[Affirm certification of New M.H. de Young Museum EIR]

Motion affirming the certification by the Planning Commission of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive.

WHEREAS, The New M.H. de Young Museum (the "Project") is proposed to be constructed at the museum's existing site at 75 Tea Garden Drive in the Music Concourse of Golden Gate Park, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1700, after demolition of the eight existing buildings comprising the M.H. de Young Museum and the Asian Art Museum and relocation of the Asian Art Museum to its new facility in the City's Civic Center; and,

WHEREAS, The Corporation of the Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco submitted its application for environmental review of the proposed New de Young Museum on July 12, 1999 (City Planning File No. 1999.455E); and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the "Department") determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") was required and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on May 6, 2000; and

WHEREAS, On July 22, 2000, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project; and

WHEREAS, On August 24, 2000, the Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on the DEIR, at which time opportunity for public comment was received on the DEIR, and written comments were received through August 24, 2000; and

WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR and published a Draft Summary of Comments and Responses on November 22, 2000; and

WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available and the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law; and

WHEREAS, On December 7, 2000, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and, by Motion No. 16039, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, By Motion No. 16039, the Commission found the FEIR to be adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Department and the Commission and that the Summary of Comments and Responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR, adopted findings relating to significant impacts associated with the Project and certified the completion of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, A challenge to the FEIR and the process by which the FEIR was certified was brought and the San Francisco Superior Court issued a Writ of Mandamus on August 2, 2001 requiring the Board of Supervisors to hear an appeal of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on August 20, 2001 to review the decision by the Planning Commission to certify the FEIR, and the Corporation of

24

25

the Fine Arts Museums (COFAM) stated that it had discovered a discrepancy in the height of the proposed Educational Tower analyzed in the FEIR, and the height actually proposed for the tower, which affected the visual and shadow impact analyses of the FEIR, and COFAM and the Planning Department asked the Board of Supervisors to remand the FEIR back to the Planning Department and Planning Commission to correct those errors; and

WHEREAS, At the August 20, 2001 hearing, the Board disapproved the certification of the FEIR and remanded the FEIR to the Planning Commission, and the Board's findings in Board Motion M01-127 directed the Planning Commission and Planning Department to revise the FEIR to include corrections and related environmental analysis, as may be appropriate under CEQA, on the following issues: (1) errors and discrepancies in the shadow impacts analysis relative to the height of the Tower: (2) errors and discrepancies in the visual impacts analysis relative to the height of the Tower; (3) updating and correcting the Project Description relative to the height of the tower and to other information now available regarding the proposed Project design; and (4) broadening and correcting the description and related impacts analysis of the Project environmental setting relative to existing and potentially qualified historic resources, already addressed on FEIR pages C&R.80 - C&R.82; and

WHEREAS, The Final EIR now includes minor text changes to reflect the updated information on the project description in the following sections: the Summary; the Project Description; and, the Visual Quality and Shadow Impact Analyses contained in Chapter III; and

WHEREAS. The Final EIR has also been amended to include expanded information about the cultural resources in the area and includes additional text in Chapter III A, Land Use, Plans and Zoning, to include a specific reference to the cultural resources in the area. and The Responses to Comments, pages C&R 67 – C&R 85H, include expanded information about cultural resources based upon An Evaluation of the Potential Effect of the Proposed

New de Young Museum on the Golden Gate Park Historic District (Report), a report prepared by Page & Turnbull, historic architectural consultants; and

WHEREAS, The Report's conclusions are consistent with the conclusions in the Initial Study and the conclusions in the December 2000 Final EIR that the Project would not adversely affect the eligibility of Golden Gate Park for listing as a potential National Register Historic District, or the Japanese Tea Garden or Spreckels Temple of Music as potential National Register listings; and

WHEREAS, The revised sections of the Final EIR were published and made available to the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and the public on November 20, 2001; and

WHEREAS, The revisions do not add significant new information to the December 2000 FEIR or change the conclusions reached in the December 2000 FEIR; the revisions update the text to reflect changes in the Project and to provide additional information about cultural resources, and the Board of Supervisors affirms the Planning Commission's determination in Motion No. 16291 that recirculation of the document is not required because the revisions do not identify any of the following:

- A new significant environmental impact resulting from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented;
- A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact unless mitigation measures are adopted to reduce the impact;
- A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others
 previously analyzed clearly lessening the significant environmental impacts of the project,
 but the project proponents decline to adopt it;
- The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded; and

17 18

20

22 23

24

25

WHEREAS, The revisions to the December 2000 Final EIR do not identify new or substantially more severe environmental impacts, do not identify new mitigation measures or identify any new alternatives to the Project, and the information on cultural resources expands upon information contained in the December 2000 FEIR but does not identify any significant environmental impact on cultural resources, nor does it alter the conclusions of the December 2000 FEIR.

WHEREAS, On December 6, 2001, the Planning Commission reviewed and considered the revised Final EIR and found in its Motion No.16291 that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed comply with the provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31, and the revised Final EIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, that the revised Final EIR is adequate, accurate and objective, and that the Summary of Comments and Responses contains no significant revisions to the Draft Environmental Impact Report, and certified the completion of the revised Final EIR in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the Final EIR files and all correspondence and other documents have been made available for review by the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission and the public; these files are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record before the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed and considered the revised Final EIR and heard testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of the revised Final EIR; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors hereby affirms the decision of the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 16291 to certify the revised Final EIR and finds the revised Final



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails

Motion

File Number:

012285

Date Passed:

January 14, 2002

Motion affirming the certification by the Planning Commission of the Final Environmental Impact Report for the New M.H. de Young Museum Development Project located at 75 Tea Garden Drive.

January 14, 2002 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Hall, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom, Peskin,

Sandoval, Yee Noes: 1 - Gonzalez

File No. 012285

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on January 14, 2002 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Yøung

Clerk of the Board