

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Mary Ellen Carroll, Director, Department of Emergency Management  
Abigail Stewart-Khan, Interim Director, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing  
Jeff Kostisky, Director, Healthy Streets Operations Center  
Trent Rhorer, Executive Director, Human Services Agency

FROM: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk,  
Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee

DATE: June 24, 2020

SUBJECT: HEARING MATTER INTRODUCED

---

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors' Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee has received the following hearing request, introduced by Supervisor Ronen on June 16, 2020:

**File No. 200655**

**Hearing to understand the entire plans and implications of the Tenderloin stipulated injunction; and requesting the Department of Emergency Management, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, Healthy Streets Operations Center, Human Services Agency, and Department of Public Health to report.**

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

C:  
Victor Lim, Department of Emergency Management  
Dylan Schneider, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing  
Emily Cohen, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing  
Elizabeth LaBarre, Human Services Agency  
Greg Wagner, Department of Human Resources  
Dr. Naveena Bobba, Department of Human Resources  
Sneha Patil, Department of Human Resources



Supervisor Hillary Ronen

On Friday, June 12, Mayor Breed announced a settlement agreement between the City of San Francisco and UC Hastings and its co-plaintiffs in the form of a stipulated injunction regarding conditions in the Tenderloin with a plan to address crowded sidewalks and provide shelter for unhoused individuals who have been camping there in growing numbers since the outbreak of COVID-19. The settlement agreement stipulates that by July 20, up to 70% of the 300 tents and encampments presently in the Tenderloin will be removed, and their occupants will be relocated to Shelter-In-Place hotel rooms, safe sleeping villages outside of the Tenderloin, or off-street sites like parking lots in the neighborhood.

However, this stipulated injunction is extremely vague and does not describe in detail how it will achieve its goals of reducing the census of tents in the Tenderloin or what specific interventions will be used. Moreover, it is unclear what impacts this stipulated injunction will have on neighborhoods throughout the city with large homeless populations.

I request this hearing to understand the entire plans and implications of the Tenderloin stipulated injunction. I request the presence of the Department of Emergency Management, the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, the Healthy Streets Operations Center, Human Services Agency, and the Department of Public Health to answer the following questions:

1. What is the geographic area of the Tenderloin encompassed by the settlement agreement?
2. How many tents are on the street in the geographic area encompassed by the settlement agreement?
3. How many people are sleeping on the street (inside or outside of tents) in the geographic area encompassed by the settlement agreement?
4. How many SIP hotel rooms and safe sleeping site spaces will you need to move 70% of the tents in the TL as laid out in the settlement agreement?
5. How many SIP hotels and safe sleeping site spaces are vacant and available right now for use by unhoused individuals in San Francisco?
6. What plans are in the works to obtain additional SIP hotel rooms and shared sleeping spots (and please specify number of rooms/spots for each new site) between now and July 20th, the City's deadline for complying with the stipulated agreement?
7. Between now and July 20th will all new SIP hotel sites acquired by the EOC be dedicated to sheltering only individuals who specifically reside in the Tenderloin?
8. During this time, will any SIP rooms be made available for unsheltered residents who reside outside of the TL? If so, how many rooms will be available for unhoused residents outside of the TL?
9. Will HOT teams outside of the TL be able to make placements into SIP rooms during this next month?
10. In the Mission, we have been advocating to secure SIP hotel rooms for unhoused residents who desperately need shelter. Does this settlement agreement mean that we will have to wait until July 20th to have a SIP rooms dedicated to the Mission?
11. Will city departments be using the same criteria of COVID vulnerability (60+ or chronic health conditions) to decide which unhoused TL residents can access the SIP hotel rooms, or will the criteria be expanded to allow more unhoused individuals in the TL to access these hotels?



Supervisor Hillary Ronen

12. What is the target population for the people who will be placed in the safe sleeping sites (as opposed to the SIP hotels)?
13. How will city departments determine whether an unhoused individual is a "TL resident." Will this be self-reported? Will individuals need to have spent a certain amount of time in the TL to qualify for some of these TL specific shelter opportunities?
14. The agreement explains that safe sleeping villages outside of the TL will be used to relocate some of these individuals? Where exactly will these new safe sleeping villages be located?
15. How are the relevant Supervisors being involved or engaged in the creation of these new safe sleeping villages?
16. The agreement vaguely mentions that enforcement measures will be used on those who do not accept offers of shelter or safe sleeping sites. Could you explain what that enforcement will look like in detail? What are the types of consequences one could face for failing to accept an offer of shelter?
17. Will SFPD be used to carry out any part of the stipulated agreement? If so, what will the specific roles of the SFPD be?

# Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp  
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning : "Supervisor  inquiries"
- 5. City Attorney Request.
- 6. Call File No.  from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

**Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.**

Sponsor(s):

Ronen

Subject:

Hearing on the Plans and Implications of the Tenderloin Stipulated Injunction

The text is listed:

See attached document

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:  /s/ Hillary Ronen