

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 3:58:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

John Carver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 4:32:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

nancy field

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 5:46:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Mindy Rosenberg

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 8:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Narcowich

From: [Lauren Atkinson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [laurenatkinson85@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 11:58:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lauren Atkinson
1230 12th Avenue
laurenatkinson85@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Friday, April 10, 2026 6:47:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Katya Wasserman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Friday, April 10, 2026 6:48:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Katya Rose Wasserman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Friday, April 10, 2026 9:25:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Maritza Hurtado

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Friday, April 10, 2026 10:11:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Callum Hutchins

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Friday, April 10, 2026 3:49:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Brandon Jackson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Saturday, April 11, 2026 10:25:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Louis Saroni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Sunday, April 12, 2026 1:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

JaMel Perkins

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Monday, April 13, 2026 6:43:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Carey Wintroub

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Monday, April 13, 2026 8:02:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Lois Fisher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Monday, April 13, 2026 9:47:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Joie Ryan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Monday, April 13, 2026 10:16:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Armand Der-Hacopian

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Monday, April 13, 2026 4:27:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Paul Ybarbo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 2:21:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

caroline kahn werboff

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 1:27:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Gary Gerttula

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 12:44:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Camille Perry

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 10:51:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Terry Whalen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 9:37:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Brian

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 9:18:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

John Spinale

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 9:01:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Louis Saroni

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Thursday, April 9, 2026 5:56:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Jim Sohm

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:30:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Vick Chamba

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

David Ayerdi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Joseph McFadden

From: [Arjun Gadkari](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [arjungad@proton.me](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 8:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Arjun Gadkari
411A Fair Oaks St
arjungad@proton.me
District 8 resident

From: [Beth Santos](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: tiredtribulatingtimes@proton.me; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 6:59:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Beth Santos
188 Hooper Street
tiredtribulatingtimes@proton.me
District 6 resident

From: [Nina Blahut](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [ninablahut@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 6:49:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Nina Blahut
ninablahut@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 6:30:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Arlo Smith

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 6:21:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

david price

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:56:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

colleen cotter

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Tony Fox
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:44:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: sftonyfox@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Tony Fox
Tenderloin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:21:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Ed Fisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:08:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Julie Peisner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:02:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Castellanos

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 3:43:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Hana Beerman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 3:37:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Joel Goodrich

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Chan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:54:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Lisa Vukovic

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:25:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Brian Wilmarth

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:17:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

David Parry

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:16:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

William Post

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Scott Jacques

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 2:02:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Kevin Delmore

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

James Cox

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:39:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Patrick Cross

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:36:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

James Hirsch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:29:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Irina Varum

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:26:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Joe Kent

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:20:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Michel Suas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:07:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Donald Sambucci

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 1:02:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Eddie Chin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:51:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Carrie Goodman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:48:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Price

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:45:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Julien DeFrance

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:42:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Lauren Dorsey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Deborah Svoboda

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:39:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Rachelle Martini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Karla Devine

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:37:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Bill Reilly

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:36:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Todd Solmson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

JAMES ONEIL

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:26:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Lauren Fraser

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:23:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Blair Heath

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:11:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Kate Stephenson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:10:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Kit Collins

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:09:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Silvana Messing

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:07:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Sherial Heller

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:06:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Tori Andrews

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:01:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Bilques Smith

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 12:00:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Todd Davis

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:58:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Scott Brittain

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:57:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Houston Garcia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:48:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Michael Barker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:45:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Paul McLain-Lugowski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Dona Crowder

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

michael eisler

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:36:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Robb Fleischer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:35:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

David Shapiro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:33:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Riley Tight

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:25:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Glenn Rodgers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:20:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Ronald Tura

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:20:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Mark McInerney

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:19:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Robert Rutkowski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:14:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Henry Hunter

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:13:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Joseph Bielanski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:07:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Ron Blatman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:07:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Aisling Ferguson

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); blueprint@sfbudget.org
Subject: Support the BUILD Act (#260178): Reduce the Real Estate Transfer Tax.
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 11:03:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support reducing San Francisco's Real Estate Transfer Tax to stimulate economic activity and make our city more competitive.

Right now, San Francisco has some of the highest transfer tax rates in the country, reaching as high as 5.5% to 6% on large transactions. These elevated rates have created real barriers to real estate investment and development that our City needs for our economic recovery.

As a result, tens of thousands of approved housing units and the jobs that come with them remain stalled because projects simply don't pencil under current economic conditions.

Lowering rates would:

- Make housing projects financially viable again - reducing the tax could reduce construction costs by \$32,000/housing unit.
- Help unlock tens of thousands of approved but unbuilt homes.
- Encourage investment that brings jobs, housing, and economic activity back to San Francisco.

When transfer taxes are too high, they don't just generate revenue, they discourage the very activity our city depends on: construction, investment, and economic growth.

Ordinance #260178 is what we need to fix this problem and boost SF's economic recovery.

I respectfully urge you to show your support for SF's continued economic comeback by voting to pass Ordinance #260178.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan

From: [Betty Traynor](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 11:44:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Betty Traynor

btraynor@att.net

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Marie Sorenson](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 5:53:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
MARIE SORENSON

Marie Sorenson
mariesorenson@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Monica Navarro](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 4:52:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Monica Navarro

divinefeminine.love@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Julian Bermudez](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 4:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Julian Bermudez

julianbermudez2015@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Anisha Gade](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 2:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a member of Calle 24's Land Use Committee, I'm urging you to not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Anisha Gade

Anisha Gade
anishagade@gmail.com

Somerville, Massachusetts 02144

From: juandelosperros@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 2:43:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

juandelosperros@gmail.com

From: [Erick Arguello](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 2:13:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Erick Arguello

eriq94110@aol.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Karin Eklund
Date: Monday, April 6, 2026 7:55:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: karin@floodbuilding.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,

Karin Flood
Karin Eklund
Lone Mountain

From: [Kai Ninomiya](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: kainino1@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, April 5, 2026 9:45:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to build social housing in San Francisco!

Proposition I was widely supported (65% of D8!). The income it has brought in has not been used as intended.

In SF, we get into this ridiculous trap of "developers are greedy!" vs "more housing at any cost!". Sidestep this entirely by building social housing as promised. We need build housing AND we need do it with the right incentives.

The city HAS the Prop I money, and voters' concerns ARE the incentive to to make REAL progress on housing. Protect Prop I, and make social housing happen!

Sincerely,
Kai Ninomiya
4023A 24th St
kainino1@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Tiana](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: tiana@oreglia.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, April 5, 2026 3:37:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Tiana
tiana@oreglia.com
District 8 resident

From: [Chris Salcedo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, April 3, 2026 4:22:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Chris

Chris Salcedo
chris.salcedo@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Judith Frances Beck](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 9:39:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I cannot believe that my supervisor is spearheading the effort to rescind Prop I funds! The small percents our publicly elected taxes cost the highest earners are the equivalent of pocket change to them but lifelines for our poorest. Where is the compassion of our government? Big real estate corporations are not our friends; they are profiteers, and their owners should not be given special privileges or exemptions; they should be made to share the burden of the displacements and housing instability they have caused. I am for Social Housing, not for speculator greed, and I am very disappointed in my own district supervisor and our mayor. Keep the Prop I tax on luxury real estate transfers!

Sincerely,

Judith Beck - District 5 (St. Francis Square)

Judith Frances Beck
judy.beck@juno.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Anastasia Yovanopoulos](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 2:39:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals. Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Anastasia Yovanopoulos

SF, CA 94114

Anastasia Yovanopoulos
shashacooks@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Theresa Flandrich](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 12:30:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Theresa Flandrich

Theresa Flandrich
tmvonflandrich@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Taylor Ovca](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 11:35:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Taylor Ovca

Taylor Ovca
taylor@hrcsf.org
153 Lexington St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Steve Leeds](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 9:53:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals. We desperately need truly affordable housing. We DON'T need more market rate housing. Trickle down economics is a fantasy.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need. Build, Build, Build will never resolve the affordable housing crisis in San Francisco. It's a failed policy.

Again, don't repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals!!

Sincerely,

Steve Leeds

Member, West Side Tenants Association

Steve Leeds

sleeds@riseup.net

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Anastasia Yovanopoulos](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 30, 2026 5:28:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Legislative Aides Legislative Aides,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals. Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Anastasia Yovanopoulos

on behalf of SF Tenants Union

Anastasia Yovanopoulos
shashacooks@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Lori Liederman](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 30, 2026 3:44:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Lori Liederman
D-7

Lori Liederman
lbiiederman@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Marisol Ferrante](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 30, 2026 1:40:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Marisol Ferrante

ipodlovergirl@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94108-2067

From: [Pamela Mitchell](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 28, 2026 10:53:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely Pamela Mitchell

Pamela Mitchell

pammitchell@yahoo.com

Eureka, California 95501

From: lizzyhkramer@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 12:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

lizzyhkramer@gmail.com

From: bruce@care-clt.org
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 4:23:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,
Bruce Wolfe, MSW
Co-founder/Treasurer

CARE Community Land Trust

bruce@care-clt.org

,

From: [Tiana](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [tiana@oreglia.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, April 5, 2026 3:37:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Tiana
tiana@oreglia.com
District 8 resident

From: [Heather Carrico](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, April 2, 2026 8:04:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Heather Carrico
pleikuchiku@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jan Medina](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: jan@janmedina.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 9:13:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jan Medina
4629 18th Street
jan@janmedina.com
District 8 resident

From: [Amy Androw](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 9:15:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Amy Androw
amy.androw@gmail.com
1585 Waller St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Allen Demoura](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Cc: [allen.demoura@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 5:24:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Allen Demoura
1542 Grant Avenue
[allen.demoura@gmail.com](#)
District 3 resident

From: [Colby Croft](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 9:15:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Colby Croft
colbycroft@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Joseph De La Cruz Fernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 11:17:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Joseph De La Cruz Fernandez
jodelacruz031102@gmail.com
1861 Eddy Street
San Francisco, California 94115-3956

From: [Alba Tapia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 11:56:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Alba Tapia
albaitapia@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Tess Jarrett](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: tessamjarrett@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 2:07:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Tess Jarrett
346 1st St. San Francisco 94105
tessamjarrett@gmail.com
District 6 resident

From: [Henry Ostendorf](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Cc: henrywostendorf@yahoo.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 2:07:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Sincerely,
Henry Ostendorf
81 Frank Norris
henrywostendorf@yahoo.com
District 3 resident

From: [Anita Kline](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: anitakline@comcast.net; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 3:01:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am a 40-year resident of San Francisco, writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Anita Kline
19 Mirabel Ave
anitakline@comcast.net
District 9 resident

From: [Susan Green](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: green.susan.s@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 3:02:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Susan Green
920 Diamond ST
green.susan.s@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Caroline Ayres](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [carolineayres6@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 3:53:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to sincerely ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Caroline Ayres
87 Dolores Street , APT 105
[carolineayres6@gmail.com](#)
District 8 resident

From: [paul andrew french](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: misterpaulfrench@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 4:07:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Alan Wong and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
paul andrew french
2728 taraval street
misterpaulfrench@gmail.com
District 4 resident

From: [Eihway Su](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: esinsf@yahoo.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 4:08:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Eihway Su
170 Parnassus Avenue, Apartment 2
esinsf@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [Scott Yarbrough](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: yarbrough.scott@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 10:18:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

San Francisco needs more housing for people in the community, including nurses, teachers, and public service employees, all who no longer can afford to live in the community where they serve. Most new housing in my neighborhood during the past 25 years has been for the wealthy, and has come at the cost of pushing service employees out.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Scott Yarbrough
4063 26th St.
yarbrough.scott@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Senta Tsantilis
Date: Sunday, March 29, 2026 8:59:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: sptsantilis@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Senta Tsantilis

From: [Jakub Kusmierz](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: jakubkusmierz@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 29, 2026 9:21:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jakub Kusmierz
435 11th Ave
jakubkusmierz@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: bilgepump100@sbcglobal.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 28, 2026 11:38:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

bilgepump100@sbcglobal.net

,

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Ali Mandviwala
Date: Saturday, March 28, 2026 1:16:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: samali48@yahoo.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Ali Mandviwala
East Cut

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Marty Cerles
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 10:34:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: martycerles@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Marty Cerles
Lone Mountain

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Usha and John Burns
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 10:34:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Johnmburns48@yahoo.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Usha and John Burns
Presidio Heights

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Judi Hurabiell
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 10:42:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: jmhurabiell1@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Judi Hurabiell
Richmond

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Norma Gengler
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 12:00:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: gina46@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Norma Gengler
Anza Vista

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Martha Ehmann Conte
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 12:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: martha@ehmannconte.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Martha Ehmann Conte
Presidio Heights

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - John McNamara
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 12:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: mcnamara229@hotmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
John McNamara
South Beach

From: [Samantha DiStefano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 3:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Samantha DiStefano

Samantha DiStefano
samdistefano21@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Hickey, Jacqueline \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 4:25:12 PM

From: lizzyhkramer@gmail.com <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2026 12:02 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not

during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

lizzyhkramer@gmail.com

,

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Massimo Kaz
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 6:48:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: mossik@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Massimo Kaz
Marina

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Emily Borowski
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 8:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: ejborowski@icloud.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to pave the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Emily Borowski
Fillmore

From: [Jotform](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax - Judi Hurabiell
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2026 9:47:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: jmhurabiell1@gmail.com

Subject: Please Support Amending and Decreasing Real Property Transfer Tax

Dear Mayor Lurie and Board of Supervisors,

We support your efforts to amend and reduce the tax rates on real property transfers.

As you are aware, the current conditions in the market are not cooperating with the unit economics to paved the way for developers to build housing in San Francisco.

We believe reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate it was prior to Prop I (2020) was approved.

We propose reversing the real property transfer tax rate to the rate established prior to the approval of Proposition I in 2020.

While offering an alternative solution to offset the tax reduction from real property transfers helps all parties get to their own respective goals and aspirations.

Continuing to remove barriers, such as this proposal to reduce real property transfers will give San Francisco a better chance at creating more jobs, welcoming new residents to San Francisco and rejuvenating projects that have been stalled for years.

Please approve this common sense ordinance.

Sincerely,
Judi Hurabiell
Richmond

From: [Brittany Noble Fukuda](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: lorinenoble@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 9:54:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Alan Wong and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Brittany Noble Fukuda
1323 38th Ave, San Francisco CA 94122w
lorinenoble@gmail.com
District 4 resident

From: [Ken Tray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 11:16:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Ken Tray

Ken Tray
ktray50@gmail.com
40 virginia Avenue
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Brian Gomola](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 12:46:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Brian Gomola
brian.gomola@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Claire Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 4:21:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Claire Thomas
cet.samoht@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Walkiria Sanchez](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#)
Cc: wavalsa@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 5:40:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chyanne Chen and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Walkiria Sanchez
795 Vienna Street Apt 3
wavalsa@gmail.com
District 11 resident

From: [Kyanna Ollison](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [k.ollison01](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 5:41:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kyanna Ollison
330 Parker Ave
k.ollison01@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: [Charles Kieser](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 6:38:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Charles Kieser
chaskieser@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [John Nguyen](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Cc: [nguyen.jhuy@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 8:24:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
John Nguyen
1053 Oak St, Apt 203, San Francisco CA 94117
nguyen.jhuy@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Jason](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: jtmoto2nd@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 2:30:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,

Jason

*

jtmoto2nd@gmail.com

District 8 resident

From: [Julia Cima](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:07:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Julia Cima

Julia Cima
juliarcima@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Shawn Trombley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:10:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Shawn Trombley
swellpadsf@gmail.com
800 Dolores St
San Francisco, California 94110-2207

From: [Eric Brenner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:26:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Eric Brenner
Brenner@aft1493.org
4279 23rd Street
San Francisco, California 94114-3138

From: [Danielle Douglas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds!
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:37:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

As a 5th generation San Franciscan, I am disappointed with the plan to reverse course on our city's affordable housing plans.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Danielle Douglas

Danielle Douglas

danielledouglas.producer@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Dakota](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:55:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Dakota Raymundo

Dakota
dakotablue02@gmail.com

Santa Cruz, California 95064

From: [Richard Girling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: "Build act" a disguised ultra rich tax cut!!!
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 11:16:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Richard Girling
rzgirling@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Megan Dukes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Megan Dukes
megandukes14@gmail.com

,

From: [AnaChristina Arana](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:15:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

AnaChristina Arana

anachristinaarana@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Jeantelle Laberinto](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:26:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Jeantelle Laberinto

jeantellelabyrinth@gmail.com
527 2nd Ave Apt A
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [norman nager](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:28:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Norman Nager

norman nager
normannager@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Kyle Smeallie](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:42:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Kyle Smeallie

ksmeallie@sfclt.org

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Joseph Smooke](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 1:47:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Joseph Smooke

joseph_smooke@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Erica Zweig](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 2:45:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely, Erica Zweig

Bizarre and Trumpian that this is even under consideration at this moment! Voters are

watching this latest movw to bolster big developers while making sure no funds available to house or help the working class the less privaledge. Do NOT say you are progressive or "moderate" if you promote rolling back PROP !!

Erica Zweig
dumpdump2020@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ralph Lane](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 3:08:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Ralph Lane, D4

Ralph Lane
d4ralphlane@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: olneyrom@gmail.com
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 3:38:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

olneyrom@gmail.com

From: [Lisa Yu](#)
To: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Stop the Tax Giveaway! Protect Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 4:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Do not repeal the transfer tax on luxury real estate deals.

San Francisco voters approved Proposition I to make sure that when properties worth over \$10 million change hands, the wealth generated at the top helps fund affordable housing and prevent displacement. That was the deal — and it's working.

Prop I has already delivered more than \$200 million for affordable housing, rent relief, and building preservation with real results, including:

- \$42 million funded the most comprehensive COVID rent relief program in the country, saving 25,000+ San Franciscans from eviction.
- \$64 million supported a housing acquisition program that took hundreds of homes off the speculative market and made them permanently affordable.
- \$40 million purchased sites that will create 550+ new affordable homes.

These funds have kept families housed, protected our communities, and supported new affordable housing opportunities.

Now, with rents climbing higher than ever, the proposal to repeal or weaken this tax is outrageous. Cutting this funding puts San Francisco's state-mandated housing goals (RHNA) at risk and threatens the future of over 17,600 affordable homes currently in our development pipeline. The BUILD Act (File No. 260178) would be a costly giveaway to billionaire developers and corporate property owners at the expense of working people.

San Francisco cannot afford to slash one of its most effective housing funding tools. Not during a housing crisis. Not when families are being pushed out. Not when affordable housing projects are stalled for lack of gap financing.

We need big real estate to pay their fair share, now more than ever.

I urge you to reject this tax giveaway and uphold the will of the voters. Protect Prop I funds and invest them in the affordable housing and rent relief our communities urgently need.

Sincerely,

Lisa Yu

lisayu567@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Alex Behrend](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 1:39:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Alex Behrend
750 O'Farrell Street
SF CA 94109

Alex Behrend
asb.sfo@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Niketa Kumar](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: niketak.kumar26@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 8:29:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

In 2020, like most SF voters, including over 64% of voters in District 9, I voted for Prop I. I've worked in local nonprofits and community organizations, and volunteered at 826 Valencia and the food bank for many years. So many community members and families are struggling to afford rent and many families are being pushed out of the neighborhoods they make thrive.

Prop I was a solution and an essential step towards creating more housing and more affordable housing. And yet, despite rhetoric that appears to affirm those aims, Mayor Lurie and some supervisors are proposing to reject voters' democratic decision and put more money in the hands of the wealthy at the expense of an affordable, livable city for thousands.

I am writing asking you to protect Prop I and its funding for social housing. Oppose the BUILD Act and adhere to SF voters' voices, who said clearly and together that we need more affordable housing in our city.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program. Oppose the BUILD Act, an indefensible attack of SF voters' ballots and our city's progress towards affordable housing.

Sincerely,
Niketa Kumar
1406 South Van Ness Ave, San Francisco, CA 94110
niketak.kumar26@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Nate Horrell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 6:40:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Nate Horrell
nathan.horrell@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Kat Worthington](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 6:47:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Kat

Kat Worthington
worthingtonkathryn@proton.me
2298 Pacific Ave
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Luca Schnell Heringer](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#)
Cc: ljsheringer@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 23, 2026 8:34:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chyanne Chen and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Luca Schnell Heringer
122 Cayuga ave
ljsheringer@gmail.com
District 11 resident

From: [lgpetty](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No No No on "Build Act" Furtive Attack Against Affordable Housing Ballot Prop I. 260178. Connect the Disturbing Dots
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 7:37:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan and Sups. Dorsey and Sauter

RE: 260178 Proposed "BuildAct"

I write to oppose reducing the transfer tax on real estate sales of over \$10 million. Such an action would be in defiance and contempt of the will of 67 % of San Francisco voters in passing the 2020 Prop I. This prospective "Build Act" ordinance is connected to a much broader, ominous trend in San Francisco governance.

First, any attempt to repeal Prop I, would take away the most reliable source of San Francisco affordable housing funding.

The Mayor and Sup. Mahmood's move to repeal the voter initiative is deceptively titled, "The Build Act," (Balanced Update to Incentivize Local Development). Except it isn't really designed to encourage housing construction. It will mostly enable whopping extra profit for real estate flippers – those who rapidly turn over (mainly) downtown office buildings, and also rent-controlled older apartment buildings.

Even **IF** lowering transfer taxes could make new construction more feasible, it will only be by a small amount that won't move the housing construction needle. Plus, a tax reduction would not result in lower rents or homeowner costs, as prices will still be set as high as the market will bear. This will only boost profit for sellers on resale of luxury market rate projects.

The Prop I transfer tax extension has brought in about \$504 million so far, intended by voters to be used for creating and stabilizing affordable housing.

However, Mayor Breed and Mayor Lurie have undermined its affordable housing purpose – largely dumping the monies into the General Fund instead. Now the Mayor and Sup. Mahmood (+ Sups. Dorsey & Sauter), through the "Build Act," intend to get rid of Prop I altogether. They offer a weak, less lucrative substitute in a separate, uncertain ballot proposal to tax in-lieu foreclosure transfers.

This is a significant policy shift away from ongoing city financing of affordable housing needs through taxes on turnovers of over \$10million office and residential buildings. The Mayor and Sup. Mahmood refer vaguely to possibly supplementing the foreclosure tax plan with a city bond issue, or smaller measures. Bonds sound Really Big, until you realize they involve lengthy and obscure apportionments and schedulings, and are limited to only a project or two. This method has already proven inadequate for keeping up with our ever-increasing needs.

How do I know? Because I've spent more than a dozen years (a period of many bond issues) trying to help seniors secure housing they can afford. An increasingly impossible task. The average 65+ elder relies solely on social security income of \$1824 a month. There are 146,000 of us seniors now, and by 2030, at 213,000 strong, we'll be 25% of San Francisco's population. There are still up to 8,000 lottery applicants for each

new senior project of maybe 100 units. Older folks have been trying to get in unsuccessfully for 10 or more years. They're hanging on by a thread-- often forced onto the streets or into painful, permanent exile from San Francisco.

Thousands of families and single workers add to the ranks of affordable housing seekers -- plus thousands more (already declared) homeless.

We're not keeping up. We never will if we take away current funding, and never put enough serious money (**tens of billions**) into building affordable housing.

So, in the face of enormous, desperate need, why would we be so foolish and cruel as to remove a solid, steady source of affordable housing funds we already have? Why would we give more tax breaks to those who would build un-affordable housing? Why take away crumbs from home-hungry people and give massive cakes to those already choking on too much? Why would we betray the public trust?

Connecting the Disturbing Dots

Excluding the public's will from city governing did not start with Prop I tinkering. We all witness the ever-growing anti-public wave that emanates from the Trump White House. Now, alas, it's being picked up here.

A line can be drawn from attempting to repeal the voter-determined Prop I, right through squeezing housing and human services out of the City Budget, and severely undermining the City Charter with three public-bypassed, Mayor power-grab ballot proposals. Follow the line all the way through the public exclusion practiced and proposed in the on-going, over-reaching Commission Streamlining process. The line continues with shutting the public out of impactful conversations between the Mayor and President Trump and his surrogates. And it follows on with the diversion of publicly-mandated Prop C Our City Our Homes tax revenue away from permanent affordable housing and into temporary shelters. Many might add the direction of Sacramento in diminishing the public's voice in development planning as just a removable "constraint."

All of this represents a pattern of setting aside voter determinations, reducing public participation, scrutiny, and oversight, and abandonment of diversity -- setting aside the very formidable public contributions that have improved and strengthened San Francisco for more than a century.

All of this disregard for the public interest is related. And it must be stopped.

Start with rejecting the repeal of Prop I.

Thank you,

Lorraine Petty
Advocate for Seniors and Affordable Housing

From: [Benjamin Peterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 8:40:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Peterson
District 5 resident

Benjamin Peterson
peterson2012ben@gmail.com
3031 Pine St.

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Kristin Tieche](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: ktieche@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not repeal Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 8:41:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not repeal Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act as it is currently written. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition asking you to spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kristin Tieche
ktieche@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: [Reeves Peeler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Repealing Prop I: a generational mistake
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 9:00:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I.

I work in Community Development Finance at SVB/FCB, financing 100% affordable housing across the country (including many projects in San Francisco, such as Casa de la Mission and Shirley Chisholm Village). While LIHTC is an important element of affordability, it will never be close to enough. SF needs Prop I (and more progressive taxation like Prop I) to be successful in keeping working class families in our city. Prop I's transfer tax is a vital element to raising revenue and should be put forwards building affordable housing. Not only was Prop I the will of the voters, but keeping it intact is the fiscally responsible and moral thing to do

Sincerely,

Reeves Peeler
919-412-7122

Reeves Peeler
reevespeeler@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Geoff Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 12:49:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Geoff Smith
geoff.gordon.smith@gmail.com
2760 Melendy Drive, Apt 2
San Carlos, California 94070-3657

From: [Beth McDowell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 11:52:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Beth McDowell
ermcdowell@gmail.com
4900 Fulton St. Apt. 109
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Olivia McHaney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 9:32:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Olivia McHaney
oliviamchaney@gmail.com
2852 Mission St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Tanvi Meringenti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 11:23:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Tanvi Meringenti
tmeringenti@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Valentin Orbe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 7:25:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Valentin Orbe
tinoorbe@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jen Snyder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 8:36:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jen Snyder
jencsnnyder@gmail.com
260 Cumberland St, Apt 18 18
Brooklyn, New York 11205

From: [Maria Imperial](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 8:51:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Maria Imperial
thrsimperial@gmail.com
150 Franklin St Apt 104
San Francisco, California 94102-6003

From: [Alan Shaw](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 21, 2026 9:29:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Alan Shaw
alanshaw@alanshaw.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Christopher Lamkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 22, 2026 12:35:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Christopher Lamkin
cjlamkin64@gmail.com
600 25th Ave Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94121-2952

From: [Lani Asher](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRI\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RDC\)](#); [Mandelman,Staff \(RDC\)](#)
Cc: [Mandelman,Rafael Lurie: Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 20, 2026 7:08:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.lavaman.click/v2/d11>) — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX&03u5mZHEyQmE6zozZj1MmZmZW8MTgY7U1M0MxNjUyYW0xODk0M2Q4YTUxOGEwYjQ6NWFi2NDZAYjcyNmVjNmImNTUwODFyNWMyODk2MjI6ZDdMWE1NzQ1MDM5NmI4OTIhMTZ4MTE4ZTgzZmRiNDg4MzQwOkyG1g](#) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lani Asher
1806 church st
lanisher8@gmail.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

A San Francisco resident since 1989, I have watched the vitality of this creative city ebb away, one eviction and redevelopment at a time. What makes SF special is the culture. We contribute to art, dance, and social change that affects the entire world. The tech world has chipped away at this, replacing it with...every other suburb. Working class SF was far more interesting. I miss my friends, and wonder whether my daughter will be able to remain here when she starts her life independently.

Sincerely,
Kelly Divine
94110
receipt_swift.9w@icloud.com
District 8 resident

From: [Dennis Lynch](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOG\)](#); [ChenStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [dennislynch7@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 15, 2024 4:51:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.to/ann.clicks/21911...> <https://www.afsofthehousing.org/about...> YXaOOnSpZH0yOmE68zo4ZGYaMYyZU3YTA4NjE1ZT4MDUyMDIjZDp0T0l3OmM4Mzc5YzBmZmNlT4Y2DYzc3YzE2ODM1MW8hNDYwZTY2MTpsNzY1YzQwZGM0MDFjZDQ5YWY5N2lyYTl2MGlmZGQ2YzpsOkY6TGp)
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Dennis Lynch
dennislynch7@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: [Linda A. Cicero](#)
To: [Linda A. Cicero \(NYC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RSC\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(RSC\)](#)
Cc: [lacihero@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RSC\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 15, 2024 5:02:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2901/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXArOnNmZH0yOmE6bzqjNzgyNDQNDZGDA0NmF3MWY5NWkxNDNkOGNkOTU0OTc3cQjZDQs6MDUzZDEyZjNzZjOGY3NzA0MDUzZGMINWw5ZjBhNzUyYjN2RlYzRlYzQzMWU0YVJMTMzMGVjNWw4MjEwYzpmOjY6TjE](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Linda A. Cicero
1535 Church Street, San Francisco CA 94131
lacihero@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Patrick Canfield
To: Lurie, Daniel [DTIS]; Mahmood, Bilal [BOS]; MahmoodStaff
Cc: pascal01@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors [BOS]
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 15, 2024 9:29:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.ayam.click/v2/01/_https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about_—YX4uOnNmZHQyOmE6bzOWVhYzA2OTp0NTFwYjhmYmExNzE1ZTU5ZmNWRmNzo3OmIzYTc0NTJlY2MwYzBkMjZlZWZlZDExYmIzMjZlZDExM2Q0ZmE3ZmZlMjMGNhOTk3MmNmSWYxNzgwOKY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Patrick Canfield
1148 Masonic Ave
pascal01@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Clara Obstfeld](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:06:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Clara Obstfeld
clarochi_1994@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yavan.click/z2r0/> <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> "Y_XaOn3m2HqYQm16bzozNDaxYwQZDJmZDQZDA5YzNkZY3YJnhZGYwZTg0ZTo3qk2NW5M6OTA4NzlmODYzYzF8NmQyOTQwZDkzMmZGOTM5MmU3MmJhNWYzNTdmOTJlMTY5ZDksNDQ5NWZkMzJmZjMzcYygyMDEwOKY6Yg") demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Mark Hood](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Stop the repeal of Prop I
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 11:41:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I've been reading that Mayor Lurie and the Supervisors are trying to repeal the Proposition I funds that we passed in 2020. I remember that vote well, and the intent of the proposition was to tax rich businesses and individuals in order to fund social housing.

Repealing this proposition is a betrayal of the intent of the voters of San Francisco. Mayor Lurie and the supervisors seem to be intent on making San Francisco a haven for corporations and the rich. You should be focusing on providing social and public housing for all.

Giving a tax break to the affluent and relying on market-driven approaches to the housing crisis are doomed to political and economic failure. Stop pandering to developers and billionaires and start serving your true constituents, the people of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Mark Hood

Mark Hood
mark.hood@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Stephanie Sherman](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RGS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(RGS\)](#)
Cc: [stephaniesherman@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RGS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/2/0/> / <https://www.4socialhousing.org/about>—YXA6eNuzZHQzOmE6bz3M2JNGJ2NTQ3N2VjM4Y2MWFjZDjNjNkYWFiODYsYzozOjg0OTk6OTk6MjMNTkxMGY3YzU2NGU4ZTkxNDY2NDQzMjc3YTg3OWOIYVlVYjc1YTIsYjdhNDAsMDU0YTkwZjA5MTpmOAY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Sherman
25 Sharon St
stephaniesherma@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Angelina](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D12\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(R05\)](#); [ChanStaff \(R05\)](#)
Cc: [montesangelina53@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R05\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:03:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avaman.click/v2/01U...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXA4c3hNwZlH2yQmE68zoxNDc2MGRlOWF0ODkVYjJmYzZmY2lsODMwMDU6OTcyMDh3Q2lsOWU6MDZlVjg3MTQ3MDA5ZmJMDA5MmY1ZTlUY2hNDlR0GZmZlZmQ1MzQ0TOSMTl1OWFAVWVY4NzE4YzZlcNpmOKY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Angelina
452 12th ave
montesangelina53@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: jsmith@sanmitchell.com
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOG\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [dSoulaw+forms@sanmitchell.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:09:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Alan Wong and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avammm.click/y2/d0/> / <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHQyOmE6bzowZTk0M2Y4ZTQ1OGF5ZWJlbnQzNmM4UjZlM2YTA1NTE2QDc3QjgwYjU6YTUyYmZlMDQyMDRlZDY2ZWQyZWVlNTE4MmVmMDc2NmQyZmZlNjZlZGQ3ZmY1N2ZmYWBAVTAsNTU1ZDY1ODU1ZTpmOjkY6Tg](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Mitchell
1801 22ND AVE
dSoulaw+forms@gmail.com
District 4 resident

From: [Camilla Betteridge](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(2018\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(2020\)](#); [Sauter,Shall](#)
Cc: [vanillataleen@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(2020\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.asyaman.click/y2t0l/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZHQyOmE6hozdZDeSY2ZkZTM2ZDH7WQ0ZQ00YTMIZmG2NDk0NzeNtg3Q2MI Mm16Yj1wN2ZMmE2Yml2NDk4YTEwM2USZGjBModfZGMecODAeNuzYd1cyZTZmNWIu/ZDU5M2B6GjBZTtsMGimsMTZGZTms0KY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Camilla Betteridge
822 Greenwich Street
vanillataleen@yahoo.com
District 3 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

hundreds of my fellow San Franciscoans for Social Housing have already signed our petition (<https://www.avascan.clicks2go/> - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - YXAsOmSmZhQzOM6bzpYTM5ZGRhNjQlMjpkNTBhODEiNkYyYzQ0YzcsNWZmYnY0MzZhZjg6ZTAzMTRhNDkzMmZmNjZsNmU5NmI5OWRlbnRkNkNkY4ODRjZGJlMWZlODJlMjcyZDZhZWZmZmZlZDExOWUzZDh0MDpwOkY6IjE demand you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't put Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Corey Hallman
corey.hallman35@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Elenesh Abebe](#)
To: [Luis Daniel \(DRE\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [belen.etu343@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2020 5:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.xvann.clic/ky2j9f11>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>—YXAaOfOnNmZHQpOmEckzoYVWMeNGiINzZmYTTI3ZmY0ZD8aND8BNGNJMh4OGH1Vpa3oE3NmY6N84ZDUyNgFjMTU5YmVIVyY1ZD8bZD8bMenQpMTB3MdsMPY3MWVvY2NjM2D0DgsZWZ3MDY3OGH1ZmQpZpYyZgswOKY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Elenesh Abebe
1259 11th ave
belen.etu343@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Eame Lee-Gardner](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel](#) (D11); [Chan, Connie](#) (B02); [Chan-Goff](#) (B04)
Cc: [leegardner@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors](#) (B02)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2020 6:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yanagisaka.com/2020/03/17/https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YXAeQsNmZHQyOmE6bzgmOWFzNWRlMGJlMDg2NWU3aW50ODdnN2Q3ODU1M2E4NTc3OmE4MDU3OTQ4MYYyTE0NTYlNmIeYzlwMDk0TThZWBRNjUuODEVjEzZTMwMGU1MjU1NTB0GRkNksNTYlNjEzOWZkNDpwOKY6Ij0>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Eame Lee-Gardner
leegardner@gmail.com
District 11 resident

From: [Danielle Fallon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:16:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Hi! I live in D8 and I want to use these funds for social housing!! Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Dani

Danielle Fallon
daniellefallon14@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Colin Williams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:22:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I teach high school in the city.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Colin Williams
quixoticquest@gmail.com
384 Vallejo St.

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94133

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

Transfers of any funds to the Public Works for Social Housing have already occurred to a person (<https://urlavan.chick/y2020/>, https://www.sfocialhousing.org/about_XXA3OnNmZHQvOmE6bz04ZDEnTE2N3ZYmQzNDIIMmQvZDYiNmFwYTQ2MTIIMj03OjkiMDc6ODIwOWU5ZWZiZDUiMzhhMjU1OTI3MEY1YTUIMmU5NGRmZj0DODQ0YjE0OGVkbmhhNTI1MGZiZjF0ODAsOWY5ZTw0OYk6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

District 11 resident

From: [Megan Luby](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing. The wealthiest among us do NOT need tax breaks and real estate investors are destroying the vital energy source of this city.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Megan Luby

Megan Luby
meganluby@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Scott McDowell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:38:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Scott McDowell
points-wren0b@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [william mehaffey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:14:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

william mehaffey
w.hamish@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Rhys Hedges](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 11:10:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Rhys Hedges

Rhys Hedges
rhysahedges@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Thorina Riese](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: thorinariese@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:14:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

<https://url.avanan.civicus.com/24/01...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXAzOmNmZSh0YmE6bz03NTA2ZWFlYmUyYmY2NDU5NzBkOTY0OWE2NTY2ZmY4OTQ0YjY2Q6OGJseYyY3QWRmZnQ0NmZmMwY1OTkxYmI0WVYmZj3NDJkNjQyODJmMzNhOTA2MTF0MWE1Y2NjY2YzMzBkODdmNTgwOkY6Tg>

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Thorina Rose
11 Carl Street
thorinarose@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Matthew Castillon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 4:42:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Matthew Castillon
mcastillon10@gmail.com
550 Battery St

San Francisco, California 94111

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://url.avanan.click/Y2/d1/> <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> [YX4aOnZmZH0yOmE6bz02MzY0ZWl3YUZYmJ03MtdcZnrmY0NTYzMjIjYzBtOj03OmUzZj02ZTE4ODQ0ODEwYz04OTVhMDRkMTIjYTR4Zm4yZWZlNDk0M2h1NWFi3NmNjZmMzOTF2MdlYzFyZjRkOTMlM2Y3TgwOKy6t9](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't get Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Lorraine Lupo](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D10\); Mandelman, Rafael \(R02\); MandelmanStaff \(R02\)](#)
Cc: [lorrainelupo@protonmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(R02\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2020 5:02:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/y2t01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAe3eNmZHCyOmE6bzpKmm14MGJiZDZfOGF0MG1sNmlycWJhYzA5MGJNZU14Zm3OmVgOTY6ZmhmMGI5NmY2NDU3ZTNhNjkwZmJlNjY3MTVhZTNlMjUwODY2NDMCMTY1MDg0NzA0RGE1NjZlMTY3YTA7MDM5OTpwOkY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Lupo
3850 25th St Apt 2
lorrainelupo@protonmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [John Anderson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Cc: p8ton.anderson@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:27:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

Please protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

I believe that you share the mayor's goal of a denser San Francisco that is clean, transit free, beautiful, and healthy. But such a city must have space for its poorest and impaired people. If everyone is living just above the "kill line", the point where a small additional loss of income leaves you functionally destitute, life here will always be nasty and desperate, no matter how wealthy most of our citizens may be. Funding programs like Social Housing becomes essential. Furthermore, the city has an interest in stabilizing ownership of housing and limiting speculation, so a fee on sales is a beneficial way to raise the needed funds.

This is why Prop I was approved by the voters of San Francisco. Reversing their decision will contribute to a "whip saw" effect. It is important to have continuity, especially for a program which benefits the city as a whole. I can assure you that maintaining a social safety net is a high priority for me as a voter, and I certainly oppose any effort to erode it.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
John Anderson
1323A Lyon St.
p8ton.anderson@gmail.com
District 2 resident

From: [Natalya Ratan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNY\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar-Shaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [natilyaratan@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:20:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avam.click/y2/d/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YXArOnSmZHQOmF6bzphYmNINThAMWRmNjTYWJmNTRMWNIVVhZWJZmI3QDc3QjMwZmY6YzRmSmMcNzRiYmRlMGhEOGNINtImNWYzNd45ZTUINTkzN2YzN2UjMGVhM2U2NDVlZDp4M2E3YTUwOWM2NGZkZjpsOkY6TGp)
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Natalya Ratan
1355 16th Ave
natilyaratan@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar-Steff, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [alicia.english@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:42:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avamem.click/y2/d0/> / <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHQzOmE6bnkZTVkYnQ0Mjc5ZjUyZjMDZmMTY2NWYyOTNkYzYwNjc3QjA4MzA6MAYNWN1qNDQjN2NzZGZmQWm3MDQHYmEjGGF3Y2YwZDRBZDRhNDQ0MzAsNDEjOTZmM2QzNjk0MDRlYWY3YmRlNDpwOkY6G1p](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave. C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [alicia.english@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:43:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.to/ann.clicks/2191/>... <https://www.alcossilhousing.org/about...YXAQOnSpZHDyOmE6bzp8MGE4NZYjZDA3NzE4ZmR3MjU0N2UyZGMwNW45MTc3OmMyMGE6Nz8hYTkyMGQyMkxM2RlNjIjZDFhZmE4MjUwMGFiYkYyYjY3ODQwYTBiODc1NjUyZTRkZjIwOGZlYjgwOkY6TGp>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave, C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRI\); Melgar, Myrna \(RD\); MelgarStaff \(RD\)](#)
Cc: [alicia.english@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(RD\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:43:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avamun.click/v2/011...https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YXA4c3h5NmZlQ2QmE6bm50GMAJGFN2MxYzA6MWE3Mg3M6dNWU1ZDcxYVZlc3Q3QmY2Q6Ym9lIGDM3OTUsMmRjNTU2OTQ5NWw6ZDk0OTc3ZTNjMTQyZm1YRjRZWVYyYjA4NWwRjNGM3ZGIWNg3NTcYVWZSguc0ky6Tg>)

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave, C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Renee Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Renee Davis
davis.renee@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Nuhamin Tollossa](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNY\); Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\); FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [mhollossa@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:24:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/y2g01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAe7oNnZHOyOmE6bzpIMU11NGY5OTNM2QzZDESya7ZT15ODY3Njk5OTdlMTa3QzQzMDQ6NmE7ZDYaODVINzA4YTVAhNml0MzE4ZTVkNmU3YmEzZGY5SQY7MDI0OTI2MzRkMzQ0NmU3Y2Y7ZGf0MmNINWOTNDpwOKYGTg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Nuhamin Tollossa
2360 mission street
mhollossa@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Jade Numair](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D118\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(B05\)](#); [Melgar-Shaff \(B05\)](#)
Cc: [jadenmairhumb@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(B05\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 8:26:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2/dU/> / <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAeOnNmZIHQOnE6ao2MGMcNTU2MmY3Y3W3OCVnmOT3ZGU10TBnOTTkYmO2Nj3GpYZODM6Z7JIMZQ00DZkZTYaMDhhNzksODRmMmE5ZjRlNTI5NDU5OGFODVZkZjE5MTQ0YzMyNmUwM2E0NTd4NjA1YmQzMjpwOBY6Ug) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jade Numair
572 Teresia boulevard
jadenmairhumb@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [alicia brassil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:15:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Alicia Brassil

alicia brassil
brassilalicia@yahoo.com

,

From: [Faithy Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Please save affordable housing funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:35:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Dear City Leader,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, the collective working class's future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest COVID rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all economic levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Faithy Leong

Faithy Leong
funktastyk@yahoo.com

1320 Scott St Apt A
San Francisco, California 94115-4013

From: Kyle
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Cc: [luriedaniel@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:50:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://url.avanan.click/2/e01...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXA4OwNmZHQyOmE6bz01OGQ4MDRlRjE0ZT0xNmU4OWE4ZmQ3ZDUzZWQwMjQhNj0xQzJMTG6ZTdmMDg1OWMzMmM3MmUxNmM4ZThmNjYwMTg6N2NiZTE4ZTgzZTYwZjZjNTJ0ZjA4MWNhMTRyYmE5NThtOGY5YzpwOkY6Yg> demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,

Kyla
368 Hermann St, B
kylalolita@yahoo.com
District 5 resident

From: [Sasha Perigo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:27:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Sasha Perigo

Sasha Perigo
sasha.perigo@gmail.com
716 Dolores St Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94110-2273

From: [Jamie Pearlstein](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\); MandelmanShaf \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [jpearlstein@hotmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 5:48:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicks/2/p01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YYAaGnNpZlHQzOmE6b2ozMfEiZdeMGEyZDdbNmYGOdAvM2BjZTViY2ZMhhMio3Oq2sNzJ6SszZANZfHOWj6ODSHYzVlZmLzNze4ZY5OWRbY2Z3MDz5NTk2ZDE3ZDOxZWZmNjc3YmU2MDNneMAYmN2jM2NiYmwOKY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jamie Pearlstein
jpearlstein@hotmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Caitlin Andrews](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman,Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [andrews.caitlin@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 6:53:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yaman.click/2/d11>) — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeZbNmZHCyOmE6zoiMmBAMRAODH4WFYyJfIMdYIMLESYacMGVnmMTfISDaiQjBmOGf6YzJfIMZZNqjBhNWYIMdYVIOJk5OGf0NycNzNMDRzZDscZWU11NnMZVWlaMGVIMWMjOWFmMmEYyZmQDJeM42GsMqpwOkY61g](#) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Andrews
Acadia St. San Francisco, CA 94131
andrews.caitlin@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Ad](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRI\); Sherrill, Stephen \(RGS\); SherrillStaff](#)
Cc: [sherrill@sftrans.net; Board of Supervisors \(RGS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2/9f/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXacOnNmZH0yOmI6bzoSNDJjZTdmGM3ZGMZTlSYTcwODkYdiNzRlNjFNT03QlUyYW6NzBjMGI3NjYyWE5ZaM0MTVNjciNAyZD0zMzAsNiIsMnc1OTZzMmM2MzpsNGH0GFjNGRlMlZG01ODpsOkY6TG](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Art
artpersyko3@sonic.net
District 2 resident

From: [Abigail Kahn](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\); Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\); Fielder-Staff](#)
Cc: [abigailkahn@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 8:26:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.aviasan.click/s/2/01/...https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YXAaChsNyoZHQyOmE6bzo5NTA3OTQ4MzZOWJjZTM0YTlmZThiVTdkNTNhZTI3MTc3Ojg3MTg5YmYwSWFmZjlkZjQ4OTRlNzcyOWVjZGY4MjA4ZTZlczYzJhOGM4MjY0Y2QzMjI5NmE5OWZlMzRlYjE5OTdkYjBiZjpsOkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Abigail Kahn
abigailkahn@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Kundan](#)
To: [Lauri, Daniel \(NYU\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\); MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [kundan.ash@yahoo.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:12:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/s2j01/> / https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about_YYXAQoNmZfIQzOmE6bzoxODVtZGtF2YTNmYTdlYzdkMDVtZDE2ZjZlZGZnc0WtMDo3OjlsNTI6ZDRtYzhsZGhZTVkMTF2MjA4YWZlYyZmZjM2JmMmFjM2QoNmZmMjhmMmNiNiNjZ0TjE5NWUzOGU3ZmE1ZjJhN2Y2YTcwOkY1G1p) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kundan
805A Shrader St
kundan_ry@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [Noah Loiacono](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:03:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Noah!!

Noah Loiacono
noah.loiacono@icloud.com
579 Valencia St
San Francisco, California 94110-1162

From: [Jennifer Raviv](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:05:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Raviv
jenniferraviv@gmail.com
1606 Church Street, #4
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Alice Freire](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D16\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(D2\)](#); [MahmoodShaf](#)
Cc: [afarrenfreire@gmail.com](#); Board of Supervisors (BOB)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 10:06:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.lavoice.chick's2.org/>) — <https://www.sfhousing.org/about> — [X2AAdNc7H0zOnE6bgnBMGOzNTYzYWFzYyY2NGNSZWN3MGMwA0WYzGDFnaMxU3MTG3QmMzNTQzZDQSN2FjZWYwZDZyMzBkYjEzMDZmM0Q0TK4NGQzMTEzODI1NzA0M3ZDZlY2ZlYyY1Y2ZmM0MzA4MjBhNjgwOjY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Alice Freire
1630 page 44
afarrenfreire@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Fanilla Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Do Not Repeal Prop I!
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:08:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, please honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That is what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Fanilla

Fanilla Cheng
fanilla.c@gmail.com
1090 Eddy St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Sarah Bloch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sarah Bloch
saharbloch95@gmail.com

From: [Arulselvan Madhavan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:11:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Arulselvan Madhavan
arulselvan1234@gmail.com
545 Kirkham street
San Francisco, California 94122-3610

From: [ANTONIO JEREZ](#)
To: [Luis Daniel Jerez](#); [Mahmoud Bilal \(BOG\)](#); [Mahmoud Bilal](#)
Cc: [rocksstar66@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 10:11:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YYAeC3eNmZHQzCmE6hzodN2Q0Y2IH4a1NDFYmUzY3M2NzRjMDA1ZGJyNj13ZDw3OjdlZW46NTYyMzA4OTI4OWYsNjI4ZDkxYTJlNjZlNmMwMzNmMjY4NDM4M3lTM4ZTMwNDRhMzZlNjM4YjcyNjRlMDRlODp4OjY1G1p](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
ANTONIO JEREZ
743 Polk Street, 207
rocksstar66@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Eric Peterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:12:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Eric Peterson
epeterson@cirseiu.org

,

From: [Joseph Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Preserve Prop I, and Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:18:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wang
joew10@yahoo.com
1087 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Asra Ziauddin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Asra Ziauddin
asra.ziauddin@gmail.com

,

From: [Piper Alldredge](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Piper Alldredge
piper.alldredge@gmail.com
165 Duboce Ave Apt 202
San Francisco, California 94103-1148

From: [mary.scheib](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social no housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

mary.scheib
maryscheib17@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Lisa King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:29:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lisa King
lisakingsf@yahoo.com
656 Sweeny St.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Diana Revimjan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:32:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

The city will lose its best people if you continue to make it harder and harder to live here. San Francisco is a California jewel specifically because of its diversity and opportunity available to all regardless of wealth. Only you can cement its status as one of the greatest cities in the country.

Sincerely,

Diana Reyimjan

Diana Reyimjan

diana.reyimjan@gmail.com

590 Minnesota St

San Francisco, California 94107-3025

From: [Charles Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:35:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Charles Lin

Charles Lin
kingcharleslin@gmail.com
2550 Golden Gate Ave Apt 5

San Francisco, California 94118-4328

From: [Aaron Peverill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:36:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Aaron Peverill

Aaron Peverill
aaronpeverill4@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Inder Grewal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:47:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

As a real estate developer, I urge you to oppose the BUILD Act (File #260178) and preserve Proposition I, the voter-approved 2020 measure that has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing the largest real estate transactions in San Francisco.

Although Proposition I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as voters intended, it has already helped deliver more than \$200 million in housing support as of 2024. That includes \$42 million for the strongest COVID rent relief program in the country, which helped prevent more than 20,000 San Franciscans from being evicted; \$40 million for land banking that has supported the development of more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more could be accomplished if the City committed future Proposition I revenues to social housing, as voters intended.

Supporters of the BUILD Act claim it will help build housing, but most transactions receiving a tax cut under this proposal would be sales of office buildings and large commercial assets—not new residential construction. Cutting taxes for speculators and billionaires has never been an effective housing policy. San Francisco already tested this theory. After the City significantly reduced affordable housing requirements in 2023, we did not see a wave of new construction. In fact, 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade.

As someone who works in development, I can tell you plainly: projects are not stalled because of a transfer tax. They are stalled because developers and investors are waiting for higher profit margins. Developers build when the returns are there. Cutting taxes does not change that basic reality. That is a market cycle, not a policy failure. And even when the market-rate housing industry does build, those homes are priced for the top of the market and remain far out of reach for working households like mine.

There is a better way forward. When 57% of San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in 2020, they supported a vision to build social housing. Social housing is permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and designed to serve San Franciscans of all income levels—especially those who need it most.

Instead of repealing Proposition I, the City should honor the voters' intent and dedicate its revenues to building social housing at scale. That is how San Francisco can actually deliver housing for working people.

I urge you to reject the BUILD Act and preserve Proposition I.

Sincerely,
Inder Grewal

Inder Grewal
igrewal8@gmail.com

New York, California 94133

From: [Hazle Nova Thunes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:47:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Hazle Thunes
SF Mission District Resident

Hazle Nova Thunes
hazlethunes@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jeff May](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:53:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jeff May
jeff.n.may@proton.me
263 Arch St
San Francisco, California 94132-3042

From: [Margaret Hodges](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:09:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hodges
mmhodges22@gmail.com
615A Precita Ave
San Francisco, California 94110-4819

From: [Lori Milburn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:32:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lori Milburn
lbzmilburn@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Sophia Corona](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: This is wrong! Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:33:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sophia Corona
coronasrc@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [M.O](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:51:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Please step up and do what's right, instead of enabling developers and Hedge Fund by-ups, thereby killing any chance of decently priced rentals.

Sincerely,

Meg Oldman

M O

gagameg@icloud.com

,

From: [Jac](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:19:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jac
jsvdhout@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Stephen Cosenza Jr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:22:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Stephen Cosenza Jr
stephen_cosenza@yahoo.com
1216 Kirkham Street
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Mike Pincus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:25:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Why are we giving breaks to billionaires when public goods -education, healthcare and transit in particular - are so egregiously underfunded?

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Mike Pincus
mapincus@gmail.com

508 Andover St
San Francisco, California 94110-6014

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

<https://url.ayanlan.click/2/r01/> https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about_YXxZmNmZHQyOmE6bz0MjQ3ZTYzNTIyODI3OGY4ZDQ5NWU1ZWkZW/RmZmNlZT03OjA3YmM6OTA5YTJkODkYjmlmNzdiZmUxODUxNmRlZWU2NmlyOTgwYmlyNDUxZDc5YTEyNzZlMzcwNWVhYzI4NzgyZWY4YjpwOkY6TGt
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Erica Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:36:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Erica Wang
erica@ericawang.com
1087 Capitol Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Council of Community Housing Organizations](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [stephen.sherill@sfgov.org](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The BUILD Act and SF's 57% Affordable Housing Obligation
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:47:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board President Mandelman and the Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to share the Council of Community Housing Organization's (CCHO) most recent [Substack article](#) regarding the BUILD Act. We are particularly concerned around the loss of dedicated funding without a guaranteed replacement, and the broader need to focus squarely on how the City will meet its 57% affordable RHNA obligation.

We are also concerned that the current framing disproportionately centers market-rate production without equal attention to how the City will meet its legally required affordable housing obligations. If we are serious about meeting our housing goals, the affordable housing strategy must be as concrete and resourced as the market-rate strategy. Otherwise, we risk facilitating additional market-rate development while the affordable side remains structurally underfunded.

If we are serious about meeting our legally required housing goals, the affordable housing strategy must be as robust as the market-rate strategy. Otherwise, the City risks facilitating luxury development while the affordable side remains structurally underfunded. This concern is further detailed in our latest analysis: [You Can't Build Affordable Housing by Cutting Its Funding](#).

To date, our member Executive Directors — the nonprofit leaders responsible for producing and preserving permanently affordable housing in San Francisco — have not been involved in shaping these discussions. That is a significant gap. Our members are navigating very real financial constraints: rising construction costs, strained operating budgets, and limited subsidy. The funding realities are not theoretical for us. If we don't fund it, we can't build it.

We would welcome the opportunity to sit down with you to discuss the realities facing the affordable housing sector and how we can collaborate on durable funding solutions. We share the Mayor's goal of building more housing, and we believe that requires a clear, well-resourced pathway for affordable production and preservation.

You Can't Build Affordable Housing by Cutting its Funding
<https://quintinmecke.substack.com/p/you-cant-build-affordable-housing>

Thank you,

Council of Community Housing Organizations
CCHO Action

Celebrating 40 years as the voice of San Francisco's affordable housing movement
325 Clementina Street, San Francisco 94103

415-882-0901 office

www.sfccho.org

www.sfcchoaction.org

Follow us on [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#)!

From: [Neelam Kumar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:58:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Neelam

Neelam Kumar
neelk05@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Nick DeRenzi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:59:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Nick D, D1 resident

Nick DeRenzi
nick@criticalresistance.org

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lindsey Hight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:03:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hight
lindseydhs@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Shanti Singh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: The BUILD Act is fiscally irresponsible and out of step with state housing innovations
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing speculative property sales well above the price range of homeowners or "mom and pops" let alone tenants. In my day job, I am a state policy advocate working on these solutions and I can say without question that San Francisco is not leading in tackling the affordability crisis -- it is lagging. The BUILD Act as currently written would be yet another enormous step backward.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings or multifamily rental properties that are frequent targets of speculative flipping, and have absolutely nothing to do with new residential construction. Some of these large buildings are even older than many of the recipients of this email. To do this in a manner that indiscriminately cuts taxes for older construction at a time of budget cuts and city worker layoffs is fiscally suicidal.

Claims that the BUILD Act's cuts would be revenue-neutral with a foreclosure tax are dubious to even a casual observer as these are completely separate forms of market activity. Transfer taxes generate the most revenue during boom cycles, foreclosures tend to spike during busts. Supervisors should demand a year-over-year comparison in projected revenues from a trusted, politically independent analyst at the very least before even beginning to entertain such a claim.

By the end of 2026, the California Department of Housing and Community Development will have published its recommendations pursuant to SB 555 (Wahab), the Stable Affordable Housing Act of 2023, which will lay out a panoply of state AND local land, financing, institutional, and governance strategies for social housing models in California. (As an aside, I will note that a BUILD Act co-author has claimed that social housing is not possible in San Francisco without a state-level development authority. That is categorically untrue and would certainly be news to the many affordable housing providers and state policy experts working on social housing policy in California).

Additionally, AB 670 (Quirk-Silva), signed into law by Governor Newsom, now allows for acquisition and preservation of low-income housing to count up to 25% of low-income RHNA goals. So far there has been no acknowledgment or reflection of this in local policy in San Francisco.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest COVID-19 rent relief program in the country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from homelessness; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market.

There's a better way to build and preserve housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build and buy social housing in San Francisco.

After the passage of Mayor Lurie's Family Zoning Plan, a number of Supervisors acknowledged that public investments were needed if we had even a glimmer of a hope to reach our city's state-mandated goals. Cities like Chicago, Atlanta, and Seattle are innovating in the social housing and public investment space while San Francisco continues to gamble hopelessly on a bear market and chuck tax break after tax break at the problem, even if it means hurting tenants and union workers facing layoffs. (I do not see how the BUILD Act is "pro-union" if it contributes to laying off union workers, by the by).

Instead of repealing Prop I, honor the voters' intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to public investment in land and social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Shanti Singh (in my personal capacity as a San Francisco tenant and longtime D3 constituent)

Shanti Singh

shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com

1441 Clay St.

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Kate Olmstead](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Katherine Olmstead

Kate Olmstead
ke.lynch77@gmail.com

,

From: [Claire Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 3:35:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Claire Thomas
cet.samoht@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Kelly Holz](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [holz.kelly@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020, 4:02:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.evansco.click/y/2/d01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAg3eNmZIHQyOmE6boxOGVhYjcyNmMwZDY4NTg0THZGM4ZjlsOGFhZjEiNDs3OjA1NTU5YmE3MjRlMzJlNmE3MmRlYWo3YzJlH0WFS0TF1OWVAMDFYb2ZDQ3NGNlMjQ0OWE5NDRAZmM4YmE3MjYMTA4OTpsOjY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kelly Holz
3818 17th St
holz.kelly@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Rebecca Benjamin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [Melgar-Saif \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [benjamin@doms.usfca.edu](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 4:58:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://pet.easem.click/s/2/0/1/>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about/>—YMAxvNwZHQzOnE6bwpMfENddQWfEMTLzNDMINDxvNGVUZDv00ThYThqMTx3QmM5Mz46Nj6NpA2N5hOTz2ZDY5ODUyN2lwMTc1NTg4ZTRBNyYwZM5QWUu0TR0ZTNvYyYTVmZjA4MTRlMDUsZDEyYjpmOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Benjamin
rbenjamin@doms.usfca.edu
District 7 resident

From: [Leah Worthington](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 5:32:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Leah Worthington
worthingtonleah@gmail.com
52 Landers Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Jeanette Yue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 6:24:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Jeanette

Jeanette Yue
Jeanette126@gmail.com
909A Florida St
San Francisco, California 94110-2819

From: [Francesca Manning](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Francesca
TEACHER, MOTHER, SF BORN AND BRED

Francesca Manning
ftcmanning37@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Lutz Netto](#)
To: [Lutz Netto \(NYC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [Lutz Netto \(NYC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 7:40:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2/g01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHOyOmL6hzoIMDA5YzMyZWMeSTBbNTpsMTd4OTI2MTc2Njc3N2ZlZjps3OmZYTL6GGYsM6MnMcFmNDhYTVkYWNhZjE5OWI1M2MeOWR6ZG00NZjIMDb4N2E0TEhZDMwYzkiZjhsNjY4YmZjZmFsMjpsOKY6Ujg](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lutz Netto
assis_netto@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [Iskhan Sungwan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RDM\)](#); [Mandelman-Goff, \(RSG\)](#)
Cc: [sgtraiko@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 7:53:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.click/y2/g01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZH0yOmE6hzo0MnQZDEhYTKwGDEwZDVmWQ1MTJIMdH0WFeYjBkZTs3OnsNYTg5TE3ZmYsNTE4ZGM1ZDFlZThhYWYyY2RlYzY3NWYy2NcYsM2JlMTQyYmRkZmRkZDpSc0Z2aSc0RkZDhZc0NTps0KYG1p](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Iskhan Sungwan
sgtraiko@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Chris Carlsson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:08:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Chris Carlsson
carlsson.chris@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110-4014

From: [Diana Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Diana Rodriguez
dianarodfsu@gmail.com
864 Treat Ave Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94110-2742

From: [josh bea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely, Josh Bea

josh bea
flatplasticsound@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Audrey Dixon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:27:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future and the futures of the families I teach in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Best regards,
Audrey

Audrey Dixon
audrey2dixon@gmail.com
2660 3rd st Apt 513
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Alexa Bruce](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [travvelos@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 7:42:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

Having lived in the Bay Area most of my life and San Francisco for the past 20+ years, I've seen many friends leave due to the cost of housing. Please help people of all incomes have places to live. It strikes me when I go other places and people with many different jobs can all be neighbors. This feels like community - people with different perspectives living side by side.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yaman.click/52601>), <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> —YAAc6bNmZHz3Qnf4bowMTENTkYwE5NTY5cOTRmMf5mTBhZTFPOW33Yw34OT3c3Gp5MGL6NmfHOTg3N2j6NDE3Zjh7zdmMD4gOD60SDBuNWCqN3NgYzYjNDY2NzZlZjEwNjBhNDM2MTcsZGQ3NDE0NGFwZDpmOky6Tg demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alexa Bruce
Alabama Street
travvelos@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Jackson Oberlink](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(2018\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(RD\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(RD\)](#)
Cc: [jacksonoberlink@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RD\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2/9f/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXacOnNmZH0yOmE6boxZODexNZZMTUjZTVjYTNINSTEjM2RnZ05MTUxYVYVMDs3OmEIM2U6YzUsZDRhZTt3ZWJ5ZTQxYzlyYzNlNmUsMjYyZmFnZjUzZDhZDRIMDhYWRkMWJ0NDkyYTU0N2UjZWYyNTI3NTIwOky6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jackson Oberlink
1515 18th Ave, Unit B
jacksonoberlink@gmail.com
District 7 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Rafi and mayor lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters myself included, passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop J. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop J's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition https://url.avanan.click/v2/d/01-https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about_VYXz4oNmZlHQyOmE6bzphMmMxNzUzTA0YgRtMt3YjYyS2U1NtYxZmE0MzBmY9o3QzUxNDE6NDI5MTI2Nm40WY1JZGVhY2YyM2YxYzhkMWlsMDkxNgA4MjhNGUyZmE0MjQ2NGMyNGQ3ZTU2MWYxYzZmOThkYjpwOkY6Tg demanding you spend P1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jacob Henke
45a pearl street
henke095@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [O Role](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MIR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [collected@minko.fastmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:08:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.yaman.click/s/2/r0/> – <https://www.4socialhousing.org/about> – YXAofcNepZHQyOmE6bzpZTcsZTM5ZWNSMzVXYs8YzIwZGRhNDUyWEYTRSYw3QMsZDz6ZGZGZGZIV2E2ZTcdNm3Y2Y4YTV1YjE0NDQyZWJ0YjAyMDRlY2MsODs2YzBkMmRmNTE5MjNDZyZmlZZTZiNjgwOKY6Tg)

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
O Role
collected@minko.fastmail.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avam.cdc/v2/d0/>, <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>) YXzAeOnNmZHOYmE6bzoyODBiJkFjMDJlMlWUzMTNzRzODU4ZjEwMTM2YjRhNjQ3OmVjOGI6NGUyOYmY2NiMTM2NTVnTlOTlONzA3OGRIM5SgNsYmRnZGMDBmN2YyOGM3ZjY1Y2Y2M2t0YTdmMzU4NSNpNzwOKY6Tg demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't get Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Nicholas Clayton](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D18\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R26\)](#); [MandelmanSelf \(R26\)](#)
Cc: [clayton.nicholas@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R26\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:35:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.lavaman.click/v2/d1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX&03n5mZHFqOmE6zow.YjpYJl0YWYySTJhZGf-mN2Q2M2QpMmL4M2Eg0TU5Ym3QjpeZTM6Mm4sNDNjYWFkNGQwMGJlYTM3NmUjNDQ6ZmUjNThhZTVhNjU1MDYjYjpeYWFE2NjYyYjFhZS0zOmZlYmYyZWZpmOKY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Clayton
41 Octavia Blvd, Unit 7, San Francisco CA 94102
clayton.nicholas@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Dan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\); Mandelman-Steff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [danfoldes@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 11:58:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanan.click/s/2/p01/...https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about/...YXAaCbsNzoZHQyOmE6bzowYzYSNjU5Y2U0ZGI0ZDBhMzQSMzI0YjEEmOTkYTYtZ3Nzo3QshMc06M2hZTE2YjYmQ1YjUwY2I3YTQ0QGY5ZDJlMTU0YmV3YjUyMDQ1YzU0NTQ5MDZjNDY4NDk5ZGZlZDQ0NTNjNDgwOkY6TGp>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Dan
danfoldes@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Carl R. Patterson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [carlpatterson@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 12:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://carlsvann.clicky2491/>... <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>... [YTAxOaNsWZHHQzOmE6kzmmZjVhNmRmNjY4NzA0YjY2MDY2MjM4NDU5YzA4ZTl5MjM3OjBhVTM6OTg0OGFhYmFmWEJyGUAzOTFlNzVlZmQzZTlsNzA5ZTl5ZW9lZmE3ZGE0ZTl3NjNmZUxzZmZMTc2OWI3MGEzZDpmOjY6Tg](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Carl R. Patterson
50 Shradler St
carlr.patterson@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Marianna Ilagan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [Melgar-Shaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [marianna.ilagan@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 5, 2024 3:38:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

It is imperative that you take this seriously!!!! I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avammm.click/y2/d0/> / <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAcOnNmZIHQyOnE6bpN2NbzGfRYW05SNWlMTt3cODoZWlWlWlwND82NWMlMq3GjBtNW6mMGQ4ZTtT7tB0TQxMzZANvIvmFXYjkhNTAyYmMzZWU3sNTgMDfZWfEsZTlmMDQxNmYyOGQzM2B4NDMxYjRlMjpwOklY6Ug) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Marianna Ilagan
1421 10th Avenue, SF 94122
marianna.ilagan@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Test](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MOR\); Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\); FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [test@test.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:13:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yaman.click/s2/9011...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXAsbcbmZHO2OmE6ho2YzJlMzZkYiAweNDAwMjcwOWZzYzI5MzE5MGEFiyu3OgZjZDU6MGEFiyYmZlcmVjLWZlMDE3YWElNSJmMDs1YzJlZGJBZDM0YTY0M2NjOGZkZGlmZjEYzBNDc0MDdNDiMMap0kY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Test
test@test.com
District 9 resident

From: [Tim Alberdingk Thijm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:33:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Tim Alberdingk Thijm
tim.thijm@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jacqueline Martin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D15\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jacqueline Martin \(Supervisor\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 11:29:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(https://url.avanam.click/v2/01/_https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about__YX4uOnmZHQyOmE6bzozYjNmSGVlZjA2OTZlZWY4YzU5ZmMyMWU5ODcyNGZAMdo3QjUsZm6ZD8amNWjKOTlYj6ZmlwZGQzMzE3MjllMlMwQjNDblY2ZmNjZkOGFscYTBlZDRmZTBhOGZlY4dN2NkYzU5YWFmTm9kY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Martin
108 Caselli Ave
jacque.martin15@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Donna K D Moore
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D13\) > Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\); MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [upperbunt@gmail.com > Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 1:01:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.click/y2/01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXArOnNmZH0rOmE6bzo4M2EzYjM4NjY1NzQ3ZjhkNGQsOTU2MjcyNjcyNDVhYT03QjhmYzU6NjA2MDY1OTJhNjA2ZmQ0Y2QwNWY4M2U2NjhmM2JmZTExZjE1NjYzNiU2NDYzNiYsNmYsNWQ5ZThmMWE4M2NmMDpmOjY6IjE](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Donna K D Moore
215 San Jose Avenue, APT 7
upperbunt@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Nicole Samrao](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D18\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(D24\)](#); [MandelmanSheff \(D24\)](#)
Cc: [nicole.samrao@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(D24\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:03:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.vanan.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeCmNmZHQzOmE6hzphOWQ1YmUZWY1YcQ3OGH2M2NGE1NTE4ZWFlY2ZlYmM3QmZDE6NTAwMTZlMTY3NTg5ZmZlZDZlYmV3YjYjYjA1OWI5OTZlMTc3ZWFlwNlhmMTAsMGY5ZDNgY2RlODs4ZTQlMTgwOKYGlz](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Nicole Samrao
nicole.samrao@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [David Ryan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRL\); Mahmood, Bilal \(BAG\); Mahmood@staff](#)
Cc: [the.david.ryan@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:35:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url-avanan.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAe3nNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYWlyYnmM1NWf3ZTVAMseF3SGVJOdgdZGMzNTbhNjhMzo3QjAyNacNzRkMcVjOGU3MDhmZmMY2ZnZlxNGl1McccNjYxOjW3YTY1ZlZyYVJlZG9ibZmRlOTlxNmeF4NDE2N2Y0MWFzNDpwOKYGTg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
David Ryan
723 Haight St
thedavidryan@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Lucas Spangher](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNY\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman,Self \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [Lucas.Spangher@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 2:11:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avaman.click/v2/01/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—[YX3vC9sb8mZTfCzQmEdbapOWQvNDHOGJ5NDJZj3-2cTthmYmV9bDFYdzGGEfSs30mM5NTM8N4U3GTdssNthYWJcZmG3MDA4ZTVhMdljZG9YzA6U2MwZ3M0b6M2MxYmYIZTNmMYxGW8smZTYzMTIsMTkzZDRhMDpmOkY6Tjg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lucas Spangher
605 Castro Street
Lucas.spangher@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Theodore Hexter](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D16\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(D06\)](#); [Dorsey, Geoff \(D06\)](#)
Cc: [Ted.Hexter@proton.me](#); [Board of Supervisors \(D06\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 2:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url-avanan.click/y2t01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAe7QeNmZHOyOmE6kzo0Njic0WMzZGtYVMuZyYc0WJbYtIINmVjNWYzMTLSNzo3QfYtU6McczZD03McczZMeODIsNjImMDIsYjVjNmNtYzgzMfDg3MksOGGhNGluZWY0MA3MTG3MdlUIN7NiMTksYmY1MqpsOKYGTg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Theodore Hexter
1670 Market Street, Apt 68
Ted.Hexter@proton.me
District 6 resident

From: [James Geppert](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOG\)](#); [Mahmood@saif](#)
Cc: [jamesgeppert@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 6:07:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.yamm.cdn/v2/d11_https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about_YXAeZu8mZHCyOmE6zpkYTDmYTNmZDc5ZTYxY9YMD0BjN0FzThAMDA0YmRUSnc3OjMyNDc6MTR6OTI2MTBkNjJmMTY5ZWY1YmM0OTFKYmMyZjBhNmNmMWE3MzMej1YjQ1YWQlZTY1Yj1OTNj84mQ3MzA1MmZhYjpsOkY61g) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
James Geppert
138 Hyde Street #4
jamesgeppert@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Kyle Lauer](#)
To: [Lauri Daniel \(DRIE\)](#); [Mahmoud Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoudGulf](#)
Cc: [MahmoudGulf.com - Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 8:39:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2/01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXA2OnNmZHIQyOmE6bzpNWJjZDlyNjksNDI3MguzNjI6ODY0YzU4NTcyYmM3QDc3QzM6ODA6NzZ6OWM1MDhYzq1YTZlMTp2NmVlYzh0TEyMGY1NzZlMDY3OTc1NDQxOGU1NDcwYzUyMWEzNjF0OTExNTYyMmMzOKY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Kyle Lauer
652 Stanyan st Apt 302
kaylauer@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Destiny Santanna](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:22:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Destiny Santanna

Destiny Santanna
destinyjsantanna@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Gus Henry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gus Henry
gus.henry@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Tiara Napolitano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:03:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Tiara Napolitano
tiarajsampson@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Colin Williams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:05:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I teach special ed students in San Francisco.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Colin Williams
quixoticquest@gmail.com
384 Vallejo St.

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94133

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanan.civic3/v2/p0/>, <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>). XXAZoNmZHQYOmE6bzpsYigG0NzF3MlW4mEmFYTU4dMgEwN2QJjZhYnXmJZDJeNTcQkZyCvq6NWQxN2mM5NzbBhOWZYVQ2OTI3MDg4YYWFknjZmQJZmZMTctXgMlODkzMGHINjg7ZDA2mRgMzmZhMD8kMWf2NDgwOlKv6TgP demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Joshua Lloyd](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(DHS\)](#); [Dorsey/Greif \(DHS\)](#)
Cc: [bern_huy.2m@icloud.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 6:31:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanam.click/2t0U1> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeCnNmZHQzOmE6bzpmOGMIYjQxOGFkODM6NjY2NWNlZjA2YjdhYmNkMWE3Njc3QjhmN2U6ODRmNDZzZGF3ZDk1NGVhNmQyZGZlMjYzM2VhYmQzZDhMTg4ZGM0ZTE3YmM1ZmZlZDlmYjE1YzQ3MGh5YTMzZDpsOklYGTg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Joshua Lloyd
1401 mission st apt 1001
bern_huy.2m@icloud.com
District 6 resident

From: [Kevin Zhang](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D11\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R2\)](#); [Mandelman-Greif \(R2\)](#)
Cc: [Lutherski@sf.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R2\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2025 8:41:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanan.click/y2n01/>) — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAc6wNmZHO2OmE6zcsNjE0ZU1N/ZbZDRlN2hENTY2ZmQ0OTBmNmQwNGMsNjps3OmY3MzG6NDNMTEwNyYNDZlGDBYzUsN2JH0TY3Y3YzMTgwNk42MGZzG0Q0WU5MTU0NjpsMTA1MTQ0YmM2Zmlu/ZTY3MjpsGkY6Tg](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kevin Zhang
550 Church St
kyzhang12@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Lurie, Daniel \(DMR\)](#); Sauter, Danny (DDG); Sauter-Stall
To: [daniel.lurie@sf.gov](#); Board of Supervisors (BOG)
Cc: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Subject:
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 10:59:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avance.click/s/2/011...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YYAxf8eNwpZFHCqOnE6gpiODYxMWEyY4FYx6MxNmGMyZTA1YTO3YTNhYzA1Zm30md4Nzg6ODU1Yzc2NTkxMWJhZmZiOTVlVjdlM2U4NDRkNDIhNDMzZlUyYjlkMDVjODdMmImZTZODI5OWJlYzBhYjBNDpsOkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
n
poh 422791, sf, ca 94142
parrott371@juno.com
District 3 resident

From: [Mary Harmon](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [ellie@ellieharmon.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 11:00:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.clicks/2z01/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YX4sOnmZHQzOmE6bzgmYjdYzzYjdYTBmMzBmMzEOD8LYTawYjHJNzMI Mie3OmkyY2Y6Z3swMTMs+MzE0MTOxZjNINzVhZic3ODI3YmE3MDQsMDY3ZDYjMDlmM2M3NTO3NjdlY2lmYzAZZUz2NjdmMjM3MDZwOKY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Mary Harmon
120 Landers St Apt D
ellie@ellieharmon.com
District 8 resident

From: [Beatrix Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 11:18:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Beatrix Jones
beanotbeah@gmail.com
701 Fell St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Isaac Mays-Smith](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(2023\) - Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\) - FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [Isaac.Mays-Smith@sf.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2025 11:56:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2/g01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHOyOmL6bzwsODBmZmY4ZGMjZDdjZmlsNDY2OWZlZmVnYm11MzQ2Nao3QjNyYTQ6ZjU0YzRjZm4GOWRlMjkYjQlOTI0YjhlNGU3ZGpYZlU0Nm11MDMsMWU3NDQ3MTJlYzA4MDNkNjlmZGZlcwZGlnNDpwOKY6TG](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Isaac Mays-Smith
363 guerrero street
isaac.maysmith@gmail.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed one as petition
(<https://url.avancan.click/s/2r0/>) - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - YXAsOmSmXhOzQmE6bzphOTZbZTRbNfBNdZzGQ1YtIdZGzENdNlInE0YtJtMt0J0QzYzY60TRbMGYyMwYzSNWQsM2EzOWlyOTZlZDgSZmYSZDkOGQ0MmM2N2U0TOyOdKwM2ElMzR0WY2YWNsYTYzZDcwYjpwOkY6tEg
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: Sarah Monroy
To: Lurie, Daniel (D115); Mandelman, Rafael (B025); MandelmanStaff (B025)
Cc: sarmon@yahoo.com; Board of Supervisors (B024)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 12:19:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayamm.click/2/d11> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAe/3eNpZHCyQmE6zpmMDMyMGYON2NiyJE2MwQZYmZM7EzZGM3NTBGTJAMDo3Gy5oMTQ6Y2MsYmFKYzIMvZ2ZDE2MWE3N2U5YzE5NTFmNzUwYzU5MmciNjc3MzFmMGIzYUJZmUwMTUyRjR5N2Y4MTVmMjpsOkY6Iz](https://url.ayamm.click/2/d11)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Sarah Monroy
47 Clifford Ter.
sarmon@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [Joe Curtin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D13\); Mandelman, Rafael \(R24\); MandelmanSteff \(R24\)](#)
Cc: [curtin_joe@hotmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(R24\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 12:24:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.vanan.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeCmNmZHQzOmE6hupkMDM4ZTlYVWQzMDE4ZGY4ODZlYWYzNWU0DAANTRAYz03QVMWUz6ZA0NzY0Zm57ZjEwOGY2ZjEwMDIjNDI4ZTYyYjE5NTU1YTA3NjE1MjVMDQYTO4MWI2MjU1M2E3MmE1ZTA7Mm9wOkyG1g](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Joe Curtin
439 Corbett Avenue #1
curtin_joe@hotmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Miles Bernson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D118\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman,Self \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [MilesBernson@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 1:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/v2/91/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—[YX3vCshbmZTHQyOmEboaoNTHMDIwNGUIMDEUNDbmZU3MagsYahMWVYNDJmIasOmYhYgO6TYy5MWfEINf5MZQ3NW5imM4EOGCVXmSmYz4ZDksY2EGDhsMJBhMggzZTV3MDM0N5NmSd4yYacwZTAyNWJ2ZTpsOkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Miles Bernson
3600 21st Street
MilesBernson@gmail.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

demanded you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Mark A Paisley
474 Natoma St
mallan05@gmail.com
District 6 resident

From: [Elizabeth](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D19\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [receptionismovement@riseup.net](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 3:17:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicks/2201/...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXAsOaNmZHQzOmE6bzgmMjNjMzA4NjI4YmVsNmM4NSNOTNM4YjNzMjNWMM3Mw3OmY3MTE6YWV3MGI0YThhYjAwYTg0ZWJzODkxYjF3NGN0Z3kzMDOyYjI0OTkzMjA1YjZkNzA0NWYyM0NzODY3kzZGQzOTIwOKY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth
3460 16th St, San Francisco CA 94114
receptionismovement@riseup.net
District 8 resident

From: [Gloria Alonzo](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [msalonzog@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 5:05:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.click/v2/r01/>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>—YXAafOeNuzZHOyOmEghoz1NTI2Yk4ZDgzNiA5ZjU3ZDBhNjgyZTU5OTJlNDFlYTc3OjEzZjU6MmE1MzE2YTE3YzZlMTgzZDQjZWUuNDMzYmlyMDNlODM2MTQ4YmJjZjM5M2JlZjE5NWEmOGQhNjRlM2RjMjc2YTpmOkY6TGp) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Gloria Alonzo
876 York St
msalonzog@gmail.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop J. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop J's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://url.avanan.click/v2/01/> <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> [YXAAcOnNmZHOyOmE6bzpjTfZfZdHjYmE0OTYIMT3MTg5NDc2Tt4YjZnZyNTA3OjU3MTM6NzAyNDhjYmE0M3M2U4MGY3M2RjZjA2MTg5MWQ0MWRjZjg5MThZjE0MmZlYyA3NzkyZmYwQWNGNiZGNiYTZlNGRkOTgwOkY6YtI](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Haley Rounds
3955 17th st, Apt 12
haleyrounds@gmail.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

District 5 resident

From: [Amy Andrew](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRIE\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman/Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [amy.andrew@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:59:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/s/24d1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YYXaCnNnpZHCyOmF6bzp1YZIMZYzMcYnNmQz4Z=MuCtWJmZDU4NGNmMTkNTc3QFMTM6OTI4ZDM5MjA0MThmMjMGMWJlOGQmODNlNWQVYmNocMk4MDpzMzRlOTdkMTY2OTI0YzhhNjI5ZDQmN2QmMTU1YzpwOkY6TGp](#))

demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Amy Andrew
1585 Waller St
amy.andrew@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Theresa Smith](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNY\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(RD\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [cal4gals@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 8:21:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.asan.click/y2rf1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOsnNmZHCyOmEdbqphZmOQymVIMGRNnVLOPDN0W1uYjilNdIsNzcwNccsNjocQjBmYnE6Zj3MGU15Mm1sMzlmZjA0MmM2MmMsNGE2ZTQ3ZWRBNjQsMGNAODkZTBhZjpsMDMAMDIhZWFeNmBhOGQzMzY1TmwOKY6Tg](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Theresa Smith
2027 Mission Street Apt 409
cal4gals@yahoo.com
District 9 resident

From: [Mark Hood](#)
To: [Luis Daniel \(DRE\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [markhood@fogdragon.net](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:48:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXacOnNmZH0yOmE6bzozN2U4NmE5ODE5YjNiOTJlODg1NzA5OTU1NmE5NWZmN3o3SiNfSE6NGE=MAVMDAAsNWQzMmYaNiZlNGMAYjBjZDUzZGYwZGJlOTJlZjZmOWZlZjZlMTEzMTNlZmVhbnVfSMWRlNzY5YzmsOKY6Tj](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Mark Hood
132 Henry
markhood@fogdragon.net
District 8 resident

From: [Jacob Marin](#)
To: [Luis Daniel \(DRE\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [Belgar-Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [baco@hamele@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 12:47:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avamw.clicks/2d91U...https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YXAaOfOnSmZHQpOmEekzoaMWQ5YigSNGFmZmlyYggZGVGMGE1Zig5NDU1Ym1xZTQ3bmYyZTU6ODM2bnRlY2A0MTMxODhkJkMTY4Y2FBN2YyZTBODhEYjAweYWJkNncxMjksNDdMmU1Y2YyY2czMmUyMjUxODpwaOKy6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jacob Marin
819 Noriega St
baco@hamele@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Rio Anderson](#)
To: [Laurie Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RSC\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(RSC\)](#)
Cc: [riomezey@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RSC\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.econm.clicks/2/91/>... <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about.../XJAoOnSmZHOyOmE6bzpMTthMFLZzZkMDg1OTI2MGEzZk1MDY5NDYyYjY0ZDp3OjpxY2M6MTQ3ODI6MzU1NTM4YTVjNWNIzGY5NGQzYzZ3MmJmZThmYThiYTZzNmU0MmRlYWJ5YT6NT6OTQ5Y2I0MTpsOky6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rio Anderson
221 Douglas St
riomezey@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Rod Laughridge](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman,Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [contrain@sunbox.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:14:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.yaman.click/v/2d01/> — <https://www.4socialhousing.org/about> — [YXAaOnNwZlHq2OnE6bzwYWNhYW14M6A7TlBmYac1ZD8zZG1uANW05TY4ZGE4YTc3Qk1MTc6MDhYTYkY05ZG0sMWZlODQsMWVlMDM2NDg5NWQyYWENTAlYpOGVnNTQsNGZlVTBzYTZlM2E0NTM0YyQTYzpmOkY6TG](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rod Laughridge
1424 Cole Street
contrain@sunbox.com
District 8 resident

From: [Lindsey Hight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:59:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hight
lindseydhs@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Franco Zuzunaga](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOG\)](#); [SauterShaf](#)
Cc: [mizumaf@sanfrancisco.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 4:17:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.as.wash.cdn/vs/2/6/1/>... <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>... [YXAdhNw7HfGzOnE6hauZGVyN2RlOGFhOTtjLnW33NGL3MTt-M-BkYTCyNoJNjc3QzBzQ6NzGfGThjOD43MjBmOWU0YjUjZDZlNWN3Y2FsNDJkNDJkZTksMzI1MzY3MTY1Y2hN2QzMDEyYTFE2N2UyZDZlMDpmOjY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Franco Zuzunaga
1930 Hyde street
zuzunagofranco@gmail.com
District 3 resident

From: [Dylan Jaff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 5:14:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Dylan Jaff
dylan.jaff12@gmail.com
1310 Fulton st
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Monique Koller](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D19\)](#); [Wong, Allen \(D02\)](#); [WongStaff \(D02\)](#)
Cc: [hawkwesedater@gmail.com: Board of Supervisors \(D02\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I- protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 5:18:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Alan Wong and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.evann.click/y2t011...https://www.4socialhousing.org/about...YXAuO8NwZHOzOmE6bnMTF3ZmYzMzYzA3NkxNwY0MTt1ZmY1ZTRlMjBmMDc3OGZGMk6SNVZmE5N2l1Mm3OG0zZTRhZmYxZTM6ZW9kVzY0VTZlYmY3ZTU1NjdmTHkV2JlMDp1ZGRBYmE5OGU5MzZlZm0kY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Monique Koller
1360-43rd Avenue #441
hawkwesedater@gmail.com
District 4 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

demanded you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

LESS COPS, MORE SOCIAL SERVICES

Sincerely,
Ryan Cicak
18a Dorland St
thinknight23@gmail.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

hundreds of my fellow San Franciscoers for Social Housing have already signed on as petitioners (<https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/>, https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about__YXAzOmNmHQyOmE6bzpkZiQ2M2Y4Mm15NjMzZUtsOTYhY2ZkYWFhZmZmYTo3Nm3o3jgwMWU6NmQ0ZGE7Y200N2M0MzQ2NWJsZmE5ZjM2NTRyWmNmNDU4MGNIY2E2ZGJlYTgyNjRmYzI3NzY1YjJ5NGE5NzhkZjpwOkY6TG) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Jessica Richards](#)
To: [Laurie Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(RD\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(RD\)](#)
Cc: [jessrichards2017@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RD\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:09:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXacOnNmZH0yOmI6bm3Yz3ZDImNWf3MGV3NTwZTcyZmIjWEfZGVjNU50Tz03dM5cGf6YiesYgRvTA4N2F5OGFIWWfMSMz3ZmEmMGN3ZWf3MDz0OGI0MTZMTUwSrzA3MDcyYjIjVU5NwQ2MTc5MDh0OTw0KjY6Tj](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jessica Richards
1770 9th Ave., Apt 11
jessrichards2017@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [William Dao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:20:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing. Stop letting those who are profiting off this city to get away with not paying their fair share!

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

William Dao
willdao1@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Rowen Leigh](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R02\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(R02\)](#)
Cc: [rowen.leigh@icloud.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R02\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 8:36:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y/2/01/>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>—[VXAacOnNmZHOyOmF6bzphYTgwMWM4NDRnN6iZD6NWUzZGIZZDE5ZTRNzO10ta3OjHYJ6MPCyZD&VZjNDImYTcsOWVINDA5MWEkM=8NDz2M7LjY2M3ZjkeOTYzNGEwYWVjZWYkOWI1M64NGN8NDz4OTpwOKY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rowen Leigh
3750 16th St
rowen.leigh@icloud.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://www.change.org/p/san-francisco-social-housing-program-should-be-immediately-reinstated> demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

District 8 resident

From: [Sabeena Shah](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 3:02:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sabeena Shah
shah.sabeena@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNY\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RSG\)](#); [Mandelman,Self \(RSG\)](#)
Cc: [Daniel Mandelman \(over\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RSG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 3:12:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avammm.click/y2/d/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> _____ YXAQnNmZiHQOmE6bzmmMrVINDYmZrVymMDqsMDEfZM5NGfODNkYWtsoNzRIno3OmMOW6ZTciYwQwZWfJMWtSY-G5Ntk5NWQ2MTA(NT1)ZDE0MDM0ZmM5NjdMZYyZY2YgrODY2YQ4OTdsc0WEf3ZDczNcQ2Npm0kY6Tg)

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Dan Schumm
135 Edgewood Ave
dane135@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\); Mahmood, Bilal \(BOG\); MahmoodShaf](#)
Cc: [timhucal154@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 3:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avaman.click/v2/d0/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAaOnNmZlIqZOmE6bzo5MjBMjllZDY0GGRNTEINWMUSml2MGmZNGY0NTU5OTc3GZaMT06ZDBmNTFVYTaLYAtwMzQ0MlY1YTz2MjgAMmFYWU50WNjZWQzYTMlYTEaMTc0YjFAMmQyZDUzMGM0NDNNGIGYTwgOKYGTg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lucas Briggs
625 Ashbury St, San Francisco, CA 94117
timhucal154@gmail.com
District 5 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

<https://url.avaman.click/v2/t0f/> <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> _YXZa3nMZH0yOmE6bzpmZT0SYTYNTRIZDJ0Mjc3MDA1OGUxMjQxMTcyYTlWYWZT0z0mloZmU6ZTkwODAxMjlmNmNhZjUwMDcxMDI1NDgzMzFkMjlnInVjOWQxODM2MjM3ZWMyNzk0ZDg3NDk1NTQ1YTg3NjMwMjpwOKY6tG demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

I appreciate the work you do and want to help you make the right decisions. We need to continue to be a beacon for the rest of the state, country, and world

District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MIR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [AudreyMandelman@sf.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:45:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.gdmm.click/s2/01/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—[YNAx0aNaZHQzOnE6bozNGkMDz3MT4zSi8BYTefYzU2MDMxYzE1YWFE3MGhNjc3QzMxYzYwYml1S2I8S2DIIMzA1MWQNTAazZjJmNjNTZzZmEzZmI6NTB0TElOTQzNGVhN2ZlYzFmOGU0VTJhZmIyYzY0NTpsOAY6Tg](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Elaine Michaud
206 Downey Street
studios@puchell.net
District 8 resident

From: frankbuffum@sbcglobal.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 6:45:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. Working San Franciscans' future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

frankbuffum@sbcglobal.net

,

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHTS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman,Self \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [info@missi.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2025 7:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avamem.click/y2d0/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZlHqOmEfbao3MDc4OTQ3ZDc4NGNiYzY4YTYUINDOZTMjOGM5N2NjNzo3OmIzZDh6MTM4NTI7YjE3MGJyMDQwNmAMINGE5MjhmYWMOOTVmeN7Y4YyAMTU2NWVnYzgzZDg1ZDI2NmMGEFYrO2M4QzNzpaOkY6TGp](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
stetske tjallingii
179 Dolores St
info@missi.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url-avanon.click/yZr0I/>, <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>) <https://xasXnOm7HqYOmF6bzv0NTA3jTkwOTUzOGIMGE7ZGEfNGUEOmWY0yjk3Y4tOTo3omU4Yjk6ODK3NTA1MGfmNTYyZGlyfNWNmM2JkMG8jOWY5OGU4MWYyODYyYjM4NSwBmJVOODFMMDQvZWNIwBVL2NGM2NDMyZTpwOKY6tg>

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Brenda Peterson
2200 Market Street
banabu76@hotmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOG\)](#); [MahmoodBilal](#)
Cc: [hereafter@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2025 9:08:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yanm.click/y2d1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHOyOmE6yozNDM2ODY3ZGE2NTgzM7BzMnd1uMTNzZmU4NmJmYzU2MTc3QkxYze6NTNsZGEwMGJhNjdlNzQxYTRlNTRlYWZlYmR3Maz3M2E0ZGM5ZmQxODgSNml3ODAYYlUwMGExNmY2MjBmNTc2ZDpwOkY6Tj](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Justin Laundré
22 Belvedere St
hereafter@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Lisa King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 9:37:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lisa King
lisakingsf@yahoo.com
656 Sweeny St.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRIE\); Mandelman, Rafael \(RDG\); MandelmanGailf \(RDG\)](#)
Cc: [ebenkeim@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:37:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.to/ann.clicks/21911...> <https://www.afsofthehousing.org/about...> YXaOOnSpZH0yOmE6bzgkYfENWYSMgHlOTlyZTOSNbhYzJIN2RmNTfINTg3Nja3QsbMDA6Y3UsNGY1NGM0ZDlyZmlsMzA1MGJwYyYwMWNoODEyY2R3MDdBMWJlNjMwMjE2MTU1MzAwYW01MG00NiA3ZjAzMzpsOkY6TGp) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Emmanuel Keim
3471 21st St Unit 6
ebenkeim@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Kimberly Vasquez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 11:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Vasquez
kimv.2013@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Scott Petersen](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/18\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R/24\)](#); [Mandelman,Shelf \(R/24\)](#)
Cc: [redmyst@smac.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R/24\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2025 11:46:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2t01/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXA4QsNmZHF0yOmE6bpkNTg4OGJfZlMwZDVlMWMzODRBMGJcNlU2ZTE2NkYzTG3Cml3MPCY6NzRlZTM2dURjN2RlYTY2NTRlZWVhYmMwOTU3ZGJhNDFlNGM4YjhmZWU2MDp2NmVlYWE2Yjk3YjEzMGM4MDYwNzpsOkY6TGp](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Scott Petersen
2200 Market St, Unit 206
redmyst@smac.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRIE\); Chen, Chyanne \(BOG\); ChedStaff](#)
Cc: [Carolyn wolff; Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:54:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Chyanne Chen,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.click/s/2591/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXa4QnNmZHOyOmE6bzozM2JhMjRlMjA0MGYsZDlmdFMDQ3ZmRiQWJhZlE3NDs3QjFMTA6MjY4YTU3ZAM0DEzOGU5M2JhZlVlYzRlZGRlNDR8NWYnTRIN2l0OWlSMmVnNDs0MElODAsMGYlZGZY4NWNlZDpsOkY6TjE](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Carolyn wolff
726 MADRID ST, 726 madrid
Cwolff@site-savvy.com
District 11 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D1115\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lgoessl27@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 2:21:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Connie Chan,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/v2/rf1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXA4CnNmZHQzOmE6bzoxNTI1MTQzYjgzNzNmN2F1MjgibGNY4NDMzZWYzWjJINDo3OmMwQDQ6NjlsMzY1YTlBNWE0ZmVmY2FkNjk2ODMzZGM2MzE0ZjksYTZ6NzY2NDc2MwQ2NTY4Yzh0TGZlW0M0NWU0YWFMzms0KY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Laura Goessel
2309 Blake Street
lgoessl27@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(RPS\)](#); [Mandelman@raff \(RPS\)](#)
Cc: [gechung@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 11:37:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanam.click/2t0U1...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXA4CnNmZHO2OmE6bzpnZTIsZTEwOWM2Njg0ODNANWJlZTJhN2JmZDh0YmMsc3Q3FkYzM6OTFNMHYjE3MTNjZDM5OGQxNWQlZDh0ZTQ3YjYwMmRlNzA5YmNmN2E5ZjQlYmMsZWYyYWV3b2duU2NDklMmMzMjMwOXY6TGp>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Guy Chung
1517 Waller Street
gechung@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [laffhol@hotmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:20:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Matt Dorsey,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Stop playing DOGE!
This is San Francisco.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url-aviam-click-y2t91/> ... <https://www.afosocialhousing.org/about> ... [YXA4OnNoZlR0eQml6bmplZTN6ZmFNTQoZTZgcSocE1NTY3MzA4Y2JscmV1U5ZjhhMjc3OGZGOG5MDpsOTRlMTF3MGE3NGQxMTcYzA4Y3Y4NWFE2ODI2ZDRucMTAsZTZAMWU2MmI2ODY2NncvYjU5MjY3b3R0eQml6bmplZTZgcSocY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lloyd Affholter
41 McCoppin
laffhol@hotmail.com
District 6 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avaman.click/v2/t0t/>) (<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>)_YXAxs6NmZHQyOmE6bzpmZGFmMDQwMTc0ZDElMzNlWU9yZkZDfHMGZlMjIjZGJmY2F5eQGFEmOTU3NDVIMyZYMjMzMjZDMzNDNTA5ZGMzM2FODlNWYTYTM2MWFIZjAyMzBjOWE5YWQ5ZDpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Elise Dovletoglou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 10:04:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Elise Dovletoglou
elise.dovletoglou@gmail.com
4170 17th Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNYC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [Melgar-Snell \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [aracena711@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1--protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 8:12:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Myrna Melgar,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayam.click/v2/d/1/> — <https://www.4socialhousing.org/about> — [YYAaOnNooZHRzOmIebzpiMDM4ZWQyNzFjOTAsMTg0GGOY2YzZk-SYWQwZGRlNao3QiBOWY6YTE5ZDI2YTd6OWM2MGQwMzQxYTEiODZMTlY2ZmYsNGUsNDNmMGVYTHIiODVhZWUzOWFjOThZemZlYwZGUzZDpsOkY6TGp](#))

demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Azucena Morales
357 Arballo Dr
aracena711@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DTR\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(RSC\)](#); [Waltersstaff, \(RSC\)](#)
Cc: [monique.abadilla@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RSC\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 6:58:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Shamann Walton,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.evann.click/v2/011...https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...YXAofOnNwZHOzOmE6bzrmZGF3NjQ0MTV3ZGV0GMINDBoNvE5ZGN2EzAMWO2NTx3o8iLOWj6NsJHOTz-MGQzNDkwNDQ2ZmFYmQzN2FENTN5EzMEFYGA1OTzGYjZzWQzNmUINWMND8N2FkMGRN5E0NzgwOKY6Tz>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Monique Abadilla
2062 Revere Avenue
monique.abadilla@gmail.com
District 10 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [phyllidab@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 6:57:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Jackie Fielder,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.to/ann-ckckx/2/9/U/>... <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about...> YXaQOnSmZH0yOmE6bzpZDUZGNIOTI2MjMDh0ODUINGNIMDbZTQwMlUyYj03OmYzNj6M4xM2QzYTdhOTYwZjA1OTQzM2E0YTUvK0WE3MGYyNDAsZTk3OWUwYUJlYTJTNINjI3NmQyYWMSNTcsNjdlINTQ4OTpsOkY6TGp) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Phyllida Burlingame
185 Gates St
phyllidab@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DIRE\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [gaffneyeverett@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 6:36:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.evans.clicks/2991/>, <https://www.4socialhousing.org/about>, [YXJwOnNpZHo5OmE6bzpmNWQ0MzNyZmNkcsODYwOGQyNmEyYjk3OGY2MzgwMzozOjk0Y2Y6NDp5YTRiNSRlODJlbnZlZjFhZmMGLuOWVIMdswZGZlNTZlYmIMGLuZlRlOGUsMTJlbnNlZlZlZWFlNmIMdGpwOkyY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Everett Gaffney
75 Crags Court
gaffneyeverett@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MRS\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOE\); MandelmanStaff \(BOE\)](#)
Cc: [DanielMandelman@cityofsf.org; Board of Supervisors \(BOE\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 5:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.your-connection.com/https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about/...YYAs7bNwZHQyQmE6ho3Zml1ZmV5ZzZCYzRjMWFYy2bVjBjMGEINzRlV2hYTz0yOjN2I2ZTE3OTIhAGE0ZjMlM6hN2l0ODFmZjRlZDNeNTUwODA4YVU1MzccMDIhZjFlYzA3M2NiN2hYATccODNucZ3d0Y2psOkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Tiana Oreglia
158 27th Street
tiana@oreglia.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

demanded you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Cc: heidipetersen@gmail.com; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 4:25:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program. I work at the public library and I see so many of our fellow San Franciscans who could benefit from programs like this. I also work at a high school, and I know that those students are likely to be forced to leave San Francisco to find stable housing, with limited chances of being able to return during their parents' lifetimes. The longer it takes to make good use of this money for housing the harder the strain on other city departments, and I haven't seen progress from the city on creating social housing yet. Please don't give tax breaks to the rich while failing to fulfill the mandates of city voters.

We need to build, buy or give grants enabling third parties to run social housing across the city in a wide variety of housing types. This transfer tax is great in that it funds those goals, while taxing the types of real estate that creates monocultures - we are a diverse city and we need diverse housing, not just giant complexes or billionaire mansions. Sure, have a few of those but they should pay their fair share - and San Francisco has voted that this transfer tax is their fair share.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Heidi Petersen
1341 McAllister St
heidipetersen@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRIE\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(RSC\)](#); [Hedger, Geoff \(RSC\)](#)
Cc: [torresriggs@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(RSC\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 4:14:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Myrna Melgar,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yaman.click/s/2591/> — <https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQnNmZHOyOmE6bzmkZGY0NDg2NzhhMDhBMmYzMzA1NiZzZEsODMsYjI1Zio3OmNlNDk6MDYsZTM4MmZlOTI0NTAxY2FjODk4OTVhZDUwN2Q0ZDh0NiVlOWZlZiBjZDA4Mjc4OWIwYTc4NTQwNDNiMmE3MzgwOKY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Gloria Torres Riggs
1430 11th Ave
torresriggs@yahoo.com
District 7 resident

From: [Jon Ramirez-Monaco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 1:38:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

John Ramirez

Jon Ramirez-Monaco
johnramirez8001@gmail.com
1170 Guerrero St.

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Daniel Raile](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 12:24:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

PLEASE oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Dan Raile

Daniel Raile
raile.daniel@gmail.com
1965 16th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94116-1237

From: [Jack Good](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 10:23:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Jack Good

Jack Good
jackyboygood@gmail.com
1235 Waller St, Apt 4
San Francisco, California 94117-2968

From: [Nora Roman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 9:08:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Nora Roman
noritaroman@gmail.com
68 Arnold Avenue,
San Francisco, California 94110-5913

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million dollars. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters it was their intention to spend the revenue from the new tax on a Social Housing Program. Social Housing is housing that is municipally-owned (or non-profit owned), permanently affordable, and available to a wider mix of incomes than traditional public housing.

Mayor Breed overruled the Board of Supervisors and instead put the funds raised from the transfer tax into the city's general fund, and not in the Social Housing Program. The public then had to fight to claw that money back to build affordable housing projects like 730 Stanyan, which is a new 100% affordable housing development at the end of Haight St.

We're asking Mayor Lurie to fund the Social Housing Program via the Housing Stability Fund. We're also asking the Board of Supervisors to pass another resolution reassuring voters that this Board supports expending Prop I property transfer tax revenue on the Social Housing Program. We're asking the Mayor and the Board to also oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax unless substitute funding for the Social Housing Program is secured.

Sincerely,
San Franciscans for Social Housing



Contact

Honest Charley Bodkin hcbodkin@gmail.com

Dylan Hirsch-Shell dylanhs@gmail.com

www.SFSocialHousing.org

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2026 MAR 04 PM01:34
JA BOS-11

Honest B. 94117
Shelley P. 94109
Luke O. 94109
Samy B. 94102
Ossie F. 94109
Benjamin B. 94115
Andrea G. 94117
Ualtar O. 94115
Daniel G. 94121
Laeli G. 94117
Lea Y. 94103
Domingo D. 94112
John M. 94117
Dylan H. 94103
Robin L. 94114
Mahal M. 94133
Ryan R. 94115
W h H. 94117
Zachary W. 94115
Martha A. 94122
Deirdre K. 94122
Maddie F. 60126
Steven M. 94122
Collin S. 94116
Andrew Z. 97103
Terren K. 94131
Aila B. 94112
Marissa O. 94102
Shayna K. 94102
Rowan A. 94110

Andrew S. 94122
Julian N. 94117
Nicholas N. 94102
Melissa H. 94112
Mikel-anne G. 94109
Ian D. 94122
Ellisa F. 94117
James L. 94122
Gay C. 94117
Norman D. 94117
Justin F. 94117
Dylan H. 94122
Adrianna B. 94105
Journey R. 94102
Nancy N. 94115
Georgia S. 94115
Ashley C. 94110
Tom R. 94110
Sean K. 94112
Lucy M. 94117
Zoë M. 94118
Tommy Y. 94118
Shara T. 94118
Caden T. 94124
Steve S. 94110
Kayce H. 94117
Cam Y. 94109
Casey H. 94122
Tracey Q. 94118
Samuel W. 94117

Cary S. 94122
Hannah S. 94117
Molly B. 94103
Leon A. 94102
Daniel C. 94103
Emmanuel S. 94104
Steven B. 94109
Amy M. 94117
Maximillian H. 94118
Patrick C. 94117
Francisco H. 94117
Shoshannah F. 94115
Yael C. 94117
James L. 94114
Heike H. 94115
Carmen K. 94114
Julia B. 94110
J P. 94117
Robert A. 94110
Carolyn C. 94110
Crystal A. 94118
Ethan Z. 94117
Wingtung H. 94134
Kayla S. 92147
Ciela O. 94131
Ying L. 94110
Vivienne H. 94115
Orianna O. 94114
Robin P. 94131
Peter G. 94103



Bruce H. 94110	Michael M. 94087	Miles G. 94118
Clayton H. 94110	Katie B. 94122	Milo G. 94117
James G. 94110	Anita K. 94110	Maryann H. 94117
Savannah W. 94123	Jacob L. 94116	Sophia C. 94116
Michael N. 94102	Azucena M. 94132	Julia C. 94118
Susannah M. 94103	Jonathan A. 94112	Vlada L. 94114
Francesca T. 94122	Terlron N. 94124	Justin M. 94116
John N. 94117	Yasmin H. 94110	Samuel A. 94122
Scott F. 94110	Paul W. 94115	Lara K. 94131
Franklin J. 94122	James A. 94110	Judith E. 94118
Nadine M. 94118	Carol B. 94115	Frederic B. 94103
Rishav R. 94110	Andy M. 94115	Selah M. 94124
Julia G. 94118	Andrew B. 94122	Matisse E. 94110
Allyson O. 94122	Natalie N. 94117	Ian A. 94110
Markus D. 94114	Graham C. 94117	Maanas N. 94109
Annette M. 94110	Nastasia M. 94108	Alex S. 94132
Savana B. 94103	Andrew S. 94122	Jake M. 02906
Holly H. 94117	Giulio S. 94110	Johnathon J. 94112
Cedric H. 94117	Johnathan W. 94122	Haley M. 94117
Margot C. 49740	Raphael L. 94110	Karl T. 94112
Bianca M. 94110	Sarah D. 94606	Leah M. 94114
Nicolette A. 94114	Sophia C. 94110	Nicolette A. 94114
Langford P. 94114	Erin T. 94116	Graham S. 94110
David W. 94110	Langford P. 94114	Terese T. 94114
Julia T. 94121	Joscelyn M. 94114	Kaitlyn Y. 94109
Hosam K. 94107	Arturo G. 94114	Mary W. 94116
David S. 94122	Jenna M. 94101	Paul H. 94122
Brandy S. 94109	Elizabeth Q. 94110	Carl S. 94103
Richard G. 94110	Cornelius M. 94110	Lanaii C. 94111
Justin P. 94117	Truce H. 94117	Tony C. 94122



Denise C. 94122
Carlos D. 94102
Nicole S. 94118
Alejandro P. 94124
Caroline A. 94103
Amaya S. 94122
Arthur A. 94114
Caroline R. 94118
Jesss B. 94114
Katy R. 94121
Sabrina H. 94117
Alijah L. 94110
Maggie G. 94110
Alessandra B. 94122
Allen D. 94133
Arrigo B. 94117
Nicola S. 94114
Zak S. 94102
Matthew D. 94102
Eric B. 94114
Rikki B. 94114
Daniel R. 94116
Eamon B. 94122
Josh K. 94131
Russell H. 94110
Robert A. 94110
Rob S. 94114
Jon F. 94110
Scott B. 94102
Natally starr B. 94133

Stan P. 94110
Marc S. 94131
Serge B. 94112
Cat S. 94122
Devin G. 13901
Catherine P. 94114
Elizabeth anne M. 94122
Sabina J. 94117
Renee A. 94112
Kaitlin F. 94118
Monique K. 94122
Nathalie C. 94115
Isabella M. 94116
Brady J. 94103
Marie C. 94117
Camila D. 94117
Catherine T. 94102
Emily S. 94103
Emilio V. 94121
Val G. 94110
Patrick T. 94109
Morgan F. 94116
Jo B. 94110
Frankie B. 94118
Gary G. 94109
Sara M. 94110
Bridget D. 94110
Brian M. 94116
Galen J. 94110
Ms. zia l. 94107

Jan M. 94114
Navi H. 94601
Graham I. 94121
Stephanie B. 94118
Joseph B. 94131
Joshua S. 94133
Claire K. 94114
Francesca P. 94117
Nathanael Y. 94118
Leland G. 94111
William J. 94158
Vicente V. 94115
Alan W. 94103
Chase R. 94117
Samantha B. 94131
Lea W. 94117
Michele F. 94122
Linda S. 94110
Anthony I. B. 94110
Patrick C. 94102
Clifford M. 94102
Ana O. 94112
Cassandra W. 94103
Eric G. 94103
D P. 94110
Alejandra T. 94122
Kate M. 94110
Laura A. 94110
Anna O. 94122
Terra P. 94117



Alanna S. 94110
Leo T. 94132
Stephanie S. 94121
Eihway S. 94117
Brooklyn M. 94102
Martha B. 94110
Diana P. 94109
Ashley B. 94112
Cristian L. 94110
Susan G. 94114
Ying Z. 94117
Oli H. 94115
Alvaro E. 94102
Mira T. 95070
Dan R. 94131
Jake Z. 94117
Makenzie B. 94110
Divya R. 94122
Kira 94117
Sam F. 94121
Gloria M. 94123
Kyle L. 94107
Steven T. 94720
Summer M. 94109
Marcel M. 94103
Carol B. 94109
Andrés O. 94103
Jamie D. 94103
Zachary J. 94102
Lily C. 94114

Liam C. 94110
Miles H. 94122
Alexis J. 94114
Dennis L. 94118
Nigel B. 94109
Patricia L. 94132
Joseph D. 94115
Jefferson P. 94122
Carolyn S. 94110
Gabriel H. 94109
Meredith D. 94118
Haris R. 94117
Alvaro E. 94102
Torie M. 94122
Jamila D. 94110
Wayne E. 94118
Helen L. 94121
Susana L. 94103
Ember 94109
Kabibi C. 94110
Seanna V. 94110
Bryan A. 94110
Jacqueline T. 94102
Christopher U. 94103
Caitlin B. 94117
Rachel M. 94103
Kari M. 94131
Leilani M. 94118
Scott S. 94103
Lauren A. 94110

Jack Z. 94110
Heidi W. 94110
Molly D. 94118
Robert K. 94107
David C. 94124
Elyse C. 94127
Joshua B. 94127
Stacie K. 94127
John L. 94114
Joseph Z. 94109
Jude D. 94806
Dylan S. 94116
Carrie K. 94114
Karam M. 94109
Dylan J. 94117
David W. 94121
Yabette S. 94117
Henry O. 94109
Steven H. 94121
Lyubov K. 94127
Jonathan D. 94117
Jamie B. 94501
Anna M. 94110
Jasmine H. 94110
Keith L. 94118
Elizabeth C. 11507
Valerie D. 94102
Kyle L. 94117
Noëlle C. 94123
Nina H. 94114



Kayla R. 94109
Patrick L. 94114
Jess M. 94122
Kun W. 94122
Michael R. 94109
Michael P. 94122
Isaac S. 94134
Georgia M. 94123
Alexa B. 94110
William S. 94127
Carolyn W. 94112
Christopher L. 94114
Jean-paul T. 94102
Camille K. 94110
Justin G. 94123
Shannon C. 94114
Mitchell M. 94118
Alexandra M. 94115
Andre B. 94103
Emme B. 94118
Charles R. 94103
Tristan L. 94112
Shane H. 94116
Indubala M. 94131
Lucas F. 94112
Mary B. 94131
Mohamad C. 94109
Marianne M. 94110
Lily C. 94114
Claire B. 94114

Erica J. 94122
Nadia I. 94118
Divya S. 94102
Ish S. 94588
Lili E. 94112
Kate B. 94123
Douglas M. 94132
Lyn B. 94117
Tian D. 94117
Tina F. 94110
Beth R. 94131
Sasha H. 94110
Jacquelyn H. 94123
Nicole F. 94110
Elizabeth H. 94133
Angely Z. 94112
Analisa R. 94109
Barry S. 94612
Michael D. 94131
Eamon B. 94118
Japhy F. 94117
Kevin S. 94115
Wendi R. 94114
Townsend W. 94112
Heather A. 94109
Zelda S. 94110
Wiston e G. 94112
Angie A. 94131
Adolfo T. 94112
Erica C. 94122

Jackie 94105
Jennifer B. 94118
Natalie R. 94123
Escher W. 94109
Olga P. 94103
Mariana C. 94103
Megan W. 94103
Irene O. 94115
Sam Q. 94102
Sophie C. 94110
Jonathan U. 94109
Clare G. 94115
Kris P. 94102
Joe D. 94118
Melissa S. 94102
Jhene M. 94121
Angie L. 94110
Elizabeth G. 94110
Lloyd A. 94103
Lucia B. 94123
Nicole F. 94110
Madison R. 94704
Mohanlal M. 94131
Farid B. 94123
Hannah G. 94131
Clifford M. 94102
Lloyd A. 94103
Andre 94117
Malik B. 94112
Dylan P. 94122



Megan D. 94118
Denise D. 94122
Melinda H. 94117
Alex K. 94131
Kristen K. 94117
Lucia B. 94118
Tassia marie B. 94132
Louis C. 94114
Colin G. 94114
Christopher L. 94114
Sergio G. 94117
Elizabeth D. 94110
Michael F. 94114
Alexi V. 94110
Alison R. 94706
Kub C. 94131
Deepinder B. 48170
David Y. 94114
Tira S. 94131
Janel M. 94117
Brenda R. 94117
Terry B. 94114
Allegra D. 94114
Sean G. 94115
Scott J. 95667
Tabitha T. 94541
Monalisa W. 94131
Sergio D. 94114
Denise M. 94116
Patrick M. 94115

Kelsey M. 94110
Mandy C. 94122
Jaeden H. 94103
Felicia M. 94112
Jordan M. 94121
Consuelo R. 94133
Jennifer T. 94102
Lio G. 94121
Raymond Z. 94109
Ian J. 94103
Yohana B. 94110
Kimo C. 94103
Molly D. 94123
Heidi P. 94115
Roberto R. 94110
Olympia F. 94112
Ismael A. 94585
Josean H. 94118
Raul A. 94110
Karen J. 94127
Sarahi R. 92124
Libby S. 94115
Mahdi F. 94122
Reshma B. 94103
Jan mikhale C. 94102
Luke C. 94122
Angana G. 94108
Brandee M. 94118
Tj K. 94122
Christopher C. 94110

Beret R. 94114
Kai W. 95125
Imani S. 94102
Esaies F. 94112
Androo M. 94110
Isabella G. 94552
Daniel S. 94114
Madison B. 94133
Anne E. 94114
Quinn N. 94116
Isabella J. 94114
Sarah H. 94122
Roger S. 94117
Grace H. 94117
Gabriel H. 94109
Veronica A. 94112
Sarah S. 94121
Roger S. 94133
Esmeralda A. 94134
Greg K. 94118
Jeremi rebecca H. 94114
Regina I. 94118
Coryna O. 94122
Michael N. 94133
Melissa H. 94118
Kaia H. 94103
Lisa W. 94127
Jakob B. 94110
Anika O. 94110
Brandon S. 94112



Carlos C. 94103
Thomas P. 94102
Anna Y. 94121
Vanessa V. 94109
Jack C. 94121
Kate A. 94109
Damien F. 94109
Ruth L. 94112
Brendan C. 94118
Rimaz K. 94117
Aderet P. 94121
Pam W. 94121
Kiai K. 94114
Beth T. 94121
Cecilia M. 94112
Christopher M. 94112
Jenifer M. 94131
Armando F. 94131
Monte H. 94116
Juan carlos Z. 94102
Ezra B. 94702
Vivienne H. 94115
Marlon R. 94127
Nube B. 94108
Kim D. 94109
Ryan N. 94134
Audie B. 94110
Ethan K. 94114
Maria M. 94115
Chloe S. 94101

Vikram M. 94107
Nicholas O. 94109
Erin R. 94123
James R. 94131
Jada B. 94112
Alex R. 94114
Courtney W. 94117
James P. 94115
Andrew W. 94117
Natalie B. 94117
Shahan S. 94122
Perrin M. 94118
Arati W. 94110
Robyn M. 94109
Rachel L. 94107
Theresa V. 94116
Walter P. 94117
Brendan O. 94122
Micah B. 94121
Vanessa R. 94112
Ian B. 94123
Opal E. 94134
Nishchal A. 91433
Stephen T. 11249
Thalia R. 94109
Sarah L. 94121
Camille C. 94104
Isabella V. 94124
Natalia K. 94115
Kay K. 94133

Amanda J. 94117
Nicholas K. 94131
Zainab B. 94110
Amy N. 94127
Esther G. 94110
Lemarea S. 94102
Marci Y. 94114
Kenneth B. 94114
Kaitlyn C. 94121
Ren C. 94121
Winthrop N. 94116
Stephanie H. 94122
Madelaine M. 94113
Charlie H. 94117
Julia M. 94112
Marvin P. 94127
Jordan V. 94114
Molly C. 94102
Maura T. 94134
Patrick L. 94114
Dennis C. 94114
Emmanuel L. 94124
John S. 94117
Armando R. 94102
Amy B. 94109
Jeremi E. 94158
Jacqueline A. 94117
Howard G. 94110
Jose R. 94103
Trevor R. 94123



Sunny C. 94123
Jeannette H. 94109
Joshua R. 92120
Sarah L. 94103
Marceline K. 94134
Aidan D. 94115
Narsimha E. 94542
Joe E. 94112
Lauri H. 94110
Samantha E. 94114
Michael T. 94109
Daniel L. 94121
Josh Z. 94110
Jason G. 94116
M steele W. 94112
Paola A. 94612
Kevin B. 94110
Amalia D. 94107
Kristi B. 94107
Cynthia B. 94112
Lee S. 94107
Toro C. 94102
Puma C. 94107
Molly S. 94124
Catherine D. 94107
Amy L. 94116
Jarvis M. 20774
Angel L. 94107
Jolian G. 94117
Sydney R. 94115

Edgar C. 94111
Courtney V. 94108
Alexander H. 94134
Adher T. 94112
Patrick R. 94114
Angela P. 94080
Joann B. 94112
Marcelo G. 94109
Bryan A. 94121
Elliot L. 94121
Kai N. 94114
Clifford M. 94102
Anna B. 94110
Miles F. 94115
Grant B. 94110
Abhishek P. 94105
Kyle L. 94107
Brigitte D. 94112
Niccolo M. 94158
Tasha A. 94118
Lauren R. 94107
Destin S. 94102
Clark S. 94107
Austin E. 94158
Rahula J. 94127
Essen H. 94117
Daniel D. 94112
Sarai M. 94132
Rowen L. 94114
Sim R. 94115

Nithya R. 94109
Esai V. 94015
Maddy L. 94103
Megan G. 94103
David P. 94116
Emily A. 94111
Maya D. 94117
Marc N. 94131
Mel M. 94544
Eliot L. 94117
Scott M. 94117
Mikkel R. 94105
Cristina K. 94110
Adam D. 94122
Mario M. 94102
Christopher p R. 94110
Silvie C. 94132
Rafael F. 94158
Carter C. 94609
Chloe G. 94124
Anastasia P. 94117
Kevin R. 94132
Garrett C. 94158
Cindy J. 94158
Alan T. 94131
Javier R. 94565
Graham H. 94107
Joanne S. 94131
Jonathan H. 94121
Shani 94115



Kennedy K. 94110
Gregory S. 94121
Gregory G. 94102
Jason R. 94015
Miguel B. 94110
Michael C. 22209
Rebekkah W. 94107
John david P. 94122
Nicole W. 94158
Cristina D. 94107
Brendan Z. 94114
Aerin M. 85718
Mirza T. 94019
Aaliyah S. 94124
Asra Z. 94107
Megan M. 94127
Ryan M. 94949
Lindsay T. 94117
Jesse H. 94546
Mocha C. 94401
Kathryn W. 94116
Chad M. 94107
Molly H. 94107
Joanne K. 94103
Jack N. 94141
Graham K. 94132
David C. 94110
Adriano C. 94117
Beverly C. 94103
Ryan W. 94110

Rajas K. 94107
Noah K. 94117
Cameron C. 94127
John K. 94107
Dunja M. 94107
Giovani R. 94112
Chris M. 94107
Jonathan R. 94102
London E. 93412
John N. 94117
Liana E. 94107
Ana S. 94121
Theodore H. 94102
Anthony K. 94114
Robbie B. 94103
Maa B. 92083
Barbara A. 94110
Gabriel H. 94109
Elliot D. 94609
Alycia P. 94127
Heisel P. 94112
Alyssa M. 94121
Denise P. 94102
Kristen V. 94109
Kia K. 94115
Chaundi J. 94116
Rudy G. 94110
Corey F. 94110
Fayth Y. 94102
Kevin P. 94610

Diane W. 94121
Judith T. 94107
Charlie M. 94110
Garett D. 94102
Stanford C. 94107
Angela T. 94109
Erick H. 94801
Michael M. 94109
Mark B. 94122
Emilio P. 94109
Harini J. 94103
Maria paula M. 94110
Skyy W. 94124
Aayush T. 94122
Brittany I. 94103
Jonathan M. 94121
Yonas T. 94102
Jessica K. 94804
Sergio G. 94117
Mercedes B. 94117
Lucas B. 94117
Denise P. 94102
Praveen V. 94102
Arin P. 94107
Rachel E. 94103
Enrique R. 94103
Katherine G. 94117
Melanie T. 94109
Karla G. 94112
Nathan D. 94130



Sloane K. 94124
Jonah S. 94116
Sasha M. 94121
Gabriella S. 94610
Quinn C. 94116
Everett G. 94131
Teresa P. 94117
Dan F. 94117
Leo P. 94110
Kevin M. 94110
Mark M. 95105
V K. 94102
Suzanne C. 94115
Anika C. 94110
Danielle B. 94110
Tomas O. 94103
N P. 94142
Eleanor B. 94612
Micaela W. 94109
Mikaela G. 94130
Nora R. 94804
Stefano D. 94102
Tess J. 94105
Paige H. 94110
Blair D. 94107
Anton S. 94597
Emily M. 94114
Samuel K. 94121
Christopher D. 94110
Erica B. 94117

Avi K. 94102
Felisa P. 94110
James sean R. 94134
Sam L. 94702
John R. 94110
Montserrat E. 94117
Arthur paul C. 94117
Barbara P. 94103
Kyle L. 94117
Linda S. 94110
Elizabeth H. 94134
Jake Z. 94121
Bernard A. 94110
Naks G. 94582
Liam C. 94110
Tyler P. 99901
Clifford M. 94102
Sandie S. 94131
Gordon F. 94107
Elaine W. 94133
Sylvia R. 94110
Nikko S. 94110
Xavier D. 94109
Hanah D. 94015
Jean luke C. 94014
Meghann H. 94110
Mary N. 94122
Juliana C. 94112
Chase S. 94132
Cristina V. 94110

Gretchen A. 94102
Gianna D. 94109
Audrey T. 94116
Joseph D. 94115
Aaron B. 94122
Emma P. 94117
Nick N. 94130
Shella R. 94109
Kian B. 94117
James R. 94109
Nadia G. 94117
Tualatai M. 94102
Petiri M. 94127
Sandis S. 96158
Marianne V. 94130
Rob V. 94130
Clifford M. 94102
Sandie S. 94131
Laurel R. 94107
Constance W. 94117
Alexander Y. 94107
Molly L. 94115
Jordan L. 94110
James T. 94132
Mya L. 94158
Dani H. 94533
Julian M. 94110
Gretchen V. 94118
Emily Y. 94131
Molly H. 94107



Oscar G. 94109
Marisa M. 94115
Lexi L. 94115
Melaniy S. 94110
Erin P. 94117
Leila J. 94123
Jonathon F. 94110
Mia B. 94110
Sarah S. 94115
Norah N. 94107
Roman R. 94607
John Q. 94110
William C. 94102
Megha P. 94611
Esmeralda Z. 94117
Jamie P. 94117
John K. 94103
Jermaine E. 94124
Max C. 94102
Sarah H. 94102
Alexandra Y. 94121
Phil H. 94124

Stanford C. 94107
Priscilla C. 90723
Xiaoxuan Z. 94112
Corvus S. 94110
Nathan P. 94107
Maia M. 94107
Clea W. 94121
Janer M. 94102
Lianel S. 94110
Edgar G. 94110
Yesenia Z. 94585
Tiana 94110
Oluwatomisin A. 94611
Jeanie L. 94118
Esther G. 94110
Michael L. 94102
Catalina V. 94105
Jasmin B. 94103
Haleemah L. 94121
Lauren L. 94115
Beethoven R. 94134

David J. 94109
David D. 94104
Benjamin S. 94110
Valentin V. 94121
Skyler F. 94117
Serena M. 94539
Eric P. 94110
Molly L. 94115
Rowan J. 94122
Bill G. 94107
Joseph L. 94102
Kalindi S. 94102
Amara N. 94602
Cam K. 94010
Earl D. 94103
Darin H. 94102
Nolan G. 94109
Geoff V. 94122
Andrea H. 94102
Sarah M. 94110
Russell M. 94109





The Council of Community Housing Organizations (CCHO) consists of 18 neighborhood-based affordable housing and community development organizations whose mission is to foster the development of permanently affordable low-income housing under community control and through non-speculative means of ownership, with adequate provisions for tenant empowerment and services, and homeownership opportunities.

March 3, 2026

Dear Supervisor Mahmood,

On behalf of CCHO's 18 nonprofit member agencies, I am writing to express serious concerns about the BUILD Act (File No. 260178) and its potential impacts on San Francisco's affordable housing and preservation ecosystem.

As you know, 57% percent of San Francisco's RHNA obligation must be affordable to low- and moderate-income households. That is the core of our state-mandated housing responsibility. Our primary focus should be on creating a credible, well-resourced pathway to meet that 57% requirement — not on facilitating additional market-rate development while the affordable side remains structurally underfunded.

At a minimum, we must protect existing dedicated funding streams such as Prop I. Keeping Prop I funding intact is the floor, not the ceiling. Framing the conversation around simply "replacing" lost Prop I revenue keeps us trapped in a scarcity model. The scale of the housing crisis — and the scale of our RHNA obligation — demands that we deeply expand funding for affordable housing, not reshuffle or reduce it.

If we are expected to develop tens of thousands of affordable units, the subsidy required is enormous. Meanwhile, nonprofit portfolios are already under strain, with more than half of one major portfolio operating in the red and difficult repositioning decisions underway. Removing or weakening a funding source in this environment — without a concrete, guaranteed backfill — would destabilize the very organizations responsible for delivering permanently affordable housing.

We are especially concerned about suggestions that lost revenue could be replaced by a future ballot measure. Relying on an unknown measure to pass in November, in the midst of a significant budget crisis, is not a sound fiscal strategy. The affordable housing sector cannot plan projects, secure financing, or compete for sites based on speculative funding.

More broadly, meeting our obligations will require coordinated effort across multiple fronts. We need expanded local funding. We need a regional housing bond to pass in 2028. And we need the State Affordable Housing bond to make it onto the November ballot and succeed. None of these outcomes are automatic. They require political will, sustained commitment, and a sense of shared purpose between City leaders and the affordable housing sector. Weakening existing funding while hoping future measures materialize undermines that collective effort.

We are also concerned about the interaction between the BUILD Act and COPA. Preservation tools only function when paired with capital. As discussed in coalition meetings, “No funding = no deals”, and COPA on its own is largely a notification tool that does not come with quick capital to close transactions. Expanding reliance on preservation policies while simultaneously weakening dedicated funding would put nonprofit developers in an untenable position — more responsibility to act, with fewer resources to do so.

Our coalition has consistently emphasized the need for predictable funding for affordable housing production and preservation and meaningful resourcing of displacement protections such as COPA. Housing preservation is not simply a technical exercise — it requires capital, infrastructure, organizing, and mission-driven developers ready to act. Any major housing legislation must reflect that reality.

To date, it does not appear that any of our nonprofit affordable housing developers and preservation organizations have been meaningfully engaged in shaping the BUILD Act. We are concerned that the current framing disproportionately centers market-rate production without equal attention to how the City will meet its legally required affordable housing obligations.

We respectfully request that you:

1. Protect existing dedicated housing revenue, including Prop I, and clearly identify a guaranteed replacement funding source before advancing any measure that reduces current funding — without relying on a speculative November ballot measure during a fiscal crisis.
2. Reframe the conversation toward expanding, not merely replacing, affordable housing funding.
3. Evaluate the downstream impacts of the BUILD Act on COPA implementation and nonprofit acquisition capacity.
4. Convene nonprofit affordable housing developers and preservation leaders to ensure our sector’s needs are heard and incorporated into any revisions.

We share the goal of building more housing but meeting our 57% affordable RHNA obligation will require expanding our funding base, strengthening preservation tools with real capital behind them, and working in partnership with the nonprofit developers who deliver permanent affordability.

We would welcome the opportunity to meet with you and your staff to discuss these concerns in more detail.

Quintin Mecke (he/him)

Executive Director

Council of Community Housing Organizations

quintin@sfccho.org

Council of Community Housing Organizations
325 Clementina St, San Francisco, CA 94103 ♦ www.sfccho.org

From: [David Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 9:46:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

David Lin
davidlin4158@gmail.com
888 7th street unit 346
San Francisco, California 94107-1599

From: [Bryce Hafer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 8:31:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a public school teacher, I know this massive cut to affordable housing would imperil many people in this city.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in the country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Bryce Hafer

Bryce Hafer
brycehafer@gmail.com
711 VERMONT ST
San Francisco, California 94107-2637

From: [Lily McMahon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 5:01:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Lily

Lily McMahon
Lilymaevemcmahon@gmail.com
880 Vallejo St
San Francisco, California 94133-3719

From: [Justin Gillmor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Justin Gillmor
justin.gillmor@att.net

,

From: [Todd Snyder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:27:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Todd Snyder
toddclarksnyder@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Ashton Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:22:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Ashton Johnson
ashton.johnson81@yahoo.com

Falls Church, Virginia 22046

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:10:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jason Kruta
jpkruta@gmail.com
712 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Erika Y](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 2:42:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Erika Y
young.erika@gmail.com

,

From: [Cayla A Lewis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 2:26:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Cayla A Lewis
cayla.ann.lewis@gmail.com
340 Church Street Apt. 7
San Francisco, California 94114-4703

From: [Kyle Dickison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 1:26:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Kyle Dickison
kyle.dickison@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Siobhan MacArdle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 1:25:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Siobhan MacArdle
siobhan.macardle@gmail.com
557 Union St.
San Francisco, California 94133-3342

From: [Yohana Beyene](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 12:42:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in the country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Yohana Beyene
yohanabeyene21@gmail.com
107 Ellsworth St
San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Joseph Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Don't Undermine Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 12:33:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wang
joew10@yahoo.com
1087 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Lynne Angel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 12:07:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

FOR THE LOVE OF WHATEVER IT IS YOU DEEM HOLY Please PLEASE oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city not to mention the futures of every single struggling, working-class San Franciscan would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely sick of begging for the basics for our most vulnerable,
Lynne Angel
El Rio

Lynne Angel
lynne@elriosf.com

1121 Alabama St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [edna kozikaro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 12:00:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

edna kozikaro
ednakozikaro@gmail.com
115 gough street
san francisco, California 94102

From: [Torrey Spoerer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 11:49:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Torrey Spoerer

Torrey Spoerer
tspoerer@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Erica Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 11:00:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Erica Wang
erica@ericawang.com
1087 Capitol Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jennifer Keith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:51:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Keith
jk@sheridonkeithdesign.com

,

From: [Austin Rose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:47:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Austin

Austin Rose
austincauseforce@gmail.com
1572 Fortaleza Way #20
Vista , California 92081

From: [jessica Robbins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:45:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors.

When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households.

Sincerely,

jessica Robbins
irregularflowers@gmail.com
816 liberty st Apt 1
el cerrito, California 94530-2834

From: [Holly Sidarta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:40:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Holly

Holly Sidarta
holly.a.johnson@gmail.com
2085 Bush St

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Isabel Tripp](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:38:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Isabel Tripp
trippisabel@gmail.com
17 Hattie Street
San Francisco, California 94114-1836

From: [Natalie Galatzer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:25:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Natalie Galatzer
natalie.galatzer@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Marti Deans](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:22:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Marti Deans
District 5 Resident
617A Central Ave 94117

Marti Deans
martideans@gmail.com

346 Arkansas St
San Francisco, California 94107-2846

From: [Olivia Gee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:22:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Olivia Gee

Olivia Gee
livmgee@gmail.com
244 Fair Oaks Street
San Francisco, California 94110-2909

From: [Misha Steier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 10:03:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Misha Steier
mishasteiersf@gmail.com
1723 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132-1713

From: [Matt Pons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 8:10:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Matt Pons
mattpons@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Gabriel Goffman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 8:09:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Goffman
gfgoffman@gmail.com
273 Frederick Street
San Francisco, California 94117-4051

From: [Andrew O'Connor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 7:23:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Andrew O'Connor
andrewjoconn@gmail.com
1489 Webster Street Apt 702
San Francisco, California 94115-3783

From: [Dave Madden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 3:36:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Dave Madden
gmail@davemadden.org
164 Everson St
San Francisco, California 94131-2637

From: [Aditya Bhumbra](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 10:20:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Aditya Bhumbra

Aditya Bhumbra
adit.bhumbra@gmail.com
525 Haight St Apt B
San Francisco CA, California 94117

From: [Firas Abu-Sneneh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 2, 2026 8:26:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Firas Abu-Sneneh
fabusneneh@gmail.com
36A Gladys Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Gloria Maciejewski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 1, 2026 10:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors.

As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city literally would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing. I am currently in search of a new apartment. I've worked as a civil servant for the city and county of SF since 1997. I have been applying to every listing I see on the DAHLIA portal with no luck so far.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction.

Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before!!!!

After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
G. Maciejewski

Gloria Maciejewski
glowglow9@gmail.com
3277 20th street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Benji Reade Malagueño](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 1, 2026 7:10:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Benji

Benji Reade Malagueño
benjilrm@gmail.com
527 Dolores Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Matt Muldowney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 1, 2026 5:55:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Matt Muldowney
itz.kyrith@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Amy Hecht](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 1, 2026 2:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I hope you will oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Amy Hecht

Amy Hecht
amy@finefolks.org

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [akeylah hernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 5:34:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

akeylah hernandez
hernandezakeylah@gmail.com
1650 Rivera st
San Francisco , California 94116-1758

From: [Allyson Ochoa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 11:25:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in the country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Allyson Ochoa

Allyson Ochoa
ochoa_allyson@yahoo.com
1475 18th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sara Schumacher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 10:50:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sara Schumacher
sjbschumacher@gmail.com
21 Mercedes Way
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Lea McGeever](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 8:58:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Stop being collectively psycho and actually stop hurting poor people.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lea McGeever
lea.mcgeeve@gmail.com
1095 market st

Sf, California 94103

From: [Isa Stefan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 8:25:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Isa Stefan
alvatenebrae@gmail.com
1177 Market St APT 1432
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Thomas Egan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 6:08:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Thomas Egan
thomasegan4@gmail.com
95 Cranleigh Drive
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Carrie Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 1:35:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Carrie Leung
carrie.leung@gmail.com
1301 Fulton St Apt 310
San Francisco, California 94117-1494

From: [HANNAH THOMPSON](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, February 28, 2026 1:17:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Hannah Thompson

HANNAH THOMPSON
hannahrosethompson@gmail.com
128 Miramar Ave
San Rafael, California 94901

From: [Sophie Rich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 11:37:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing.

Sincerely,

Sophie Rich
sophierich47@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Raya Steier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 11:34:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Raya Steier
sarkar.raya@gmail.com
1723 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Gwen McLaughlin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 9:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Gwen McLaughlin
gm72297@gmail.com
4932 Anza #3
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Melissa Hernandez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: For the love of god, oppose Mahmood's tax cut bill
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 9:06:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the middle of one of the most intense transfers of wealth from the working class to the richest people in the country, I cannot believe we have yet another proposal to cut taxes paid by luxury real estate sellers.

It goes without saying that any person who cares about closing the wealth gap/providing for the most vulnerable people in our city must oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Melissa Hernandez
mghernandez117@gmail.com
256 Brighton Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Kyle Smeallie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 9:02:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Kyle Smeallie
ksmeallie@sfclt.org

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Dimitry Yakoushkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 8:45:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

While this is a form letter, I'd like to say personally I fully agree with it. I am also writing to all of the supervisors on this list except for Dorsey and Sherrill, who are either actively GOP or so entrenched in their conservative ways to be what I see as a total lost cause. So this letter is not for them and they can stop reading. To the others:

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Dimitry Yakoushkin,
D8 resident and voter

Dimitry Yakoushkin
dimitry.multi@gmail.com
29 Ford St, San Francisco CA 94114
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Carlos Ciudad-Real](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 8:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Carlos Ciudad-Real
cmciudadreal@gmail.com
1222 Harrison St Apt 1203
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [David Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:52:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

David Lin
davidlin4158@gmail.com
888 7th street unit 346
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [josh.bea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:51:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social/public housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely, Josh Bea

josh.bea
flatplasticsound@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Swayam Barik](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Swayam Barik
swayambarik@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Christin Evans](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:37:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Christin Evans

Christin Evans
christin@booksmith.com
173 Marview Way
San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Alex Gray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:28:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Alex Gray
Brentwoodgray@gmail.com
345 Brentwood Avenue
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Gabriel Markoff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:28:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Markoff
gmarkoff111@gmail.com
308 EUREKA STREET #A
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Richard Carini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Richard Carini
rdcarini@gmail.com
1520 Pershing Dr Unit F
San Francisco, California 94129

From: [Karla Ortiz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Karla Ortiz

Karla Ortiz
iconophobic@gmail.com
195 7th street

San Francisco, California 94103-2872

From: [Nate Horrell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:12:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

If proponents really cared about stimulating market rate construction they would create a narrowly tailored new construction exemption not this which is a bailout for big investor owners of downtown real estate. If they cared about affordable housing they would use prop I funds to build affordable housing!

Sincerely,

Nate Horrell
nathan.horrell@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Wren Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:06:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Wren Murphy
ldevonnemurphy@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94144

From: [Doc Ritezel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 6:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Doc Ritezel
outings_spear.0c@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Ryan King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 6:34:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Ryan King
rmking2584@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jordan Whiteley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 6:27:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jordan Whiteley
thejordanwhiteley@gmail.com
25 Joost Ave
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Heather Peterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 6:08:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Heather Peterson

Heather Peterson
heather.a.peterson@gmail.com
850 Oak St Apt 11
SF, California 94117

From: [Rishav Rout](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 5:36:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Rishav Rout
rout.rishav@gmail.com
1075 Valencia St, Apt. 1
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Harlo Pippenger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 5:33:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Harlo Pippenger
Harlo.p.pippenger@gmail.com
971 Eddy Street Apartment 211
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Joseph DiMento](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 8:20:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joseph DiMento
joedimento@gmail.com
425 Beacon Street

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Claire Veuthey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Friday, February 27, 2026 6:56:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Claire Veuthey
CVEUTHEY@GMAIL.COM

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Ethan Ensler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 10:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ethan Ensler
main.flag4070@fastmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [David Downs](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 5:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

D11 voter for more housing via transfer tax reform.

We have to make units radically cheaper to build.

Thank you for your consideration.

-dd

David Downs

david.downs@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Brooke Ray Demko](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 4:51:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brooke Ray Demko

1626 Noe Street resident and aspiring homeowner (which has remained financially elusive)

Brooke Ray Demko

brookeray@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [David Beam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 12:47:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Beam
dbeam16@gmail.com

San Diego, California 92101

From: [Alina Higuera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 12:46:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Alina Higuera
a.higuera13@gmail.com

San Diego, California 92101

From: [Jun Shinagawa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2026 9:17:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jun Shinagawa
jun@marchcapitalfund.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Meg Kammerud](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Wednesday, February 25, 2026 9:25:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Meg Kammerud
Mpirnie@stanfordalumni.org
810 Congo street

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Ruby Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Wednesday, February 25, 2026 5:15:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ruby Huang
ruby@marchcapitalfund.com

San Francisco, California 94115