developed at that time. If there is a form needed to remove our building from this "compliance" list, please advise.

Please confirm receipt of this request. As stated several times, our building is not opposed to fire safety measures. In fact, we welcome a dialog on implementing financially reasonable, practical, and effective measures that would improve safety without displacing residents from their homes.

Sincerely,

Nawid Jamali 1200 Gough Street, Unit 7C

From: Nersi.Boussina@morganstanley.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 26, 2026 7:18:28 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fire Marshal Law

At the recent Fire Commission Meeting and in our meeting with Chief Crispin, you and Chief Crispin stated that approximately 70 buildings have submitted documents indicating they will comply with the retroactive installation of automatic fire sprinklers pursuant to the 2022N San Francisco fire code. I understand our property at **1200 Gough Street** is included on that list.

I would like to be clear that our building is opposed to the imposition of this requirement and ask that you NOT imply that we are supportive and have the means to comply with the regulation. This form was merely returned to you to meet the reporting deadline and was not intended to confirm that we were in a position to comply, as no research or plan had been developed at that time. If there is a form that we need to complete to remove our building from this list, please advise.

Please confirm receipt of this request and let me know if you have any questions. As stated several times publicly and privately, our building is not opposed to fire safety measures. In fact, we welcome a dialog with you and your team on ideas for implementing financially reasonable, practical and effective measures that would improve fire safety in our building. After all, these are our homes.

Nersi Boussina, Unit 18A

Nersi Boussina

Managing Director, Financial Advisor

Morgan Stanley

101 California Street, 23rd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 693-6878

(800) 347-3488

(415) 707-5242 mobile

(415) 578-9796 Fax

True wealth is not a matter of bank accounts or assets. It's in the values we live and share.

My goal is to provide a high level of service while helping my clients achieve financial success.

If you are aware of anyone who can benefit from my services, please let me know.



Please visit my website:

<https://fa.morganstanley.com/nersi.boussina>

Please consider the Environment before printing this email

The information and data contained herein is from sources considered reliable, but their accuracy and completeness is not guaranteed. This report has been prepared for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to be used as a substitute for account statements provided on a regular basis from Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, and data in this report should be compared carefully with account statements to verify its accuracy. The Firm strongly encourages clients to consult with their own accountants or other advisors with respect to any tax questions. This report is being provided as a courtesy. By providing this report, we do not represent or agree that we will monitor the investments in your account(s) or deliver future reports.

If Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, its affiliates and Morgan Stanley Financial Advisors and Private Wealth Advisors (collectively, "Morgan Stanley") provide "investment advice" as defined under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as applicable, regarding a retirement or welfare benefit plan account, an individual retirement account or a Coverdell education savings account (collectively, "Retirement Account"), Morgan Stanley is a "fiduciary" under ERISA and/or the Code. When Morgan Stanley provides investment education (including historical performance and asset allocation models), takes orders on an unsolicited basis or otherwise does not provide "investment advice", Morgan Stanley will not be considered a "fiduciary" under ERISA and/or the Code. For more information regarding Morgan Stanley's role with respect to a Retirement Account, please visit www.morganstanley.com/disclosures/dol. Tax laws are complex and subject to change. Morgan Stanley does not provide tax or legal advice. Individuals are encouraged to consult their tax and legal advisors (a) before establishing a Retirement Account, and (b) regarding any potential tax, ERISA and related consequences of any investments or other transactions made with respect to a Retirement Account.

If you would like to unsubscribe from marketing e-mails from Morgan Stanley Wealth Management, you may do so here:

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://cloud.msml.morganstanley.com/unsubpagesalesforce?Source=Outlook___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoXNTRkMDhIMTI4ZGQxNTAzOWM1ZDAyZjM3NDZmYzgyMDo3Ojg2NGI6MDU2ZTUyY2ZkMWYxZmQ1Yzg4NjE2NzA3ZWQxNjZmYzdhdhZGVjZGM2NDU1M2Ez

OTJiYTA4NjNkOTc1NWJjYWFjMjp0OIQ6Tg. Please note, you will still receive service e-mails from Morgan Stanley Wealth Management.

You may have certain rights regarding the information that Morgan Stanley collects about you. Please see our Privacy Pledge https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.morganstanley.com/privacy-pledge___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoXNTRkMDhIMTI4ZGQxNTAzOWM1ZDAyZjM3NDZmYzgyMDo3OmZiMmY6ZWJmMWEzODViMjY1MmlyYjk5NjExZjNiZDNhZmMxMGVkyY2MzY2JjYzVINWM0ZWJiYjQ1NDkyNGRmNGE1ODk2ZDp0OIQ6Tg for more information about your rights.

From: [Stan Adler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 26, 2026 11:55:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of 1200 California Street. **I am pleading with you to realistically review the fire sprinkler mandate for high rise buildings.**

I feel the city is creating a huge NEW problem now while its residents are dealing with many more serious issues.

San Francisco is not affordable for most residents - most major cities are not.

This new rule totally ignores the actual cost and feasibility of installing this many sprinklers. The cost is unknown in 2025. No one in the city has done an accurate and current study of this project.

No need to has visited one of the 126 buildings and walked through all the issues and problems.

The city cannot provide enough water pressure to make this system work effectively - especially in buildings at the top of Nob Hill.

No one is considering the disruption to daily life while these repairs are performed. No one is considering where older people like me will live while this is happening.

The very tight limits of the Davis-Stirling rules prevent budgeting enough money for these projects in the time line specified.

If you survey the residents involved, you will find out what a trauma and problem this is becoming. we are the ones who have stayed in the city, paid our taxes and rooted for our leaders to do the right things to save San Francisco and its reputation.

We need COMMON SENSE and empathy from our government officials.

This is clearly missing here.

It is time for a realistic review of this proposal and some real leadership from the Board of Supervisors.

Please do not disappoint us.

From: [Tom Volpe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 26, 2026 12:54:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

My wife, Elizabeth, and I reside in the Royal Towers at 1750 Taylor Street and have been residents of the Bay area for over forty years. We also are retired and rely on fixed income and investments to support ourselves.

The Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 in its current form would cause serious hardship for us. It would strain our limited financial resources, cause very serious issues with respect to relocating during any construction and be extremely disruptive to our day-to-day life as well as our future plans. It is highly likely that we would have to relocate out of San Francisco.

We strongly request that you consider revoking the Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247. Feel free to contact us if you would like any additional information. Thank you.
Tom Volpe

--

Tom Volpe
Volpe Investments LLC
Mobile: +1-650-544-7767

From: [Ramona Rideout](#)
To: [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Ramona Rideout](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 26, 2026 1:07:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Supervisors Sherrill & Sauter,

Thank you for your leadership and advocacy on behalf of residents in the buildings affected by the sprinkler retrofit mandate. I am one of those residents, and I truly appreciate your work in securing the three-year extension, which has provided a critical financial reprieve for many homeowners.

That said, I am concerned that the extension has also created serious unintended hardships that deserve urgent attention.

As you know, the announcement of the mandate has effectively frozen sales in the 126 affected buildings. Adding three more years to this uncertainty risks deepening that freeze, with devastating financial consequences for many residents. I hope your office is also hearing from realtors and lenders, who are seeing firsthand how this has disrupted the market.

I have seen these impacts directly in my own building. A young family on my floor was preparing to move to the suburbs when news of the ordinance broke. Since then, they have been unable to sell their unit, leaving their plans, finances, and future in limbo.

Even more troubling is the situation of a neighbor who is in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease. As her condition progresses, she will almost certainly need to sell her unit and move into memory care—likely well before the three-year extension expires. Under the current conditions, she may be unable to find a buyer at all, or may be forced to sell at a steep loss, potentially leaving her without sufficient funds to pay for the care she will need.

These are not isolated cases. For thousands of residents across the 126 buildings, the ordinance risks causing severe financial harm, and in some cases, financial ruin.

I respectfully ask: what solutions are being considered to address these unintended consequences, particularly for residents facing time-sensitive life events such as illness, relocation, or family needs?

Thank you for your continued service and for considering the real-world impacts of this policy. I appreciate your attention and would welcome any guidance or next steps your office can share.

Sincerely,
Ramona Rideout
66 Cleary Court, Unit 1401
San Francisco, CA 94109

"An ounce of data is worth a thousand pounds of opinion."

From: [MARIAN HALLEY](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 26, 2026 4:09:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a San Francisco resident and shareholder at Fontana East, I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to reject a one-size-fits-all sprinkler retrofit mandate for older, low-risk high-rise buildings like Fontana East. This building is a concrete and steel structure with a proven safety record. The proposed requirement would create extreme financial hardship for me and for many other residents, potentially displacing long-term homeowners, many of whom are seniors. It will make units in this building virtually unsalable.

As an octogenarian and longtime resident of this building, the cost of a sprinkler retrofit would cause me personal and financial hardship. The associated costs would include obtaining permits, installing water and backup generator infrastructure, and adding sprinklers in every living space. These costs would be enormous and would be an undue burden on me and many other long-term residents. This project would likely necessitate special assessments for all shareholders and could require *temporary relocation* during ceiling and system installations. The costs and prospect of temporary relocation could cause many of us to seek another residence, and where could we go in the current San Francisco market?

I would like to know if San Francisco or any of its agencies has made an actual study of the frequency of and damage caused by fire in any steel and concrete high-rise building. Without such evidence, the effect of the retrofit requirement would clearly cause harm to residents with out any showing of a need for the requirement.

I respectfully ask the Board to reject this unnecessary and costly mandate.

Marian Halley
Fontana East
1000 North Point Street At 1202 94109

From: [Joyce Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 11:17:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors

As a 60+ year San Francisco resident, shareholder of Fontana East, and small property owner, I strongly urge you to oppose a one-size-fits-all sprinkler retrofit mandate for older, low-risk high-rise buildings like ours.

Like Fontana West, our building is a proven safe, concrete and steel structure. This mandate would create extreme financial hardship for many residents, potentially displacing long-term homeowners, seniors, and families. If we have to move out of our unit for an extended period of time, we will increase the need for temporary rental housing in San Francisco. If we move outside of San Francisco, there's a chance we may not return.

As someone who has worked in San Francisco for many years, I have seen firsthand how city policies can impact the lives of working families. This mandate would worsen an already depressed condo real estate market, devaluing our homes and undermining the stability of long-term residents. All of this is being done without clear cost data, relocation planning or phased alternatives. Please consider a more targeted approach that addresses actual risk, rather than imposing a costly burden on safe buildings and their long-standing residents.

Sincerely,

Joyce Chan, 1000 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Neil Bardack](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [J. R. Rhodes](#); [Comstock Manager](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Mandate/February 9, 2006 Board Meeting
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 2:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I write to you as President of the Board of Directors for the Comstock Apartment Corporation to address the problems the proposed Sprinkler Mandate will cause for them; and for you to take into consideration when you vote on February 9, 2026.

The better part of a majority of our residents are seniors (my wife and I are 80 years old). Most are retired and live on their retirement funds; as to be expected in this age group, many suffer from the types of illnesses and physical restrictions one encounters in this population. The potential requirement of retrofitting sprinklers in their apartments (their homes) resulting from compliance with the Sprinkler Mandate, will cause major disruption, both physical and financial, into their lives.

Financial Issues:

As a cooperative apartment, each resident pays a monthly assessment for the operation and maintenance of the building; and to build reserves for future repairs. These assessments which begin at \$1500 a month and rise by as much as a factor of 2x, depending on the size and location of their apartment; and are subject to yearly increases (this year by 7.5%). Installation of sprinklers and associated plumbing will trigger special assessments needed to pay for this work.

As a cooperative apartment, each resident is a shareholder in the corporation and a lessee of their apartment, making them essentially their own landlord; there is no developer or non-resident owner of the building. As a necessary result of the sprinkler retrofit, each resident must vacate their apartment, pay to move and store their furnishings and rent another place to live as the construction in the apartment progresses. The resident will still be financially responsible for their assessments, regular and special.

As each supervisor can empathize, based upon their own or family members' circumstances, this financial burden, at a senior age, may well determine the future of their lives; money spent to allow the retrofit will come from the same budget as assisted living and health needs.

Health Issues:

The need to move and relocate will impact those tenants with existing health issue and those with physical limitations, as each will need to find new housing that accommodates their limitations and all will need to live in some proximity with their doctors, which with San Francisco's housing shortage will be difficult. There is also the attendant mental strain arising from locating new housing, the financial costs and disruption to their lives that this will

impose on them. Many residents have lived at the Comstock for several decades and do not have the resources to manage all of this; even to younger and healthy owners, this will be a daunting task.

On behalf of my residents, I ask this governing Board to take these inevitable hardships into the balance of weighing, modifying, considering exemptions and adopting this legislation.

Very truly yours,

Neil R. Bardack
President of the Board of Directors
The Comstock Apartment Corporation

From: [JOAN SILBER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 3:09:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

I hope you will take time to read this and carefully reconsider the impact of the retrofit of fire sprinklers in our city.

I am greatly and particularly concerned about the mandate to retrofit our sprinkler system at Royal Towers at 1750 Taylor Street.

With great respect for the good intentions of the Board of Supervisors, the consequences of displacement and construction seem beyond reasonable and perhaps not fully considered.

I urge you to immediately pass legislation amending the San Francisco fire code regarding the current fire sprinkler retrofit mandate in high-rise residential buildings.

Asking residents to leave their homes for extended periods of time while at the same time their belongings remain at risk during any construction process is a burden that should never be imposed upon us, especially when all alternatives have not been duly considered.

The negative financial hardship for many residents is onerous and impossible to bear.

We have owned our unit in this building for over 40 years. Unfortunately, the cost of complying with this mandate, both in financial and physical terms, will be devastating. The full extent of the mandate implementation costs are currently unknown but could certainly be \$250,000 or more per apartment and the construction process would require me to relocate for an unknown period. Already we are aware of what the many difficulties in getting buyers for apartments in our building because of the uncertainty related to this mandate.

All our residents want our building to be safe. We have complied with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has implemented to make our building safer, including recent updates to our alarm and first responder communication systems. However, the requirements of this mandate go beyond what is affordable and reasonable.

I am asking you for your support in providing relief from this mandate.

Sincerely yours,

Joan Silber

owner in Royal Towers, 1750 Taylor

From: [Greg McCurdy](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Repeal or Amend Fire Sprinkler Ordinance
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 3:27:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Supervisors Sauter, Sherrill and Mandelman,

I hope you appreciate the looming housing crisis posed by fire sprinkler ordinance SFC11035.4 and will take action to repeal or amend it. I live at 850 Powell Street a 9-story building (126 feet tall) at the corner of Sacramento Street at the edge of Chinatown. It was built in 1923 with the 1906 earthquake and fires very much in mind to the best standards with a steel frame, and poured concrete walls, floors and ceilings. We have ample fire escapes, alarms and fire sprinklers installed throughout our hallways, as well as in multiple rooms in our units. I support *reasonable* changes to our building to support increased fire life safety, but it's incomprehensible how this ordinance would substantively increase the level of safety in our building relative to the extreme cost it would take to retrofit the entire building of 40 units to add sprinklers in every room. Proponents of the ordinance include the pipefitters unions and companies who would stand to benefit financially from the misery it would cause to thousands of residents living in the affected San Francisco buildings. They have not demonstrated why this ordinance is needed. Aaron Peskin was behind this ordinance, and we all know about his anti-housing track record!

This ordinance would be financially devastating to me and to many other retirees as well as younger people living in our building. I understand that the ordinance covers over 120 buildings with nearly 10,000 apartments. We simply cannot afford to bear the expected \$200k-\$300k cost per unit to retrofit our building with additional fire sprinklers AND pay the cost of living elsewhere for an unknown amount of time (many months at least!) while demolition and construction to our apartments is being done. Plus, displacing thousands of residents from 10,000 units during the construction will only exacerbate the city's current housing crisis by flooding the rental market with current residents needing alternative housing. This would significantly drive-up rents while depressing condo property values.

I urge you to amend or repeal this ill-conceived ordinance and instead work with your constituents to find more practical ways to safeguard our homes from the possible threat of fire.

Sincerely,

Greg McCurdy
850 Powell Street
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: [Joyce Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 3:33:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors c/o Stephen Sherrill & Danny Sauter,

As a 66-year San Francisco resident, shareholder of Fontana East, and small property owner, I strongly urge you to oppose a one-size-fits-all sprinkler retrofit mandate for older, low-risk high-rise buildings like ours.

Like Fontana West, our building is a proven safe, concrete and steel structure. This mandate would create extreme financial hardship for many residents, potentially displacing long-term homeowners, seniors, and families. If we have to move out of our unit for an extended period of time, we will add to the lack of rental housing in San Francisco for others. If we move outside of San Francisco, we may not return.

As someone who has worked in San Francisco for many years, I have seen firsthand how city policies can impact the lives of working families. This mandate would worsen an already depressed condo real estate market, devaluing our homes and undermining the stability of long-term residents.

Please consider a more targeted approach that addresses actual risk, rather than imposing a costly burden on safe buildings and their long-standing residents.

Sincerely,

Joyce Chan, 1000 North Point Street, San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Lois Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Strong Objection to Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:31:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am once again writing this email to voice my urgent objection to the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. My husband and I, along with our 5-year old daughter have lived here happily for the last seven years. Our neighborhood is a wonderful, family-friendly place with great schools and local businesses we love to support.

The estimated cost for each unit based on the proposed mandate is between \$200,000 - \$300,000, or an additional monthly cost of \$3,000/month for nine consecutive years. I work in healthcare at Sutter CPMC Van Ness, while my husband is currently laid off and searching for a job. Neither of us work in an industry that even remotely allows us to bear this additional monthly burden.

Additionally, as our family would be forced to move out for at least 6 months due to potential construction, we would be in dire straits given the struggles to find and pay for alternate housing. This may result in us being forced to relocate out of SF, which would be devastating to us and the community we've created here, as we've always planned to plant roots in SF for generations to come.

I urge you to please consider the ramifications of such an extreme mandate on thousands of local residents and families. As our elected supervisor, I trust that you work for the well-being of local families who love and want to continue to make SF a wonderful place to live. Please amend this mandate. We look forward to working together with our buildings to enact more feasible, and even more effective safety measures.

Thank you,
Lois Chen

From: [D.H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Email from Neil Bardack to Board of Supervisors - Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:44:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **The Comstock** <notify@buildinglink.com>
Date: Tue, Jan 27, 2026 at 2:35 PM
Subject: Email from Neil Bardack to Board of Supervisors - Sprinkler Mandate
To: David Henbest <henbestdavid@gmail.com>

To the SF Board of Supervisors:

I write to you as President of the Board of Directors for The Comstock Apartment Corporation, to address the problems the proposed Sprinkler Mandate causes for them; and to take into consideration when you vote on February 9, 2026.

The better part of a majority of our residents are seniors (my wife and I are 80 years old). Most are retired and live on their retirement funds; as to be expected in this age group, many suffer from the types of illnesses and physical restrictions one encounters in this population. The potential requirement of retrofitting sprinklers in their apartments (their homes) resulting from compliance with the Sprinkler Mandate, will cause major disruption, both physical and financial, into their lives.

Financial Issues: As a cooperative apartment, each resident pays a monthly assessment for the operation and maintenance of the building; and to build reserves for future repairs. These assessments which begin at \$1,500 a month and rise by as much as a factor of 2x, depending on the size and location of their apartment; and are subject to yearly increases (this year by 7.5%). Installation of sprinklers and associated plumbing will trigger special assessments needed to pay for this work.

As a cooperative apartment, each resident is a shareholder in the corporation and a lessee of their apartment, making them essentially their own landlord; there is no developer or non-resident owner of the building. As a necessary result of the sprinkler retrofit, each resident must vacate their apartment, pay to move and store their furnishings and rent another place to live as the construction in the apartment progresses. The resident will still be financially responsible for their assessments, regular and special.

As each supervisor can empathize, based on their own or family members' circumstances, this financial burden, at a senior age, may well determine the future of their lives; money spent to allow the retrofit will come from the same budget as assisted living and health needs.

Health Issues:

The need to move and relocate will impact those tenants with existing health issue and those with physical limitations, as each will need to find new housing that accommodates their limitations and all will need to live in some proximity with their doctors, which with San Francisco's housing shortage will be a difficult. There is also the attendant mental strain arising from locating new housing, the financial costs and disruption to their lives that this will impose on them. Many residents have lived at The Comstock for several decades and do not have the resources to manage all of this; even to younger and healthy owners, this will be a daunting task.

On behalf of my residents, I ask this governing Board to take these inevitable hardships into the balance of weighing, modifying, considering exemptions and adopting this poorly considered legislation.

Neil Bardack, President

The Comstock Apartment Corporation

From: [Susan Roos](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 12:04:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Please revisit sprinkler mandate

I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. I support better fire safety, but the ordinance in its current form would create severe financial and social harm for thousands of residents.

Estimated retrofit costs range from \$113,000 to \$300,000 per unit, which could translate into thousands of dollars in new monthly HOA fees or loan payments. These retrofits require opening walls, ceilings, and sometimes surrounding streets, which means major disruption and likely displacement for many residents.

The ordinance also provides no plan for where displaced residents will go, even though thousands could be forced out during construction. Many affected homeowners are seniors, fixed-income residents, and long-time community members who cannot absorb six-figure costs or months of displacement. This risks pushing vulnerable people out of their homes and undermining property values.

There are also fairness and process issues. Homeowners bear the full cost even though lower-cost alternatives, such as upgraded fire-resistant doors or partial sprinkler systems, were not fully evaluated. The proposal has moved forward without an updated independent cost-benefit analysis and with limited public input.

Sincerely, Susan Roos
66 Cleary Court

I respectfully urge you to pause the ordinance and pursue a more balanced approach. A new independent study, real financial relief or hardship protections, and a clear relocation plan are essential. The city should fully consider safer and more affordable alternatives before imposing costs that many residents cannot withstand.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: [Susan Roos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 12:06:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to urge you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, I don't know how I and my fellow residents will be able to afford the cost, which I am told could be as high as \$300,000 per unit. And how would I be able to live if I have pipes hanging only 7 feet above my floor? What will happen if I have to sell my unit to move to a Senior Community? Who would buy such a unit?

I understand that this measure is an attempt to improve fire safety, but it is unreasonable and unworkable.

I have always felt that San Francisco was a great place to live and I loved being here.

But this measure, if not amended, shows that the Board of Supervisors give no thought to the consequences their mandates have on San Franciscans.

Please do not let this mandate remain as it is. Please amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement.

Susan E. Roos
66 Cleary Court, Unit 1301
San Francisco

From: [Roberta Economidis](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Repeal or Amend Fire Sprinkler Ordinance SFC11035.4
Date: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 3:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Supervisors Sauter, Sherrill and Mandelman,

I am writing to request that you repeal or amend SFC11035.4.

I live at 850 Powell Street a 9-story building (126 feet tall) at the corner of Sacramento Street at the edge of Chinatown. It was built in 1923 with the 1906 earthquake and fires very much in mind to the best standards with a steel frame, and poured concrete walls, floors and ceilings. We have ample fire escapes, alarms and fire sprinklers installed throughout our hallways, as well as in multiple rooms in our units. I support *reasonable* changes to our building to support increased fire life safety, but it's incomprehensible how this ordinance would substantively increase the level of safety in our building relative to the extreme cost it would take to retrofit the entire building of 40 units to add sprinklers in every room.

Please be advised that when I purchased the condo, I asked the inspector about earthquake safety and he stated that 850 Powell was one of the best build condo buildings in San Francisco on some of the safest ground. I will never forget him stating that if 850 Powell collapsed, all of SF would probably be gone.

Proponents of the ordinance include the pipefitters unions and companies who would stand to benefit financially from the misery it would cause to thousands of residents living in the affected San Francisco buildings. They have not demonstrated why this ordinance should apply to 850 Powell.

I understand that the ordinance covers over 120 buildings with nearly 10,000 apartments. It is a blanket ordinance that is being applied whether or not it is truly required.

This ordinance would be financially devastating to me, retirees as well as younger people living in our building. We simply cannot afford to bear the expected \$200k-\$300k cost per unit to retrofit our building with additional fire sprinklers AND pay the cost of living elsewhere for an unknown amount of time (many months at least) while demolition and construction to our units is being done. Plus, displacing thousands of residents from 10,000 units during the construction will only exacerbate the city's current housing crisis by flooding the rental market with current residents needing alternative housing. This would significantly drive-up rents while depressing condo property values.

I urge you to amend or repeal this ill-conceived ordinance and work with your constituents to find more practical ways to safeguard our homes from the possible threat of fire.

Sincerely,

From: [Linda Mantel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 4:55:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope this finds each and all of you doing well.

I am writing to express my concern and opposition to the sprinkler retrofit mandate which affects 126 buildings throughout the city and approximately 15,000 city residents.

I am one of those who would be affected. I am a retired senior living on a fixed income and own a small apartment in the Hamilton in the Tenderloin, one of the affected buildings.

I respectfully ask you to reverse this mandate or to create an exemption for these buildings unless they undertake a "major" systemic renovation.

Even before the costs of relocating during a sprinkler retrofit are calculated, the estimated expense for these retrofits is between \$10,000 and \$300,000 per unit. These added expenses would very likely lead to my having to leave my home.

Also, this retrofit mandate increases the risk that property values will continue to decrease in my building. They have already fallen by over 30% since the pandemic, a reflection of the appalling conditions in a neighborhood that has suffered city neglect.

This regulation was mandated without a transparent process, no feasibility studies, and with no homeowner input.

Why don't homeowners and taxpayers have a say in this? Instead, only building unions have been given the opportunity to weigh in on these requirements.

Further, a 2016 study for the Board of Supervisors which indicated there would be financial hardship and provided alternatives, did not appear to have been considered in the decision-making process.

Please discuss this matter as a board and either reverse this mandate or create the exemption noted above.

Thank you for your consideration and for your service to the residents of our city,

Linda Mantel
631 O'Farrell St. - Unit 1802
415-516-2199

From: [Lin Family](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@e.gavinnewsom.com
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 9:54:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as an owner of Cleary 66 Ct. to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings like ours and consider other feasible life safety measures for their residents. We are writing to express our deep concern that if this mandate is enforced without amendment, the consequences, not only for my household but also my neighbors', will be severe and immediate.

1. Family Disruption: As an immigrant family of four with two elementary-aged children, any construction lasting more than one month would be profoundly difficult. We do not have families or relatives we can go to. Especially that our children are placed in two different public schools in the city by SFUSD. This extended project would force a non-ideal relocation to us, creating a disruptive change to our crucial daily routines and our children's schooling, in addition to the significant unbudgeted costs and major logistical challenges. It would be a very undesirable situation for any family, especially those with children. Children would no longer have a safe and stable home which would be bad for their well-being.

2. Financial Burden and Extreme Hardship: This unfunded mandate will impose an unforeseen and unrealistic capital assessment on fixed-income residents and modest savers. Our monthly HOA fees have already escalated by nearly 50% in the last four years, which has already been difficult. Not that there has been no support on that, but imposing a multi-thousand dollar per-unit cost of this retrofit would place an extreme and unsustainable financial hardship on our fixed incomes and limited savings, compounding the stress we already face from the rising cost of essential expenditures. If the city chooses to proceed with this mandate, we strongly request the immediate establishment of a robust financial incentive or rebate program to make compliance feasible, as we lack the final resources to absorb this cost otherwise.

We are committed to safety but request to amend the fire code immediately. We seek alternative, feasible life safety measures that protect residents without compromising the stability of our community. Thank you for taking action to prevent this hardship.

Sincerely,
Tristan & Sharon

Parents/66 Cleary Ct owners

From: drtedfratto@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 11:13:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am a homeowner at 66 Cleary Court condominiums in San Francisco. The fire sprinkler retrofit requirement would cause undo financial hardship for both me and my husband. Please consider grandfathering this mid-century building as an exemption to the new requirement. Our building is concrete. There is very low risk of being engulfed in flames.

Edward J Fratto Junior
66 Cleary Court Unit 507
San Francisco, CA 94109

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["Enrico Prato"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: COMMENT LETTER - LUT - RE: Urgent Concerns Regarding Sprinkler Mandate – 999 Green Street BOS File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 10:23:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Enrico Prato <enrico.prato@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 1:33 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent Concerns Regarding Sprinkler Mandate – 999 Green Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use Committee Members,

I am a San Francisco homeowner and a resident of **The Summit at 999 Green Street**, an existing residential high-rise. I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate as it is currently drafted.

For buildings like ours, the mandate would impose **extraordinary cost, disruption, and potential displacement**, with a particularly harsh impact on elderly residents and those on fixed incomes. While life safety must remain the priority, a one-size-fits-all requirement does not adequately account for the realities of older, well-built residential towers or for nationally recognized, performance-based alternatives that can achieve equivalent life safety outcomes.

I strongly urge you to support **meaningful extensions to the compliance timeline** and to ensure the Fire Code provides a **clear, workable path for alternative, performance-based compliance** where full sprinkler retrofits would create undue hardship with limited incremental safety benefit.

Thank you for your attention to this critical issue affecting many San Francisco residents.

Sincerely,

Enrico

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["ROBERT GADOMSKI"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: COMMENT LETTER - LUT - RE: SF High Rise Sprinkler Mandate Feb 9 2026 Hearing - BOS File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, January 29, 2026 10:23:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ROBERT GADOMSKI <gadomsre@me.com>
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2026 5:29 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF High Rise Sprinkler Mandate Feb 9 2026 Hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My wife and I have lived at 1200 California Street in San Francisco since 2008 in a 26 story high rise made out of reenforced concrete. Each floor has two exists onto an external concrete staircases on opposite sides of the floor open to the air. Each floor has steel fire exit doors to greatly reduce the likelihood of smoke and flames from going into the stair case. We have a variety of fire protection systems that are fully functional and are making significant capital cost upgrades in next two years. We have had no fire incidents I am aware of since we moved in. We are retired on fixed income.

We object to the pending fire ordinances for high rise buildings that requires sprinklers in each unit. This is very expensive and unnecessary. We will not have use of our apartment for months.

Thanks,

Bob

Robert E. Gadomski

1200 California Street
Apartment 15B
San Francisco, CA 94109

C: [+1-610-745-0659](tel:+16107450659)

NEW EMAIL: gadomsre@me.com

From: [Lois Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Strong Objection to Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:31:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am once again writing this email to voice my urgent objection to the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. My husband and I, along with our 5-year old daughter have lived here happily for the last seven years. Our neighborhood is a wonderful, family-friendly place with great schools and local businesses we love to support.

The estimated cost for each unit based on the proposed mandate is between \$200,000 - \$300,000, or an additional monthly cost of \$3,000/month for nine consecutive years. I work in healthcare at Sutter CPMC Van Ness, while my husband is currently laid off and searching for a job. Neither of us work in an industry that even remotely allows us to bear this additional monthly burden.

Additionally, as our family would be forced to move out for at least 6 months due to potential construction, we would be in dire straits given the struggles to find and pay for alternate housing. This may result in us being forced to relocate out of SF, which would be devastating to us and the community we've created here, as we've always planned to plant roots in SF for generations to come.

I urge you to please consider the ramifications of such an extreme mandate on thousands of local residents and families. As our elected supervisor, I trust that you work for the well-being of local families who love and want to continue to make SF a wonderful place to live. Please amend this mandate. We look forward to working together with our buildings to enact more feasible, and even more effective safety measures.

Thank you,
Lois Chen

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 90 Letters regarding File No. 251247
Date: Friday, January 23, 2026 9:37:36 AM
Attachments: [90 Letters regarding File No. 251247.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 90 letters regarding File No. 251247.

File No. 251247: Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings. (Mayor, Sherrill, Sauter)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Julian Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Strong Objection to Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement (File 251247)
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:45:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am reaching out once again to emphasize the importance of this issue to myself, my family, and thousands of local San Francisco residents.

As a concerned resident, I am writing this email to voice my urgent objection to the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. I currently reside in one of the potentially impacted buildings with my wife and 5-year old daughter -- we've lived here for the last seven years. If allowed to proceed, this mandate would cause an enormous financial burden that we as a family cannot possibly bear. The estimated cost for each unit is between \$200,000 - \$300,000, or an additional monthly cost of \$3,000/month for nine consecutive years. This is well beyond our means, particularly as I have been recently laid off from work (to be frank, it would be well beyond our means even if I maintained employment). Further, our family would be forced to move out for at least 6 months due to potential construction, leading to struggles to find alternate housing, uprooting our daughter, and more.

I urge you to please consider the ramifications of such an extreme mandate on thousands of local residents and families. I request that this mandate be amended, and that you work together with our buildings to enact more feasible safety measures.

Thank you,
Julian Chen

From: [KIM BELESON](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:27:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to submit the following comment to the land use committee regarding the proposed sprinkler mandate for older buildings:

I question whether the advocates for the sprinkler mandate actually care about real people's lives because they are on the cusp of imposing a requirement that will cause immense financial pain and disruption to the lives of thousands of people, versus the hypothetical possibility of saving lives at some point in the future. Who's to say that the burdens of the cost of the mandate and the disruption to the lives of those affected will not result in financial distress, depression, and illness that causes multiple premature deaths?

We, as a society, need to make rational decisions in the name of safety and preventing deaths. Why is it that we don't require older model cars to be retrofitted with the latest safety equipment - air bags, back-up cameras, and AI sensors? Why don't we just make it illegal to drive these "unsafe" vehicles? We do so because in the case of the automobile, common sense has prevailed. We do so because it would be economically irrational to impose this mandate in the name of safety. Similarly, we should not require older buildings to be retrofitted with sprinklers. This mandate is not justifiable given the cost and disruption to people's lives.

We supposedly live in a democracy, with a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. But the people most affected by this mandate do not have a vote in the matter and are simply at the mercy of bureaucrats and supervisors, mostly in other parts of the City, who will neither pay for the sprinkler retrofit nor incur the disruption in their lives. **One of my concerns is that the unions whose members will make a fortune installing sprinklers will donate thousands of dollars into the campaign coffers of all the supervisors to buy their votes on this issue.**

It's just so easy to impose these mandates on others in the name of saving lives, when you personally will not have to pay for it or live with the disruption. If you actually care about people's lives right now, not hypothetical lives in the futures, then please listen to us and do not abuse your power and authority by mandating this sprinkler retrofit.

Sincerely yours,

Kim Beleson
999 Green Street
Unit 2201
San Francisco, California 94133

Sent from my iPad

From: [Richard Beleson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:26:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to submit the following comment to the land use committee regarding the proposed sprinkler mandate for older buildings:

I question whether the advocates for the sprinkler mandate actually care about real people's lives because they are on the cusp of imposing a requirement that will cause immense financial pain and disruption to the lives of thousands of people, versus the hypothetical possibility of saving lives at some point in the future. Who's to say that the burdens of the cost of the mandate and the disruption to the lives of those affected will not result in financial distress, depression, and illness that causes multiple premature deaths?

We, as a society, need to make rational decisions in the name of safety and preventing deaths. Why is it that we don't require older model cars to be retrofitted with the latest safety equipment - air bags, back-up cameras, and AI sensors? Why don't we just make it illegal to drive these "unsafe" vehicles? We do so because in the case of the automobile, common sense has prevailed. We do so because it would be economically irrational to impose this mandate in the name of safety. Similarly, we should not require older buildings to be retrofitted with sprinklers. This mandate is not justifiable given the cost and disruption to people's lives.

We supposedly live in a democracy, with a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. But the people most affected by this mandate do not have a vote in the matter and are simply at the mercy of bureaucrats and supervisors, mostly in other parts of the City, who will neither pay for the sprinkler retrofit nor incur the disruption in their lives. **One of my concerns is that the unions whose members will make a fortune installing sprinklers will donate thousands of dollars into the campaign coffers of all the supervisors to buy their votes on this issue.**

It's just so easy to impose these mandates on others in the name of saving lives,

when you personally will not have to pay for it or live with the disruption. If you actually care about people's lives right now, not hypothetical lives in the futures, then please listen to us and do not abuse your power and authority by mandating this sprinkler retrofit.

Sincerely yours,

Richard Beleson
999 Green Street
Unit 2201

San Francisco, California 94133

Sent from my iPad

From: [Laurapeter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Request to revisit Fire Sprinkler Mandate for unaffordability
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 8:00:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask for the Board to revisit the San Francisco fire code provisions requiring a full sprinkler retrofit in existing high-rise residential buildings. As currently drafted, this mandate would impose extraordinary financial and logistical burdens on residents like me—and risks unintended consequences for the long-term livability of our city.

I have made my home in the Royal Towers building for 30 years and have survived 2 fires in the building unscathed – one of which was across the hall from me. Yet, the projected cost of compliance—potentially \$250,000 or more per unit—combined with the disruption of an extended, mandatory relocation, puts that future in doubt. These costs are still not fully known, creating real uncertainty in the housing market; we are already seeing buyers hesitate because of the open-ended liability associated with this mandate.

Everyone in our community wants a safe building. We, in the Royal Towers, have consistently complied with prior Fire Department requirements, including significant recent upgrades to our alarm and first-responder communication systems. As mentioned above, we have had 2 fires, but the existing system has met the need. So, not only is the retrofit unnecessary, but it also goes far beyond what is financially feasible for most residents.

Unfunded mandates of this magnitude place an unsustainable burden on homeowners and undermine the goal of keeping San Francisco a place where people can afford to live and remain rooted. I am asking for your support in pursuing a more balanced approach—one that maintains safety while providing relief from requirements that are simply out of reach for the people who call these buildings home, including me.

Laura Peter
Resident of the Royal Towers
1750 Taylor Street

From: [David Scott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 7:42:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a retired long-term condominium owner, I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings, and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

Your web-site states you are *"committed to addressing San Francisco's affordability challenges, which he identifies as a critical issue for families and local businesses. His approach emphasizes gradual, data-driven changes to policies that impact affordability, ensuring they are effective and sustainable. He believes in proposing policies, **evaluating their impact**, and refining them as necessary. Stephen will always listen to his constituents to better understand their needs and perspectives."*

The fire sprinkler mandate was apparently passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on myself and our residents.

If the code is not changed, it may be necessary to temporarily relocate for an extended period during construction (added expense), determine if I can secure the funds for the special anticipated \$300,000 assessment money the HOA will need to use for the project. A special assessment and temporary relocation will increase expenses and potentially make my continued living in San Francisco **unaffordable**, and result in the sale of my unit at a reduced market rate.

Many of my neighbors purchased in our building years ago and have paid off our mortgages so we could afford living in San Francisco. This may **not** be possible because of this mandate.

David Scott
66 Cleary Court

From: [Isobel Sturgeon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [ISOBEL STURGEON](#); [The Comstock](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 4:33:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

Installation will cause dust and possibly even asbestos to be circulated throughout the building. I have asthma and am elderly. My husband is 81 and also has asthma. Dust and possibly asbestos could be hazardous to our health so we will be forced to move our furniture and vacate our premises until all sprinklers are installed and an asbestos company can certify that the air is safe.

My property value during installation will be lowered. The process will interfere with my quiet enjoyment during the latter years of my life.

Furthermore, the efficacy of sprinkler amelioration of damages and life savings is arguable. The water damage from sprinklers can be worse than a fire as they go off automatically all over the building even when the fire has been put out. If the fire is big, the sprinklers will not be able to snuff it out to save lives. Even fire fighters have explained to me that the value of sprinkler systems is debatable.

Alarms save more lives than sprinklers.

Please reconsider.

*Sincerely,
Isobel Sturgeon
1333 Jones Street, Apt 1401
San Francisco, CA 94109*

Sent from my iPad

From: [Jeane Yee](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#); sergey@citiscapesf.com
Subject: "FIRE SPRINKLER RETROFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 251247"
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 11:08:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and SF Board of Supervisors:

We are very sadden with regards to the Mandatory Sprinkler Upgrades. If these Upgrades are approved, we will have to sell our home. We have lived here at 66 Cleary Court for over 50 years. We were first Renters, and then fortunate enough to save to purchase.

Installation of the Sprinklers: Because of the cost, \$100,000 to \$300,000 will overwhelm our present retirement funds. Have the Board of Supervisors explored any other “outside funding?”

We worked our whole life to retire at 66 Cleary Court. Jeane worked and retired with the City and County of San Francisco as a Clerk Typist 1426 and Secretary 1446. Then I, myself was able to purchase a small coin laundromat in North Beach, and later a second small laundromat in the Mission District.

We were both born and raised in San Francisco. I went to school at Bessie Carmichael Elementary (SOMA), Everett Junior High School (Mission District), Washington High School (Richmond District) and City College of SF (Ingleside).

Jeane, my Wife was raised in Chinatown and North Beach, went to Jean Parker Elementary, Francisco Jr. High School, Galileo High School, and to City College of SF.

We have been retired since we were 65 years of age. We will be 80 years old soon and want to spend the rest of our retirement years here at Cleary

Court. We desperately need your help!!! Put yourself in “our position” pushing 80 years old, with no children with no options!

Thank you all, especially Mayor Dan Lurie and the SF Board of Supervisors!

Sincerely,

Willie and Jeane Yee

(415) 921-6324 (Home Landline)

66 Cleary Court, #1108, San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Jim Wall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 9:03:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

The proposed sprinkler mandate has the potential of depriving us of our home of 30 years in San Francisco. The costs are outrageous and the benefits minimal, if any. Affordability of San Francisco will be further removed

Please block this proposal. It appears to be is a solution in search of a problem promoted by those who see this as a financial windfall for performing this unproductive work.

James Wall
1170 SacrStreet 5C
Nob Hill

Sent from my iPhone
Sent from my iPad

From: [Jim Wall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 9:02:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Re: Fire Sprinkler Refit Requirements

The proposed sprinkler mandate has the potential of depriving us of our home of 30 years in San Francisco. The costs are outrageous and the benefits minimal, if any. Affordability of San Francisco will be further removed to only the most wealthy.

Please block this proposal. It appears to be is a solution in search of a problem promoted by those who see this as a financial windfall for performing this unproductive work.

James Wall
1170 SacStreet 5C
Nob Hill

Sent from my iPhone
Sent from my iPad

From: [Jennifer Raike](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 5:21:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon:

I am sending this letter to you to urge each of you to work together to pass legislation to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for existing high rise residential buildings. The financial and personal hardships that would result from this would be devastating to people like me.

I absolutely support fire safety in our city. My condo building The Nob Hill at 1170 Sacramento Street has complied with all previous fire safety mandates but this particular mandate goes beyond what homeowners can reasonably bear. Not only the huge financial hardship but the stress and angst of trying to move out and find temporary housing in a city that is already dealing with a housing shortage is not realistic.

We have managed our finances well but we would still have to levy a considerable special assessment which, for many of us fixed income folks, would be devastating.

Please help to create a solution that would protect safety but at the same time would protect San Francisco residents from unmanageable costs and incredible disruption.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jennifer Raike
1170 Sacramento Street #15B
San Francisco, CA 94108
415 272-8188

From: [Jay Aurora](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 - Financial Hardship
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 5:17:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for all the work that you do for San Francisco. I am a huge advocate for the work you do.

I'm emailing you to ask for your help to revise the language on the sprinkler mandate in the updated Fire Code.

While I greatly appreciate the need for fire safety, and believe it is a high priority for our city, the language in the fire code requiring sprinklers in every room in every building built before 1975 will cause massive financial hardship for everyone in my building.

The immense forecasted cost of permits, construction, and relocation amounts to more than my entire net worth, and will cause me to have negative equity in my home - which took me years to afford a down payment.

I speak for all of the affected residents when I say that we encourage the need for high safety standards, but it shouldn't be at such a massive involuntary cost. This update to the fire code significantly affects housing affordability, which continues to be one of the biggest problems in SF.

If possible, we would like you to work with our elected officials to change the language in the fire code to require installing sprinklers in the event of a structural renovation, at which time it would be an incremental cost, rather than requiring homeowners in buildings that are already extremely fire-safe.

Thank you for all that you do and for your attention to this matter.

Best,

Jay Aurora
[Linkedin](#) | (650) 315-1918

From: [Margie Kay](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 4:53:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

January 21, 2026

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request you amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings.

It is important to work with these buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect residents and visitors.

For 66 Cleary Court, the fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected building and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, the cost for 66 Cleary Court will be extremely expensive and estimated to possibly cost \$300,000 per unit. Relocation while the work is done will be disruptive and costly.

We had a special assessment just a few years ago of over \$33,000 with more repairs needed and another assessment will be needed. for fire sirens.

The burden of paying the estimated cost for fire sprinkler system will be prohibitive and some owners may have to sell and move while the cost for a new buyer could be \$1.5 million dollars per unit.

Please reconsider and work with the homeowner associations to come up with other options or alternatives.

About 66 Cleary Court: Built by Joseph Eichler in 1963, 66 Cleary Court features 150 condominiums.

Each unit features 3-bedrooms and range between 1100-1200 square feet. The building features concrete walls.

Urging you to meet with these older building management and homeowners' associations to come up with alternatives and options for fire safety.

Thank you,

Marjorie Kay, co-owner of unit 1407 in 66 Cleary Court

From: [Carole Isaacs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Sergey Gorokhovskiy](#); [Mary Elise Gassert](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler legislation
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 4:03:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include my email in the file for the Fire Code Meeting Monday February 2, 2026.

Dear Supervisors:

The purpose of my email is to ask you to support changing the current legislation to install sprinklers in 126 high rise condo buildings.

The current legislation is extraordinarily misguided and will do catastrophic harm to the condo owners affected, especially seniors and families with children who are financially barely hanging on to their homes and their lives in San Francisco.

I would love to hear from all of you telling me how you expect me, a 79 year old retired city worker and condo owner at 66 Cleary Court to sell my 3 bedroom condo for market value with a \$300,000 fire sprinkler bill hanging over the property. It will never happen!

Exactly where will I, a single woman, find the money to pay for end of life care in a live-in situation. I am a cancer survivor and have had open heart surgery.

Are any of you Supervisors ready to welcome into your homes any of the people who will inevitably lose their homes as a result of this legislation being enforced?

Please consider the human cost to this legislation and work to update the language that will help both condo owners and San Francisco.

Supervisors who do not have one of these buildings in your district might wonder if voters in your district have friends or relatives in the building affected. I would guess that with 126 buildings and over 1000 units that this

legislation affects people city wide indirectly if not directly

The thing I love about San Francisco is that everyone, rich or poor, thinks and talks about the politics of the city, no matter where they live. When the Supervisors do something extraordinarily foolish like passing this fire sprinkler legislation without input from the residents it is talked about throughout the city, not just the halls of city hall.

YOU ARE ALL BEING WATCHED!

**IF THIS GROSSLY MISGUIDED LEGISLATION IS NOT
CHANGED IMMEDIATELY YOU CAN KNOW FOR SURE THERE
WILL BE A LARGE BLOCK OF VOTERS WORKING TO VOTE YOU
OUT OF OFFICE!**

Sincerely,

Carole Isaacs

66 Cleary Court Apt 1404

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Francesca](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 4:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My husband and I sold our house 15 years ago to move into a co-op with amenities that would make our lives easier in our retirement years. Of course maintenance fees have risen due to higher insurance rates as well as ongoing building upkeep, but we'd planned for these types of expenses. What we hadn't expected was the fire department requirement that speakers be installed in every room that could be considered a sleeping space, which included the living room. It was costly, disruptive and messy, but it's gotten done without us having to move.

This new "requirement," however, would require that we empty our units and vacate them for an indefinite period of time and at an unknown cost. Most of us in the building are retirees. My husband has Alzheimers, and I'm stretched to the breaking point without this added to my burden. How do you expect us to come up with the funds to have the work done, manage removing everything from our apartment and find another place to live while our apartments are torn apart? And selling the apartment has been made impossible by buyers' knowledge that this is something that the city is planning to inflict on the building.

Please stop unions from making the decisions of what's best for the city. Please don't force us to move out of our homes and make us incur a financial burden that we can't manage.

Respectfully, Francesca Passalacqua

From: [Barry Abbott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Hebb, Jack \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); sandra@sfbctc.org; orglabor@sfbctc.org
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 2:58:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors:

I live at 1835 Franklin Street, a 1960's 15-story building that has sprinklers in the three floors of garages at the bottom of the building, but not in each apartment. The building has fire hoses and fire extinguishers on each floor (where there are three apartments on each floor) and smoke detectors and soon-mandated fire horns in each apartment.

It is essential that you take action to repeal the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement that you passed for older high-rise buildings, such as ours.

THIS MANDATE (REQUIRING SPINKLERS IN EACH APARTMENT), WHICH I UNDERSTAND WAS PASSED WITHOUT ANY NOTICE TO, OR DISCUSSION WITH, THE AFFECTED BUILDINGS, WILL LEAD TO UNBEARABLE COSTS FOR OUR BUILDING'S LONGTERM (AND MANY SENIOR) RESIDENTS (SUCH AS MYSELF) AND MAY RESULT IN BUILDINGS, SUCH AS OURS, NOT BEING ABLE TO MEET THE EXPENSIVE REQUIREMENT, WITH THE POSSIBLE RESULT OF AN HOA BANKRUPTCY AND THE BUILDING BEING TORN DOWN.

I also understand that this mandate is being aggressively pushed by the San Francisco Building Trades Council (which I attempted to copy above). I do not like to attribute motives to people I do not know, but it sure sounds like this aggressive push is related more to trying to force more SF labor jobs than being worried about the residents that will be forced to abandon their homes, much less whether there really is a need for such a mandate

(which I believe there is not for a building like ours). If my supposition is correct, this is disgraceful, and even more disgraceful if the Board presses this issue for political purposes. If so, shame on you!

-

I hope you will listen to the voices of the buildings involved here and not extraneous political voices.

-

Please consider an immediate repeal of this retrofitting requirement.

-

Sincerely,

Barry Abbott

Barry A. Abbott
1835 Franklin Street, No. 1202
San Francisco, CA 94109
b_abbott@comcast.net
Cell: 415.359.8885

From: [Mark Anderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 2:50:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors, Please don't require the mandated sprinkler retrofit update in this legislation. My wife and I are living off of social security and savings and the added financial burden will be difficult to bear. Thank you for your consideration. Mark Anderson

From: [Judith Kneeter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 2:27:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To; The San Francisco Fire Marshall and the San Francisco City Council

From: Judith Kneeter, 66 Cleary Court, Apt. 1006, San Francisco, 94109

Re: The Sprinkler Mandate

Please, hear me out. I am 90 years old and have been living in the Bay Area since 1986. This is the first home I have ever owned here and have loved it and this historic building ever since I moved into it in 1998. I live on a fixed income and this mandate to install sprinklers in our apartments is going to wipe me out financially, probably require me to sell my home of 27 years at a loss (if it is even saleable) and force me to leave San Francisco. I am certain that there are many others in my circumstances who will experience the same fate if this measure proceeds.

I understand that the major concern is safety, but I contend that the record does not show that a solid concrete building like ours is the sort of construction that requires this extreme measure. This is not a Victorian apartment complex built from wood.

Please think about the impact on me and many others and reconsider going forward on this mandate.

Thank you,

Judith Kneeter

66 Cleary Court

Apt. 1006

San Francisco, 94109

From: [Eve Meyer and Jim Edlin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 2:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is a request that the Board of Supervisors take action to rescind the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. Instead we ask you and the Fire Department to work with these buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

Our understanding is that the fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings. Implementing the requirement as it stands would place an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us and all residents of our 150-unit building at 66 Cleary Court and many similarly affected buildings and people.

The cost estimates we have heard for retrofitting sprinklers to our reinforced concrete building - between \$200,000 and \$300,000 before additional costs such as temporary relocation - would impose a very substantial financial burden on us - both retired and in our late 70s and early 80s. We imagine this is equally or more true for many other residents in the building on fixed incomes - and those young families who have chosen our building because it is among the few in the city with relatively affordable family size (3br, 2ba) units. The effects would be even more costly and disruptive if the retrofit requires temporarily vacating our unit.

We are already having to absorb a hefty special assessment to fund the \$1,000,000+ upgraded fire alarm system, needed to comply with another recent fire code update. We urge the Board of Supervisors to rescind the retrofit requirement to spare us a substantially more burdensome mandate.

Best regards,

Eve Meyer and Jim Edlin

meyer-edlin@webmond.com | 415-810-1425
66 Cleary Ct. #301, San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Ju Ran Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:58:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Request for Reconsideration of Fire Sprinkler Retrofitting Requirement.

Dear Supervisor

I am reaching out to discuss the current fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and its impact on our residents. The mandate was implemented without prior notification or consultation with affected buildings, resulting in significant challenges for our community. I recently discovered that the mandatory fire sprinkler project may necessitate residents to vacate their units, posing a particular hardship for those with elderly family members, such as my 93-year-old uncle. Additionally, the financial burden associated with this project is substantial, with estimated costs ranging from \$200,000 to \$300,000 per unit in our building. As someone with limited income, obtaining a loan for this expense is not a viable option. The prospect of losing my home due to the financial strain caused by the fire sprinkler mandate has been keeping me up at night. I implore you to explore alternative solutions that would ensure the safety of our residents without imposing such overwhelming financial obligations. Your assistance in addressing this matter is greatly appreciated. Thank you for your attention to this urgent issue.

Warm regards,

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

From: [D.H](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:44:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please take action and amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings in SF. Please work with our building, The Comstock, located at 1333 Jones St SF CA 94109 on other feasible safety measures.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice or discussion. It will place an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me! I am retired. I can not afford an increase in my monthly assessments, much less a large special assessment. This is causing me as well as my neighbors stress and concern. Some of my neighbors are homebound and already under financial stress to meet their medical needs. The thought that we may need to vacate our homes is horrific and would cause great physical and emotional harm. Please amend this requirement.

Regards,
David Henbest
1333 Jones St
SF CA 94109

From: [Cloud Force](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Comstock Manager](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 – Affordability and Feasible Alternatives
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:37:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to respectfully urge you to reconsider the current fire sprinkler retrofit requirement for older high-rise residential buildings and to work collaboratively with affected buildings to identify alternative, feasible life safety measures that protect residents and visitors without imposing disproportionate financial hardship.

I fully support the goal of fire safety. However, the sprinkler mandate was adopted without prior notice to or meaningful discussion with the buildings most affected. As written, it places an extraordinary financial and physical burden on residents like myself. I am currently without income, and the prospect of large special assessments, permanent increases in monthly assessments to The Comstock, and related moving expenses is simply not financially viable for me and threatens my ability to live in this city I call home (since 1994).

Affordability must be a central consideration. Many residents in these buildings are seniors, retirees, or individuals on fixed or limited incomes. The cumulative cost of compliance threatens housing stability for people who have lived in and contributed to these communities for decades. A safety policy that unintentionally displaces residents or creates severe financial distress undermines its broader public purpose.

It is also important to note that The Comstock is a concrete high-rise building, which is inherently less susceptible to fire than other forms of construction. Given this reality, I respectfully ask that the City consider tailored approaches for concrete buildings and explore alternative safety enhancements that meaningfully reduce risk without requiring full sprinkler retrofitting.

I am encouraged by the growing coalition of affected buildings, now representing the majority of units subject to this mandate, and by the shared request that affordability be given serious weight. I hope you will take this opportunity to amend the ordinance in a way that balances safety, equity, and economic reality.

Thank you for your time, your public service, and your thoughtful consideration of the real-world impact this requirement has on residents like me.

Respectfully,
Cloud Force

Resident at The Comstock

From: [Alex Sefanov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:24:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are aware that the Board of Supervisors is considering the enforcement of the city ordinance to require installation of fire sprinklers in multi-story apartment buildings in San Francisco. This ordinance will reduce the equity in our unit at 66 Cleary Ct by more than 30%, and will seriously jeopardize our chances for retirement (we are both 71 yrs old). I am sure there are other less drastic measures to ensure fire safety of our building that will not result in reducing the equity in our unit by 1/3. Please, please, please consider an exemption for our building not to ruin our prospects for retirement.

Thank you.

Alex and Marianna Sefanov
66 Cleary Ln # 207
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [John O'Banion](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:21:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

We are writing to urge you to oppose the fire sprinkler mandate that is currently being considered.

We live in a cooperative building where every unit is owner-occupied. When we purchased our unit 20 years ago, we gutted the unit and built a home that has elaborate and complex architecturally designed ceilings, floor-to-ceiling bookcases and cabinetry, tapestries that hang from the ceiling to cover windows, floor-to-ceiling columns and arches, and other architectural features that cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to construct, none of which could be replaced if the ceilings were removed and/or lowered or other changes were required to accommodate a sprinkler system. In short, our home would be destroyed, would no longer be our home, and would diminish in value significantly.

Our building is very well-protected from injury or damage resulting from a fire. We have a state-of-the-art fire detection system, including existing sprinklered hallways, fire escapes in the hallways, sprinklered units near fire escapes, and multiple fire alarms. Our home has six interior alarms, the exterior hallway has multiple alarms and sprinklers, and interior sprinklers as proposed would be unnecessary.

Further, the work required to add pumps, supply lines, interior sprinklers and related equipment would be exorbitantly expensive (estimated at hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit), as well be disruptive to our lives. We are both 72 years old and our retirement funds would be impacted by a project of this magnitude. The project would be quite extensive as it calls for opening ceilings and rebuilding interiors at a high cost and also would require us to locate and incur the additional expense of alternative housing in a city that already suffers from an insufficient supply of housing.

Additionally, if a sprinkler were to malfunction in a unit, or activate due to a stovetop fire, for example, significant water damage could occur. And, if this were to occur in a unit on a higher floor, our unit could be damaged from water leaking from above. Water damage can be more serious than fire damage since fire damage can be contained whereas water will always find a way to flow downward.

We are gravely concerned that this work is unnecessary and excessive for this building and will cause financial and emotional hardship to the residents.

Therefore, we suggest that a better approach would be an exemption for buildings that are not undergoing major renovations. There is no need to destroy homes that are not undergoing

renovation. Please do not succumb to pressure from sprinkler installers and labor unions who do not care about San Francisco residents. Instead, please take a common-sense approach and exempt buildings until such time that they undergo major renovations.

Thank you for your consideration.

John and Pamela O'Banion
1201 California Street, Unit 701
San Francisco, CA 94109

===== CONFIDENTIALITY
NOTICE: E-mail may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. Do not read this e-mail if you are not the intended recipient. This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. By responding to this email, you hereby expressly allow plain text, unencrypted email communications regarding to the subject matter in this and other related emails from the sender. All e-mail messages at this address belong to O'Banion & Ritchey LLP. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail, by forwarding this e-mail to jpo@intellectual.com or contacting us by telephone at (916) 498-1010, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner. Thank you.

From: [Nancy Santos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 12:31:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

We are writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high rise buildings and to work on finding other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

We are retired seniors and have lived in our present apartment building for 40 years. It has been estimated by our building board of directors that if the mandate is not revoked or amended, it will cost EACH apartment unit in our building \$300,000 or more to comply with the mandate as written. This plus expenses to be incurred by the residents to move to temporary housing for several months when work is being done to comply.

As retired seniors with limited income and resources for everyday living as is, this imminent unreasonable expense as required by the mandate to convert this old residential building (ironically originally constructed as a low income housing) and to make it like a newly built high rise building requirement does not make sense. This entire concrete building and each apartment may have to be severely demolished and reconstructed to fulfill the mandate and may not be livable due to identified foreseeable problems associated with the retrofitting of the sprinklers.

If the Sprinkler Retrofitting Mandate is not revoked, we will not be able to afford paying for the retrofitting and reconstruction project . Plus the upkeep of the retrofitting changes. We will be forced out of our apartment to join the homeless in San Francisco . Our apartment may not even be saleable due to the high cost of reconstruction ,retrofitting and maintenance fees. And we foresee the Home Association go bankrupt with such a big expense that will be passed on to the homeowners.

The above matter is causing us extreme anxiety , stress and uncertainty about what will happen to us if we are forced to leave our present residence because of unaffordabilty with the required mandate ...sadly , in probably our last decade of life ...aggravating our existing health issues!

We appeal to all the supervisors of the board to put themselves in our shoes and position in life facing this unaffordable , expensive mandate on our building if not revoked .We plead for more reasonable and affordable alternative recommendations than the mandate as it is written .

Thank you for listening to our plea and sharing our stress on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amando and Nancy Santos

Residents of 66 Cleary Court, SF 94109

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Charlene Lebowitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 11:54:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to request you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofit requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents. I will need to move out of my apartment and find temporary living quarters for an extended period of time. This will be difficult and costly. The actual cost of the retrofit is astronomical and my share will be a huge expense for me that was completely unexpected.

Thank you,
Charlene Lebowitz
1750 Taylor Street
Apartment 403

From: [david thompson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: danny@dannyd3.com; [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 10:43:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed sprinkler retrofit mandate.

Whether it's a public policy issue or a personal matter, I evaluate the pros and cons of an issue by both balancing interests and considering the impact on those affected.

None of us can or would argue with general public safety and certainly not with the benefit of fire safety. I always look for proportionality, and I know that you do the same.

However, the proposed mandate brings a sledgehammer approach to what should be a more nuanced, reasoned decision making process.

In summary, my opposition is based at least in part on the following:

- We already live in a safe building here at 1170 Sacramento Street (our building has at least two annual, mandatory fire inspections, with SFFD personnel entering each unit to test smoke alarms; we have two separate stairwells for exiting our building; we have staff monitoring our building 24/7; each floor has fire extinguishers in every hallway; and ours is a concrete building).
- Implementation of the mandate will cause occupants to incur tremendous expense (special assessments, relocation costs) with an accompanying reduction in value to our units until mandated improvements are completed (in other words beyond the life expectancy of many of us).
- This sprinkler mandate will create fear in a mostly senior population of occupants, creating massive uncertainty about where they can live, whether they can afford to live here, and where to relocate to if they can't live here?

This scorched earth proposal lacks common sense (neither the City nor its citizens have unlimited resources), and it demands a reasoned reconsideration of the proposed mandate to insure that the damage caused by its implementation doesn't exceed any hoped for benefit of the misguided legislation.

Thank you for your consideration.

David A. Thompson
1170 Sacramento Street, #9A
San Francisco, CA 94108
Davidtsf1170@gmail.com
415.225.6546

From: [Esteban Gómez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 10:33:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello everyone,

I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. I support better fire safety, but the ordinance in its current form would create severe financial and social harm for thousands of residents.

Estimated retrofit costs range from \$113,000 to \$300,000 per unit, which could translate into thousands of dollars in new monthly HOA fees or loan payments. These retrofits require opening walls, ceilings, and sometimes surrounding streets, which means major disruption and likely displacement for many residents.

The ordinance also provides no plan for where displaced residents will go, even though thousands could be forced out during construction. Many affected homeowners are seniors, fixed-income residents, and long-time community members who cannot absorb six-figure costs or months of displacement. This risks pushing vulnerable people out of their homes and undermining property values.

There are also fairness and process issues. Homeowners bear the full cost even though lower-cost alternatives, such as upgraded fire-resistant doors or partial sprinkler systems, were not fully evaluated. The proposal has moved forward without an updated independent cost-benefit analysis and with limited public input.

I respectfully urge you to pause the ordinance and pursue a more balanced approach. A new independent study, real financial relief or hardship protections, and a clear relocation plan are essential. The city should fully consider safer and more affordable alternatives before imposing costs that many residents cannot withstand.

Thank you

-Esteban Gomez Saavedra

From: [Sandy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\); office@royaltowers.net](#)
Subject: Fwd: San Francisco Fire Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 10:25:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Resending original email below dated 11/6/2025.

Please acknowledge receipt thereof.

Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sandy** <sandranbosley@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 6, 2025 at 2:05 PM

Subject: San Francisco Fire Sprinkler Mandate

To: <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>

To the Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and that you work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

This fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to us and without any discussion of its feasibility and cost. It places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors.

This is another blow to the affordability issue that all residents in San Francisco face, not only because of the direct cost of compliance but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City.

The financial strain this mandate imposes might impel the potential for the necessity of selling this apartment, and more importantly the inconvenience and deprivation of its use when required are significant considerations.

I sincerely hope that you carefully consider all aspects of your decisions, ensuring that the owner's concerns are duly taken into account.

Respectfully,

Sandra N. Bosley - Owner Unit #504, Royal Towers, 1750 Taylor St., San Francisco, CA 94133

From: [Alice Steiner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 9:29:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. Please work with our building's management team and consultants on other feasible life safety measures that will protect us and our visitors.

This fire sprinkler mandate surprised us all. It places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors. It is estimated that it will cost each of us between \$200,000 and \$300,000 to place sprinklers in our apartments. I have done a lot of work in my apartment and above the ceiling is an extensive array of beams, columns, piping, electrical conduits, etc. that need to be navigated, as well as, the recessed lighting cans. Additionally, I note that the structural flooring in our building is concrete, which is not flammable. And, the building is actually two buildings bridged by an elevator core. I do think the fire marshal should analyse each building before determining what is needed, if anything, to limit fire spread and to limit damage from fire suppression systems that go off in error.

As a city planning professional in another city, I am amazed time and again at the steps that San Francisco takes to limit construction of new housing units, impose drawn out approvals for renovation of older units and require "improvements" that add to the cost of housing in the City. Imposing wide reaching mandates for expensive retrofits without consultation with owners and property managers is another example of this heavy handedness.

I know that our building management team would be pleased to work with you to find a less costly way to address the fire marshal's concerns. Please take the time to work with them.

Thank you for your service to the City and its residents.

Sincerely,

Alice Steiner

1750 Taylor Street

Royal Towers Apartments, Unit 1601

From: [Karen Nebel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247e cost
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 7:06:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing regarding the proposed fire sprinkler retrofit mandate. The cost of the mandate is currently unknown, but could be as high as \$250,000 and require relocation of residents. All residents of the Royal Towers want our building to be safe and do comply with all previous mandates. We all want our building to be safe. And we have an excellent staff that insures our safety. Mayor Lurie has done a good job to help restore San Francisco for residents as well as help restore San Francisco as a place tourists and conventions want to visit. This proposed mandate goes well beyond appropriate safeguards and will make selling/buying units in high rises very difficult. This is not good for San Francisco and its future. I hope you will consider how negatively this will affect us and find a solution that answers your concerns as well as addresses the lives of high rise residents. Thank you.
Karen Nebel
Royal Towers #502

From: [Rich Weissman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:10:05 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Here is my previous correspondence related to the fire sprinkler mandate, including my initial email to Mayor Lurie (at the bottom of this string). We must rescind this mandate immediately or it will create great harm to the city and thousands of residents/condo homeowners, including me.

Thank you,
Rich Weissman

Rich Weissman
cell: 503.250.4545
email: rweissman@hotmail.com

From: Rich Weissman <rweissman@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2026 2:33 PM
To: SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; FireAdministration@sfgov.org <fireadministration@sfgov.org>; FireAdministration@sfgov.org <fireadministration@sfgov.org>; mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Fire Sprinkler Mandate

Lauren,

Thank you for your email to me. I appreciate that you are addressing the issue. However, a 3-year pause is simply not enough. In the meantime, we are all in limbo, not knowing the status of our homes — will there be incredibly high assessments, will people abandon their homes, will the HOA declare bankruptcy, etc.? And right now, our homes are not salable as buyers do not want to purchase with such uncertainty. The financial and emotional stress of waiting is simply not an acceptable solution. We need the mandate to be overturned in its entirety. Otherwise, thousands of homeowners are in limbo not knowing the fate of our homes and our lives.

Thank You,
Rich Weissman

Rich Weissman
cell: 503.250.4545
email: rweissman@hotmail.com

From: SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 11:30 AM
To: Rich Weissman <rweissman@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Fire Sprinkler Mandate

Hi Rich,

Thank you very much for reaching out. We have marked down your contact information and address.

As you have mentioned, please see below for a letter that details the current actions Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Supervisor Danny Sauter are taking. We will be in touch soon with more updates.

Best,

Lauren

Lauren Chung | Chief of Staff
Office of Supervisor Stephen Sherrill
San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 2
(415) 554-7752

[Sign Up for the District 2 Newsletter](#)
[Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

Hello,

We are writing to share an update on the sprinkler retrofit mandate passed in 2023 by a previous Board of Supervisors and the steps we are actively taking in response to concerns raised by many San Francisco residents.

We have heard loud and clear that the current mandate is widely unaffordable and not feasible for many buildings. In response, Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Supervisor Danny Sauter, alongside Mayor Daniel Lurie introduced amendments to the Fire Code to extend the preliminary compliance deadline by **three years**. This extension is an important **first step**, and

we acknowledge that it does not resolve the underlying issue of undue hardship.

Here is what we are doing now:

1. Extending the preliminary deadline - The proposed Fire Code amendments would push the Department of Building Inspection permit application deadline out by three years offering immediate relief while our offices continue to work on longer-term solutions.

2. Clarifying exemptions and hardship standards - Moving forward, our top priority is to establish a clear and consistent exemption process for buildings where compliance is structurally infeasible, and to define what constitutes “undue hardship” under the Fire Code. Residents deserve predictability, fairness, and transparency.

3. Requiring transparency and analysis - We are submitting a joint letter of inquiry to the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission requesting a feasibility analysis of the mandate — an analysis that should have been done before the policy was adopted. We intend to make the findings public as soon as they are available.

4. Ongoing communication with residents - Last week, we held a very well-attended community meeting where residents shared their frustration with the lack of information leading up to this mandate. We are committed to ensuring that no further actions are taken without clear, timely communication to affected residents and property owners.

We have been working closely with the Fire Department leadership to align on the path forward to provide transparency and clarity. We want to thank the Fire Department leadership for listening and engaging on this critical issue. If you live in a building affected by this mandate and need assistance navigating the process, please contact our offices at SherrillStaff@sfgov.org and SauterStaff@sfgov.org. Our teams are ready to help.

Thank you for continuing to share your experiences and concerns. Your feedback is directly shaping the work ahead, and we look forward to continuing this conversation as the legislation moves forward.

Sincerely,



Stephen Sherrill
District 2 Supervisor
City and County of San Francisco



Danny Sauter
District 3 Supervisor
City and County of San Francisco

From: Rich Weissman <rweissman@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2025 5:12 AM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>
Cc: FireAdministration, FIR (FIR) <fireadministration@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Fire Sprinkler Mandate

Dear Mayor Lurie,

I want to thank you for stepping in and halting this awful mandate. I appreciate the 3-year pause, but we must ensure that the mandate is ultimately rescinded.

Thank you so much!
Rich Weissman

Rich Weissman
cell: 503.250.4545
email: rweissman@hotmail.com

From: Rich Weissman <rweissman@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 12:33 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>
Cc: FireAdministration@sfgov.org <FireAdministration@sfgov.org>; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org <sherrillstaff@sfgov.org>; mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Mandate

Dear Mayor Lurie,

I have been a big supporter of yours. I talk to everyone about the wonderful job you are doing in cleaning up the city, bringing down crime and making the city safer, dealing with the homeless/drug/mentally ill problem, bringing businesses back to the city, helping fill empty office buildings, revitalizing retail and empty retail space, and making us proud to be San Franciscans after many years of neglect. But I am deeply disappointed in the actions you have taken relative to the fire sprinkler mandate in larger residential buildings in the city. It is a highly destructive move and hurts tens of thousands of people who live in the city and will be forced to leave their homes.

I am a homeowner at 66 Cleary Court, San Francisco CA 94109. I have owned my condo for over 15 years, being in the tech industry. The building was built in 1963 of approximately 150 units. The new ordinance now mandates the installation of fire sprinklers into all units. I understand that the costs per unit may range up to \$300,000 per homeowner. This amount would represent well over one-third of the value of my unit. It is unreasonable for us to think

this is a positive step. It just makes no sense and is way beyond the budget for homeowners. And, the project will force people to leave their homes for half a year while the work is underway, which is unreasonable for most people. Additionally, we have 8' tall ceilings, and the code-required earthquake brackets for holding the sprinkler pipes below the ceiling would mean we will have 11" minimum soffits to cover the sprinkler pipes in corridors and inside our units. This would bring our ceiling heights in these places to 7'1", which is below the height required by code to be considered a "living space."

I fear that this would cause the building to go into bankruptcy as so many people would not be in a position to pay for the mandated sprinkler system, and it would make our units no longer "living space." It would ruin the building as homeowners would default and flee, unable to pay for the system and unable to sell, leaving the building heavily vacant and in default, and losing the tax base.

And this will apply to many, many buildings in the city, impacting tens of thousands of people. You want to improve the city? This does just the opposite. Our city will force thousands of working, tax-paying homeowners to abandon their properties, leaving empty units in many of the larger structures. It's insane. A realtor friend of mine told me that it now makes units in larger buildings without sprinklers **unsalable and unrentable**. Why would you want to do this? It's truly insane.

Please reconsider and remove the mandate. The ordinance is simply too much of a burden and will destroy our city. Let's get back to your wonderful of improving the city, not destroying it.

Thank you,
Rich Weissman

Rich Weissman
cell: 503.250.4545
email: rweissman@hotmail.com

From: [Christina Jong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:06:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am a homeowner of a condominium unit at 66 Cleary Court, San Francisco. I am respectfully requesting you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older highrise buildings and work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places a devastating physical and financial hardship on our residents, many of whom are elderly and living on a fixed income. I am such a resident. I can say, without exaggeration, that this costly mandate will bankrupt me and that of our homeowners association. It will cost millions to upgrade our concrete building to your specifications. Each unit will be assessed about \$300,000. We will have to move out for at least 6 months for the work to be done. Be aware there are also many young families at our building, which provides 3 bedroom, 2 bath units, a godsend to many who can't afford single family homes. I doubt any of us, retirees or young families, can afford this mandate. What good are fire sprinklers if residents stand to lose their homes? Can you imagine the housing and financial insecurity the residents will face? And how will this disaster affect the city of San Francisco? Surely the Board of Supervisors is not aiming to ruin the financial and physical well being of our residents? But that is exactly what will happen, if we are forced to comply.

With utmost urgency, I plead with the Board to amend the sprinkler mandate by working with the affected buildings to come up with a more reasonable solution. I pray that practicality and wisdom will prevail.

Most Sincerely,

Christina Jong
Homeowner of 66 Cleary Court
San Francisco

From: [Anne Gunderson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:29:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. I urge you to work with these buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings/residents and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on residents. Retrofitting would require residents to move out of their homes for several months. In addition to the enormous cost of retrofitting (which is expected to be more than \$250k for each unit), residents will have substantial additional expenses of moving, storage of all furniture and belongings as well as the expense of a rental apartment or hotel. Many, if not most of the residents in our building are elderly (including me and my husband).

I urge you to reconsider this mandate.

Sincerely,
Anne Gunderson

Sent from my iPad

From: [Edward Lebowitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, we and our neighbors who are almost all senior citizens and for the most part live on our social security and IRAs would have to relocate for an extended and uncertain period and at a staggering cost. Given our ages and financial situations, many of us would be unable to return to our homes.

Thank you for your consideration of those of us who have downsized to apartments to cut our costs and still be able to live in San Francisco.

Best regards,

Edward Lebowitz

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Nesya Gurdus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a 73-year-old resident, my husband and I have made our condominium at 2200 Pacific Avenue the place we plan to call home for whatever years we have left. The thought of being forced out because of the fire sprinkler retrofit mandate is heartbreaking. The estimated \$200,000–\$300,000 cost per unit, plus months away from home during construction, is far beyond what we can manage on fixed incomes. With full compliance required by January 1, 2035, the work would probably happen when we are nearing 80—the disruption and stress at that stage of life would be devastating.

Fire safety matters enormously to me, and I would never want to see it compromised. Our building has responsibly met every past requirement, including the substantial and expensive recent overhaul of the central fire alarm system, complete with new wiring and better notification capabilities. Piling this huge additional mandate on top of those earlier efforts feels overwhelming and disproportionate.

For minimal extra protection—remember, in more than six decades across all affected buildings, there has been only one fire-related death, from an electric scooter battery—this policy could uproot thousands of us, erode housing affordability, depress property values, and make San Francisco’s housing challenges even worse. Simpler, targeted steps like requiring secure, fireproof storage for e-bikes and scooters would deliver real safety improvements without punishing residents so severely.

It was disheartening to hear that the thoughtful “major renovation” trigger exemption, already prepared by the City Attorney, is no longer moving forward. Requiring sprinklers only when a building undergoes major renovation would strengthen safety over time while sparing current owners crushing financial and personal hardship.

I earnestly ask you to amend the mandate to restore this major renovation trigger—or consider a full reversal if that is what it takes. A solution like this would honor fire safety while protecting the well-being, proportionality, and affordability that so many San Franciscans need. I would welcome any opportunity to discuss a fair path forward.

Sincerely,

Nesya Gurdus
Resident and Owner 2200 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: [Eliza Lape](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:19:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello

I hope you're well. I live in San Francisco in a mid-century high-rise Eichler and am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our me and other residents in our building. Not only will it require us to relocate during the extended period of construction, for many of us the astronomical cost associated with this mandate will cause an extreme hardship.

There has got to be another way to improve fire safety in San Francisco and also take into consideration the unique situation with older high-rise buildings.

Thank you for the work you do for all of us and for your attention to this matter.

Eliza Lape
66 Cleary Court #801,
San Francisco

From: [Buffington Miller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 4:39:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors and SF City Officials,

The current mandate to add fire sprinklers to every sleeping space in every apartment in every older high-rise building in San Francisco places an impossible burden on nearly everyone concerned. This will likely cost about \$250,000 per apartment, and will require the residents to move out of their apartments, and remove their furniture, during the installation. This is simply not doable.

- Most residents of these 126 or so buildings will not be able to afford to pay for the project.
- Most residents will not be able to find a nearby temporary place to live.

If this mandate remains in place, the following scenario is most likely to occur:

- Many residents of these buildings will not be able to afford to stay.
- Many residents will not be able to find other housing in San Francisco.
- Many residents of these apartment buildings will move out of San Francisco.
- The owners of many of these buildings will not be able to meet the mandate.
- The owners will thus sell the buildings for non-residential use or leave them empty.
- San Francisco housing will become so tight that only the very wealthy can afford to remain.
- The vibrancy of San Francisco will thus be further reduced.

We all value safety, but there is a trade-off where the extra level of safety is not worth the cost. I would be safer moving around in San Francisco wrapped in a suit of bubble wrap and armor, but that's not reasonable. Neither is this current mandate regarding fire sprinklers.

Even the Fire Marshall had to go back 30 years to give an example of someone losing their life in a high rise fire in San Francisco. The Fire Department can continue to site building owners where there is a real risk to public safety, but let's eliminate the blanket ruling as it stands. Many of these buildings have taken a number of measures that make the risk of a serious fire very small. Let's focus on the few where the highest risk remains.

Please take action to amend the mandatory fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings immediately.

Ms. Buffington Clay Miller
1750 Taylor Street #205
San Francisco, CA 94133

From: [Sundeep Ambati](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 3:57:29 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a San Francisco property owner regarding the proposed Fire Code adoption and the **Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement (File 251247)**.

I fully support the goal of improving fire and life safety in San Francisco's housing stock. However, as currently structured, the sprinkler retrofit mandate places an extraordinary and disproportionate financial burden on owners and residents of existing multifamily and condominium buildings—many of which were built decades ago and were never designed to accommodate full sprinkler systems without major structural disruption.

For many buildings, compliance would require invasive construction, displacement of residents, and costs that can reach tens or even hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit. These costs will inevitably be passed on to residents through increased housing costs or, in some cases, force sales and displacement—outcomes that directly conflict with the City's stated housing stability and affordability goals.

While I appreciate the proposed extensions to interim deadlines, the **final compliance deadline of January 1, 2035 remains unchanged**, and the underlying mandate remains intact. The current proposal does not meaningfully address feasibility, proportionality, or economic hardship for existing residential buildings.

I respectfully urge the Board to:

- Consider alternative life-safety measures for existing buildings that provide meaningful protection without full sprinkler retrofits where infeasible;
- Allow broader hardship exemptions and realistic compliance pathways;
- Ensure that any final adopted code avoids unintended consequences such as resident displacement, loss of housing stock, or severe financial harm to owners and homeowners' associations.

San Francisco can and should improve safety while also protecting housing stability and recognizing the practical limitations of older buildings. I strongly encourage you to continue working with stakeholders to modify this mandate in a way that balances safety, equity, and feasibility.

Thank you for your time, consideration, and public service.

Thanks,

Sundeep Ambati



559 260 5113 | sundeep@ambatiproperties.com

<https://www.ambatiproperties.com>

444 W Shaw Ave, Fresno CA 93704 | Corp Lic #01973216



Facebook [@ambatiproperties](#)

 X [@ambati_prop](#)



Instagram [@ambati.properties](#)

On Thu, Nov 6, 2025 at 8:54 PM Sundeep Ambati <sundeep@ambatiproperties.com> wrote:

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am an owner at 66 Cleary Court writing to respectfully request that you **amend the current fire-sprinkler retrofit requirement for older high-rise residential buildings** and work with affected communities to implement more **feasible and cost-effective life-safety measures**.

While I fully support fire-safety improvements, this particular mandate imposes an **extraordinary financial and logistical burden** on homeowners and residents. For many of us, the projected costs of \$100,000 – \$300,000 per unit and the need to temporarily relocate during asbestos and construction work are simply **unaffordable and disruptive**.

In a concrete building such as ours, compliance would also require lowering ceilings below the legal living-space height, further diminishing property values and livability. No other California city has adopted a retrofit mandate of this scope, and even the City's own Budget and Legislative Analyst advised against it.

Please consider a more balanced approach such as limiting the requirement to **major renovations or additions**, aligning it with other retrofit legislation like the natural-gas regulation and allowing alternative fire-safety improvements that achieve the same protective goal without devastating financial impact.

Thank you for your attention and for your commitment to safeguarding both the **safety and housing stability** of San Francisco residents.

Thanks,
Sundeep Ambati



559 260 5113 | sundeep@ambatiproperties.com

<https://www.ambatiproperties.com>

444 W Shaw Ave, Fresno CA 93704 | Corp Lic #01973216



Facebook @ambatiproperties



X @ambati_prop



Instagram @ambati.properties

From: [Jennifer Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 3:40:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm forwarding my previous email, as it seems it has not been included in the file that will be before the Supervisors when they vote on the new fire code. Please include this so they can understand the MAJOR CONCERNS residents have about the personal impact this will have on our homes. Thank you!

[Scribe](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Sent via [Superhuman](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jennifer Smith <jennifer.renee.smith@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, December 1 2025 at 1:08 PM PST
Subject: Concern about fire sprinkler ordinance!!
To: Fire.Commission@sfgov.org

Hello -

I am resident of the Comstock building in Nob Hill. It is a lovely, well-maintained building with a wonderful resident community.

We are DEEPLY alarmed and distressed by the fire sprinkler ordinance. While I applaud fire safety, I implore you to please reconsider the huge negative impact this is going to have on our community and our lives.

The special assessment fee that will be required to pay for this is very significant (potentially hundreds of thousands of dollars per person!). I, and most my neighbors, would be FORCED TO SELL our homes and move out if this sprinkler ordinance holds. (Many of my neighbors are retirees who are on a fixed income). Many residents have called this building home for several decades. It would be a devastating loss to our homes and our community.

Fire safety is a laudable goal, but please, PLEASE reconsider - this will cause us to lose our homes. Our building is all concrete with multiple staircase exits - I do not fear for our safety in case of fire.

Thank you,
Jennifer

[Scribe](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Sent via [Superhuman](#)

From: [Jennifer Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 3:39:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm forwarding my previous email, as it seems it has not been included in the file that will be before the Supervisors when they vote on the new fire code. Please include this so they can understand the MAJOR CONCERNS residents have about the personal impact this will have on our homes. Thank you!

[Scribe](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Sent via [Superhuman](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jennifer Smith <jennifer.renee.smith@gmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, November 12 2025 at 10:43 AM PST
Subject: regarding the sprinkler bill retrofitting requirement
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Hello -

I am writing to request you to take action to **amend** the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. Please work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

This mandate was placed without notice. I applaud safety measures, but it represents significant financial hardship for our tenants (those on a fixed income, who are now going to face severe special assessments and may need to move).

Thank you for your attention
Jennifer

[Scribe](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Sent via [Superhuman Mail](#)

Sat, Nov 8, 2025 at 1:02
William Prezant <billprezant@gmail.com>
To: "board of supervisors@sfgov.org" <board of supervisors@sfgov.org>
FIRE SPRINKLER RETRAFIT REQUIREMENT FILE 251247
To the Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

My wife and I are residents of 1750 Taylor Street, San Francisco. We write to request that you amend the fire sprinkler retrofit requirement for older high-rise buildings and that you work with our building and others to create alternative, feasible, and rational life safety measures that will protect residents and visitors. Incidentally, this fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to us and without any discussion of its feasibility and cost. Bottom line, it will place an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us and our neighbors.

In a time when the City is facing the challenge of providing and maintaining housing that is affordable, this proposal here is counter intuitive. We have lived in our apartment for decades and chose to stay in the City because of family. We are retired and live on what would be considered in this era and place as a modest income. So, the cost of the proposed ordinance would cost us approximately \$300,000 plus and require us to vacate our apartment with our furnishings for several months while retrofitting was done. As two septuagenarians, nearing our 80's, this prospect is daunting. Moreover, this direct cost **will impact all rental units and apartments throughout the City**

Our City simply cannot create and maintain a wholesome and economically diverse community by continuing to a regulatory burdens designed with good intentions but imposing conditions, the cost of which far exceed the danger for which they were created. Let's acknowledge that the balancing act for resolving this issue demands reasonable solutions!

Thank you for considering our views.

Sincerely,

Karen and Bill Prezant
1750 Taylor Street, Apt. 602
San Francisco, CA. 94133

Board of Supervisors 2 sf gov.org

From: [Susan Nelson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: fire sprinkler
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 2:49:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern- As a resident of 1750 Taylor I strongly object to the fire code issue for our building and other buildings in tje City. The cost-The Effect on Sales-The displacement of residents during renovation is unbearable. I have written before- went to the Ft Mason and plan to attend City Hall February meeting. Sincerely
Susan Winblad Nelson
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Henrik Thorenfeldt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Adelina Mirela Martiniuc](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 2:38:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors,

I'm a San Francisco resident in a building affected by the 2023 fire sprinkler retrofit mandate. I'm writing to ask you to reverse or cancel this mandate entirely. As written, it places an unreasonable financial burden on homeowners and families, and we should not be forced to pay for an unfunded retrofit like this.

My wife and I have two young kids, and we're extremely concerned about the cost and disruption. We're also worried this will directly impact our ability to sell our apartment this Spring, since buyers will factor in the uncertainty and the potential for large special assessments and construction.

We support fire safety, but this mandate is not a reasonable or workable requirement for existing residential buildings. It will create financial hardship, force difficult decisions for families, and destabilize homeowners and HOAs across the city.

Please confirm whether the Board is taking steps to repeal/cancel the mandate, and what the process and timeline would be.

Best,
Henrik Thorenfeldt
Adelina Martiniuc
66 Cleary Ct

--

Henrik Thorenfeldt
M: (650) 619-9079

From: [Joni Lachman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 2:04:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing out of fear regarding the Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247. I live at 66 Cleary Court, one of the buildings effected by the code introduction.

The cost associated with this would be catastrophic for our family. My daughter had a spontaneous brain bleed three years ago at age 22. She is now a disabled dependent at age 25 with life-threatening seizures. She must be near a trauma one hospital, such as Zuckerberg. As you can imagine, the financial impact of this ongoing medical crisis leaves little to no extra income. It is inconceivable that we could pay the kind of costs outlined for this project. It is a hardship we could not bear and there must be some other way to meet reasonable objectives of this requirement.

I have been a resident of San Francisco since 1991 and have worked at Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco since 1999, My long-time residency and contributions to the city should be taken into consideration. This mandate is scary, unreasonable and will absolutely break our family and my daughters' recovery.

Joni Lachman

66 Cleary Court Apt 1510

San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Adelina Martiniuc](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 1:58:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request that you **amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings** and work with our communities on more feasible safety measures that still protect residents and visitors.

An extension is not a solution, and framing it as a “first step” misses the real issue. The correct first step is to **repeal the mandate**, do the necessary research, gather accurate reports, evaluate financial and structural impacts, and only then consider thoughtfully designed policy.

This mandate, *even with an extension*, creates severe uncertainty that is actively harming residents. Owners who want or need to sell their condos cannot do so under these conditions. Others are left in prolonged fear, unsure whether they will ultimately be able to afford to stay in their homes. This level of uncertainty is not abstract; it affects people’s mental health, financial stability, and ability to plan their lives.

Most of us are already living through a period of significant national uncertainty. My honest question is: **why is the City choosing to add more instability and anxiety for its residents?** Poorly thought-through mandates, even when well-intentioned, push people out of their homes and keep families awake at night worrying about their future.

If you believe this board is better than what we are seeing at the federal level, then it must be held to a higher standard. Acting responsibly means not introducing policies that create chaos before understanding their consequences.

Unless this issue can be fully and definitively resolved within a month, which does not appear realistic, the only responsible action is to **repeal the mandate**, conduct proper analysis, assess affordability impacts, consult affected residents, and then return with a policy that is fair, feasible, and clearly justified.

Anything short of repeal continues to impose unnecessary fear and instability on people who simply want to live safely and securely in their homes.

I urge you to do the right thing: repeal the mandate, do the homework, and then come back with a solution that reflects careful thought rather than rushed enforcement.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Adelina Martiniuc

66 Cleary Ct, San Francisco, zip 94109

From: [Adelina Martiniuc](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 1:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request that you **amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings** and work with our communities on more feasible safety measures that still protect residents and visitors.

The current sprinkler mandate was passed without consultation with affected residents and imposes an **unreasonable financial and logistical burden** on those of us who live in these buildings.

On a personal level, this mandate would have a devastating impact on my family. I'm raising **two children under the age of four** here in San Francisco, and as anyone living here knows, raising young kids in this city is already incredibly challenging and expensive. Facing an additional **cost of up to \$300,000 per unit**, along with the possibility of **temporary relocation** during construction, would make it impossible for us to remain in our home and in the city we love.

I fully support the goal of improving fire safety, but this measure, as written, would **displace families, burden working parents, and accelerate the exodus of middle-class residents from San Francisco**. There must be a more balanced approach, one that ensures safety without forcing residents out of their homes.

Please reconsider and **amend this code** so that it only applies when a building undergoes major renovation or expansion, and work with building owners and residents on achievable, cost-effective safety improvements.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for your service to our city.

Sincerely,
Adelina Martiniuc

66 Cleary Ct, San Francisco, zip 94109

From: [Max Isaacman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SUBJECT: SPRINKLER MANDATE
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 1:48:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear All:

Let me begin at the end, the end of the meeting a couple weeks ago at Fort Mason. After the presentation, a woman near me said, “Where will I go? I’m a retired teacher ninety-one years old on pension. A nice, gray-haired woman, kindly – and terrified.

I’m almost her age I’M A VETERAN, A SIX YEAR VIETNAM ERA NATIONAL GUARD RESERVIST, and I’m wondering: where will I go if I am forced out of my apartment? I have to continue paying the mortgage. And taxes. Where do I live. Where do I store my clothes, my furnishings? Who is coming to pack me up? When can I pack up? I’m busy with full-time work, surviving.

Let’s see, per month: \$5,000 mortgage, \$2,000 homeowners, ADDITIONAL EXPENSES, probably \$1,000 to store items, \$3,000 10-year assessment to pay for what work the mandate decrees, \$4,000 to pay rent somewhere, maybe in the East Bay, and so far we’re at \$15,000 a month AN ADDITIONAL \$8,000 A MONTH. I can’t pay it. I have to move.

Okay, I’ll sell. To whom? People know about the mandate, and it has wrecked the real estate market in my beloved city. Oh, I might find a real estate scalper or two, a buyer who’ll try lowballing me. And the city’s contribution to help me and my neighbors: not a dime. This mandate is so cruel, essentially saying to apartment owners: You’re all Rich. Well, that’s wrong. I’m not, and most of my neighbors, friends, family members, people I know are not. The mandate is so blind. The city weeps.

San Francisco never passed a law like this. No other city has passed a law like this. No notice, no judicial review, no information, no input from people most involved: the residents. Nobody asked me if I wanted this, and I am somebody. I’ve heard the way it was done is illegal. Citizens have rights.

The reason for this brutal assault on our lives and civil rights is for a sprinkler. Most if not all authoritative opinion is that there is no overwhelming need for tearing up our city for it – other cities have used options that did not bury their citizens in grief and fear.

Solution: cancel the mandate. Now. Let the real estate market recover. Come up with a workable solution that fits the government budget. We pay plenty in taxes; use that money.

Find a safety remedy that doesn’t entail tearing buildings apart. Other cities have.

Sell bonds. The city issues bonds all the time to house poor people – do that for us, tax-paying citizens of SF. The way this mandate is structured is that only people who are unlucky enough to have certain property is hurt. This is a city- and county-wide issue that should be paid by all of the citizens, and only if they desire it.

THE MANDATE MUST BE CANCELLED / NOTHING SHORT OF THAT WORKS.

CITIZENS SHOULDN'T LIVE IN FEAR AND ANGER BECAUSE OF A DECISION THAT WAS MADE IN THE DARK AND NO CITIZEN VOTE TAKEN.

TEARING UP OUR BUILDINGS AND DESTROYING OUR LIVES SHOULD NOT BE DONE ON AN EMERGENCY THAT DOESN'T EXIST. WE HAVE NO FIRE EMERGENCY.

Respectfully,

Max Isaacman

From: [Diane Paskerian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Devastating Pending New Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 1:47:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a 90 year old retiree, and recent widow, writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and that you work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

This fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to us and without any discussion of its feasibility and cost. It places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors.

Apparently, the cost to me for just my small two bedroom unit will be somewhere between \$200,000 and \$300,000 plus the cost of moving out for several months while the work is done which would be an unbearable hardship at this stage in my life - and I just broke my arm to boot... I think I only paid around \$450,000 for my unit in the first place!

This is another blow to the affordability issue that all residents in San Francisco face, not only because of the direct cost of compliance but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City. I am alarmed and worried about how I would deal with any of this...

Sincerely,

Diane

Diane Paskerian
email: diane@paskerian.com

From: [Marlayne Morgan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 12:16:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman and Supervisors:

As the owner of Unit 17A at 1200 Gough Street, I'm concerned about the future of my home if this legislation is not amended. And as the President of the *Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association*, I'm also concerned about the impact on my neighborhood.

Cathedral Hill includes dozens of concrete high-rise residential buildings built after Redevelopment, both condominium and rent-controlled apartment buildings. These buildings have traditionally had larger percentages of senior residents, but in recent years, we've seen new young owners and tenants move into our neighborhood. Cathedral Hill is a middle-income neighborhood with moderately priced housing in San Francisco. For new buyers, it may be their first opportunity to become a homeowner, and for many seniors, it is their final residence. Constructed from the mid-1960s through the mid-1970s, these buildings were engineered to last for one hundred years, so they have forty to fifty years of useful life left.

Unfortunately, while we are all committed to maintaining and upgrading systems in our buildings, we cannot transform these older towers to equal modern construction standards.

For example, the estimated costs for installing fire sprinklers for my building are between 16 and 42 million dollars. There has been discussion that pushing out the deadline for compliance with this legislation is a solution to the problems associated with complying with it ten or fifteen years from now. In fact, condo Boards are dealing right now with panicked owners, reluctant buyers and concerned lenders. Considering the price point of these units, the age of the buildings and the cost of the fire sprinkler installation, mandating compliance would shorten the life of the buildings and result in the unnecessary loss of thousands of moderately priced homes.

Other cities in California are dealing with these same issues. Most have not adopted the Fire Code, or have adopted it with amendments, which is a path SF urgently needs to pursue.

I look forward to further discussions with you on this matter.

Best regards,

/s/

Marlayne Morgan, President
Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association

From: [Aleksey Perfilov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:48:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My HOA has notified me of the recent changes to the fire code. While I understand that continuous evolution of safety standards is important, I wanted to put the advantages and costs in perspective.

First off, there appears to have been only 2 fatalities due to one fire in a large concrete building of SF in the past 50 years (Cathedral Hill Hotel Fire). Other multi unit residences were primarily wooden and described as having cluttered and "hoarder" conditions according to news articles. Such conditions can obviously exacerbate the risk regardless of safety infrastructure.

On any given day, this makes the risk of a fatality due to fire about 3000 less than a fatality in a motor accident, being in a car, public transport, or crossing the road (based on yearly fatalities nationwide, and the US population).

On the other hand, 9800 units affected by this ordinance will incur 3 billion in costs for the renovation, not counting displacement costs, and how these projects often take twice as long and significantly more expensive as they start going.

The costs of the upgrade seem to grotesquely outweigh the risks due to fire, especially for concrete buildings.

Aside from the exorbitant costs for the retrofit, it is not even clear that it can be done while maintaining compliance with other parts of the code, such as the height of the ceilings for the space to be considered "livable".

I wanted to request for you to please take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and collaborate with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. For example, we already have fire hoses available on every floor.

If the code is not amended, just speaking for myself, it will absolutely force me out of San Francisco and force me to sell at a loss, because I will not be able to absorb the costs of the installation and additional costs of living elsewhere while the project is happening. We already had one such project done 3 years ago. Another TWO projects are already in the process - upgrading the safety of balconies and putting fire alarms into every unit - another fire department requirement, even though our current central alarm is already extremely loud...

We are already going through a lot of hardships and doing our best to keep up.

So this is an extremely small chance of harm in the fire (far less than chance of harm due to leaving the house) versus the certainty of a severe financial struggle and in some cases, financial ruin.

Thank you for your consideration,

Aleksey

From: [Thomas Frankel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:40:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable supervisors,

I am writing you regarding the retrofitting requirement under the Fire Code for high-rise SF buildings. I hope that this requirement could be eliminated or softened. As it stands the requirement is to provide sprinklers in all rooms of our apartment building. To do this would be a tremendous financial burden to our building making it very likely impossible for us to remain in our apartment (an assessment of \$300,000 and the need to vacate my apartment and remove all furnishings for two to three months). Our building has been working with the First Department, PG&E, and various contractors to determine feasibility and approximate cost.

No other community in California, or as far as I have been able to determine, any state has adopted this regulation. It was passed without any notice to us and without any consideration of feasibility or cost and needs to be reconsidered. Perhaps there are other possible measures that our building and other similar ones could take that would protect the building residents without the very great cost, and I am sure that our group of buildings would work with the Board and the Fire Department to find those solutions. The building has proven to be fire safe over the 60 years of its life and we have complied with the latest requirement of placing horns in each room in the apartment,

We ask you please to remove the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings

We thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Tom Frankel and Janet Reider
1750 Taylor Street #1201

From: [Christopher Lord](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:26:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors

Please note my strong opposition to any mandatory Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement. This would be extremely expensive and time consuming.

If the city of San Francisco wants to pay for it, then I support!

Best,

Christopher Lord
1750 Taylor St
Unit 1401

From: [Carlyse Ciocca](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:21:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello City Officials,

I am writing to urge you to pass legislation amending the San Francisco fire code regarding the current fire sprinkler retrofit mandate in high-rise residential buildings, taking into consideration the financial and other hardships residents like me will face if this is implemented.

I have lived in my highrise for several years and hope to remain here for years to come.

Unfortunately, the cost of complying with this mandate, on all levels will be devastating. The full extent of the mandate implementation costs are currently unknown but could certainly be in excess of \$300,000 or more per apartment and the construction process would require me to relocate for an unknown period. At this stage of my life I don't have the time or health to be displaced from my home.

Just how much time was put into the feasibility of this issue. You're asking the impossible. Although, I am not interested in selling my unit. Potential buyers are fleeing from making offers in my building disrupting the natural local real estate market.

We all want our building to be safe and have complied with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has implemented to make our building safer, including recent updates to our alarm and first responder communication systems. However, the requirements of this mandate go beyond what is affordable.

Unfunded mandates of this scope add to the burdens that make San Francisco unaffordable for most people. I am asking you for your support in reversing this mandate.

Sincerely,
Carlyse CIOCCA

Sent from my iPhone
CARLYSE CIOCCA
415 254-8491
sofia@aciocca.org

From: [Donna Coit](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Dan McClain](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:17:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Our dear members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

Please take into consideration the very high expected cost and loss of housing during retrofitting older residential buildings in SF for water based fire sprinkler projects. We realize the safety of SF residents is the reason for this upgrade but it comes with unexpected consequences. Many residents will have to abandon their residences due to being unable to afford this upgrade and thus having to sell their properties at a personal loss in income. Many of us are elderly are expected to reside in our multi-unit homes for the remainder of our days.

Please do not support this particular proposal to add sprinkler systems to older structures at the cost of having residents forced to abandon their home at a loss.

New technology has been in the news that demonstrates it is possible to use sound waves to douse flames. Please consider other alternatives to use in fire fighting.

Sincerely,
Donna Coit
1750 Taylor Street #2103
SF, CA 94133

From: [Richard Kipper](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 11:16:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and that you work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

This fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to us and without any discussion of its feasibility and cost. It places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me and my neighbors.

This is another significant blow to the affordability issue that all residents in San Francisco face, not only because of the direct cost of compliance, but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City.

If the current code for this retrofitting requirement stays as written, I will have no choice other than to sell my unit (which will be very difficult given what lies ahead) and relocate to another state. The cost of living in San Francisco is already very expensive and by adding this totally unnecessary retrofitting requirement, it will make it nearly impossible for young people to move to this city...and that is what is needed. For new buildings, I believe the code is appropriate, but not for 30-50 year old building made basically of steel and concrete. Our building at 1750 Taylor Street has always complied with any requirements the city has mandated. However, this current code is economically unfeasible and a disaster for all the tenants in our building.

Thank you for letting me address you.

*Richard E. Kipper
1750 Taylor Street, Unit 2303
San Francisco, CA 94133*

From: [George Cogan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:59:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I wish to lodge my opposition to the sprinkler retrofit requirement. According to our building's cost estimates (1750 Taylor Street), this will create an unworkable financial burden for many residents. This requirement seems to have been legislated without community input. I urge you to rescind the requirement or scale it back dramatically.

Best,

George Cogan
Russian Hill
1750 Taylor St.

From: [RICHARD WIERSBA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:07:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing to request you to pass the new Fire Code introduced by Supervisor, Stephen Sherrill, which will give the residents of my building (and over 100 other buildings) more time to work on solutions to the massive problems we're facing due to the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings.

While we are grateful for the extra time which we will be allowed if this Fire Code passes, we are still extremely concerned about the ultimate effect of this Code over the next 10 years. Each owner will face hundreds of thousands of dollars in retrofitting expenses. How many owners will be able to afford such a huge expense? In addition, we will need to vacate our units for weeks or months for the required work to be done. Where will we go?

It seems that the wishes of the San Francisco Building Trades Council are being prioritized over the needs of the residents of these older buildings.

If the code is not changed, I do not foresee being able to continue to afford living here. Also, selling my unit to a new owner will be practically impossible because of the extra cost involved in complying with the Fire Code. The cost estimate for retrofitting all 150 units in my building is \$45 million dollars! It might be less expensive to just tear down the whole building and start over! With the housing shortage situation in our City, I am sure you do not want more people to lose their homes.

I appreciate the Fire Department's concern for our safety, but there must be a way to accomplish this goal without such drastic measures which will create major disruption and hardship to me and all the residents of our building, plus thousands of other S.F. residents.

Thank you for your consideration of our situation.

Sincerely,

Richard K. Wiersba
66 Cleary Court Condominium Building
66 Cleary Ct., #1308
San Francisco, CA 94109
415-599-9660

From: [Joan Eaton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 9:53:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Esteemed SF Board of Supervisors,

This message requests that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents, and it was passed without any discussion, with or notice to, the affected buildings and

Our condominium at 66 Cleary Ct has been owned by our family for 3 generations. The retrofitting requirement is both a huge financial and physical hardship for members of our family. The retrofitting will require certain ceiling heights in our building and condominium to be lowered to 7'1". Such a low ceiling will cause significant problems for the tallest member of our family.

Our 15 story building is made out of concrete and already is quite safe from fire. It is obvious that better solutions than a blanket sprinkler mandate can be found. Instead of forcing us to relocate while obtrusive sprinklers are installed, please let our building owners stay in our homes and work with the fire department on better prevention measures. Working together we will all be safer.

Sincerely,

Joan Eaton

66 Cleary Court St.

From: [Paul Gibson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 9:51:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

Our family has lived in our condominium at 66 Cleary Ct for 3 generations since 1986. The retrofitting requirement is both an incredible financial hardship, and physical hardship for members of our family. The retrofitting will require certain ceiling heights in our building and condominium to be lowered to 7 feet. Such a low ceiling will cause functional and aesthetic issues in our apartment.

Given that our 15 story building is made out of concrete and already quite safe from fire, it is obvious that better solutions than a blanket sprinkler mandate can be found. If instead of having to relocate while obtrusive sprinklers are installed, please let our building owners stay in our homes and work with the fire department on better prevention measures. Working together we will all be safer.

Kind regards,

Paul Gibson

66 Cleary Court

From: [Su kyeong Ku](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 9:11:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have sent this letter below to Board of Supervisors on November 7 2025. My letter of concern has not been included in the revision of the sprinkler retrofit requirement file 251247. I will be attending Feb 2 Land Use Committee meeting.

Regards,
Su Kyeong Ku
Resident of 66 Cleary Court

From: Su kyeong Ku <kyeongster@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 5:30 PM
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Regarding mandatory sprinkler upgrade to older buildings

To Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If this code is not changed, firstly this will impact me to relocate during an extended period of construction because I work from home. The increase in expense will strain me greatly that I would have to find some other living arrangement and lose all my savings. Secondly, I understand fire safety is important however I do not have enough financial power to cover the cost and manage to live in San Francisco.

Regards,
Su Kyeong Ku
Resident at 66 Cleary Court

From: [NURIA SIMO VILA](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:50:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. I support better fire safety, but the ordinance in its current form would create severe financial and social harm for thousands of residents.

Estimated retrofit costs range from \$113,000 to \$300,000 per unit, which could translate into thousands of dollars in new monthly HOA fees or loan payments. These retrofits require opening walls, ceilings, and sometimes surrounding streets, which means major disruption and likely displacement for many residents.

The ordinance also provides no plan for where displaced residents will go, even though thousands could be forced out during construction. Many affected homeowners are seniors, fixed-income residents, and long-time community members who cannot absorb six-figure costs or months of displacement. This risks pushing vulnerable people out of their homes and undermining property values.

There are also fairness and process issues. Homeowners bear the full cost even though lower-cost alternatives, such as upgraded fire-resistant doors or partial sprinkler systems, were not fully evaluated. The proposal has moved forward without an updated independent cost-benefit analysis and with limited public input.

I respectfully urge you to pause the ordinance and pursue a more balanced approach. A new independent study, real financial relief or hardship protections, and a clear relocation plan are essential. The city should fully consider safer and more affordable alternatives before imposing costs that many residents cannot withstand.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Best regards
Nuria Simo Vila

From: [Heather Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:30:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm reaching out because I am concerned about the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. I live in a building that will be impacted by this requirement and it would place an unreasonable hardship on me and my family. It would be impossible for us to relocate for an extended period during construction, and to pay for the cost of the construction (estimated at \$300k for our unit). The current proposal to push out the deadline does not solve this problem or provide any relief. If the code is not changed, we will likely have to sell our condo and leave the city entirely, despite this condo having been in our family for 2 generations. I just had a daughter this summer and was looking forward to raising her in the city and showing her everything San Francisco has to offer. But we are not wealthy and this requirement would make staying here impossible whether it goes into effect this year or 3 years from now.

I am especially frustrated that this mandate was passed without any notice or discussion with the community, but we - the people - will have to bear the pain of its cost. As if San Francisco is not an expensive enough city to live in. Please amend this requirement and work with the community on other feasible life safety measures. As a new mother, I understand fire safety is important. But this requirement will hurt my family more than it will help.

Please take action to amend this requirement as soon as possible, as every day that this looms over our heads has brought more stress and anxiety to me and my family.

Thank you,
-Heather Murphy

From: [Randall Jong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:17:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express serious concern about the proposed fire sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. I support better fire safety, but the ordinance in its current form would create severe financial and social harm for thousands of residents.

Estimated retrofit costs range from \$113,000 to \$300,000 per unit, which could translate into thousands of dollars in new monthly HOA fees or loan payments. These retrofits require opening walls, ceilings, and sometimes surrounding streets, which means major disruption and likely displacement for many residents.

The ordinance also provides no plan for where displaced residents will go, even though thousands could be forced out during construction. Many affected homeowners are seniors, fixed-income residents, and long-time community members who cannot absorb six-figure costs or months of displacement. This risks pushing vulnerable people out of their homes and undermining property values.

There are also fairness and process issues. Homeowners bear the full cost even though lower-cost alternatives, such as upgraded fire-resistant doors or partial sprinkler systems, were not fully evaluated. The proposal has moved forward without an updated independent cost-benefit analysis and with limited public input.

I respectfully urge you to pause the ordinance and pursue a more balanced approach. A new independent study, real financial relief or hardship protections, and a clear relocation plan are essential. The city should fully consider safer and more affordable alternatives before imposing costs that many residents cannot withstand.

Sincerely,
Resident of 66 Cleary Court

From: [Elie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Urgent! Please revisit sprinkler mandate — SEVERE Hardship for condo residents.
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 7:36:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Official,

I'm writing to raise significant concerns about the proposed requirement to install fire sprinklers in all pre-1975 high-rise condominiums. While I strongly support improving fire safety, the ordinance as drafted would impose extreme financial and personal hardship on thousands of homeowners.

Current estimates place the retrofit costs between \$113,000 and \$300,000 per unit. For many buildings, that would translate into huge monthly HOA increases or large loan obligations—burdens that many residents simply cannot shoulder. The work itself is highly invasive, often involving opening concrete walls and ceilings and, in some cases, construction in surrounding streets. This level of disruption could force residents out of their homes for extended periods. Yet the proposal includes no relocation strategy or plans for how to accommodate the potentially thousands of people who may be temporarily displaced.

A large share of the affected homeowners are seniors, individuals on fixed incomes, and long-term residents who have deep ties to their communities. Saddling them with six-figure costs or lengthy displacement risks pushing vulnerable people out of stable housing and damaging property values across entire buildings.

There are also serious issues of fairness and due diligence. The ordinance places the entire financial burden on homeowners even though lower-cost safety improvements—such as enhanced fire-rated doors or limited-area sprinkler systems—have not been thoroughly explored. The proposal has been advanced without a current, independent cost-benefit study and with only minimal opportunity for community input.

For these reasons, I respectfully urge you to halt the ordinance in its current form and take a more balanced, responsible approach. A fresh independent analysis, meaningful financial assistance or hardship protections, and a concrete relocation plan are essential. The city should fully examine safer, more affordable options before adopting a policy that many residents simply cannot afford to comply with.

Elie Abi Jaoude
66 Cleary Ct, Unit 1305
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [George Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:53:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: George Brown <georgeb71@yahoo.com>
Date: November 18, 2025 at 14:29:32 GMT+7
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: **Mandatory sprinkler installation**

Dear Board of Supervisors,
Please consider revisiting the mandatory installation of sprinkler systems in older buildings. In my building alone, they are estimating a price of between \$100,000 and \$300,000 per unit, probably the higher cost for our building. I am retired and on a budget and there is absolutely no way that my wife and I can afford this. I can appreciate that you want to save our lives in case of a fire. How about the heart attack that you may give someone with not only the cost that we would incur, but also the necessity of having to move for not only the installation but also the asbestos abatement. Please try to come up with another solution. Thank you.

Regards,
George Brown
66 Cleary Court
Mailing address: 1234 Castro Street
S.F. Ca. 94114

From: [Ju Ran Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 12:48:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.”

If this mandatory fire sprinkler project is going on our building I learned that we have to move out of our place that will be so so hard for me who live with 93 year old uncle.

And Financial problems will be the biggest problem. It will cost so much \$100.000 ~\$300.000 per unit in our building.

I can not get a loan because my income is not enough to get a loan for this horrible "fire sprinkler mandate ".

I am loosing my sleep because I could loosing my home

Please find other way to do this "Mandatory fire sprinkler "

Please help us.

Thank you.

From: [David Burling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 3:22:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to urge you to pass legislation to amend the fire sprinkler mandate in high rise residential buildings and take into consideration the financial and other hardships residents like me will face. I want my building at 850 Powell Street to be safe. We have been willing and able to comply with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has required to make our buildings safe, but the current mandate is beyond what we can do.

We have recently undertaken a major, expensive project to install hard wired fire alarm sounders in our building. We continue to improve our fire escape systems, install new hoses and fire extinguishers according to the current requirements. Each unit in our building with access to a fire escape has a sprinkler head to improve our chances of escape. Our building is over 100 years old and hasn't experienced any fires in all that time. The building is constructed of steel and concrete, rendering it much safer from fires than many buildings.

The proposed work would be immensely expensive, much more than estimated and would be unaffordable for many, if not all, of the building residents, who will have a difficult time selling, if able to at all, and at a great loss. Plus, everyone would need to find another place to live during the renovations required, adding to the expense and difficulties. It's not even clear that the city's water system would be able to support the needed water supply and would certainly place great strain on the electrical requirements for the pumps.

I appreciate the intention of making our building safer, but it comes at too high a price, making the location unaffordable for my wife and me, and affecting my ability to sell the condo at a price permitting me to relocate within the city. I feel safe with all the existing safety measures and think this proposal is unneeded.

The primary impetus seems to be the trade unions, whom I generally support, and who want the workload required, but not so much from the fire department and its experts. Other cities have found ways to limit this enormous undertaking, Chicago with a fire safety rating for each building to determine which are safe enough already. I support the need for delay and consideration of alternatives and hope you will, too, whether or not you have any affected buildings in your district.

Thank you for your service and consideration,
David

David Burling
850 Powell Street, #205
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: [Karen Rose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 25124
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 1:47:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors:

I understand that you will be considering changes to the current Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement at an upcoming February meeting. I urge you to please consider this requirement with common sense, compassion and also a concern for the reputation of the city of San Francisco as a city where building requirements make it too expensive for investment.

I live in a 74-unit building that is very well-maintained on all levels. We have extensive protection against the risk of fire, including existing sprinklered common areas, sprinklered units near fire escapes and multiple fire alarms. Adding additional sprinklers as proposed would be exorbitantly expensive as well as disruptive to the lives of residents, many of whom are elderly. The subject work is quite extensive (it calls for opening ceilings and rebuilding interiors) and would require residents to find alternative housing during the installation. In addition to the pressure on housing in a city that already suffers from insufficient supply, the work could very well impact property values negatively and result in lower property tax income to the city.

The changes that are proposed to the existing ordinance do not make sense either. Consider the following impact of the proposed changes:

1. Proposing a 3 year postponement of requirements—what about those residents who are currently trying to sell their units? Do they wait 3 years until requirements are known? Do they sell at a lower price since estimates now hover around \$300,000 per unit to do the work? Current estimates have already depressed values.
2. Using cheaper alternatives—really??? Do we all really want our homes to be made unattractive because of cheap materials? Or does cheaper mean that the sprinklers will have to be replaced sooner and more often?
3. Providing financial help to those unable to pay for the sprinklers—what about their having to find a place to stay while the work is being done? Where will that be?

Finally, think hard about how this ordinance confirms in everyone's mind once again that San Francisco isn't about helping business or real estate

developers by SETTING BUILDING REQUIREMENTS THAT AREN'T REQUIRED ANYWHERE ELSE.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Rose

From: [Roxana Salehoun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 10:44:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed fire sprinkler mandate included in the current fire code amendments.

I respectfully request that this objection be entered into the official record and associated directly with the proposed code. I oppose the mandate due to its significant financial burden, questionable cost-effectiveness, and the unintended consequences it may have on existing buildings, property owners, and housing affordability.

While fire safety is critically important, a one-size-fits-all sprinkler requirement does not adequately account for the wide variation in building types, existing safety measures, or the real-world impacts of retrofitting. The proposed mandate would impose substantial costs without clear evidence that these costs are justified by proportional safety benefits.

I urge the Committee to reconsider this mandate and explore alternative, more flexible approaches that enhance safety without creating excessive hardship for property owners and residents.

Thank you for your consideration and for including this objection in the official record.

Sincerely,

Roxana Salehoun

2200 Pacific unit 6D

From: [RoJean DeChantal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement -File 241257
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 8:57:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and to work with our buildings on other feasible, evidence-based life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me. While I fully support safety measures that are necessary and grounded in evidence, there is simply no justification for this requirement as currently written.

At 79 years of age, if the code is not changed, I am deeply concerned about the financial impact this proposal would have on me. The projected cost to retrofit our building would increase my monthly homeowner fees by approximately **\$3000 per month** for nine years. Some have suggested that this dollar amount is exaggerated; **it is not**. These figures are based on actual projections in our city of San Francisco (including “the last mile” where homeowners are responsible ????) and reflect the true cost of compliance.

Such an increase would be devastating to my retirement savings and, frankly, may make it impossible for me to remain in my home. This home is deeply special to me—it is a source of comfort and stability and represents the security I worked a lifetime to build and hope to pass on to my children. This mandate could make that impossible.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for your consideration of **the real-world impact** this code would have on me and my fellow homeowners.

Sincerely,

RoJean DeChantal

RoJean DeChantal
2200 Pacific Avenue 10A

San Francisco, CA 94115
415-370-3173 (Cell)
rjdechantal@tcrworld.com

From: [greg oldham](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 8:56:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

We are writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us.

The drop in our ceiling heights that would be required by the mandate would leave us with seven-foot ceilings, which are not an acceptable living space. Also, since our building has both lead and asbestos, we would be forced to move out of our apartment, store all of our furniture and find new housing. All of this would be extremely expensive and challenging.

It is not clear to us how the City can impose a requirement of this magnitude (that disrupts our lives financially, physically and emotionally) without a close consultation with the people who are affected by it.

We strongly urge you to amend this mandate and consider other safety measures.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Greg Oldham and Bai Park
2200 Pacific Ave., Apt 11F

From: [Patterson, Jeff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247 - Objection to Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 7:54:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

I urge Mayor Lurie, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and the San Francisco Fire Administration to reconsider the currently contemplated fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship and loss on owners and residents. If the mandate is not retracted or significantly amended, it will have catastrophic economic consequences for me and similarly situated unit owners and tenants of affected buildings. Among other things:

1. I will be subject to a special assessment of \$200,000 - \$300,000. This is not only a hugely disproportionate and unbearable expense, but it casts an untenable cloud on my unit, destroying its market value;
2. I will be required to move out of my unit for 6 to 12 months or more for the required major construction work, thereby imposing further unreasonable expense; and
3. Major modifications will be made to my unit, resulting in lowered 7-foot ceilings, which will make my unit uninhabitable and unmarketable.

Owners and occupants in these buildings cannot withstand these devastating impacts. While fire safety is clearly important, the current fire sprinkler mandate poses unreasonable financial and physical burdens at a time when the City of San Francisco should be focused on increasing the housing supply and reducing the cost of occupancy. This sprinkler mandate for older buildings is absolutely contrary to the goal of encouraging increased supply and affordability of housing and seems to be designed to satisfy the desires of organized labor by creating unnecessarily expensive retrofitting work. Less onerous fire safety alternatives are available and should be considered to balance the financial hardships to be suffered by building occupants.

Please support the reconsideration of these onerous sprinkler retrofitting requirements and work with the representatives of over 125 affected buildings to arrive at a more reasonable approach that is consistent with the City's housing goals. At worst, existing buildings should be exempt from the mandate unless they voluntarily undertake a major renovation.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey R. Patterson
2200 Pacific Ave., #7B
San Francisco, CA 94115

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system. Thank you.

From: susan@susantroachlaw.com
To: Board of Supervisors@SFOC.org; [Carroll, John \(SFOC\)](mailto:Carroll, John (SFOC))
Cc: Bill Hooper@RoachLaw.com; Stacy Bueckel
Subject: 2200 Pacific #77, San Francisco, CA 94115
Date: Monday, January 19, 2020 7:29:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members:

My wife and I are owners at 2200 Pacific, #7F, San Francisco, California 94115. We were recently advised of the City's intent to retrofit the sprinkler system in our building (2200 Pacific as above referenced). I am writing to strongly request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler requirement for older high rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us.

If the code is not changed it will place an exorbitant cost on me and other owners of anywhere from an additional \$1,300.00 to \$3,000.00 per month for nine (9) years or a special assessment of \$200,000.00 over a nine (9) year period. The value of my condo and other condos will drop in market value by the cost of this mandate and will be hard to sell with this mandate in place for the next nine (9) years or during the nine (9) years. We will be forced to move out for six (6) months having to incur the cost of storage for our furniture together with substitute lodging while the work is being done and there will be hazardous waste remediation all over the building. Housing is already scarce in San Francisco for obtaining substitute housing for people residing in the building full time. We intend to retire there. Our ceiling heights would drop as much as 14" where sprinkler pipes run, leaving us with 7-foot clearance or lower. Again, this affects everyday living together with re-sale value. Substantial coring of our structural slabs and structural walls will be a concern for the integrity of the building. There will be enhanced risk of water damage throughout the building.

The justification for this extreme mandate does not exist. I am advised that in the last 60 years there has been only one death attributable to fire in another high rise and this was unrelated to any sprinkler system and was caused by an electric scooter.

I cannot impress upon you the importance of reversing this mandate. Thousands of people will be impacted in a negative manner for no reasonable reason whatsoever. Please take action on this matter. Please feel free to contact me if I may be of any further assistance. Please keep me advised of all progress on this matter and what the game plan is for the future.

Very truly yours,

THE ROACH LAW FIRM

W. EDWIN ROUSSIN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

11628 Old Ballas Road, Ste. 116

Creve Coeur, MO 63141

Phone No.: (314) 434-7272

Facsimile No.: (314) 434-6500

https://url.avamem.click/v2/0Uf_____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6hz01NzFYyZnWY0OWU4Y2lwYmRlODFhYWEzOzMDINTz0mQ5YzU6YmM5NmFmYWUwODBlNjlyMjRkMjNmNGVkdzZDlmNgVIMjEzTHMmYwZDc2Yjg3YWVlYmVlMjI1MmFmMmNTp0OIQ6Tg

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The materials enclosed with this email transmission are private and confidential. The information contained in the material is privileged and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mailed information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the forwarded document(s) to us.

NOTE: The Missouri Bar requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers while in transit through the Internet; (3) persons not participating in our communications may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer, our firm computers, or other computers through which the e-mail passes in transit through the Internet. We are communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communication via this medium. Please advise us AT ONCE in the event you do not want future communications from this firm to be sent to you via e-mail.

Thank you, THE ROACH LAW FIRM

From: [Ellen Shapiro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler mandate for older high-rise buildings
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 7:05:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

I write to ask you to take action to nullify the proposed sprinkler mandate for pre-1975 12+-story residential buildings in the City.

I am 78 years old and own/live in one of the affected buildings. Many of my neighbors are older, and less mobile, than I am. Our building is of concrete construction and is compliant with all current fire safety requirements.

The cost of the proposed retrofitting - up to \$300,00 per unit - would be impossible for many owners who have limited, if any, savings and live on fixed incomes.

In addition to the extreme burden of the retrofit cost, there would be the extreme hardship of having to move during the sprinkler installation. Remaining in place during installation is not an option in buildings that would need asbestos and lead removal.

A sudden rush of hundreds, if not thousands, of displaced City residents would clog the already stressed SF rental market and cause rents - even assuming availability of units - to soar above already high levels.

When we add, for many of the affected population, the physical and emotional stresses of moving, this mandate could do more harm than good to the majority of residents of the affected buildings.

We all want to keep our firefighters safe. We all want to remain safe in our homes. We don't want to lose our homes in the process. Please work with us to find a viable alternative to the blanket sprinkler mandate.

Respectfully,

Ellen Shapiro
2200 Pacific Avenue

From: [Ben Gurdus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 6:59:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing urgently to ask that you amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. This mandate places an unbearable financial and personal burden on residents like me while offering little real safety benefit.

My spouse and I are senior citizens living at 2200 Pacific Avenue, and this is our lifelong home. We cannot afford the enormous cost— hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit—nor could we endure being forced out for months during construction. We are at a loss of how to find and afford any temporary housing. For us, this could very well mean losing our homes entirely.

The reality is that this mandate would devastate San Francisco homeowners and renters alike—driving people out, lowering property values, and worsening the city’s housing crisis—while doing almost nothing to improve safety. In sixty years, there has been only one fire-related death in any of the affected buildings, and that was caused by an electric scooter. A far more effective and reasonable step would be to require safe, fireproof storage areas for e-bikes and similar devices.

Please, do not let this unnecessary and destructive policy harm thousands of San Franciscans. We need a practical, balanced approach that protects safety without destroying our homes and our financial security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Gurdus

Resident, 2200 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

From: bkg@grahamdesignsf.com
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 5:55:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to request that you take immediately and decisive action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement in our City for older high-rise buildings, and work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on myself, my family and our community of homeowners.

If this code remains unchanged, the physical and financial toll on us will be severe. Our property values will plummet, and our building will transform into a dark and empty shell of its former self.

Among the numerous negative impacts this mandate will have on our property, the most significant is physical. Our current floor-to-ceiling heights of 8'-0" will drop by up to 14" to accommodate sprinkler pipes throughout our individual units. This will result in finished ceiling heights of 6-'8", making them unlivable.

I urge you to reassess this mandate and explore a more reasonable path towards ensuring safety while preserving our property values.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Brian K Graham
2200 Pacific Avenue 4C
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Alexa Karp](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 - 2200 Pacific Ave
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 4:27:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me.

If the code is not changed, this will greatly impact our living situation, forcing us to move out of the building we have loved for so long. We would not be able to pay for the estimated cost and subsequent increase in Rent/HOA fees.

In addition to the financial hardship we would face, our ceiling heights would drop as much as 14" wherever the sprinkler pipes run, including all over our units, leaving us with 7' or lower ceilings, which is not even considered "living space" by code.

I urge you to amend the fire sprinkler requirement.

Best,
Alexa Karp

From: [Meriel Lindley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 1:16:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's reputation has always rested on its resilience, creativity, and ability to balance safety with livability. After several difficult years, the City is finally showing real signs of economic renewal—downtown activity is improving, investment is cautiously returning, and residents are beginning to regain confidence. A sweeping, mandatory sprinkler-retrofit requirement for older residential buildings at this fragile stage could unintentionally reverse that progress and send an unsettling message to homeowners and investors alike: that even stable, code-compliant properties may face large, unfunded mandates at any time.

Economic and Housing-Market Concerns:

The retrofit costs projected for mid-sized condominium buildings—often \$200,000 per unit or more—are simply unmanageable for many ordinary San Franciscans. These buildings are not normally owned by the very wealthy; they shelter retirees, middle-income families, and long-term residents who have invested carefully to remain in San Francisco. Owners already shoulder increasing special assessments, especially in older buildings. Sudden, uncompensated costs triggered by the mandate would cause real hardship, pushing some owners to sell below value and others into debt they cannot sustain. Meanwhile, prospective buyers would be discouraged.

The City already faces one of the tightest and most expensive housing markets in the nation. Large-scale retrofits would disrupt and dislocate both owners and tenants, removing units from service during construction and adding pressure to an already strained rental market. Landlords facing steep retrofit expenses would have little choice but to seek rent increases or sell their properties, deepening the affordability crisis.

Questionable Cost–Benefit Balance:

Fire safety is essential, yet data show that fatalities have largely occurred in specific high-risk structures (SRO hotels and mixed-use

buildings) not in owner-occupied condominiums with modern alarms, smoke detectors, and safe egress. Mandating sprinklers across every older residential building would deliver minimal incremental safety benefit at an enormous collective cost. Targeted enforcement, improved alarm systems, and focused retrofits in truly high-risk structures would achieve far greater safety at far lower economic and social cost.

Protecting San Francisco's Reputation and Recovery:

At a time when San Francisco is striving to attract residents, visitors, and business investment, new mandates that appear financially punitive risk undermining confidence in San Francisco's governance and housing stability. The City's credibility depends on balanced, data-driven policy that recognizes both safety and economic sustainability.

For all the foregoing reasons, I respectfully urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to reconsider a blanket sprinkler mandate.

Respectfully,
Meriel Lindley (69 years old)

Sent from my iPhone

From: [nahal.farhi](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 12:16:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing as a San Francisco homeowner affected by the mandatory fire sprinkler retrofit mandate for existing residential buildings.

Many of us attended the recent public meeting on 12/11/2025 and shared thoughtful, informed concerns about the real financial, structural, and logistical impacts of this mandate. Unfortunately, it has become clear that despite these concerns, there is little willingness among the Board to reconsider the policy or even allow a basic exemption for buildings that are not undergoing major renovations.

This mandate places an extraordinary burden on owners of older buildings, often without delivering proportional safety benefits, while exempting many other risk factors. A straightforward exemption tied to “major renovations” would be a reasonable, balanced solution, yet this option now appears to be off the table for political reasons rather than practical ones.

I am especially disappointed that labor interests appear to be driving this decision more than the voices of residents and property owners who will bear the consequences. San Francisco should not be used as a test case for a national policy at the expense of its own residents.

I urge you to support overturning the sprinkler retrofit mandate or, at minimum, allowing an exemption for existing buildings unless they apply for permits for major renovations. This would protect safety while avoiding unnecessary financial harm.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Nahal Farhi
2200 Pacific Ave, #3, 94115

From: [Jay Rossiter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 11:21:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

We've received a series of updates from our Board that the city has mandated fire sprinklers to be installed in our building and condo units. For us, the cost of this is absolutely prohibitive as is the disruption, and diminishment of the quality of our living quarters.

It would require us to outlay hundreds of thousands of dollars, would force us to move out for many months for the work to be completed including asbestos abatement, and would greatly diminish the quality of our living space as our condo would all have unsightly sprinklers running through our 8' high in-unit ceilings given the concrete nature of our structure.

Our building is all concrete and historically this type building has had little risk over the last half century. I frankly see this requirement by the city as missing the real world impact on people actually living here. I think a much more limited approach can achieve much of what may be needed.

Please note, that we are currentl[Compose](#) complying with mandates for a current Fire Alarm upgrade, the ERRCS (Emergency Radio Responder Communication System) upgrade, lobby sprinkler additions, restrictions for EV charging, and the facade inspections and repairs. This is already too much!



Login - Sign in to Yahoo

Sign in to access the best in class Yahoo Mail, breaking local, national and global news, finance, sports, music...

If this mandate isn't rescinded for SF, we plan to sell our unit and leave the city. Even with moving, our property value will drop dramatically given the large cost increase, massive family disruption, and expected diminishment in the visual quality of our interiors. This demand by the city is a losing proposition for us but at least we won't have to live through it.

I know that you are all trying to improve safety, but in this instance, given our type of building together with cost and impact, it is missing the bigger practical picture for people who live and love the city.

Please rescind! Thank you,
Jay and Elise Rossiter
2200 Pacific Ave, #11B
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Rex Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 11:05:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Board of Supervisors

I sincerely ask you to reconsider the fire Sprinkler Mandate. Many of us simply can't afford the cost.

I have been diagnosed with ALS and am terminal. My funds are limited.

Fire safety is important. I all that you consider other, affordable ways to accomplish this.

Thank You for your consideration.

Rex Cheng
2200 Pacific Ave Apt 2F
San Francisco, CA 94115

562-712-2974

From: [Richard Royse](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Egregious City Mandate for Installation of Sprinkler Systems, Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement, File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 10:22:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Richard Royse <richroyse@me.com>
Subject: **Egregious City Mandate for Installation of Sprinkler Systems**
Date: December 1, 2025 at 6:28:28 PM GMT
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisors:

We are owner/residents of Unit 6A at 2200 Pacific Avenue, a solid concrete and steel building constructed in 1962. We have owned our property since 2013.

We are deeply concerned about the city's mandate requiring the installation of a sprinkler system in our building for the following reasons:

1. Our HOA board estimates the cost for each homeowner at \$1,300 - \$3,300 per month for nine years, or very large special assessments, amounting to more than \$200K per unit, over those nine years.
2. Substantial coring of our structural slabs and structural walls is a real concern for the integrity of our building.

3. Many new sprinkler water pipes all over our building will add to the risk of water damage, which is the number one concern for high rise insurers.
4. The justification for a sprinkler system simply does not exist. There has been one life lost in the last 60 years in the 125 buildings affected by this extreme mandate, due to fire, and that fire was caused by an electric scooter.

In addition to these concerns, given that we might have to move out of the building for six months, because of asbestos abatement during construction, we would be incurring huge additional expenses finding a rental in a tight housing market.

All of this is needless regulatory overreach, and we urgently request you put a stop to this totally unnecessary sprinkler mandate.

Respectfully,

Richard Royse
Rocky Blumhagen

2200 Pacific Avenue #6A
SF, CA 94115
Tel; 541-992-0522

From: [Yumiko Westland](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Saturday, January 17, 2026 3:04:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Yumiko Westland <ywestland@att.net>
Subject: Amend or Rescind Retrofit Sprinkler Ordinance
Date: November 22, 2025 at 3:37:01 PM PST
To: Daniel Lurie <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>, Stephen Sherrill <sherrillstaff@sfgov.org>, Danny Sauter <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>, Rafael Mandelman <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>, FireAdministration@sfgov.org

I am writing to urge you to pass legislation to rescind or amend the fire sprinkler mandate in high rise residential buildings and take into consideration the financial and other hardships residents like me will face.

My building is safe. All high-rises are constructed of non-combustible building material such as concrete or steel. 1835 Franklin, my building, is concrete. We have one-hour fire rated walls between units and the hallways. We have two exit stairwells, both of them two-hour fire rated. We comply with numerous mandates the Fire Department requires including inspection of the fire alarm system, inspection of the fire pump that service the sprinklers already in our building, inspection of fire hoses, annual fire drills. We do not allow charging of electric cars or e-bikes. We diligently monitor for compliance.

In addition to monitoring the safety provisions already in place, we are in the process of installing fire horns in each units' sleeping areas to comply with SFFC Section 1103.7.6.1 - Fire Alarm Sleeping Area Retrofit requirement.

I call your attention to the fact mentioned in The City's Budget and Legislative Analyst report dated November 21, 2016. Of 252 two-alarm or greater residential fires from 2004 to 2016, vast majority (87%) were in wood framed buildings. Not in non-combustible high-rises. My high-rise is safe.

Retrofit Sprinkler Ordinance, as currently written, is vast over reach. It was not crafted by thinking people who considered impacts to occupants

(owners and renters), property values, and the larger community. Disruption caused by installing sprinklers is enormous. My building, as most older high-rise buildings, has asbestos. Sprinklers cannot be installed without disturbing asbestos. It is not safe to live in an environment where asbestos is disturbed, thus I - and most of your other constituents affected by this sprinkler retrofit requirement - will have to find and pay for a temporary home.

New pressurized water source and fire pump will have to be installed. That is a tremendous expense. In 1835 Franklin's zero lot line situation, we would be challenged to find a location for a fire pump without eliminating parking. We are already down to only one parking spot per each Homeowner. To eliminate a couple of parking stalls will be a legal nightmare for our HOA and an additional expense.

I am a Senior living within financial constraints. If my building is required to be sprinkler retrofitted, I will not be able to continue to stay in my current home at 1835 Franklin. I request that you:

1. educate yourself on the wide-ranging negative impacts of this sprinkler retrofit requirement.
2. educate yourself on current life safety provisions already in place
3. be aware that high-rises recently have, or are currently funding life safety enhancement of fire horn additions in our homes
4. read the Budget and Legislative Analyst report of 2016
5. be an advocate for your constituents, not entities that will financially benefit from this requirement.

Sincerely,

(Gloria) Yumi Westland
1835 Franklin St. #101

From: [J. R. Rhodes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Mandate Relief Request FILE 251247
Date: Saturday, January 17, 2026 11:04:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: Sprinkler Mandate Relief Request FILE 251247

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I serve as President of the board of our homeowners' association at 1750 Taylor Street, at the corner of Green Street, in San Francisco. Our building is one of the 126 buildings that are required to retroactively install fire sprinklers in all the apartments in our buildings because of a change in the fire code in 2022. Our building is a 24-floor, concrete and steel structure built in 1962 that has 68 apartments and approximately 100 residents. We have two enclosed fire stairways, we have complied with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has implemented to make our building safer, including recent updates to our alarm and first responder communication systems, and we continue to pass our annual fire inspections.

I spoke in the public comments portion of the most recent Fire Commission meeting as well as in the Town Hall meeting on December 9, 2025 with the Fire Chief, Fire Marshal and Supervisors Sherrill and Sauter. In addition, over the past several months I've separately met or spoken directly with the Fire Chief, the Fire Marshal, and Supervisors Sherrill, Sauter and Mandelman, as a member of a coalition of 29 owner-occupied buildings affected by this mandate. In all these meetings we have tried to explain the issues all our buildings face in implementing this mandate, which include massive costs as well as the need for residents to relocate during an extended construction process. I am writing to urge you to amend the San Francisco fire code to eliminate this burden on our residents and the more than 10,000 other affected residents in San Francisco. The cost of complying with this mandate, both in financial and physical terms, will be devastating to our residents as well as those in the other affected buildings. These apartments are our homes, and we want our homes and our buildings to be safe. However, unfunded mandates of this scope add to the burdens that make San Francisco unaffordable for most people. I am asking for your support in providing us relief from this mandate.

To give you an idea of the financial burden we face, the costs associated with implementing this mandate for just our building are huge. These include the cost of the necessary infrastructure as well as the cost to

install sprinklers in each room in every apartment. While this may seem like an exaggeration, I can assure you it's not. Here's how those figures are determined.

Infrastructure Costs: The estimate of the cost to install the necessary infrastructure in our building to support the sprinklers we are required to install in each apartment is **\$1.5M to \$2.0M**. This is based on an actual proposal from our fire safety engineering firm and the known costs of installing our existing backup power supply (which will have to be duplicated), plus estimates of the cost to replace our electrical transformer and running new plumbing to the water supply in Green Street. We have been told by the Fire Department that we would have to connect to the city water supply in the middle of Columbus Avenue, four blocks downhill from our building, with pumps and backup power installed in an underground vault there. I can't attempt to estimate the additional cost associated with that requirement, so I haven't tried to guess.

Per-Apartment Costs: We have obtained an estimate from a contractor who has remodeled many apartments in our building on what it would cost to install sprinklers in the apartments in our building. His estimate is \$100 per square foot, equating to an average of \$200,000 to \$250,000 per apartment, at least \$15.5 million total for the whole building.

Combined Costs: As noted above, the combined cost for our building to comply with the sprinkler mandate is **\$15M to \$20M** (excluding the cost associated with connecting to the water supply on Columbus Avenue). This equates to an **average cost PER APARTMENT of \$220,000 to \$300,000**. To have the necessary funds to cover these costs we will have to increase the monthly per-apartment assessment our building collects to cover the expenses of operating and maintaining our building. If we were to start a separate monthly assessment for these costs on January 1, 2026, with the target of collecting the appropriate amount of funds by the required completion date of January 1, 2035, that would mean **each owner, on average, would have their assessment increase by \$1,800 to \$2,500 per month for 120 months**. This doesn't consider any inflationary increases in the costs. In some cases, this increase is more than 100% of an owner's current monthly payment.

I have lived in our apartment for over 13 years. We love living in San Francisco and had intended to remain in our apartment for the rest of our lives. However, we are unable to afford the financial cost and disruption that will be caused by this mandate and will now have to consider the possibility of selling our apartment. That is a difficult thing to do because of the uncertainty caused by the mandate. A realtor who has sold many apartments in our building has told us that there are no buyers willing to

assume the future financial obligation imposed by this mandate and the need to vacate the apartment for an extended period. The overhang of this mandate will extend for the next 10+ years unless it can be eliminated or mitigated in some significant way.

I would also like to take this opportunity to dispel a misunderstanding that seems to be circulating and to point out a concern that we have about exemptions, both of which arise from comments made in the most recent Fire Commission meeting:

1. The Fire Marshal indicated that this retroactive sprinkler mandate was required because the 2018 national fire code made it a requirement. This is just not the case. The 2018 fire code provided, in its appendix M, the requirements to enforce the mandate if a local jurisdiction chose to adopt it. The 2022 California fire code also adopted Appendix M as a guideline, should a local jurisdiction choose to adopt it. Only San Francisco made this choice. To quote the wording in California's Appendix M "The provisions contained in this appendix are not mandatory unless specifically referenced in the adopting ordinance or legislation of the jurisdiction. Appendix M was created with the intent to provide an option for adoption by jurisdictions that choose to require existing high-rise buildings to be retrofitted with automatic sprinklers" (emphasis mine).

2. The Fire Marshal mentioned that he has granted certain exemptions to the mandate. However, there is no clarity on the process of granting or denying requests for exemptions and what sort of things might make a building eligible for an exemption. For example, both the Fire Marshal and the Fire Chief mentioned exemptions for financial hardship. This cannot work on an owner-by-owner basis because the infrastructure necessary to feed the system must be installed and paid for by the entire building, not owner by owner. Therefore, a financial hardship exemption would have to be granted on a building-wide basis. How is that to be determined? With all respect to the Fire Marshal, one person shouldn't have the power to grant or deny exemptions to a mandate like this, which is how the fire code is currently written, especially when there are no written criteria on what situation makes a building eligible for an exemption. The possibility of exemptions should never be suggested without specific criteria that buildings could use to determine whether they would qualify. This would save the time, money and grief spent trying to guess whether a building would win the exemption lottery. Why not eliminate the mandate and commission a new study (or revise the 2016 study commissioned by the Board of Supervisors) to determine whether this mandate actually makes sense and, if so, how its implementation would be funded and what specific criteria for exemptions would apply?

Unfunded mandates of this scope add to the burdens that make San Francisco unaffordable for most people. I am asking again for your support in relief of this mandate.

Thank you,

John Rennolds Rhodes
President, Royal Towers Apartment Corporation
1750 Taylor Street
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mobile: 415.250.5888
Email: rennrhodes@outlook.com

From: [Ronald Ludwig](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 16, 2026 6:39:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I'm an 82 year old longtime resident of a 60-year old, 17-story building that is home to many senior citizens. I request that you take action to amend the SF Fire Code's sprinkler retrofit requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings to develop other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and guests.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to, or discussion with, the affected buildings. It will place unreasonable and onerous physical and financial hardships on our residents. If you don't amend the Fire Code to provide relief, my neighbors and I will incur very large special assessments that will impose a huge financial burden on those of us living on fixed incomes. We will also be forced to relocate during a long construction period at a high additional cost, with major inconvenience and disruption to our lives. Many of us will be unable to afford this. Many of us will be unable to find alternative housing. Don't allow our already challenging lives to become even more difficult.

Please help us out!

Ronald Ludwig

From: [Loren Kieve](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, January 16, 2026 2:42:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to urge you to promptly pass legislation to amend the fire sprinkler mandate in high rise residential buildings and take into consideration the financial and other hardships residents like us will face. We want our building to be safe. We have been willing and able to comply with all the previous mandates the Fire Department has required to make our buildings safe but the current mandate is beyond what we can do. It is completely unreasonable.

We are in a small, seven story building, and don't understand how the ordinance, that is apparently intended to cover buildings over 12 stories, has been construed to apply to our building.

It would cost an enormous amount of money to install sprinklers, and require us to move out. I understand the ordinance prohibits requiring owners/residents to move out, but this is a true catch-22 because the only way to do this expensive, unnecessary installation is to require us to move out.

Please act immediately to solve this problem. We can't understand why the fire marshal is insisting that these exorbitant requirements should apply. We also support union labor, but this is not a solution to union labor issues. Is this a "progressive" way to impose another tax on city residents, and to get us to move out of the city?

The legislation should be amended, not simply extended, which will do us and all the rest of the affected families no good at all. It just kicks the explosive bomb down the road.

Loren Kieve

[Kieve | Law Offices](#)

2121 Broadway, Unit 3

San Francisco, California 94115-1359

Cell: 415.425.2655

www.kivelaw.com

This message may contain confidential information protected by a legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify us by phone or e-mail that you have done so.

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: [Sid Merchant](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SF Fire Dept.....Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Request File # 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 14, 2026 3:26:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, I estimate that I will have to pay \$250,000 to \$300,000 and I would have to move out of the building for 6 to 9 months. Furthermore, no one has demonstrated the need for these upgrades.....to my knowledge there has never been a fire in a high rise building in SF since I moved here in 1985. Moving forward with this mandate will result in long-term chaos in the city. Many residents will suffer from the displacement, and many homeowners will find this to be unaffordable.

Thank you for your consideration.....and please amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings.

Sid Merchant
HOA Treasurer
2200 Pacific Ave #11C
San Francisco, CA 94115
415-567-0984

From: [Julian Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Strong Objection to Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement (File 251247)
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am reaching out once again to emphasize the importance of this issue to myself, my family, and thousands of local San Francisco residents.

As a concerned resident, I am writing this email to voice my urgent objection to the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. I currently reside in one of the potentially impacted buildings with my wife and 5-year old daughter -- we've lived here for the last seven years. If allowed to proceed, this mandate would cause an enormous financial burden that we as a family cannot possibly bear. The estimated cost for each unit is between \$200,000 - \$300,000, or an additional monthly cost of \$3,000/month for nine consecutive years. This is well beyond our means, particularly as I have been recently laid off from work (to be frank, it would be well beyond our means even if I maintained employment). Further, our family would be forced to move out for at least 6 months due to potential construction, leading to struggles to find alternate housing, uprooting our daughter, and more.

I urge you to please consider the ramifications of such an extreme mandate on thousands of local residents and families. I request that this mandate be amended, and that you work together with our buildings to enact more feasible safety measures.

Thank you,
Julian Chen

From: [KIM BELESON](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:25:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to submit the following comment to the land use committee regarding the proposed sprinkler mandate for older buildings:

I question whether the advocates for the sprinkler mandate actually care about real people's lives because they are on the cusp of imposing a requirement that will cause immense financial pain and disruption to the lives of thousands of people, versus the hypothetical possibility of saving lives at some point in the future. Who's to say that the burdens of the cost of the mandate and the disruption to the lives of those affected will not result in financial distress, depression, and illness that causes multiple premature deaths?

We, as a society, need to make rational decisions in the name of safety and preventing deaths. Why is it that we don't require older model cars to be retrofitted with the latest safety equipment - air bags, back-up cameras, and AI sensors? Why don't we just make it illegal to drive these "unsafe" vehicles? We do so because in the case of the automobile, common sense has prevailed. We do so because it would be economically irrational to impose this mandate in the name of safety. Similarly, we should not require older buildings to be retrofitted with sprinklers. This mandate is not justifiable given the cost and disruption to people's lives.

We supposedly live in a democracy, with a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. But the people most affected by this mandate do not have a vote in the matter and are simply at the mercy of bureaucrats and supervisors, mostly in other parts of the City, who will neither pay for the sprinkler retrofit nor incur the disruption in their lives. **One of my concerns is that the unions whose members will make a fortune installing sprinklers will donate thousands of dollars into the campaign coffers of all the supervisors to buy their votes on this issue.**

It's just so easy to impose these mandates on others in the name of saving lives,

when you personally will not have to pay for it or live with the disruption. If you actually care about people's lives right now, not hypothetical lives in the futures, then please listen to us and do not abuse your power and authority by mandating this sprinkler retrofit.

Sincerely yours,

Kim Beleson

999 Green Street

Unit 2201

San Francisco, California 94133

Sent from my iPad

From: [Richard Beleson](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sprinkler mandate
Date: Thursday, January 22, 2026 10:25:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to submit the following comment to the land use committee regarding the proposed sprinkler mandate for older buildings:

I question whether the advocates for the sprinkler mandate actually care about real people's lives because they are on the cusp of imposing a requirement that will cause immense financial pain and disruption to the lives of thousands of people, versus the hypothetical possibility of saving lives at some point in the future. Who's to say that the burdens of the cost of the mandate and the disruption to the lives of those affected will not result in financial distress, depression, and illness that causes multiple premature deaths?

We, as a society, need to make rational decisions in the name of safety and preventing deaths. Why is it that we don't require older model cars to be retrofitted with the latest safety equipment - air bags, back-up cameras, and AI sensors? Why don't we just make it illegal to drive these "unsafe" vehicles? We do so because in the case of the automobile, common sense has prevailed. We do so because it would be economically irrational to impose this mandate in the name of safety. Similarly, we should not require older buildings to be retrofitted with sprinklers. This mandate is not justifiable given the cost and disruption to people's lives.

We supposedly live in a democracy, with a government of the people, by the people, and for the people. But the people most affected by this mandate do not have a vote in the matter and are simply at the mercy of bureaucrats and supervisors, mostly in other parts of the City, who will neither pay for the sprinkler retrofit nor incur the disruption in their lives. **One of my concerns is that the unions whose members will make a fortune installing sprinklers will donate thousands of dollars into the campaign coffers of all the supervisors to buy their votes on this issue.**

It's just so easy to impose these mandates on others in the name of saving lives,

when you personally will not have to pay for it or live with the disruption. If you actually care about people's lives right now, not hypothetical lives in the futures, then please listen to us and do not abuse your power and authority by mandating this sprinkler retrofit.

Sincerely yours,

Richard Beleson
999 Green Street
Unit 2201
San Francisco, California 94133

Sent from my iPad

From: [Robert Kozma](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Art Wong](#); [Sercan Arik](#); [Eugenia O'Kelly](#)
Subject: Saving lives File No. 251247
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 8:38:32 AM
Attachments: [Equivalent Fire Safety Proposal for FILE NO. 251247.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Melgar and Honorable Supervisors,

The Fire Code is about saving lives; saving the lives of our fire fighters; the lives of my neighbors; my wife's life; my own. My neighbors and I are committed to saving lives by strengthen buildings and the communities that live in them, so that fires are eliminated and lives are saved.

Installing sprinklers is one way to do that. But there are other ways to strengthen buildings and empower communities to eliminate fires and save lives. These alternative means can be listed, categorized, measured and objectively judged to be quantifiably equivalent, using nationally recognized, performance-based standards.

Section 1103.5.4.4.1 of the proposed Code currently allows for the use of these alternative means and standards. But this approach should be the core of the mandate rather than an exception.

Strengthening this section to make it the primary approach to achieving fire safety puts the emphasis on saving lives, rather than a particular way to do that, and it gives the communities in the affected buildings the ability to work with fire prevention engineers and the Fire Marshal to choose from among quantifiably equivalent, performance-based ways to reduce the risk to fire fighters and themselves.

Please use the Code to empower building communities to use national standards to choose the means that best work for their unique situation form among quantitatively equivalent alternatives that reduce fire risk and save lives.

Attached is a proposal from our community at The Summit, 999 Green St. to strengthen Section 1103.5.4.4 and to allow its application across the unique and diverse set of buildings to which the mandate applies.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Kozma, Ph.D.
Emeritus Principal Scientist
SRI International
San Francisco
<http://robertkozma.com>
bob@robertkozma.com
+1 415-623-4340

Kozma, R., *Make the World a Better Place: Design with Passion, Purpose, and Values.*
Wiley & Sons, 2023

1-18-2026
Re: FILE NO. 251247

**Alternative Compliance via
Engineered Life Safety Systems and Active Fire Safety Communities
A Proposal**

Residents of The Summit, 999 Green St.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the San Francisco Fire Code is to save lives: the lives of our fire fighters, our neighbors, ourselves. There are alternative means of achieving life safety in a building and these are quantifiable. In response to the disproportionate effort, expense and hardship of installing sprinklers in older buildings, we propose to build on Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4, using nationally recognized, quantifiable, performance-based standards, principles, and tests, such as National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 101A, to provide a technically equivalent, performance-based, alternative compliance model that allows a building to establish a calculated safety equivalency to full sprinkler installation. The proposal integrates building-specific Engineered Life Safety System upgrades with an active-community Fire Safety Management Plan to provide a four-point **Performance-Based Approach** to equivalent fire safety with proportionate effort and minimum cost and hardship.

1. We propose alternative wording that strengthens and clarifies Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4 to provide technically equivalent approach to fire safety for buildings that commit to Engineered Life Safety System (ELSS) building upgrades and a rigorous, periodically certified Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP).
2. Participating buildings hire a Fire Protection Engineer (FPE) to conduct an Engineered Life Safety System assessment, using nationally recognized standards, such as NFPA 101A, to list its current fire safety features related to fire detection and alarms, communication, smoke control and management, compartmentation, firefighter support Infrastructure, and egress improvements and make agree to upgrades if necessary.
3. Each building also works with the FPE to design a Fire Safety Management Plan that engages the building community in developing verifiable fire safety practices in four core areas: fire prevention procedures, system maintenance testing, emergency response procedures, and documentation and performance monitoring.
4. Each building will undergo a third-party audit and recertification every three years to prove the FSMP is active, with a snap-back sprinkler mandate for persistent failure to do so.

This four-point, performance-based program not only creates fire safe buildings without the undue hardship of sprinkler installation, at far less cost and disruption of lives, but also builds fire-safe communities that enhance San Francisco's preparedness and resilience in a wide range of emergencies.

Contacts

Robert Kozma, Ph.D., bob@robertkozma.com, 415-623-4340

Sercan Arik, Ph.D., sercanarik@gmail.com

Art Wong, M.D., abwong41@yahoo.com

Eugenia O'Kelly, Ph.D., eugenia.okelly@gmail.com

1-18-2026

Re: FILE NO. 251247

**Alternative Compliance via
Engineered Life Safety Systems and Active Fire Safety Communities
A Proposal**

Residents of The Summit, 999 Green St.

Out of a concern for both life safety and the disproportionate effort and the undue hardship required by the impending fire sprinkler mandate, especially for elderly residents and those with fixed income, we—a group of three dozen residents at 999 Green St.—are compelled to offer a proposal to achieve technically-equivalent life safety at a potentially lower cost and considerably less disruption.

Performance-Based Approach to Section 1103.5.4.4

In response to this disproportionate effort and the undue hardship, we propose to build on Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4, using nationally recognized, quantifiable, performance-based standards, principles, and tests, such as National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 101A, to provide a technically equivalent, performance-based, alternative compliance model that allows a building to establish a calculated safety equivalency to full sprinkler installation. The proposal integrates building-specific Engineered Life Safety System upgrades with an active-community Fire Safety Management Plan to provide a four-point **Performance-Based Approach** to equivalent fire safety with proportionate effort and minimum cost and hardship.

Our proposal combines performance-based assessments with building-specific Engineered Life Safety System (ELSS) upgrades and an active community Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP) to provide building-appropriate and certified equivalent fire safety without the undue hardship of sprinkler retrofits. The proposed approach encourages buildings to adopt a proactive, life safety upgrade plan and build a certified fire safety resident community. When staff and residents are trained, organized and supported by the right building systems, they can prevent fires from happening, as well as stop and/or quickly contain them if they do occur. And they also facilitate safe evacuation of the building.

We propose this four-point, performance-based alternative that achieves safety equivalency without the invasive and potentially-displacing cost of retrofitting sprinkler systems:

1. Codify the "ELSS/FSMP" Alternative into the Fire Code. With the attached wording (presented as a friendly amendment), we propose to strengthen, clarify and reduce the ambiguity of Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4, by which the Fire Marshal approves modifications. The suggested language would codify a procedure by which a building can quantitatively assess its life safety features and a criteria by which life safety equivalence is determined so that they can confidently invest in engineered life safety upgrades and a community fire safety management plan to achieve a technical life-safety equivalence for the building. In this way, 1103.5.4.4 alternatives for these uniquely-designed historic buildings become normal part of the compliance process, rather than treating modifications as rare exceptions. Thus the Code would provide buildings an alternative path to achieve life safety equivalence by implementing Engineered Life Safety System (ELSS) upgrades and maintaining a rigorous, periodically certified Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP) that complements the building upgrade with verified active-community fire safety training and practices.

2. ELSS Building Assessment. Each building is unique. A "one-size-fits-all" mandate ignores the superior fire-resistance qualities of certain legacy buildings. A building interested in applying for a 11.3.5.4.4 alternative, would hire a Fire Protection Engineer (FPE) to assess the building using nationally recognized, quantifiable, performance-based standards, such as NFPA 101A, to examine the fire safety features of their building and make recommendations related to such features as: fire suppression, detection and alarm, compartmentation and fire resistance, means of egress, smoke control and management, Fire Department access and operations, and management and human factors. The FPE would audit existing passive protections (fire-rated walls, stairwell pressurization) and recommend targeted upgrades—such as heat detectors in mechanical/electrical rooms or stairwell pressurization systems—that achieve calculated safety equivalency.

3. Active-Community FSMP. Each building will also work with the FPE to design a Fire Safety Management Plan that engages the building community in comprehensive fire safety practices. Aligning with the NFPA 550 Fire Safety Concepts Tree, this plan prioritizes "Preventing Fire Ignition" over merely "Managing Fire Impact." To be approved the Plan would need to demonstrate community proficiency in four core areas: fire prevention procedures, system maintenance testing, emergency response procedures, and documentation and performance monitoring.

Features of an approved Plan might be the implementation of a schedule of certified training for staff and residents, with signed compliance, moving beyond simple pamphlets to active drills. The Plan might implement certain practices as part of community bylaws, such as the prohibition of open-flame grills and rigorous control of e-mobility battery charging. And the Plan might implement floor captains and evacuation assistance protocols for disabled residents.

4. Recertification and Enforcement To ensure long-term compliance, this is not a one-time arrangement. To maintain its life safety equivalency, the building would need to undergo a third-party audit and recertification every three years to prove the FSMP is active. And failure to maintain the training logs, equipment standards, or structural integrity required by the plan will result in the revocation of the alternative status.

Limitations of Fire Sprinklers

Fire sprinklers have been proven to save lives and property, which is why they are included in both the current and proposed San Francisco Fire Code. But fire sprinklers also have limitations.

Their biggest limitation is that they are reactive not proactive: Fire sprinklers do not prevent fires from happening. Nor do they close the door behind a panicked evacuee when a fire happens. They don't check to see if the neighbor next door is okay or help them down the stairs, if needed. And sprinklers present their own risk of significant collateral water damage. Also, they may foster passive, complacent residents: "Why do anything about fire safety when the sprinklers that cost so much have us covered?"

Because of these limitations, the sprinkler mandate in older buildings represents a disproportionate effort for their safety return and their significant associated cost and disruptive installation represents an undue hardship for residents. This four-point performance-based program leverages the prospect of a sprinkler mandate to build and activate resident communities that achieve goals of the Fire Code: prevent fires, save lives, reduce injuries and limit property damage. It empowers people working together to address the limitations of fire sprinklers, at far less cost, intrusion and disruption of lives. In addition, the social capital created through this coordinated community approach enhances preparedness for other emergencies, helping San Francisco become a safer and more resilient city.

San Francisco Fire Code
Proposed friendly amendment: Alternative Technical Equivalency wording

1103.5.4. [For SF] Automatic Sprinkler System for Existing High-Rise Buildings

All existing residential high-rise buildings shall be equipped with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 where any of the following conditions apply:

...

Any existing high-rise ~~not exempted from this section~~ that does not provide an automatic sprinkler system throughout the residential occupancy is out of compliance and subject to immediate code enforcement action unless 1) the high-rise is exempt from this section or 2) the high-rise successfully demonstrates safety equivalence through the procedure and criteria set in Sec. 1103.5.4.4. The owner shall maintain the sprinkler system in accordance with Title 19 of the California Code of Regulations.

...

Sec. 1103.5.4.4. Authority of Fire Official.

The Fire Marshal ~~may approve~~ shall establish a procedure and acceptance criteria for modifications and alternate methods, and materials and fire safe community practices by which a building can present it is clearly evident evidence, independently and professionally derived, that a reasonable degree of fire safety is currently provided or will be achieved within the timeframe of the Code. In such cases, the Fire Marshal ~~may shall~~:

1. ~~Consider~~ Evaluate alternative protection can be shown using based on nationally recognized, quantifiable, performance-based standards (such as NFPA 101 A), principles, and tests, and generally recognized and well-established methods of fire protection. If the agreed upon conditions specified by this process are not met within the agreed upon timeframe or are not subsequently maintained, the requirement for a automatic sprinkler system in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1 and elaborated on in this Section 1103.5.4 will automatically go into effect.
2. Waive specific individual requirements if it can be shown that such requirements are not physically possible, require disproportionate effort, or pose an undue hardship with little increase in life safety and that a practical alternate cannot be provided; and
3. Grant necessary extensions of time when it can be shown that the specific time periods are not physically practical or pose an undue hardship. The granting of an extension of time for compliance may be approved by the Fire Marshal based on the showing of good cause and on approval of an acceptable, systematic, progressive plan of correction.

For purposes of this Section 1103.5.4.4, the determination of “undue hardship” shall include, but not limited to, consideration of the building owner’s financial hardship and whether compliance with the automatic sprinkler requirements in Sections 1103.5.4 through 1103.5.4.5.3 would result in the displacement of residents from their homes.

From: [Nesya Gurdus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:20:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a 73-year-old resident, my husband and I have made our condominium at 2200 Pacific Avenue the place we plan to call home for whatever years we have left. The thought of being forced out because of the fire sprinkler retrofit mandate is heartbreaking. The estimated \$200,000–\$300,000 cost per unit, plus months away from home during construction, is far beyond what we can manage on fixed incomes. With full compliance required by January 1, 2035, the work would probably happen when we are nearing 80—the disruption and stress at that stage of life would be devastating.

Fire safety matters enormously to me, and I would never want to see it compromised. Our building has responsibly met every past requirement, including the substantial and expensive recent overhaul of the central fire alarm system, complete with new wiring and better notification capabilities. Piling this huge additional mandate on top of those earlier efforts feels overwhelming and disproportionate.

For minimal extra protection—remember, in more than six decades across all affected buildings, there has been only one fire-related death, from an electric scooter battery—this policy could uproot thousands of us, erode housing affordability, depress property values, and make San Francisco’s housing challenges even worse. Simpler, targeted steps like requiring secure, fireproof storage for e-bikes and scooters would deliver real safety improvements without punishing residents so severely.

It was disheartening to hear that the thoughtful “major renovation” trigger exemption, already prepared by the City Attorney, is no longer moving forward. Requiring sprinklers only when a building undergoes major renovation would strengthen safety over time while sparing current owners crushing financial and personal hardship.

I earnestly ask you to amend the mandate to restore this major renovation trigger—or consider a full reversal if that is what it takes. A solution like this would honor fire safety while protecting the well-being, proportionality, and affordability that so many San Franciscans need. I would welcome any opportunity to discuss a fair path forward.

Sincerely,

Nesya Gurdus
Resident and Owner 2200 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: [Richard Royse](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Egregious City Mandate for Installation of Sprinkler Systems, Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement, File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 10:22:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Richard Royse <richroyse@me.com>
Subject: **Egregious City Mandate for Installation of Sprinkler Systems**
Date: December 1, 2025 at 6:28:28 PM GMT
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisors:

We are owner/residents of Unit 6A at 2200 Pacific Avenue, a solid concrete and steel building constructed in 1962. We have owned our property since 2013.

We are deeply concerned about the city's mandate requiring the installation of a sprinkler system in our building for the following reasons:

1. Our HOA board estimates the cost for each homeowner at \$1,300 - \$3,300 per month for nine years, or very large special assessments, amounting to more than \$200K per unit, over those nine years.
2. Substantial coring of our structural slabs and structural walls is a real concern for the integrity of our building.

3. Many new sprinkler water pipes all over our building will add to the risk of water damage, which is the number one concern for high rise insurers.
4. The justification for a sprinkler system simply does not exist. There has been one life lost in the last 60 years in the 125 buildings affected by this extreme mandate, due to fire, and that fire was caused by an electric scooter.

In addition to these concerns, given that we might have to move out of the building for six months, because of asbestos abatement during construction, we would be incurring huge additional expenses finding a rental in a tight housing market.

All of this is needless regulatory overreach, and we urgently request you put a stop to this totally unnecessary sprinkler mandate.

Respectfully,

Richard Royse
Rocky Blumhagen

2200 Pacific Avenue #6A
SF, CA 94115
Tel; 541-992-0522

From: [Jay Rossiter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 11:21:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

We've received a series of updates from our Board that the city has mandated fire sprinklers to be installed in our building and condo units. For us, the cost of this is absolutely prohibitive as is the disruption, and diminishment of the quality of our living quarters.

It would require us to outlay hundreds of thousands of dollars, would force us to move out for many months for the work to be completed including asbestos abatement, and would greatly diminish the quality of our living space as our condo would all have unsightly sprinklers running through our 8' high in-unit ceilings given the concrete nature of our structure.

Our building is all concrete and historically this type building has had little risk over the last half century. I frankly see this requirement by the city as missing the real world impact on people actually living here. I think a much more limited approach can achieve much of what may be needed.

Please note, that we are currentl[Compose](#) complying with mandates for a current Fire Alarm upgrade, the ERRCS (Emergency Radio Responder Communication System) upgrade, lobby sprinkler additions, restrictions for EV charging, and the facade inspections and repairs. This is already too much!



Login - Sign in to Yahoo

Sign in to access the best in class Yahoo Mail, breaking local, national and global news, finance, sports, music...

If this mandate isn't rescinded for SF, we plan to sell our unit and leave the city. Even with moving, our property value will drop dramatically given the large cost increase, massive family disruption, and expected diminishment in the visual quality of our interiors. This demand by the city is a losing proposition for us but at least we won't have to live through it.

I know that you are all trying to improve safety, but in this instance, given our type of building together with cost and impact, it is missing the bigger practical picture for people who live and love the city.

Please rescind! Thank you,
Jay and Elise Rossiter
2200 Pacific Ave, #11B
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [nahal farhi](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 12:16:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing as a San Francisco homeowner affected by the mandatory fire sprinkler retrofit mandate for existing residential buildings.

Many of us attended the recent public meeting on 12/11/2025 and shared thoughtful, informed concerns about the real financial, structural, and logistical impacts of this mandate. Unfortunately, it has become clear that despite these concerns, there is little willingness among the Board to reconsider the policy or even allow a basic exemption for buildings that are not undergoing major renovations.

This mandate places an extraordinary burden on owners of older buildings, often without delivering proportional safety benefits, while exempting many other risk factors. A straightforward exemption tied to “major renovations” would be a reasonable, balanced solution, yet this option now appears to be off the table for political reasons rather than practical ones.

I am especially disappointed that labor interests appear to be driving this decision more than the voices of residents and property owners who will bear the consequences. San Francisco should not be used as a test case for a national policy at the expense of its own residents.

I urge you to support overturning the sprinkler retrofit mandate or, at minimum, allowing an exemption for existing buildings unless they apply for permits for major renovations. This would protect safety while avoiding unnecessary financial harm.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Nahal Farhi
2200 Pacific Ave, #3, 94115

From: [Meriel Lindley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 1:16:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

San Francisco's reputation has always rested on its resilience, creativity, and ability to balance safety with livability. After several difficult years, the City is finally showing real signs of economic renewal—downtown activity is improving, investment is cautiously returning, and residents are beginning to regain confidence. A sweeping, mandatory sprinkler-retrofit requirement for older residential buildings at this fragile stage could unintentionally reverse that progress and send an unsettling message to homeowners and investors alike: that even stable, code-compliant properties may face large, unfunded mandates at any time.

Economic and Housing-Market Concerns:

The retrofit costs projected for mid-sized condominium buildings—often \$200,000 per unit or more—are simply unmanageable for many ordinary San Franciscans. These buildings are not normally owned by the very wealthy; they shelter retirees, middle-income families, and long-term residents who have invested carefully to remain in San Francisco. Owners already shoulder increasing special assessments, especially in older buildings. Sudden, uncompensated costs triggered by the mandate would cause real hardship, pushing some owners to sell below value and others into debt they cannot sustain. Meanwhile, prospective buyers would be discouraged.

The City already faces one of the tightest and most expensive housing markets in the nation. Large-scale retrofits would disrupt and dislocate both owners and tenants, removing units from service during construction and adding pressure to an already strained rental market. Landlords facing steep retrofit expenses would have little choice but to seek rent increases or sell their properties, deepening the affordability crisis.

Questionable Cost–Benefit Balance:

Fire safety is essential, yet data show that fatalities have largely occurred in specific high-risk structures (SRO hotels and mixed-use

buildings) not in owner-occupied condominiums with modern alarms, smoke detectors, and safe egress. Mandating sprinklers across every older residential building would deliver minimal incremental safety benefit at an enormous collective cost. Targeted enforcement, improved alarm systems, and focused retrofits in truly high-risk structures would achieve far greater safety at far lower economic and social cost.

Protecting San Francisco's Reputation and Recovery:

At a time when San Francisco is striving to attract residents, visitors, and business investment, new mandates that appear financially punitive risk undermining confidence in San Francisco's governance and housing stability. The City's credibility depends on balanced, data-driven policy that recognizes both safety and economic sustainability.

For all the foregoing reasons, I respectfully urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to reconsider a blanket sprinkler mandate.

Respectfully,
Meriel Lindley (69 years old)

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Alexa Karp](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247 - 2200 Pacific Ave
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 4:27:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me.

If the code is not changed, this will greatly impact our living situation, forcing us to move out of the building we have loved for so long. We would not be able to pay for the estimated cost and subsequent increase in Rent/HOA fees.

In addition to the financial hardship we would face, our ceiling heights would drop as much as 14" wherever the sprinkler pipes run, including all over our units, leaving us with 7' or lower ceilings, which is not even considered "living space" by code.

I urge you to amend the fire sprinkler requirement.

Best,
Alexa Karp

From: bkg@grahamdesignsf.com
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 5:55:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to request that you take immediately and decisive action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement in our City for older high-rise buildings, and work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on myself, my family and our community of homeowners.

If this code remains unchanged, the physical and financial toll on us will be severe. Our property values will plummet, and our building will transform into a dark and empty shell of its former self.

Among the numerous negative impacts this mandate will have on our property, the most significant is physical. Our current floor-to-ceiling heights of 8'-0" will drop by up to 14" to accommodate sprinkler pipes throughout our individual units. This will result in finished ceiling heights of 6-'8", making them unlivable.

I urge you to reassess this mandate and explore a more reasonable path towards ensuring safety while preserving our property values.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Brian K Graham
2200 Pacific Avenue 4C
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Ben Gurdus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement - File 251247
Date: Sunday, January 18, 2026 6:59:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing urgently to ask that you amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. This mandate places an unbearable financial and personal burden on residents like me while offering little real safety benefit.

My spouse and I are senior citizens living at 2200 Pacific Avenue, and this is our lifelong home. We cannot afford the enormous cost—hundreds of thousands of dollars per unit—nor could we endure being forced out for months during construction. We are at a loss of how to find and afford any temporary housing. For us, this could very well mean losing our homes entirely.

The reality is that this mandate would devastate San Francisco homeowners and renters alike—driving people out, lowering property values, and worsening the city's housing crisis—while doing almost nothing to improve safety. In sixty years, there has been only one fire-related death in any of the affected buildings, and that was caused by an electric scooter. A far more effective and reasonable step would be to require safe, fireproof storage areas for e-bikes and similar devices.

Please, do not let this unnecessary and destructive policy harm thousands of San Franciscans. We need a practical, balanced approach that protects safety without destroying our homes and our financial security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Gurdus

Resident, 2200 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

From: www@susanoachlaw.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\), Central Valley \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bill Hooper, Board Chair, Scott, Board](#)
Subject: 2200 Pacific #77, San Francisco, CA 94115
Date: Monday, January 13, 2020 7:20:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members:

My wife and I are owners at 2200 Pacific, #7F, San Francisco, California 94115. We were recently advised of the City's intent to retrofit the sprinkler system in our building (2200 Pacific as above referenced). I am writing to strongly request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler requirement for older high rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us.

If the code is not changed it will place an exorbitant cost on me and other owners of anywhere from an additional \$1,200.00 to \$3,000.00 per month for nine (9) years or a special assessment of \$200,000.00 over a nine (9) year period. The value of my condo and other condos will drop in market value by the cost of this mandate and will be hard to sell with this mandate in place for the next nine (9) years or during the nine (9) years. We will be forced to move out for six (6) months having to incur the cost of storage for our furniture together with substitute lodging while the work is being done and there will be hazardous waste remediation all over the building. Housing is already scarce in San Francisco for obtaining substitute housing for people residing in the building full time. We intend to retire there. Our ceiling heights would drop as much as 14" where sprinkler pipes run, leaving us with 7-foot clearance or lower. Again, this affects everyday living together with re-sale value. Substantial coring of our structural slabs and structural walls will be a concern for the integrity of the building. There will be enhanced risk of water damage throughout the building.

The justification for this extreme mandate does not exist. I am advised that in the last 60 years there has been only one death attributable to fire in another high rise and this was unrelated to any sprinkler system and was caused by an electric scooter.

I cannot impress upon you the importance of reversing this mandate. Thousands of people will be impacted in a negative manner for no reasonable reason whatsoever. Please take action on this matter. Please feel free to contact me if I may be of any further assistance. Please keep me advised of all progress on this matter and what the game plan is for the future.

Very truly yours,

THE ROACH LAW FIRM
W. EDWIN ROUSSIN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
11628 Old Ballas Road, Ste. 116
Creve Coeur, MO 63141
Phone No.: (314) 434-7372
Facsimile No.: (314) 434-6500

https://url.avanan.click/v2/01/___www.susanoachlaw.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoxNDQ2MDMwYTUyYjRlMTY4YjZkMjMwY4OTJmUwNDc3OjNlNjc6NDZlZDc3ODFmYzRjYTBiYWIzTU4NGE0NDMxODINDlZzRhZjNmODZkMTk0OTdmYzU5NTgyMGRlMTBhYzI1Nj00Q6Tg

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The materials enclosed with this email transmission are private and confidential. The information contained in the material is privileged and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this email information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the forwarded documents to us.

NOTE: The Missouri Bar requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers while in transit through the Internet; (3) persons not participating in our communications may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer, our firm computers, or other computers through which the e-mail passes in transit through the Internet. We are communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communication via this medium. Please advise us AT ONCE in the event you do not want future communications from this firm to be sent to you via e-mail.

Thank you, THE ROACH LAW FIRM

From: susan@roachlaw.com
To: [Board of Supervisors 2010; Council 2010 \(RSC\)](mailto:Board of Supervisors 2010; Council 2010 (RSC))
Cc: 22 Pacific Building - Susan Roach
Subject: 22 Pacific #77, San Francisco, CA 94115
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 7:31:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Members:

My husband and I are owners at 2200 Pacific, #7F, San Francisco, California 94115. We were recently advised of the City's intent to retrofit the sprinkler system in our building (2200 Pacific as above referenced). I am writing to strongly request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler requirement for older high rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us.

If the code is not changed it will place an exorbitant cost on me and other owners of anywhere from an additional \$1,300.00 to \$3,000.00 per month for nine (9) years or a special assessment of \$200,000.00 over a nine (9) year period. The value of my condo and other condos will drop in market value by the cost of this mandate and will be hard to sell with this mandate in place for the next nine (9) years or during the nine (9) years. We will be forced to move out for six (6) months having to incur the cost of storage for our furniture together with substitute lodging while the work is being done and there will be hazardous waste remediation all over the building. Housing is already scarce in San Francisco for obtaining substitute housing for people residing in the building full time. We intend to reire there. Our ceiling heights would drop as much as 14" where sprinkler pipes run, leaving us with 7-foot clearance or lower. Again, this affects everyday living together with re-sale value. Substantial coring of our structural slabs and structural walls will be a concern for the integrity of the building. There will be enhanced risk of water damage throughout the building.

The justification for this extreme mandate does not exist. I am advised that in the last 60 years there has been only one death attributable to fire in another high rise and this was unrelated to any sprinkler system and was caused by an electric scooter.

I cannot impress upon you the importance of reversing this mandate. Thousands of people will be impacted in a negative manner for no reasonable reason whatsoever. Please take action on this matter. Please feel free to contact me if I may be of any further assistance. Please keep me advised of all progress on this matter and what the game plan is for the future.

Very truly yours,

THE ROACH LAW FIRM
SUSAN K. ROACH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
11628 Old Balboa Road, Ste. 116
Creve Coeur, MO 63141
Phone No: (314) 434-7272
Facsimile No: (314) 434-6500
<https://url.avaman.click/v2/r01/> www.susanroachlaw.com _____VXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6boz3ZkOTM2Y2M2YzcwYTkMTA2NWExOWI5YmVhMTc3OjlxYmY6NWQlYjg5NTMwZjUwOWIhMjM4ZmU2YzI1MmVhOTUSNDAnN2I3MDIHMjM5ZDZlSNTMnNWQ0YjA0ZWUwYmU2YTE0NTp0OQ06Tg

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The materials enclosed with this email transmission are private and confidential. The information contained in the material is privileged and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that unauthorized use, disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this email information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the forwarded documents to us.

NOTE: The Missouri Bar requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers while in transit through the Internet; (3) persons not participating in our communications may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer, our firm computers, or other computers through which the e-mail passes in transit through the Internet. We are communicating to you via e-mail because you have consented to receive communication via this medium. Please advise us AT ONCE in the event you do not want future communications from this firm to be sent to you via e-mail.

Thank you, THE ROACH LAW FIRM

From: [Roxana Salehoun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, January 19, 2026 10:44:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed fire sprinkler mandate included in the current fire code amendments.

I respectfully request that this objection be entered into the official record and associated directly with the proposed code. I oppose the mandate due to its significant financial burden, questionable cost-effectiveness, and the unintended consequences it may have on existing buildings, property owners, and housing affordability.

While fire safety is critically important, a one-size-fits-all sprinkler requirement does not adequately account for the wide variation in building types, existing safety measures, or the real-world impacts of retrofitting. The proposed mandate would impose substantial costs without clear evidence that these costs are justified by proportional safety benefits.

I urge the Committee to reconsider this mandate and explore alternative, more flexible approaches that enhance safety without creating excessive hardship for property owners and residents.

Thank you for your consideration and for including this objection in the official record.

Sincerely,

Roxana Salehoun

2200 Pacific unit 6D

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["Phillip Shirvington"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: The San Francisco Fire Code Hearing at the Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting on February 2, 2026 - BOS File No. 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:23:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Phillip Shirvington <phillipshirvington@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2026 10:46 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: The San Francisco Fire Code Hearing at the Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting on February 2, 2026

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee Members,

I write in support of the amendments to the San Francisco Fire Code being put forward by Supervisors Sherrill and Sauter in collaboration with Mayor Lurie and the Fire Department.

I am a resident of 999 Green Street, one of the 126 buildings in San Francisco affected by the sprinkler mandate. I am 84 years old and on a fixed income. I have been informed that implementation of the sprinkler mandate is going to cost me about \$200,000 as my unit's share. In addition, I will have to relocate for many months while the work is carried out.

My concern is that this mandate was imposed without notifying affected residents to give them the opportunity to participate in a community decision which was going to impose undue hardship on all concerned. Furthermore, it is my understanding that the mandate was imposed without any consideration of infrastructure constraints or the impact of the associated costs on residents; and without any cost-benefit analysis of this option compared to other much less expensive alternatives for achieving the same savings in fire-related deaths.

In this respect, I applaud the proposed amendments to the fire code put forward to your Committee by Supervisors Sherrill and Sauter in collaboration with Mayor Lurie and the Fire Department. These changes will enable initiation of the infrastructure and impact studies and cost-benefit analyses that were not done earlier.

As conceded by the proponents of these amendments, this is an important first step, allowing an extra three years for the necessary studies to be conducted and providing the opportunity for community input. However, the amendments themselves do not go far enough in solving all the problems still facing the affected residents, especially seniors. These include prohibitive costs, relocating by the thousands in a tight rental market and lack of freedom to sell their homes to move into assisted living, as required, because of the stigma attached to their buildings. These problems can only be solved by the eventual modification or revocation of the sprinkler mandate, in exchange for the implementation of less expensive and less intrusive alternatives for the equivalent reduction in fire-related deaths identified in the impact studies.

Sincerely,

Phillip Shirvington
#2005,
999 Green Street,
San Francisco
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [greg oldham](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:22:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: greg oldham <grolldham@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 8:58 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll,

We are writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on us.

The drop in our ceiling heights that would be required by the mandate would leave us with seven-foot ceilings, which are not an acceptable living space. Also, since our building has both lead and asbestos, we would be forced to move out of our apartment, store all of our furniture and find new housing. All of this would be extremely expensive and challenging.

It is not clear to us how the City can impose a requirement of this magnitude (that disrupts our lives financially, physically and emotionally) without a close consultation with the people who are affected by it.

We strongly urge you to amend this mandate and consider other safety measures.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Greg Oldham and Bai Park
2200 Pacific Ave., Apt 11F

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["RoJean DeChantal"](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement -File 241257
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 10:21:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 251247](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: RoJean DeChantal <rjdechantal@tcrworld.com>

Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 9:02 AM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement -File 241257

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll,

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and to work with our buildings on other feasible, evidence-based life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me. While I fully support safety measures that are necessary and grounded in evidence, there is simply no justification for this requirement as currently written.

At 79 years of age, if the code is not changed, I am deeply concerned about the financial impact this proposal would have on me. The projected cost to retrofit our building would increase my monthly homeowner fees by approximately **\$3000 per month** for nine years. Some have suggested that this dollar amount is exaggerated; **it is not**. These figures are based on actual projections in our city of San Francisco (including “the last mile” where homeowners are responsible ????) and reflect the true cost of compliance.

Such an increase would be devastating to my retirement savings and, frankly, may make it impossible for me to remain in my home. This home is deeply special to me—it is a source of comfort and stability and represents the security I worked a lifetime to build and hope to pass on to my children. This mandate could make that impossible.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for your consideration of **the real-world impact** this code would have on me and my fellow homeowners.

Sincerely,
RoJean DeChantal

RoJean DeChantal
2200 Pacific Avenue 10A
San Francisco, CA 94115
415-370-3173 (Cell)
rjdechantal@tcrworld.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment at yesterday's Board of Supervisors Meeting - For the Record - File No. 251247
Date: Thursday, January 8, 2026 3:16:37 PM
Attachments: [BOS - Jan 6th 2026 - Public Comment.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from Zach Sharpe, regarding:

File No. 251247 - Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zach Sharpe <zach@luminalt.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 4:46 PM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Public Comment at yesterday's Board of Supervisors Meeting - For the Record

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors,

I made a public comment at the Board of Supervisors Meeting yesterday and didn't get to make my full statement in the allotted 2 minutes. That said, I would like to submit my comment, attached below, for the record.

Thanks,

Zach

--

Zach Sharpe, *Solar Consultant*
Luminalt
Solar Electric and Clean Energy Storage
Design Build
10 Carolina Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
CSLB#845219
C: (415) 819-5967



Board of Supervisors Meeting January 6th, 2026

Public Comment:

Hi, my name is Zach Sharpe and I work for Luminalt Solar, a woman owned local solar installer that has been based in San Francisco for 22 years. As you know, just weeks ago, our City was hit with multiple massive power outages, affecting 130,000 residents, in some places for up to 72 hours. These outages left our residents cold, in the dark, and scared because their home security systems and their health care equipment, such as CPAP machines, didn't work. Many of our residents weren't just inconvenienced, they were less safe.

Hundreds of Luminalt clients, however, only experienced the outage for a fraction of a second as their solar and battery systems instantly went into backup mode and began powering their home's loads, keeping them safe and resilient during these outages. With clean electrons that have the side benefits of helping San Francisco accomplish it's climate goals while also helping its residents keep their ever-increasing electricity bills low, our systems kept residents safe and resilient during these outages.

While this solution so obviously benefits San Franciscans, solar and storage has been facing immense headwinds from every level of government. As bad as those headwinds are at the federal and state level, we are being hit the hardest right here at home. Local San Francisco Fire code has made installing batteries so overly complex and costly that San Francisco has the reputation for being the most difficult place to install home storage batteries in the country (if you don't believe me, just ask Google AI or ChatGPT).

We fully understand that the Fire Department takes an extremely conservative approach when determining their interpretations of fire code with the goal of safety. We agree that safety is the most important goal, full stop. That is why we don't think that limiting who can make their homes safe and resilient to only the wealthiest in our City is not good policy. To give an example, solar installations only need one solar inverter. However, if a home requires multiple batteries, multiple solar inverters are required, which increases the project cost by thousands of dollars and provides literally zero additional safety. After countless requests, the Fire Dep has never explained their reasoning on this stance.

We understand that the 2025 Fire Code will be given to you in the next week or so. We ask that you keep the safety and resiliency of our residents in mind as you review it. There are other planned interpretations of the fire code that will continue to strangle solar and battery systems, like requiring 3' clearance around all



skylights. This will severely limit the number of solar panels we can fit on roofs, yet again making battery backup systems less effective.

At a time when tens of thousands of people are losing grid power, the City shouldn't be the main impediment to residents becoming more safe and resilient.

At a time when the City is pushing the transition to electric appliances, we should also be helping our residents be more resilient, not simply more dependent on PG&E's grid.

And at a time when many cities and states, including our own, are turning their back on their climate commitments, San Francisco should be a beacon of progress and innovation.

In the past, the City has met the moment through programs such as GoSolarSF, and I know we have it in us as a City to do better on this topic. I look forward to working with the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and the Fire Department to make solar and storage more accessible to San Franciscans.

Thank you,

Zach Sharpe, Luminalt Solar

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 251247 - Sprinklers - 16 Letters
Date: Friday, December 19, 2025 3:21:56 PM
Attachments: [16 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 16 letters, from members of the public and various organizations, regarding:

File No. 251247 - Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte

Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163

richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: cbonina@aol.com
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#); [Law, Chad \(FIR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Ordinance for High Rise Concrete Residential Buildings
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 3:15:07 PM
Attachments: [Letter to Supes and Mayor re Sprinklers in HR Concrete Resi Blds 12.121.2025.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 11, 2025

To:

Mayor Dan Lurie

Board of Supervisors

Re: Fire Life-Safety Requirements for High-Rise Concrete Buildings and Consideration of Alternatives to Full Sprinkler Retrofits

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board

I am writing to highlight important considerations regarding proposed or potential sprinkler retrofit mandates for older high-rise concrete residential buildings in San Francisco. While life safety remains a top priority, it is equally essential to evaluate **building-specific risk, historic performance, and feasible alternative compliance pathways**—particularly when retrofit costs pose serious financial burdens for homeowners and undermine the city’s affordable housing goals.

Historical Fire Safety Performance of High-Rise Concrete Buildings

Mid- and late-20th-century concrete residential high-rises have virtually no record of fire-related fatalities in San Francisco—or nationwide—due to their inherent fire-resistive construction and robust compartmentalization.

These buildings, by design, do not behave like the low-rise wood-frame homes where nearly **80% of U.S. residential fire fatalities occur**. They

do not burn the same, they do not spread fire the same, and they do not present even remotely similar occupant risk profiles.

The Problem with One-Size-Fits-All Mandates

Imposing a blanket sprinkler requirement on older concrete towers—structures with decades of safe performance—ignores both risk and reality. It forces homeowners, many of whom are seniors or moderate-income residents, into **six-figure special assessments** for retrofits that are neither technically justified nor financially survivable.

The result would be predictable:

<!--[if !supportLists]--> ➤ <!--[endif]--> displacement,
<!--[if !supportLists]--> ➤ <!--[endif]--> forced sales,
<!--[if !supportLists]--> ➤ <!--[endif]--> upward pressure on HOA dues, and
<!--[if !supportLists]--> ➤ <!--[endif]--> the erosion of naturally occurring affordable housing—precisely the housing stock San Francisco can least afford to lose.

Key attributes contributing to High-Rise Concrete Residential Building fire resistant performance include:

1. Non-Combustible Construction

Concrete shear walls, slabs, and columns do not burn, contribute fuel, or release toxic smoke. This inherently limits fire spread and decreases flashover potential.

2. Effective Compartmentalization

Older high-rise buildings were often designed with robust compartmentation:

- 1–2-hour fire-rated walls and floors
 - Solid-core unit entry doors
 - Enclosed stairwells
- These features slow fire spread and protect means of egress even without sprinklers.

*Sprinklers, while extremely effective in combustible construction, provide **marginal incremental benefit** in this very specific building category, as confirmed by decades of real-world outcomes.*

3. Documented Low Fatality Rates*

National fire statistics consistently show:

- **Very few fire deaths occur in high-rise residential buildings with non-combustible construction.**
- The overwhelming majority of residential fire deaths occur in **low-rise, wood-frame homes** due to rapid fire growth and structural vulnerability.
- In many cities—including San Francisco—there is **no historical record of a fire fatality** in certain categories of reinforced concrete residential towers built to mid-century code standards.

This empirical history is critical. Mandating disruptive, costly sprinklers in buildings with decades-long records of life-safety performance raises legitimate questions about **risk-proportionality** and **cost effectiveness**.

Challenges of Retrofitting Sprinklers in Older Concrete High-Rises

Older concrete structures face uniquely complicated retrofit issues:

- Core drilling through structural elements.
- Limited vertical shaft space for new risers
- Extensive ceiling demolition and unit-by-unit access
- Potential need for major water service upgrades, new fire pumps, and seismic bracing

These engineering and construction challenges translate into **very high per-unit costs**, which can lead to substantial **special assessments** for homeowners—including seniors, long-term residents, and low- or moderate-income households.

In a city already facing severe affordability pressures, these retrofit expenses can unintentionally contribute to displacement and loss of economic diversity.

Alternatives to Full Sprinkler Retrofit in High-Rise Concrete Buildings

Given the proven life-safety track record of these structures and the disproportionate financial impact of sprinkler requirements, San Francisco should consider **equivalent or enhanced performance-based alternatives**, including:

1. Enhanced Early Detection & Alarm Systems

Modernizing building-wide fire alarm systems—combined with in-unit smoke and heat detection—can improve response times without intrusive physical retrofits.

2. Smoke Control & Pressurization Improvements

Upgrading stairwell pressurization systems, improving corridor ventilation, and sealing penetrations can significantly reduce smoke migration—the factor most often associated with fire injuries.

3. Fire-Resistant Door Upgrades

Ensuring all dwelling unit doors have proper fire ratings, self-closing mechanisms, and intact seals can maintain effective compartmentalization—one of the strongest life-safety features of mid-century concrete towers.

4. Emergency Communications Systems

Improved public address systems and clearer emergency instructions support safer and more orderly evacuations or “defend in place” strategies.

5. Targeted Sprinklering in High-Risk Areas

Rather than full-building systems, sprinklers may be installed in:

- Compactor rooms
- Mechanical/electrical rooms
- Basements or storage areas
- Trash chutes
- Building lobbies or common areas

This targeted approach addresses the highest ignition-risk zones while controlling cost.

6. Performance-Based Fire Engineering Analysis

In lieu of prescriptive sprinkler requirements, independent fire protection engineers can:

- Model building-specific risk.
- Assess evacuation timelines, smoke movement, and fire growth.
- Demonstrate that existing construction plus enhanced detection meets or exceeds safety objectives.

California codes already allow such **Alternative Means and Methods (AM&M)** submittals when appropriate.

Preserving Affordability While Maintaining Safety

San Francisco's commitment to fire safety must be matched with a commitment to housing stability. Requiring costly, technically challenging retrofits in buildings with no historical pattern of fatalities risks:

- Exacerbating affordability challenges
- Forcing homeowners into financial hardship
- Undermining the preservation of naturally occurring affordable housing
- Creating pressure to convert or redevelop older buildings, reducing socio-economic diversity.

A balanced approach—one that recognizes proven building performance and considers modern, less intrusive safety enhancements—is essential.

Conclusion

High-rise concrete buildings have decades-long records of protecting life through robust construction and compartmentalization. Mandating full sprinkler retrofits without acknowledging this record, or without considering less burdensome alternatives, risks imposing unnecessary financial strain on homeowners and diminishing San Francisco's

affordable housing stock.

I urge policymakers and stakeholders to consider a **performance-based, risk-informed approach** that maintains safety standards while protecting the city’s residents from untenable costs. However, any consideration of citywide sprinkler retrofit mandates must be grounded in **evidence, engineering principles, and financial reality**—not assumptions borrowed from entirely different building types.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss the technical and policy considerations in greater detail.

Sincerely,
Carole Bonina
66 Cleary Court Unit 1503
cbonina@aol.com

*** DATA APPENDIX: Fire Risk, Building Performance & Historical Outcomes**

Below is a data-driven summary to support the arguments above. All figures are drawn from NFPA, U.S. Fire Administration, and widely reported municipal data.

1. Residential Fire Fatalities by Building Type

U.S. Fatalities by Building Type (NFPA)

Building Category	Share of U.S. Residential Fire Fatalities	Notes
One- and Two-Family Wood-Frame Homes	~70–75%	Highest fuel load, fastest fire spread, older houses often lack alarms.
Low-Rise Apartments (Under 7 Stories)	~20–25%	Often wood or lightweight construction with limited compartmentation.

**High-Rise
Apartments (7+
Stories)** **<2–3%**

Overwhelmingly
noncombustible construction;
fatalities extremely rare.

Key Point:

High-rise buildings, especially concrete ones, represent only a tiny fraction of national fatalities.

2. The Fire Performance of Concrete High-Rises

Core structural features explaining the safety record:

- Noncombustible structure
- One- to two-hour floor and wall assemblies
- Highly compartmentalized unit layouts
- Enclosed, fire-rated stairs.
- Limited pathways for horizontal fire spread.

Historical Outcomes

- NFPA analyses show **zero reported fire deaths in many pre-1990 concrete high-rises with full compartmentation.**
- Many cities report *no fatal fires in these buildings for decades.*

In other words—these buildings already perform at or above modern life-safety objectives without sprinklers.

3. Why Sprinkler Systems Show Greatest Effectiveness in Combustible Construction

Sprinklers are transformative in:

- Wood-frame multifamily buildings
- Single-family homes
- Buildings with lightweight or truss construction prone to collapse

But in heavy concrete structures:

- Fire growth is slower.
- Flashover is delayed or prevented.

- Structural failure risk is minimal.
- Containment within the originating unit is nearly always achieved.

Sprinklers offer **diminishing returns** when the building itself already suppresses, or limits fire spread.

4. Financial Impact: Hazard to AFFORDABILITY, Not Just Safety

Typical Retrofit Cost Ranges (Industry Estimates)

Building Type	Cost Per Unit (Typical)	Notes
Pre-1970 Concrete High-Rise	\$30,000–\$90,000+ per unit	Complex coring, limited riser space, significant finish restoration.
Buildings Requiring Water Service Upgrades	+ \$500,000–\$2.5M	New service laterals, pumps, seismic bracing.

Affordability Impact

- Special assessments of \$40k–\$100k can force homeowners into **distress sales**.
- HOAs may face insolvency if multiple owners cannot pay assessments.
- Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH) buildings become financially nonviable.

This contradicts San Francisco’s stated housing stability goals.

5. Alternative Measures & Their Proven Effectiveness

Fire Fatalities by Primary Cause (NFPA)

Cause	Share of Fatalities	Mitigation
Smoke inhalation Lack of early	~40–50%	Smoke control, door upgrades Modern alarms, interconnected

detection	~25%	detection
Impaired mobility	15%	Defend-in-place strategies work best in concrete buildings
Fire growth/materials	Remainder	More limited in concrete structures

The data shows that improving detection and limiting smoke spread often saves more lives than sprinklers in noncombustible high-rises.

December 11, 2025

To:

Mayor Dan Lurie

Board of Supervisors

Re: Fire Life-Safety Requirements for High-Rise Concrete Buildings and Consideration of Alternatives to Full Sprinkler Retrofits

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board

I am writing to highlight important considerations regarding proposed or potential sprinkler retrofit mandates for older high-rise concrete residential buildings in San Francisco. While life safety remains a top priority, it is equally essential to evaluate **building-specific risk, historic performance, and feasible alternative compliance pathways**—particularly when retrofit costs pose serious financial burdens for homeowners and undermine the city’s affordable housing goals.

Historical Fire Safety Performance of High-Rise Concrete Buildings

Mid- and late-20th-century concrete residential high-rises have virtually no record of fire-related fatalities in San Francisco—or nationwide—due to their inherent fire-resistive construction and robust compartmentalization.

These buildings, by design, do not behave like the low-rise wood-frame homes where nearly **80% of U.S. residential fire fatalities occur**. They

do not burn the same, they do not spread fire the same, and they do not present even remotely similar occupant risk profiles.

The Problem with One-Size-Fits-All Mandates

Imposing a blanket sprinkler requirement on older concrete towers—structures with decades of safe performance—ignores both risk and reality. It forces homeowners, many of whom are seniors or moderate-income residents, into **six-figure special assessments** for retrofits that are neither technically justified nor financially survivable.

The result would be predictable:

- displacement,
- forced sales,
- upward pressure on HOA dues, and
- the erosion of naturally occurring affordable housing—precisely the housing stock San Francisco can least afford to lose.

Key attributes contributing to High-Rise Concrete Residential Building fire resistant performance include:

1. Non-Combustible Construction

Concrete shear walls, slabs, and columns do not burn, contribute fuel, or release toxic smoke. This inherently limits fire spread and decreases flashover potential.

2. Effective Compartmentalization

Older high-rise buildings were often designed with robust compartmentation:

- 1–2-hour fire-rated walls and floors
- Solid-core unit entry doors

- Enclosed stairwells

These features slow fire spread and protect means of egress even without sprinklers.

*Sprinklers, while extremely effective in combustible construction, provide **marginal incremental benefit** in this very specific building category, as confirmed by decades of real-world outcomes.*

3. Documented Low Fatality Rates*

National fire statistics consistently show:

- **Very few fire deaths occur in high-rise residential buildings with non-combustible construction.**
- The overwhelming majority of residential fire deaths occur in **low-rise, wood-frame homes** due to rapid fire growth and structural vulnerability.
- In many cities—including San Francisco—there is **no historical record of a fire fatality** in certain categories of reinforced concrete residential towers built to mid-century code standards.

This empirical history is critical. Mandating disruptive, costly sprinklers in buildings with decades-long records of life-safety performance raises legitimate questions about **risk-proportionality** and **cost effectiveness**.

Challenges of Retrofitting Sprinklers in Older Concrete High-Rises

Older concrete structures face uniquely complicated retrofit issues:

- Core drilling through structural elements.
- Limited vertical shaft space for new risers

- Extensive ceiling demolition and unit-by-unit access
- Potential need for major water service upgrades, new fire pumps, and seismic bracing

These engineering and construction challenges translate into **very high per-unit costs**, which can lead to substantial **special assessments** for homeowners—including seniors, long-term residents, and low- or moderate-income households.

In a city already facing severe affordability pressures, these retrofit expenses can unintentionally contribute to displacement and loss of economic diversity.

Alternatives to Full Sprinkler Retrofit in High-Rise Concrete Buildings

Given the proven life-safety track record of these structures and the disproportionate financial impact of sprinkler requirements, San Francisco should consider **equivalent or enhanced performance-based alternatives**, including:

1. Enhanced Early Detection & Alarm Systems

Modernizing building-wide fire alarm systems—combined with in-unit smoke and heat detection—can improve response times without intrusive physical retrofits.

2. Smoke Control & Pressurization Improvements

Upgrading stairwell pressurization systems, improving corridor ventilation, and sealing penetrations can significantly reduce smoke migration—the factor most often associated with fire injuries.

3. Fire-Resistant Door Upgrades

Ensuring all dwelling unit doors have proper fire ratings, self-closing mechanisms, and intact seals can maintain effective compartmentalization—one of the strongest life-safety features of mid-century concrete towers.

4. Emergency Communications Systems

Improved public address systems and clearer emergency instructions support safer and more orderly evacuations or “defend in place” strategies.

5. Targeted Sprinklering in High-Risk Areas

Rather than full-building systems, sprinklers may be installed in:

- Compactor rooms
- Mechanical/electrical rooms
- Basements or storage areas
- Trash chutes
- Building lobbies or common areas

This targeted approach addresses the highest ignition-risk zones while controlling cost.

6. Performance-Based Fire Engineering Analysis

In lieu of prescriptive sprinkler requirements, independent fire protection engineers can:

- Model building-specific risk.
- Assess evacuation timelines, smoke movement, and fire growth.

- Demonstrate that existing construction plus enhanced detection meets or exceeds safety objectives.

California codes already allow such **Alternative Means and Methods (AM&M)** submittals when appropriate.

Preserving Affordability While Maintaining Safety

San Francisco's commitment to fire safety must be matched with a commitment to housing stability. Requiring costly, technically challenging retrofits in buildings with no historical pattern of fatalities risks:

- Exacerbating affordability challenges
- Forcing homeowners into financial hardship
- Undermining the preservation of naturally occurring affordable housing
- Creating pressure to convert or redevelop older buildings, reducing socio-economic diversity.

A balanced approach—one that recognizes proven building performance and considers modern, less intrusive safety enhancements—is essential.

Conclusion

High-rise concrete buildings have decades-long records of protecting life through robust construction and compartmentalization. Mandating full sprinkler retrofits without acknowledging this record, or without considering less burdensome alternatives, risks imposing unnecessary financial strain on homeowners and diminishing San Francisco's affordable housing stock.

I urge policymakers and stakeholders to consider a **performance-based, risk-informed approach** that maintains safety standards while protecting the city’s residents from untenable costs. However, any consideration of citywide sprinkler retrofit mandates must be grounded in **evidence, engineering principles, and financial reality**—not assumptions borrowed from entirely different building types.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss the technical and policy considerations in greater detail.

Sincerely,

Carole Bonina

66 Cleary Court Unit 1503

cbonina@aol.com

*** DATA APPENDIX: Fire Risk, Building Performance & Historical Outcomes**

Below is a data-driven summary to support the arguments above. All figures are drawn from NFPA, U.S. Fire Administration, and widely reported municipal data.

1. Residential Fire Fatalities by Building Type

U.S. Fatalities by Building Type (NFPA)

Building Category	Share of U.S. Residential Fire Fatalities	Notes
One- and Two-Family Wood-Frame Homes	~70–75%	Highest fuel load, fastest fire spread, older houses often lack alarms.
Low-Rise Apartments (Under 7 Stories)	~20–25%	Often wood or lightweight construction with limited compartmentation.
High-Rise Apartments (7+ Stories)	<2–3%	Overwhelmingly noncombustible construction; fatalities extremely rare.

Key Point:

High-rise buildings, especially concrete ones, represent only a tiny fraction of national fatalities.

2. The Fire Performance of Concrete High-Rises

Core structural features explaining the safety record:

- Noncombustible structure
- One- to two-hour floor and wall assemblies
- Highly compartmentalized unit layouts
- Enclosed, fire-rated stairs.
- Limited pathways for horizontal fire spread.

Historical Outcomes

- NFPA analyses show **zero reported fire deaths in many pre-1990 concrete high-rises with full compartmentation.**
- Many cities report *no fatal fires in these buildings for decades.*

In other words—these buildings already perform at or above modern life-safety objectives without sprinklers.

3. Why Sprinkler Systems Show Greatest Effectiveness in Combustible Construction

Sprinklers are transformative in:

- Wood-frame multifamily buildings
- Single-family homes
- Buildings with lightweight or truss construction prone to collapse

But in heavy concrete structures:

- Fire growth is slower.
- Flashover is delayed or prevented.
- Structural failure risk is minimal.
- Containment within the originating unit is nearly always achieved.

Sprinklers offer **diminishing returns** when the building itself already suppresses, or limits fire spread.

4. Financial Impact: Hazard to AFFORDABILITY, Not Just Safety

Typical Retrofit Cost Ranges (Industry Estimates)

Building Type	Cost Per Unit (Typical)	Notes
Pre-1970 Concrete High-Rise	\$30,000–\$90,000+ per unit	Complex coring, limited riser space, significant finish restoration.
Buildings Requiring Water Service Upgrades	+ \$500,000–\$2.5M	New service laterals, pumps, seismic bracing.

Affordability Impact

- Special assessments of \$40k–\$100k can force homeowners into **distress sales**.
- HOAs may face insolvency if multiple owners cannot pay assessments.
- Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH) buildings become financially nonviable.

This contradicts San Francisco’s stated housing stability goals.

5. Alternative Measures & Their Proven Effectiveness

Fire Fatalities by Primary Cause (NFPA)

Cause	Share of Fatalities	Mitigation
Smoke inhalation	~40–50%	Smoke control, door upgrades
Lack of early detection	~25%	Modern alarms, interconnected detection
Impaired mobility	15%	Defend-in-place strategies work best in concrete buildings
Fire growth/materials	Remainder	More limited in concrete structures

The data shows that improving detection and limiting smoke spread often saves more lives than sprinklers in noncombustible high-rises.

From: [Sook Choi](#)
To: [SherrillStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I oppose SF fire sprinkler mandate
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 3:27:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Sherrill and Board of Supervisors,

I have been a San Francisco resident in the building located at 2200 Pacific Avenue for over 40 years, and I write to request your support to oppose the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for this building and similar older residential buildings.

Our group of residents are committed to pragmatic and effective safety measures that will continue to protect us and our neighbors.

The current mandate is not feasible or pragmatic, as it would cost each unit around **\$300,000+** to implement. This is cost prohibitive for most residents in the building, including myself.

Importantly, there is truly no need for this mandate to be required retroactively in this particular building located at 2200 Pacific Avenue for the reasons identified below:

1. The building at 2200 Pacific Avenue is a concrete building which has 1-2 hours fire resistance. This is a building with 24-hour security personnel / doorman and there would be ample time for the fire department to respond to any smoke detectors / fire alarms.
2. The building has upgraded to a new fire alarm, smoke detector, and electrical system that encompasses new alarm equipment in the lobby and garage. We also have new speakers in the hallways/corridors and additional smoke detectors in the common areas including corridors. This is sufficient to pick up on any potential fires and to alert residents/visitors, and, given the presence of 24-hour onsite security personnel, the fire department would be called in a timely manner to address any real-time fire concerns.
3. In a building like ours with concrete 8' tall ceilings, code-required earthquake brackets for holding sprinkler pipes, means 11-14" deep soffits to cover the pipes in corridors and inside units. The new "ceiling height" would be 7' or below, which is *lower* than the height required by code to be considered "living space".
4. This places undue burden on the building residents. In addition to the substantial financial burden, residents of our building (whether renters or homeowners) would be forced to essentially move out of our units, with our belongings, for a minimum of 6 months (probably more, given typical construction delays). This mandate affecting 100+ apartment buildings, condos and co-ops all over the city, would displace residents looking for temporary housing while construction is completed. Housing is already scarce in San Francisco. Many renters and homeowners may not come back to their original units.

I respectfully request that 2200 Pacific Avenue be exempted from the fire sprinkler retrofitting mandate. In the case of our building, the benefits are not proportional to the undue burden placed on residents, particularly in light of the concrete nature of the building, updated fire alarm systems, and the presence of a 24-hour doorman in the building to ensure the timely handling of any fire threats.

Thank you for your time and consideration, and I would be most grateful for your support.

Sincerely,

Sook Choi

From: [Marian Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Installation of Fire Sprinklers
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 5:23:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marian Li <mli388@gmail.com>
Date: December 8, 2025 at 11:07:27 PM PST
To: bos@sfgov.org
Subject: Fwd: Installation of Fire Sprinklers

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marian Li <mli388@gmail.com>
Date: December 8, 2025 at 10:54:30 PM PST
To: Board.of.Supervors@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Installation of Fire Sprinklers

SF Board of Supervisors

I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our building on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on me.

My condominium building is not designed to target water to individual units. It was built in 1964. It would not only be a disastrous design inside a small living space but also create a significant special assessment to retrofit the building's substructure systems (if it can even be done) as well as the hallway space and then in addition create some kind of piping into each unit and room. The construction and financial impact would take years to meet the requirements and would be unaffordable for many of us owners.

This would be creating more unaffordable housing for current affordable residences.

I don't have the savings set aside for such a huge unexpected addition to my budget!

The City and State is passing new laws without consideration of existing building operations and living conditions. We residents need help to cope with the rising costs of living in San Francisco. Please consider the practical ramifications of these new sprinkler retrofitting measures and exercise some common sense.

Thank you for your assistance and support.

Sincerely,

Marian Li

2200 Sacramento Street #1003

San Francisco, CA. 94115

Sent from my iPad

From: [meriel.Lindley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Maryland Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate Will Not Be Enforced - LerchEarlyBrewer
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 7:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.lerchearly.com/news/maryland-sprinkler-retrofit-mandate-will-not-be-enforced/___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpnNDkwMTk1ZGE4OGQxYjMzNzZiYzNiZTEwOTk2MTI1Nz03OjVlZjY6MGE2NW12YzczMDZlNjExZGYwN2FjODlkZDRjMTkyZWVhZDlmNjE0ZTkxNzlmYTU5MzcyMDgzMWJhMGUwZWU3MTpwOIQ6Tg

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Meg Reilly](#)
To: [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: High Rise Sprinkler Mandate!
Date: Friday, December 12, 2025 2:34:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a 75 year old resident in Fontana East living with my 82 year old husband. We are on a fixed income, like many of the long time owners in this coop high rise. Original owners paid in the neighborhood of \$29,000 for our apartments. We are not among the ultra-rich. Over time, the monthly assessment to cover building operating costs have risen to a point that it is difficult to pay that, as well as real estate taxes. Those two items alone represent a major chunk of our available funds.

Fontana East invested very recently in a new fire safety system. This is a concrete building. The new system is perfectly adequate. A forced upgrade to an unneeded sprinkler system is economically unsustainable and unfair.

We implore you to reverse course on this non-sensical "**one-size-fits-all**" legislation.

With gratitude for your attention and consideration.
Margaret Reilly

From: geofnorman@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Code Change for Fire Sprinklers
Date: Saturday, December 13, 2025 1:33:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I wanted to make sure that the mayor and board of supervisors saw the email I sent this morning to Stephen Sherrill ... we appreciate all of the work you do for our beautiful city, and Let's Go San Francisco!

Here's the text:

Dear Supervisor Sherrill,

We have lived at 1896 Pacific Avenue for nearly 11 years ... it's a beautiful 100-year old building at the corner of Gough Street and my wife and I live on the top floor. I am nearly 82 and my wife is nearly 79.

We are very concerned regarding the 2022 SF fire code change mandating automatic sprinklers for older buildings like ours.

About 65% of our building is currently sprinklered, including all of the public area, garages, basements, etc.

Fire ladders can reach all of our units, and being a corner building makes it even easier to gain access in the event of a fire.

We spend a lot of time as an HOA, of which I am a member, focusing on fire safety measures, including monthly and quarterly checks of our system, replacements of hoses on a regular basis, quarterly and annual sprinkler tests, repairs and upkeep of fire escapes, annual refreshment of in-unit fire extinguishers, and more.

The San Francisco Chronicle's recent article provided a timely reminder of the impact on homeowners if the fire code change is not substantially altered or eliminated for buildings like ours.

I urge you and the Board of Supervisors to act thoughtfully on behalf of the many homeowners who would be affected by this unnecessarily overreaching code change.

We appreciate all that you do for our district and for the city as a whole ... many

thanks!

Geoffrey and Christina Norman

From: [mitch cihomsky](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: SF High Rise Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Saturday, December 13, 2025 5:15:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

I understand and support that you wish to make San Francisco more affordable and livable. However, **this mandate will** have the opposite effect and **continue to drive tax paying citizens out of the City.**

No other city has this onerous requirement.

Please take action to drastically amend or delete the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings. Please collaborate with the 126 affected buildings/residents on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors as well or better.

This mandate was passed in 2023 with no notice to homeowners and without any discussion of its feasibility or cost. It **makes no differentiation based upon the fire escape and protection available at very different types of buildings that you have lumped together as one.** In my building for example, the doors to an outdoor fire escape are located for easy and quick access to exterior concrete fire stairs. A sprinkler system would have negligible benefit.

The mandate places **unreasonable physical and financial hardships on residents.** A nonprofit HOA building's resources are limited with a budget solely based on the actual annual and projected long-term expenses. Annual budget increases are also limited by state law and will impact those on fixed incomes.

The sprinkler mandate and its significant financial impact to each of us individually will impact our HOA's ability to budget and pay for our assessments. A single undertaking of this financial significance could limit the financial health and well-being of the community for years and also result in an inability to maintain the rest of our building as needed.

This is **yet another blow to the affordability concerns that all residents in San Francisco face,** not only because of the direct cost of compliance but because of the effect this will have on thousands of rental units and apartments throughout the City.

While I strongly support fire and life safety measures, **this mandate was enacted without proper study of variations in buildings, their susceptibility to fire and their current fire protection measures.** The financial cost will be too high for a huge number of residents likely causing another mass exodus from the city.

Thank you for hearing my concern. **Please stop this mandate!!!**

Sincerely,
Mitchell G. Cihomsky
Homeowner, 1200 California Street

From: [Anna Abeyta](#)
To: [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#)
Cc: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Failure to Notify Owners Subject to Fire Code Section 1103.5.4
Date: Monday, December 15, 2025 8:38:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Fire Commission:

I'm writing to ask the Fire Commission to confirm that all owners subject to 1103.5.4. [For SF] Automatic Sprinkler System for Existing High-Rise Buildings have been notified as required by Section 1103.5.4.3. In addition, I'm asking for clarity that if an owner gets delayed notification, that the 12 year compliance timeline will start for that building at the time of written notification, as opposed to the dates listed in Section 1103.5.4.5.

Background:

I read about the November 12 Fire Commission meeting in the Chronicle, and decided to watch the video.

The Fire Department represented to the Fire Commission that the all the owners of these existing older high-rise buildings had been sent letters notifying them of the change to the 2022 Fire Code now requiring fully retrofitting their buildings with sprinklers.

I am an owner of over 10 years in one of these buildings, and I was certain that I had not received such a letter. I am a part owner of one unit in a condominium building with 112 individual units. To make sure I was not just a one off, I asked several other owners if they had received letters. No one remember having received a letter from the Fire Department.

I read the Fire Code. And sure enough, Section 1103.5.4.3. required the Fire Department to notify all owners within 120 days by certified mail of this change in the Fire Code. That would have been by April 30, 2023, over 2 1/2 years ago.

Through a public records request, I asked the Fire Department for a copy of the letter they should have sent me. I gave them the address of the building and my mailing address, the same mailing address that DBI has used to notify me numerous times of the Façade ordinance.

The response I got from the Fire Department was that the letter had been mailed to the building engineer. Letters were not sent to any of the owners in our building. And I've since learned that the owners in at least three other buildings may not have received letters either.

Conclusion:

The Fire Department, likely without knowledge or intention, may have misinformed the Fire Commission and, by extension, the public on this important requirement.

Therefore, I have two requests of the Fire Commission.

One, ask the Fire Department to review the process used to identify the owners subject to Section 1103.5.4, determine what went wrong that all owners were not notified, and identify by building the number of owners that have and have not been mailed the required Notification Letters.

And two, clarify that if an owner gets delayed notification, that the 12 year compliance timeline will start for that building at the time of written notification, as opposed to the dates listed in Section 1103.5.4.5.

Let me know if you would like additional information.

Sincerely,

Anna Abeyta

From: [Michael Tognarelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); shaman.walton@sfgov.org; [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: patricia.rudd.feac
Subject: Amend/Repeal the SF Older High-Rise Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Monday, December 15, 2025 4:03:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mr. Mayor,

I am writing to request you to take action to amend the fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings and work with our buildings on other feasible life safety measures that will protect our residents and visitors.

The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship on our residents.

If the code is not changed, my expected cost would be comparable with my current annual salary. Relocating during the retrofit, and the cost associated with that, would also be an expensive hardship. In short, I'd probably have to move out and take a considerable loss on my property value.

Further, the demographics in our building skew to a more senior population. Many people face health issues and/or live on fixed incomes. For this group, the assessed cost may be prohibitive to their retaining their ownership, and even if they can absorb the cost, relocation to an accommodating temporary home, for some, may be infeasible.

We have recently had a meeting at Fort Mason with Supervisors Sherril and Sauter and the mayor's representative wherein many very germane opinions were voiced by residents. I hope that you may be able to review recordings. At the time of the meeting, the supervisors and the mayor's representative in attendance were very supportive of our position. It is very disappointing to hear that the mayor himself may have reversed course. I sincerely hope that he will reconsider.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Regards,

Michael Tognarelli
Board Member and Shareholder
Fontana East Apartment Corporation

From: [John Linehan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: Fire Mandate
Date: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 9:02:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Mayor Laurie and other officials:

I am a homeowner, longtime resident and registered voter who lives in a nonprofit HOA located in the City of San Francisco. I am writing to request that you take action to amend the fire sprinkler mandate for high-rise residential buildings and that you collaborate with the residents of the approximately 126 affected buildings/residents in amending this mandate.

I and a group of about 150 registered voters in my building and several thousand other voters in more than 100 other buildings will be actively watching and reacting to how you vote and act on this request. This is a critical issue for ***several thousand*** San Francisco residents who are likely to follow this issue and how you and others vote on it more closely than we have ever followed any issue in San Francisco over our many years and, in some cases, lifetimes spent living here. If this mandate is continued, most of us will be displaced from our homes and many will have to sell because we cannot afford the work required.

In this email, I will assume you are familiar with the unaffordable cost to both the city and homeowners of the work required by this mandate. It is virtually impossible from an affordability standpoint for either the city or us residents to complete this work as required in the amendment.

We agree with and support all reasonable fire and safety requirements from the city of San Francisco. But there is a point where the cost and unreasonableness of the requirements are excessive and this is clearly one of those cases.

We hope to have your support in changing the mandate.

Thank you and best to you and your families during the holidays!

John Linehan
1200 California Street
Apartment 12C
john@linehanweb.com

From: [Rudy Gonzalez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Zou, Han \(MYR\)](#); [Larry Mazzola Jr.](#)
Subject: Sprinkler Retrofit Legislation
Date: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 10:46:28 AM
Attachments: [BOFP SF Retrofit Cost 12-16-2025.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

I write to update the members of the Board of Supervisors on upcoming legislation that will be introduced, today, amending the fire code. This legislation will delay certain deadlines, within the overall 12-year implementation period.

We **support delaying internal deadlines** and **establishing a technical advisory committee (TAC)**, which many of you are familiar with, in the context of inclusionary zoning. This is an important step to ensure stakeholder and expert input, not to mention effective coordination between city agencies.

It should also be noted that some outrageous numbers are floating around causing very real concern for condo owners. These numbers were circulated by a luxury home builder, who ironically, doesn't build with union wages, benefits, or standards. One would think those numbers would be far less than our estimates, but alas they are inflated.

In the attachment to this email, you will see a rebuttal letter referencing Item 2 (Black Mountain Construction) and Item 1 ([National Statement from NFSA](#)).

Feel free to visit www.firesafesf.org to learn more about this important issue.

Finally, thanks for your time and public service. We can work collaboratively to advance public safety policy that saves lives and engages stakeholders in a meaningful way.

Best,

Rudy

Rudy Gonzalez
Secretary-Treasurer
[San Francisco Building & Construction Trades Council, AFL-CIO](#)
Phone [\(415\) 345-9333](tel:(415)345-9333)
Cell [\(415\) 794-0377](tel:(415)794-0377)

Scheduling: Sandra@sfbctc.org

Media: OrgLabor@sfbctc.org

Are you getting Organized Labor News in your inbox? Visit orglabornews.org



December 16, 2025

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a licensed California C-16 Fire Protection contractor with direct experience designing and installing automatic fire sprinkler systems throughout San Francisco and the greater Northern California region.

I have been asked to respond to the letter attached as *Item 2* which provides a cost analysis of a fire sprinkler retrofit. Also linked below as *Item 1* is an analysis from the NFSA that provides an alternative cost analysis of a fire sprinkler retrofit.

I submit this statement to formally disagree with both analyses, not because either is necessarily “wrong” but because both are attempting to provide per-unit and per-square foot pricing for something that simply cannot be broken down to such a basic level. Retrofit sprinkler installations are extremely project-specific and vary greatly based on building type, existing water supply, access conditions, unit size, and more.

These installations are performed using CPVC or steel piping systems and can be installed surface-mounted or with minimally concealed routing, with targeted penetrations coordinated to limit finish disturbance. Labor and material costs at these levels reflect efficient system layouts, code-compliant coverage, and standard installation practices routinely employed by licensed C-16 contractors, and most often result in values near, to even within, the range of **approximately \$10–\$20 per square foot, or \$15,000–\$30,000 per dwelling unit**, presented by the NFSA.

By contrast, the estimates in *Item 2*—approaching **\$100 per square foot and \$200,000–\$225,000 per unit**—conflate the fire sprinkler scope to the far opposite end of the spectrum with extensive selective demolition, framing alterations, full ceiling and wall reconstruction, and unrelated mechanical, electrical, and plumbing modifications. Such work is not intrinsic to sprinkler installation, nor is it typically required to achieve compliance with applicable retrofit sprinkler mandates. Presenting bundled general construction scope as sprinkler retrofit cost materially misrepresents the true cost of the work.

We can't outright deny that the price **could be** upwards of \$200k/unit, but it's only going to be extreme edge cases where the situation is a large building that has very few units, and/or needs major infrastructure upgrades that are not common but necessary to accommodate the



fire sprinkler retrofit. We also can't outright state that the NFSA estimates are not on the very low end, achievable in the right circumstance, yes, but are on the opposite end of the spectrum edge cases. The vast majority of retrofits fall somewhere in-between.

For purposes of public policy, regulatory evaluation, and owner decision-making, it is critical that fire sprinkler retrofit costs be assessed based on the actual scope of fire protection materials, labor, and installation methods, rather than expanded construction scenarios that do not reflect standard practice or extreme best-case scenarios.

Jon Zang
CEO, Battalion One Fire Protection
Licensed California C-16 Fire Protection Contractor
San Francisco & Northern California

Item 1: <https://nfsa.org/2025/11/21/national-fire-sprinkler-association-san-francisco-retrofit-cost-projections-are-wildly-inflated-and-out-of-step-with-real-world-data/>

Item 2: attached letter from Black Mountain



September 2, 2025

Renn Rhodes and Daniel McClain
Royal Towers San Francisco

Re: Royal Tower Auto Sprinkler Mandate

Dear Renn and Daniel,

Thank you for reaching out regarding the City's retroactive automatic fire sprinkler mandate. As you can imagine, estimating the cost of installing fire sprinklers in existing, non-sprinklered units involves a wide range of variables. That said, I've outlined below my best estimates based on two different scenarios for your reference.

Using your example—our current project at Apartment 305, 1750 Taylor—as a baseline: this particular unit is undergoing a full gut remodel. This type of project allows for significant efficiencies, as we would be able to design the mechanical, electrical, plumbing systems, special architectural elements, and the required fire sprinklers in coordination, as if the unit were new construction.

In this scenario, the fire sprinkler portion of the project would cost approximately **\$50,000**, or roughly **\$23 per square foot**, based on the unit's 2,190 square feet. The remaining project costs are allocated to finishes and other scopes of work.

However, retrofitting a unit where no other construction is taking place presents a far more complex and costly challenge. Installing sprinklers in an occupied or finished unit brings many unknowns, as we must carefully work around existing systems and finishes—many of which may need to be removed and reinstalled.



The following are examples of additional scope items involved in a retrofit:

1. Unit protection
2. Selective demolition
3. Miscellaneous mechanical modifications
4. Miscellaneous electrical modifications
5. Miscellaneous plumbing modifications
6. Drywall and ceiling framing
7. Painting
8. Cleaning
9. Additional supervision and general requirements

Given these complexities, I estimate that a retrofit in a similarly sized 2,190 square foot unit would cost between **\$200,000 to \$225,000**, or approximately **\$100 per square foot**.

Please don't hesitate to reach out if you have any further questions or would like to discuss this in more detail. I'm happy to assist.

Best regards,

Jeff Woods, Founder & President
Black Mountain Construction

From: [John Messinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Sprinkler Mandate
Date: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 6:07:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We are writing regarding the sprinkler mandate [recently reported](#) in the *San Francisco Standard*.

We respectfully urge the Board to reconsider the mandate or to expand the exemption criteria. Our building in Telegraph Hill falls near the margin of the current requirements, and while it may qualify for an exemption, we feel the mandate itself imposes an undue burden on homeowners.

The financial, logistical, and emotional costs of compliance are significant. Retrofitting could require tens of thousands of dollars per household, along with major disruption to daily life. For many residents—including my husband and me—this level of expense is unsustainable. We have lived in San Francisco since 2012, invested in our home, and contributed to the community. Yet after experiencing multiple layoffs in recent years, as well as other required safety expenditures such as upgraded electrical panels and alarm systems, we are already struggling. If forced to absorb this additional cost, we may have no choice but to leave the city altogether.

We urge the Board to weigh the real impact this mandate will have on long-term residents and to consider broader exemptions that protect homeowners from financial displacement.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Sincerely,
John Messinger and Brian Fenn
150 Lombard St, #305
San Francisco, CA 94111

From: [Michael Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: Petition Regarding Proposed Sprinkler System Mandate for Older Condo Buildings
Date: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 8:15:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express concerns about the recent proposal to mandate the installation of sprinkler systems in older condominium buildings within the city.

As a homeowner at Telegraph Landing North, located under Telegraph Hill, it remains unclear whether this mandate will apply to our building and, if so, to what extent. However, it is evident that implementing such a mandate without financial subsidies or a clear plan to address potential loss-of-use of affected spaces would place an enormous and burdensome task on homeowners.

Many of us in older buildings cannot bear the cost of unplanned structural upgrades. These buildings were not designed to accommodate modern safety technologies, and retrofitting them presents significant challenges. The financial strain aside, some buildings simply cannot be safely altered without unknown consequences. While I understand and appreciate the city's desire to increase safety, this proposal, in practice, may create more harm than good, placing homeowners under undue stress and potentially leading to greater complications than it seeks to prevent.

Additionally, this proposal appears to have been introduced with minimal public disclosure or community input. Given the weight of its potential impact, I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to reconsider or delay this mandate until more thorough consultation and planning can take place.

This issue is not a matter of subjective preference but rather one of practical feasibility. The burden of such a mandate is simply too great for existing owners and residents to bear.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Liao

152 Lombard Street, SF 94111

From: [BOB HARRER](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Commission, Fire \(FIR\)](#)
Subject: Amend the sprinkler retrofit rule, PLEASE
Date: Wednesday, December 17, 2025 1:26:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Commissioners:

We are writing to express our great concern regarding the current sprinkler retrofit rule. My wife and I are retired and have lived in our 9-story building for 36 years. During that time there has never been a single incident involving fire in the building. A sprinkler retrofit will be highly disruptive, requiring us to relocate for months or years. It will also be a huge financial hardship to relocate and simultaneously pay rent, our existing mortgage, and a reported \$100,000-300,000 in construction costs. With its low concrete ceilings, our unit is ill-designed to accommodate any significant new water infrastructure. Moreover, such infrastructure risks new damage due to incidental water leaks from the new water system. We strongly support amending the rules to trigger a sprinkler retrofit only when a major renovation to the building is undertaken (which is the normal standard used by other cities nationwide). While we support the need to save lives, there are better alternative approaches in this case than retrofitting sprinklers in our building.

Sincerely,

Robert and Janis Harrer

From: [Patterson, Jeff](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Law, Chad \(FIR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); shaman.walton@sfgov.org; [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Unreasonable Fire Sprinkler Mandate for Older High-Rise Buildings
Date: Thursday, December 18, 2025 10:13:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I urge Mayor Lurie, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, and the San Francisco Fire Administration to reconsider the currently contemplated fire sprinkler retrofitting requirement for older high-rise buildings. The fire sprinkler mandate was passed without any notice to or discussion with the affected buildings and places an unreasonable physical and financial hardship and loss on owners and residents. If the mandate is not retracted or amended, it will have catastrophic economic consequences for me and similarly situated unit owners and tenants of affected buildings. Among other things:

1. I will be subject to a special assessment of \$200,000 - \$300,000. This is not only a hugely disproportionate and unbearable expense, but it casts an untenable cloud on my unit, destroying its market value.
2. I will be required to move out of my unit for 6 to 12 months or more for the required major construction work, thereby imposing further unreasonable expense; and
3. Major modifications will be made to my unit, resulting in lowered 7-foot ceilings, which will make my unit uninhabitable and unmarketable.

I and all other owners and occupants in these buildings cannot withstand these devastating impacts. While fire safety is clearly important, the current fire sprinkler mandate poses unreasonable financial and physical burdens at a time when the City of San Francisco should be focused on increasing the housing supply and reducing the cost of occupancy. This sprinkler mandate for older buildings is absolutely contrary to the goal of encouraging increased supply and affordability of housing and seems to be designed to satisfy the desires of organized labor by creating unnecessarily expensive retrofitting work. Less onerous fire safety alternatives are available and should be considered to balance the financial hardships to be suffered by building occupants. Please support the reconsideration of these onerous sprinkler retrofitting requirements and work with the representatives of over 125 affected buildings to arrive at a more reasonable approach that is consistent with the City's housing goals. At worst, existing buildings should be exempt from the mandate unless they voluntarily undertake a major renovation.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey R. Patterson
2200 Pacific Ave., #7B
San Francisco, CA 94115

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this electronic e-mail and any accompanying attachment(s) is intended only for the use of the intended recipient and may be confidential and/or privileged. If any reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail, and delete the original message and all copies from your system. Thank you.

From: [Robert Kozma](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Art Wong](#); [Sercan Arik](#)
Subject: The Mayor's Sprinkler Mandate Proposal
Date: Wednesday, December 17, 2025 12:07:08 PM
Attachments: [An Equivalent Fire Safety Proposal 12-17-25.docx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Melgar and Supervisors Chen and Mahmood,

We appreciate the Mayor's effort to respond to the concerns of many of us in legacy buildings throughout the city who are faced with the huge implications of the sprinkler mandate.

However, we agree with Supervisor Sherrill that merely pushing this down the road doesn't solve anyone's problems.

The mandate certainly needs studying. But to have it hanging over our heads, even if deferred, gives owners and tenants no relief. Indeed, it's going to make condos potentially worth \$200-\$300K less.

If anyone in any of the buildings wanted to sell their condo, they would have to disclose the mandate and potential buyers would ask for a credit. In addition, the assumption could be made that the building is not fire safe as it is! That could further erode value. Finally, if the mandate holds after the study, the price of installing the sprinklers will only be higher in 2030.

In an attempt to address the legitimate fire safety concerns of the mandate as well as the legitimate concerns of many owners and tenants it would affect, my fellow residents and I at 999 Green, have developed an alternative version of the mandate that we believe resolves the issue.

In the attached 2-page document, we propose to put Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4 up front of the sprinkler mandate and provide an alternative compliance model with a Performance-Based Design that achieves calculated Safety Equivalency. The proposal integrates building-specific Engineered Life Safety System upgrades with an active-community Fire Safety Management Plan to provide a four-point **Performance-Based Approach** to equivalent fire safety, with proportionate effort and minimum hardship.

We believe this four-point, performance-based program not only creates fire safe buildings without the undue hardship of sprinkler installation, at far less cost and disruption of lives, but also builds fire-safe communities that enhance San Francisco's preparedness and resilience in a wide range of emergencies.

Please consider this as you take up the sprinkler mandate of the Fire Code update. And we would be glad to elaborate on our proposal at the meeting in which you take up this issue. Please keep us informed of that date.

Warm regards,

Bob Kozma

Robert B. Kozma, Ph.D.

Emeritus Principal Scientist
SRI International
San Francisco
<http://robertkozma.com>
bob@robertkozma.com
+1 415-623-4340

Kozma, R., *Make the World a Better Place: Design with Passion, Purpose, and Values*.
Wiley & Sons, 2023

12-5-25
Alternative Compliance via
Active Fire Safety Communities and Engineered Life Safety Systems
A Proposal

Residents of The Summit
999 Green St.

ABSTRACT

In response to the disproportionate effort of sprinkler installation for the safety benefit achieved in older buildings and the undue hardship of high costs, we propose to build on Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4 and provide an alternative compliance model with a Performance-Based Design that achieves calculated Safety Equivalency. The proposal integrates building-specific Engineered Life Safety System upgrades with an active-community Fire Safety Management Plan to provide a four-point **Performance-Based Approach** to equivalent fire safety with proportionate effort and minimum hardship.

1. Strengthen Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4 to provide an opt-out-first approach to fire safety for buildings that commit to Engineered Life Safety System (ELSS) building upgrades and a rigorous, periodically certified Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP).
2. Participating buildings hire a Fire Protection Engineer (FPE) to conduct an Engineered Life Safety System assessment to list its current fire safety features and agree to make recommended upgrades related to fire detection and alarms, communication, smoke control and management, compartmentation, firefighter support Infrastructure, and egress improvements.
3. Each building also works with the FPE to design a Fire Safety Management Plan that engages the building community in developing verifiable fire safety practices in four core areas: fire prevention procedures, system maintenance testing, emergency response procedures, and documentation and performance monitoring.
4. Each building will undergo a third-party audit and recertification every three years to prove the FSMP is active, with a snap-back sprinkler mandate for persistent failure to do so.

This four-point, performance-based program not only creates fire safe buildings without the undue hardship of sprinkler installation, at far less cost and disruption of lives, but also builds fire-safe communities that enhance San Francisco's preparedness and resilience in a wide range of emergencies.

Contacts

Robert Kozma, bob@robertkozma.com, 415-623-4340

Sercan Arik, sercanarik@gmail.com

Art Wong, abwong41@yahoo.com

12-5-25
Alternative Compliance via
Active Fire Safety Communities and Engineered Life Safety Systems
A Proposal

A Group of Residents at The Summit
999 Green St.

The high cost and resident dislocation required by the impending fire sprinkler mandate, especially for elderly residents and those with fixed income, compels us—a group of more than two dozen residents at 999 Green St.—to offer a proposal to achieve the same fire risk reduction at a much lower cost.

Limitations of Fire Sprinklers

Fire sprinklers have been proven to save lives and property, which is why they are included in both the current and proposed San Francisco Fire Code. However, fire sprinklers have limitations.

Their biggest limitation is that they are reactive not proactive: Fire sprinklers do not prevent fires from happening. Nor do they close the door behind a panicked evacuee when a fire happens. They don't check to see if the neighbor next door is okay or help them down the stairs, if needed. And sprinklers present a risk of their own: Significant collateral water damage. Also, they may foster passive, complacent residents: "Why worry—or do anything—about fire safety when that sprinkler system we paid so much for has us covered?"

Because of these limitations, the sprinkler mandate in older buildings represents a disproportionate effort for their safety return and their significant associated cost and disruptive installation represents an undue hardship for residents. In some cases, an elderly owner on fixed income may have to sell their condo at a loss because they can't afford the installation assessment or a tenant may have to move because they can't afford an allowed compensatory rent increase.

Performance-Based Approach to Section 1103.5.4.4

In response to this disproportionate effort and the undue hardship, we draw upon on Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4 to propose the alternative compliance of a Performance-Based Alternative that achieves calculated Safety Equivalency. Our proposal combines building-specific Engineered Life Safety System (ELSS) upgrades with an active community Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP) to provide building-appropriate and certified equivalent fire safety without the undue hardship of sprinkler retrofits.

Our proposal encourages buildings to adopt a proactive, life safety upgrade plan and build a certified fire safety resident community. When staff and residents are trained, organized and supported by the right building systems, they can prevent fires from happening, as well as stop and/or quickly contain them if they do occur. And they also facilitate to the safe evacuation of the building.

We propose this four-point, performance-based alternative that achieves safety equivalency without the invasive and potentially-displacing cost of retrofitting sprinkler systems:

1. Codify the "Active Community/ELSS" Alternative. We propose strengthening Fire Code Section 1103.5.4.4, which currently allows the Fire Marshal to approve modifications, to formalize a "Certified Active Fire Safety Community" compliance option. Rather than treating modifications as rare exceptions, the Code should provide a formal opt-out path for buildings that implement Engineered Life

Safety System (ELSS) building upgrades (short of sprinklers) and maintain a rigorous, periodically certified Fire Safety Management Plan (FSMP) that complements the building upgrade with verified active-community fire safety training and practices.

2. ELSS Building Assessment. Each building is unique. A "one-size-fits-all" mandate ignores the superior fire-resistance ratings of certain legacy buildings. The Engineered Life Safety System assessment of each building would examine the fire safety features of a particular building and make recommendations related to: Enhanced Detection and Alarms; Emergency Voice/Communication; Smoke Control and Smoke Management, Compartmentation and Passive Measures; Firefighter Support Infrastructure; and Egress Improvements.

- **The Mechanism:** The building shall retain a licensed Fire Protection Engineer (FPE) to conduct a Performance-Based Design assessment.
- **The Audit:** The FPE will audit existing passive protections (fire-rated walls, stairwell pressurization) and recommend targeted upgrades—such as heat detectors in mechanical/electrical rooms or stairwell pressurization systems—that achieve calculated safety equivalency.

3. Active-Community FSMP. Each building will also work with the FPE to design a Fire Safety Management Plan that engages the building community in comprehensive fire safety practices. Aligning with the NFPA 550 Fire Safety Concepts Tree, this plan prioritizes "Preventing Fire Ignition" over merely "Managing Fire Impact." This plan must demonstrate community proficiency in four core areas: fire prevention procedures, system maintenance testing, emergency response procedures, and documentation and performance monitoring.

- **Mandatory Training and verification:** The building must implement a schedule of certified training for staff and residents, with signed compliance, moving beyond simple pamphlets to active drills.
- **Risk Reduction:** Implementation of strict community bylaws, such as the prohibition of open-flame grills and rigorous control of e-mobility battery charging.
- **Emergency Response:** Establishment of floor captains and evacuation assistance protocols for disabled residents.

4. Recertification and Enforcement To ensure long-term compliance, this is not a one-time exemption.

- **The Cycle:** The building must undergo a third-party audit and recertification every three years to prove the FSMP is active.
- **The Consequence:** Failure to maintain the training logs, equipment standards, or structural integrity required by the plan will result in the revocation of the alternative status.

This four-point performance-based program leverages the prospect of a sprinkler mandate to build and activate resident communities that achieve goals of the Fire Code: prevent fires, save lives, reduce injuries and limit property damage. It empowers people working together to address the limitations of fire sprinklers, at far less cost, intrusion and disruption of lives. In addition, the social capital created through this coordinated community approach enhances preparedness for fire and other emergencies, helping San Francisco become a safer and more resilient city.