File No	o. <u>250589</u>		2
		Board Item No	
	COMMI	TTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVIS	ORS
	-	AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST	
	•	toens, try to the content of the con	
Comm	ittee: Budget	and Appropriations Committee Date Ju	ıne 12, 2025
	of Supervisors		•
	Board		
\boxtimes	Ordinan		
		sed Annual Appropriation Ordinance -	- FYs2025-2026
	and FY2026-20		
\vdash		and Legislative Analyst Report	
		ommission Report tion Form	
		ent/Agency Cover Letter and/or Repo	rt
		sed Budget Book	
		ssion Letter 5/30/2025	
\bowtie	_ ·	ent Presentations	
6/11/20			
•	Department of	Technology	
	Board of Appe		
	Health Service		
		Human Resource	
	Department of		
•	General Respo	nsibility	
•	Department of	Building Inspection	
	Ethics Commis	<u>ssion</u>	
6/12/2	025		
•	Asian Arts Mus	<u>seum</u>	
	Academy of So		
	Child Support		
		Park Department	
	Department of		
		Housing and Community Developmer	
		Commission/Department on the Statu	s of Women
6/13/20			
	Law Library		
	Public Library		
	Rent Board		
	Public C	<u>er</u> orrespondence	
\sim		<u>on eaponuciice</u>	

OTHER (Click on hyperlinks to be forwarded to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of voluminous documents)

	AAO Administrative Provisions – Clean and Tracked Changes MYR Interim Exceptions 5/30/2025 MYR Minimum Compensation Ordinance Letter 5/30/2025 MYR Transfer of Functions 5/30/2025 MYR Trailing Legislation List BIC Letter 3/11/2025
	by: Brent Jalipa Date June 5, 2025 Date Date

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MAYOR'S PROPOSED BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

AS OF MAY 30, 2025



File No. <u>250589</u>

Ordinance No. _____

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2026 and FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2027

DANIEL LURIE

PROPOSED BUDGET

FISCAL YEARS 2025-2026 & 2026-2027



MAYOR'S OFFICE OF PUBLIC POLICY AND FINANCE

Joshua Cardenas

Daniel Cawley

Luisa Coy

Jack English

Sophia Kittler


Matthew Puckett

Eliza Pugh

Tabitha Romero-Bothi

Santiago Silva

Tiffany Young





















FY 25-26 Budget

BOS Budget & Appropriations Committee

June 11, 2025

BOARD OF APPEALS FY26 & FY27

BOS Budget Presentation FY26 & FY27 June 11, 2025



San Francisco Health Service System Proposed Budget for FYE 2026 and 2027

Board of Supervisors
Budget and Appropriations Committee
Presentation

Presented by

Rey Guillen, Executive Director
Iftikhar Hussain, Chief Financial & Affordability Officer

June 11, 2025

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

Department of Human Resources
Budget Proposal
Fiscal Years 2025-26 & 26-27

Carol Isen, Human Resources Director June 11, 2025



Department of Elections

Proposed Budget FY 2025 – 2026 and FY 2026 – 2027

Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing June 11, 2025





General City Responsibility (GEN)



Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

Office of the Controller









FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Departmental Budget Presentation BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee Wednesday, June 11, 2025

Patrick Ford, Executive Director



DRAFT

Sfac san francisco arts commission

FY 2026 & FY 2027 Proposed Budget

Budget and Finance Committee Hearing June 12, 2025

La Rose des Vents by Jean-Michel Othoniel, 2015; Photo by Ethan Kaplan Photography

SCI FY25-26 & FY 26-27 Budget Presentation

Mathew Lau
Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

Chief Financial Officer
California Academy of Sciences





Department of Child Support Services

Proposed Budget for

FY 2025-2026 and FY 2026-2027

Karen M. Roye, Department Head





San Francisco Recreation & Parks

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

The San Francisco Recreation and Park
Department's Mission is to provide
enriching recreational activities,
maintain beautiful parks and preserve
the environment for the well-being of
everyone in our diverse community



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BUDGET & APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

June 2025

San Francisco Department of Public Health



Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

FY 25-27 BUDGET

Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development and Office of the Mayor





Proposed Budget June 12, 2025













FY26 & FY27 Proposed Budget

Human Rights Commission

June 12, 2025

DRAFT AS OF JUNE 6, 2025




SAN FRANCISCO LAW LIBRARY

Providing the judiciary, the public, the bar, and city, county, and state officials free access and use of legal reference materials in order that they may conduct their legal affairs and preserve their legal rights.

https://sf.gov/sflawlibrary



























































SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SF Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee

Fiscal Year 26 & 27 Proposed Budget

Michael Lambert City Librarian



RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION & ARBITRATION BOARD

BUDGET PRESENTATION FY 2025-2026 & FY 2026-2027 JUNE 13, 2025

San Francisco Public Defender Mano Raju

Budget Presentation to Board of Supervisors

June 13, 2025



Note: Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman</u>.

Board amendment additions are <u>double underlined</u>.

Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

SECTION 3. General Authority.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

SECTION 3.1 Two-Year Budget.

For departments for which the Board of Supervisors has authorized, or the Charter requires, a fixed two-year budget, appropriations in this ordinance shall be available for allotment by the Controller on July 1st of the fiscal year in which appropriations have been approved. The Controller is authorized to adjust the two-year budget to reflect transfers and substitutions consistent with City's policies and restrictions for such transfers. The Controller is further authorized to make adjustments to the second year budgets consistent with Citywide estimates for salaries, fringe benefits, and work orders.

SECTION 4. Interim Budget Provisions.

All funds for equipment and new capital improvements shall be held in reserve until final enactment of the budget. No new equipment or capital improvements shall be authorized during the interim period other than equipment or capital improvements that, in the discretion of the Controller, is reasonably required for the continued operation of existing programs or projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Authorization for the purchase of such equipment may be approved by the Board of

1 Supervisors.

3 During the period of the interim Annual Appropriation Ordinance and interim Annual

4 Salary Ordinance, no transfer of funds within a department shall be permitted without

approval of the Controller, Mayor's Budget Director and the Chair of the Budget and

6 Finance Committee.

When the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee reserves selected expenditure items pending receipt of additional information from departments, upon receipt of the required information to the satisfaction of that committee, the Controller may release the previously reserved funds with no further

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee recommends a budget that increases funding that was deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller shall have the authority to continue to pay these expenses until final enactment of the budget.

SECTION 4.1 Interim Budget – Positions.

action required by the Board of Supervisors.

No new position may be filled in the interim period with the exception of those positions which in the discretion of the Controller are critical for the operation of existing programs or for projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or are required for emergency operations or where such positions would result in a net increase in revenues or where such positions are required to comply with law. New positions shall be defined as those positions that are enumerated in the Mayor's budget for the current

1 fiscal year but were not enumerated in the appropriation and salary ordinances for the

2 prior fiscal year, as amended, through June 30 of the prior fiscal year. In the event the

Mayor has approved the reclassification of a position in the department's budget for the

current fiscal year, the Controller shall process a temporary or "tx" requisition at the

request of the department and subject to approval of the Human Resources Director.

Such action will allow for the continued employment of the incumbent in their former

position pending action on the proposed reclassifications.

8

9

10

11

12

3

4

5

6

7

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommends a budget that reinstates positions that were deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller and the Human Resources Director shall have the

authority to continue to employ and pay the salaries of the reinstated positions until final

13 enactment of the budget.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECTION 5. Transfers of Functions and Duties.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized and directed to make the related transfer of funds, provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service.

23

24

25

Where a duty or performance has been transferred from one department to another or departmental reorganization is effected as provided in the Charter, in addition to any required transfer of funds, the Controller and Human Resources Director are authorized to make any personnel transfers or reassignments between the affected departments and appointing officers at a mutually convenient time, not to exceed 100 days from the effective date of the ordinance or Mayoral memorandum transferring the duty or function. The Controller, the Human Resources Director and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with assistance of the City Attorney, are hereby authorized and directed to make such changes as may be necessary to conform to all applicable ordinances to reflect said reorganization, transfer of duty or performance between departments.

SECTION 5.1 Agencies Organized Under One Department.

Where one or more offices or agencies are organized under a single appointing officer or department head, the component units may continue to be shown as separate agencies for budgeting and accounting purposes to facilitate reporting. However, the entity shall be considered a single department for purposes of employee assignment and seniority, position transfers, and transfers of monies among funds within the department, and reappropriation of funds.

SECTION 5.2 Continuing Funds Appropriated.

In addition to the amount provided from taxes, the Controller shall make available for expenditure the amount of actual receipts from special funds whose receipts are continuously appropriated as provided in the Municipal Codes.

SECTION 5.3 Multi-Year Revenues.

In connection with money received in one fiscal year for departmental services to be performed in a subsequent year, the Controller is authorized to establish an account for

- 1 depositing revenues that are applicable to the ensuing fiscal year. Said revenue shall be
- 2 carried forward and become a part of the funds available for appropriation in said
- 3 ensuing fiscal year.

4

- 5 **SECTION 5.4 Contracting Funds.**
- 6 All money received in connection with contracts under which a portion of the moneys
- 7 received is to be paid to the contractors and the remainder of the moneys received
- 8 inures to the City shall be deposited in the Treasury.

9

- 10 (a) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contract inures to
- 11 the City shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriate fund.

12

- 13 (b) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contracts is to be
- 14 paid to the contractor shall be deposited in special accounts and is hereby appropriated
- 15 for said purposes.

16

- 17 SECTION 5.5 Real Estate Services.
- 18 Rents received from properties acquired or held in trust for specific purposes are hereby
- 19 appropriated to the extent necessary for maintenance of said properties, including
- 20 services of the General Services Agency.

21

- 22 Moneys received from lessees, tenants or operators of City-owned property for the
- 23 specific purpose of real estate services relative to such leases or operating agreements
- are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to provide such services.

25

1 SECTION 5.6 Collection Services.

In any contracts for the collection of unpaid bills for services rendered to clients, patients, or both by the Department of Public Health in which said unpaid bills have not become delinquent pursuant to Article V of Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the various divisions and institutions of the Department of Public Health to record such recoveries. Any percentage of the amounts, not to exceed 25 percent, recovered from such unpaid bills by a contractor is hereby appropriated to pay the costs of said contract. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contract payments relating to such unpaid bills.

SECTION 5.7 Contract Amounts Based on Savings.

When the terms of a contract provide for payment amounts to be determined by a percentage of cost savings or previously unrecognized revenues, such amounts as are actually realized from either said cost savings or unrecognized revenues are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to pay contract amounts due. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record such transactions.

SECTION 6. Bond Interest and Redemption.

In the event that estimated receipts from other than utility revenues, but including amounts from ad-valorem taxes, shall exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption, said excess shall be transferred to a General Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve account. The Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve is hereby appropriated to meet debt service requirements including printing of bonds, cost of bond

rating services, funds due to the Internal Revenue Service, and the legal opinions approving the validity of bonds authorized to be sold not otherwise provided for herein.

Issuance, legal, and financial advisory service costs, including the reimbursement of departmental services in connection therewith, for debt instruments issued by the City, to the extent approved by the Board of Supervisors in authorizing the debt, may be paid

from the proceeds of such debt and are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

To the extent bond rating fees are incurred and payable prior to the issuance of Board of Supervisors authorized Certificates of Participation due to unexpected changes in market conditions causing a delay in issuance, such fees may be paid from funds appropriated for annual Certificates of Participation debt service that exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption.

SECTION 7. Allotment Controls.

Since several items of expenditures herein appropriated are based on estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, of such duration as the Controller may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates periodically. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage, the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall

1 not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for such department.

The Controller, in issuing payments or in certifying contracts, purchase orders, or other encumbrances pursuant to Section 3.105 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of allotment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred, and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by transfers or supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 9.105 of the Charter.

SECTION 7.1 Prior Year Encumbrances.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish reserves for the purpose of providing funds for adjustments in connection with liquidation of encumbrances and other obligations of prior years.

SECTION 7.2 Equipment Purchases.

Funds for the purchase of items of equipment having a value of over \$20,000 and a useful life of three years and over shall only be purchased from appropriations specifically provided for equipment or lease-purchased equipment, including equipment from capital projects. Departments may purchase additional or replacement equipment from previous equipment or lease-purchase appropriations, or from citywide equipment and other non-salary appropriations, with approval of the Mayor's Office and the Controller.

Where appropriations are made herein for the purpose of replacing automotive and other equipment, the equipment replaced shall be surrendered to the General Services Agency and shall be withdrawn from service on or before delivery to departments of the new automotive equipment. When the replaced equipment is sold, in lieu of being traded-in, the proceeds shall be deposited to a revenue account of the related fund. Provided, however, that so much of said proceeds as may be required to affect the purchase of the new equipment is hereby appropriated for the purpose. Funds herein appropriated for automotive equipment shall not be used to buy a replacement of any automobile superior in class to the one being replaced unless it has been specifically authorized by original appropriation ordinance.

Appropriations for equipment from current funds shall be construed to be annual appropriations and unencumbered balances shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year.

1 SECTION 7.3 Enterprise Deficits.

2 Funds appropriated herein to meet estimated enterprise deficits shall be made available

to each such enterprise only to the extent that an actual deficit shall exist and not to
exceed the amount herein provided. Any amount not required for the purpose of
meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the
end of each fiscal year unless otherwise appropriated by ordinance.

SECTION 7.4 Public Utilities Commission Debt Service.

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission shall, in coordination with the Controller's Office, record and report the use of debt service appropriations in their respective debt service funds consistent with the Schedule of Bond Redemption and Interest Statement included herein and as required pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) accounting rules, requirements and practices. The Controller is hereby authorized to make all associated net-zero appropriation transfers to ensure compliant financial reporting.

SECTION 8. Expenditure Estimates.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of the department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

1 SECTION 8.1 State and Federal Funds.

- 2 The Controller is authorized to increase federal and state funds that may be claimed
- 3 due to new General Fund expenditures appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The
- 4 Human Resources Director is authorized to add civil service positions required to
- 5 implement the programs authorized by these funds. The Controller and the Human
- 6 Resources Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors any actions taken under this
- 7 authorization before the Board acts on the Annual Appropriation and Annual Salary
- 8 Ordinances.

9

10

SECTION 8.2 State and Federal Funding Restorations.

- 11 If additional state or federal funds are allocated to the City to backfill state or federal
- 12 reductions, the Controller shall backfill any funds appropriated to any program to the
- 13 General Reserve.

14

15

SECTION 8.3 Process for Addressing General Fund Revenue Shortfalls

- 16 Upon receiving Controller estimates of revenue shortfalls that exceed the value of the
- 17 General Reserve and any other allowances for revenue shortfalls in the adopted City
- 18 budget, the Mayor shall inform the Board of Supervisors of actions to address this
- 19 shortfall. The Board of Supervisors may adopt an ordinance to reflect the Mayor's
- 20 proposal or alternative proposals in order to balance the budget.

21

22

SECTION 9. Interdepartmental Services.

- 23 The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in
- 24 making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of
- 25 Section 3.105 of the Charter, and to provide for the establishment of interdepartmental

reserves which may be required to pay for future obligations which result from current performances. Whenever, in the judgment of the Controller, the amounts which have been set aside for such purposes are no longer required or are in excess of the amount which is then currently estimated to be required, the Controller shall transfer the amount no longer required to the fund balance of the particular fund of which the reserve is a part. Provided further that no expenditure shall be made for personnel services, rent, equipment, or capital outlay purposes from any interdepartmental reserve or work order

fund without specific appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

The amount detailed in departmental budgets for services of other City departments cannot be transferred to other spending categories without prior agreement from both the requesting and performing departments.

The Controller, pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 3.105, shall review and may adjust charges or fees for services that may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors for the administration of the Technology Marketplace. Such fees are hereby appropriated for that purpose.

SECTION 10. Positions in the City Service.

Department heads shall not make appointments to any office or position until the

Controller shall certify that funds are available.

Funds provided herein for salaries or wages may, with the approval of the Controller, be used to provide for temporary employment when it becomes necessary to replace the occupant of a position while on extended leave without pay, or for the temporary filling

of a vacancy in a budgeted position. The Controller is authorized to approve the use of existing salary appropriations within departments to fund permanent appointments of up to six months to backfill anticipated vacancies to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission critical knowledge. The Controller shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every six months

enumerating permanent positions created under this authority.

7 8

6

Appointments to seasonal or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

10

9

The Controller shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in any position.

12

13

11

- SECTION 10.1 Positions, Funds, and Transfers for Specific Purposes.
- 14 Funds for personnel services may be transferred from any legally available source on
- 15 the recommendation of the department head and approval by the City Administrator,
- 16 Board, or Commission, for departments under their respective jurisdiction, and on
- 17 authorization of the Controller with the prior approval of the Human Resources Director
- 18 for:

19

20

21

22

23

(a) Lump sum payments to officers, employees, police officers and fire fighters other than elective officers and members of boards and commissions upon death or retirement or separation caused by industrial accident for accumulated sick leave benefits in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules.

24

25

(b) Payment of the supervisory differential adjustment, out of class pay, or other

1	negotiated	premium	to em	plovees	who (gualify	for	such	adiustment	provided	that	the

- 2 transfer of funds must be made from funds currently available in departmental
- 3 personnel service appropriations.

- 5 (c) Payment of any legal salary or fringe benefit obligations of the City, including
- 6 amounts required to fund arbitration awards.

7

- 8 (d) The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust salary appropriations for positions
- 9 administratively reclassified or temporarily exchanged by the Human Resources
- 10 Director provided that the reclassified position and the former position are in the same
- 11 functional area.

12

- 13 (e) Positions may be substituted or exchanged between the various salary
- 14 appropriations or position classifications when approved by the Human Resources
- 15 Director as long as said transfers do not increase total departmental personnel service
- 16 appropriations.

17

18

- (f) The Controller is hereby authorized and directed upon the request of a
- 19 department head and the approval by the Mayor's Office to transfer from any legally
- 20 available funds amounts needed to fund legally mandated salaries, fringe benefits, and
- 21 other costs of City employees. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose set
- 22 forth herein.

- 24 (g) The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer any legally available funds to
- 25 adjust salary and fringe benefit appropriations as required under reclassifications

1 recommended by the Human Resources Director and approved by the Board of

Supervisors in implementing the Management Compensation and Classification Plan.

Amounts transferred shall not exceed the actual amount required including the cost to the City of mandatory fringe benefits.

(h) Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 4850.4, the Controller is authorized to make advance payments from departments' salary accounts to employees participating in CalPERS who apply for disability retirement. Repayment of these advanced disability retirement payments from CalPERS and from employees are hereby appropriated to the departments' salary account.

(i) For purposes of defining terms in Administrative Code Section 3.18, the Controller is authorized to process transfers where such transfers are required to administer the budget through the following certification process: In cases where expenditures are reduced at the level of appropriation control during the Board of Supervisors phase of the budget process, the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, on recommendation of the Controller, may certify that such a reduction does not reflect a deliberate policy reduction adopted by the Board. The Mayor's Budget Director may similarly provide such a certification regarding reductions during the Mayor's phase of the budget process.

SECTION 10.2 Professional Services Contracts.

Funds appropriated for professional service contracts may be transferred to the account for salaries on the recommendation of the department head for the specific purpose of

- 1 using City personnel in lieu of private contractors with the approval of the Human
- 2 Resources Director and the Mayor and certification by the Controller that such transfer
- 3 of funds would not increase the cost of government.

5

- **SECTION 10.3 Surety Bond Fund Administration.**
- 6 The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate funds from capital project appropriations
- 7 to the San Francisco Self-Insurance Surety Bond Fund, as governed by Administrative
- 8 Code Section 10.100-317 and in accordance with amounts determined pursuant to
- 9 Administrative Code Section 14B.16.

10

- 11 SECTION 10.4 Salary Adjustments, Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).
- 12 The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Salary and Benefits
- 13 Reserve, or any legally available funds, amounts necessary to adjust appropriations for
- 14 salaries and related mandatory fringe benefits of employees whose compensation is
- pursuant to Charter Sections A8.403 (Registered Nurses), A8.404 (Transit Operators),
- 16 A8.409 (Miscellaneous Employees), A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-5 (Police and
- 17 Firefighters), revisions to state law, or collective bargaining agreements adopted
- 18 pursuant to the Charter or arbitration award. The Controller and Human Resources
- 19 Director are further authorized and directed to adjust the rates of compensation to
- 20 reflect current pay rates for any positions affected by the foregoing provisions.

- 22 Adjustments made pursuant to this section shall reflect only the percentage increase
- 23 required to adjust appropriations to reflect revised salary and other pay requirements
- 24 above the funding level established in the base and adopted budget of the respective
- 25 departments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from reserves or any legally available funds amounts necessary to provide costs of non-salary benefits in ratified Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration awards or Board of Supervisors approved employee and retiree health and dental rates. The Controller's Office shall report to the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee on the status of the Salary and Benefits Reserve, including amounts transferred to individual City departments and remaining Reserve balances, as part of the Controller's Six and Nine Month Budget Status Reports.

SECTION 10.5 MOUs to be Reflected in Department Budgets.

Should the City adopt an MOU with a recognized employee bargaining organization during the fiscal year which has fiscal effects, the Controller is authorized and directed to reflect the budgetary impact of said MOU in departmental appropriations by transferring amounts to or from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or, for self-supporting or restricted funds, to or from the respective unappropriated fund balance account. All amounts transferred pursuant to this section are hereby appropriated for that purpose.

SECTION 10.6 Funding Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has ratified by ordinance or resolution Memoranda of Understanding with recognized employee organizations or an arbitration award has become effective, and said memoranda or award contains provisions requiring the expenditure of funds, the Controller, on the recommendation of the Human Resources Director, shall reserve sufficient funds to comply with such provisions and such funds are hereby appropriated for such purposes. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such transfers from funds hereby reserved or legally available as may be required to

1 make funds available to departments to carry out the purposes required by the

Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration award.

SECTION 10.7 Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustments.

hereby appropriated to said accounts.

Appropriations herein made for fringe benefits may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect revised amounts required to support adopted or required contribution rates. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to transfer between departmental appropriations and the General Reserve or other unappropriated balance of funds any amounts resulting from adopted or required contribution rates and such amounts are

When the Controller determines that prepayment of the employer share of pension contributions is likely to be fiscally advantageous, the Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations and transfers in order to make and reconcile such prepayments.

SECTION 10.8 Police Department Uniformed Positions.

Positions in the Police Department for each of the various ranks that are filled based on the educational attainment of individual officers may be filled interchangeably at any level within the rank (e.g., Patrol Officer Q2, Q3 or Q4, Sergeant Q50, Q51, Q52). The Controller and Human Resources Director are hereby authorized to adjust payrolls, salary ordinances, and other documents, where necessary, to reflect the current status of individual employees; provided however, that nothing in this section shall authorize an increase in the total number of positions allocated to any one rank or to the Police Department.

1 SECTION 10.9 Holidays, Special Provisions.

- 2 Whenever the Mayor formally declares that any day is a holiday for City employees
- 3 under the terms of a Memorandum of Understanding, the Controller, with the approval
- 4 of the Mayor's Office, is hereby authorized to make such transfer of funds not to exceed
- 5 the actual cost of said holiday from any legally available funds.

6

7

SECTION 10.10 Litigation Reserve, Payments.

- 8 The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Reserve for Litigation
- 9 Account for General Fund supported departments or from any other legally available
- 10 funds for other funds, amounts required to make payments required to settle litigation
- against the City that has been recommended by the City Attorney and approved by the
- 12 Board of Supervisors in the manner provided in the Charter. Such funds are hereby
- appropriated for the purposes set forth herein.

14

15

- Amounts required to pay settlements of claims or litigation involving the Public Utilities
- 16 Commission are hereby appropriated from the Public Utilities Commission Wastewater
- 17 Enterprise fund balance or the Public Utilities Commission Water Enterprise fund
- 18 balance, as appropriate, for the purpose of paying such settlements following final
- 19 approval of those settlements by resolution or ordinance.

20

21

SECTION 10.11 Changes in Health Services Eligibility.

- 22 Should the Board of Supervisors amend Administrative Code Section 16.700 to change
- 23 the eligibility in the City's Health Service System, the Controller is authorized and
- 24 directed to transfer from any legally available funds or the Salary and Fringe Reserve
- 25 for the amount necessary to provide health benefit coverage not already reflected in the

1 departmental budgets.

agree to terminate the Agreements.

2

3

Section 10.12 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

4 Resolutions 85-19 and 86-19 authorized the Department of Human Resources to enter 5 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Labor-Management 6 Agreements with the San Francisco Firefighters' Association, Local 798, and San 7 Francisco Police Officers Association, respectively. These Agreements require the City 8 to allocate an amount equal to 50% of the ADR program estimated net savings, as 9 determined by actuarial report, for the benefit of active employees. The Controller is 10 authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds the amount 11 necessary to make the required allocations. This provision will terminate if the parties

13

14

15

16

17

18

12

SECTION 11. Funds Received for Special Purposes, Trust Funds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing special and trust funds, revolving funds, and reserves. The receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional special and trust funds and reserves as may be created either by additional grants and bequests or under other conditions, and the receipts in each fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law for the purposes and subject to the conditions under which each such fund is established.

SECTION 11.1 Special and Trust Funds Appropriated; Approval of Certain Grant

Agreements under Charter Section 9.118

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive for a special purpose from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall establish a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the special purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held. Said account or fund shall be maintained by the Controller as long as any portion of said moneys or property remains.

Recurring grant funds which are detailed in departmental budget submissions and approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors in the annual budget shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Administrative Code Section 10.170 for the approval to apply for, receive, and expend said funds and shall be construed to be funds received for a specific purpose as set forth in this section. Where the amount of a recurring grant that is detailed in a departmental budget submission exceeds \$1 million or the duration exceeds ten years, the grant agreement shall be deemed approved by the Board of Supervisors under Charter Section 9.118. Positions specifically approved by granting agencies in said grant awards may be filled as though said positions were included in the annual budget and Annual Salary Ordinance, provided however that the tenure of such positions shall be contingent on the continued receipt of said grant funds. Individual grants may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect actual awards made if granting agencies increase or decrease the grant award amounts estimated in budget submissions.

The expenditures necessary from said funds or said accounts as created herein, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys or orders have been received or for which said accounts are being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and

said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys or orders have been received by the City, and in accordance

with the conditions under which said funds are maintained.

7

4

5

6

8 The Controller is authorized to adjust transfers to the San Francisco Capital Planning

9 Fund, established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-286, to account for final

capital project planning expenditures reimbursed from approved sale of bonds and other

long term financing instruments.

12

13

10

11

SECTION 11.2 Insurance Recoveries.

- 14 Any moneys received by the City pursuant to the terms and conditions of any insurance
- 15 policy are hereby appropriated and made available to the general City or specific
- 16 departments for associated costs or claims.

17

18

SECTION 11.3 Bond Premiums.

- 19 Premiums received from the sale of bonds are hereby appropriated for bond interest
- and redemption purposes of the issue upon which it was received.

21

22

SECTION 11.4 Ballot Arguments.

- 23 Receipts in and expenditures for payment for the printing of ballot arguments, are
- 24 hereby appropriated.

1 SECTION 11.5 Tenant Overtime.

2 Whenever employees of departments are required to work overtime on account of

services required by renters, lessees, or tenants of City-owned or occupied properties,

4 or recipients of services from City departments, the cost of such overtime employment

shall be collected by the departments from the requesters of said services and shall be

deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of departmental appropriations. All moneys

deposited therein are hereby appropriated for such purpose.

SECTION 11.6 Refunds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the Treasury in excess of amounts due, and the receipts and expenditures from each are hereby appropriated in accordance with law. Whereby state statute, local ordinance, or court order, interest is payable on amounts to be refunded, in the absence of appropriation therefore, such interest is herewith appropriated from the unappropriated interest fund or interest earnings of the fund involved. The Controller is authorized, and funds are hereby appropriated, to refund overpayments and any mandated interest or penalties from state, federal, or local agencies when audits or other financial analyses determine that the City has received payments in excess of amounts due.

SECTION 11.7 Arbitrage.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund excess interest earnings on bond proceeds (arbitrage) when such amounts have been determined to be due and payable under applicable Internal Revenue Service regulations. Such arbitrage refunds shall be charged in the various bond funds in which the arbitrage earnings were

1 recorded and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

2

- 3 If bond indentures or fiscal agent agreements require interest earnings to be used to
- 4 offset annual lease financing payments, the Controller is authorized to make payments
- 5 to the IRS from annual budget appropriations for lease payments based on expected
- 6 savings amounts.

7

8

- **SECTION 11.8 Damage Recoveries and Restitution.**
- 9 Moneys received as payment for damage to City-owned property and equipment are
- 10 hereby appropriated to the department concerned to pay the cost of repairing such
- 11 equipment or property. Moneys received as payment for liquidated damages in a City-
- 12 funded project are appropriated to the department incurring costs of repairing or abating
- 13 the damages. Any excess funds, and any amount received for damaged property or
- 14 equipment which is not to be repaired shall be credited to a related fund.

15

- 16 Moneys received as restitution in a criminal proceeding to reimburse the City for losses
- 17 caused by an employee or third party are appropriated to the departments that incurred
- 18 the losses.

19

20

- **SECTION 11.9 Purchasing Damage Recoveries.**
- 21 That portion of funds received pursuant to the provisions of Administrative Code Section
- 22 21.33 failure to deliver article contracted for as may be needed to affect the required
- 23 procurement are hereby appropriated for that purpose and the balance, if any, shall be
- 24 credited to the related fund.

1 SECTION 11.10 Off-Street Parking Guarantees.

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has authorized the execution of agreements with corporations for the construction of off-street parking and other facilities under which the City guarantees the payment of the corporations' debt service or other payments for operation of the facility, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to reserve from parking meter or other designated revenues sufficient funds to provide for such guarantees. The Controller is hereby authorized to make payments as previously guaranteed to the extent necessary and the reserves approved in each Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller shall notify the Board of Supervisors annually of any payments made pursuant to this Section.

12

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

SECTION 11.11 Hotel Tax – Special Situations.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make such interfund transfers or other adjustments as may be necessary to conform budget allocations to the requirements of the agreements and indentures of the 1994 Lease Revenue and/or San Francisco Redevelopment Agency Hotel Tax Revenue Bond issues.

18

19

SECTION 11.12 Local Transportation Agency Fund.

20 Local transportation funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to the Government Code.

21

22 **SECTION 11.13 Insurance.**

- 23 The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer to the Risk Manager any amounts
- 24 indicated in the budget estimate and appropriated hereby for the purchase of insurance
- 25 or the payment of insurance premiums.

- 1 SECTION 11.14 Grants to Departments of Disability and Aging Services, Child
- 2 Support Services, Homelessness and Supportive Housing, and Children, Youth
- 3 and Their Families

14

23

- 4 The Department of Disability and Aging Services and the Department of Child Support
- 5 Services are authorized to receive and expend available federal and state contributions
- 6 and grant awards for their target populations. The Controller is hereby authorized and
- 7 directed to make the appropriate entries to reflect the receipt and expenditure of said
- 8 grant award funds and contributions. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive
- 9 Housing is authorized to apply surpluses among subgrants within master HUD grants to
- 10 shortfalls in other subgrants. The Department of Children, Youth and Their Families is
- 11 authorized to receive and expend funds in instances where funds from grants
- 12 appropriated herein are not fixed and exceed the estimates contained in the budget.

SECTION 11.15 FEMA, OES, Other Reimbursements.

- 15 Whenever the City recovers funds from any federal or state agency as reimbursement
- 16 for the cost of damages resulting from earthquakes and other disasters for which the
- 17 Mayor has declared a state of emergency, such funds are hereby appropriated for the
- 18 purpose. The Controller is authorized to transfer such funds to the credit of the
- departmental appropriation which initially incurred the cost, or, if the fiscal year in which
- 20 the expenses were charged has ended, to the credit of the fund which incurred the
- 21 expenses. Revenues received from other governments as reimbursement for mutual aid
- 22 provided by City departments are hereby appropriated for services provided.
- 24 Whenever the City is required to designate agents authorized to obtain state or federal
- 25 disaster and emergency assistance funding, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors

1 designate the Executive Director of the Department of Emergency Management, the

2 Controller, and the Deputy Controller to be the agents authorized to execute

agreements for and on behalf of the City, for disaster and emergency assistance

funding from State and federal agencies, for all open and future disasters.

5

6

8

9

10

3

4

Any remaining balances in the Give2SF-COVID-19 Fund, including amounts from

7 previously identified and unidentifiable donors, are hereby appropriated for COVID-19

related costs and programs. Give2SF-COVID-19 Donation balances and uses of funds

shall be included in the San Francisco Disaster and Emergency Response and

Recovery Fund annual report to the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to Administrative

11 Code Sec. 10.100-100(d).

12

13

15

16

17

SECTION 11.16 Interest on Grant Funds.

14 Whenever the City earns interest on funds received from the State of California or the

federal government and said interest is specifically required to be expended for the

purpose for which the funds have been received, said interest is hereby appropriated in

accordance with the terms under which the principal is received and appropriated.

18

19

21

22

23

SECTION 11.17 Treasurer – Banking Agreements.

20 Whenever the Treasurer finds that it is in the best interest of the City to use either a

compensating balance or fee for service agreement to secure banking services that

benefit all participants of the pool, any funds necessary to be paid for such agreement

are to be charged against interest earnings and such funds are hereby appropriated for

24 the purpose.

- 1 The Treasurer may offset banking charges that benefit all participants of the investment
- 2 pool against interest earned by the pool. The Treasurer shall allocate other bank
- 3 charges and credit card processing to departments or pool participants that benefit from
- 4 those services. The Controller may transfer funds appropriated in the budget to General
- 5 Fund departments as necessary to support allocated charges.

- 7 SECTION 11.18 City Buildings-Acquisition with Certificates of Participation
- 8 (COPs).
- 9 Receipts in and expenditures from accounts set up for the acquisition and operation of
- 10 City-owned buildings including, but not limited to 25 Van Ness Avenue and 1660
- 11 Mission Street, are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth in the various bond
- 12 indentures through which said properties were acquired.

13

- 14 SECTION 11.19 Generally Accepted Principles of Financial Statement
- 15 **Presentation.**
- 16 The Controller is hereby authorized to make adjustments to departmental budgets as
- 17 part of the year-end closing process to conform amounts to the Charter provisions and
- 18 generally accepted principles of financial statement presentation, and to implement new
- 19 accounting standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and
- 20 other changes in generally accepted accounting principles.

- 22 SECTION 11.20 Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions.
- 23 The Controller is authorized to establish or adjust fund type definitions for restricted,
- 24 committed or assigned revenues and expenditures, in accordance with the
- 25 requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 54. These

1 changes will be designed to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by

2 providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and

3 by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Reclassification of funds

shall be reviewed by the City's outside auditors during their audit of the City's financial

statements.

6

7

9

10

11

4

5

SECTION 11.21 State Local Public Safety Fund.

8 Amounts received from the State Local Public Safety Fund (Sales Taxes) for deposit to

the Public Safety Augmentation Fund shall be transferred to the General Fund for use in

meeting eligible costs of public safety as provided by state law and said funds are

appropriated for said purposes.

12

13

14

15

16

Said funds shall be allocated to support public safety department budgets, but not

specific appropriation accounts, and shall be deemed to be expended at a rate of 75%

of eligible departmental expenditures up to the full amount received. The Controller is

hereby directed to establish procedures to comply with state reporting requirements.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accounts.

SECTION 11.22 Health Care Security Ordinance Agency Fund.

Irrevocable health care expenditures made to the City by employers on behalf of their employees pursuant to the provisions of Labor & Employment Code Articles 21 and 121, the Health Care Security Ordinance and the Health Care Accountability Ordinance are maintained in the Health Care Security Ordinance Fund, an agency fund maintained by the City for the benefit of City Option account holders. Interest earnings in the fund are hereby appropriated for the administrative costs incurred to manage participant

1 SECTION 11.23 Affordable Housing Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings.

- 2 Loan repayments, proceeds of property sales in cases of defaulted loans, and interest
- 3 earnings in special revenue funds designated for affordable housing are hereby
- 4 appropriated for affordable housing program expenditures, including payments from
- 5 loans made by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and transferred to the
- 6 Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the designated housing
- 7 successor agency. Expenditures shall be subject to the conditions under which each
- 8 such fund was established.

9

10 **SECTION 11.24 Development Agreement Implementation Costs.**

- 11 The Controller is hereby authorized to appropriate reimbursements of City costs
- 12 incurred to implement development agreements approved by the Board of Supervisors,
- 13 including but not limited to City staff time, consultant services, and associated overhead
- 14 costs to conduct plan review, inspection, and contract monitoring, and to draft,
- 15 negotiate, and administer such agreements. This provision does not apply to
- 16 development impact fees or other payments approved in a development agreement,
- which shall be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

18

19

SECTION 11.25 Housing Trust Fund.

- 20 The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust appropriations as necessary to implement
- 21 the movement of Housing Trust Fund revenues and expenditures from the General
- 22 Fund to a special revenue fund.

- 24 The Controller shall account for appropriation of \$17,600,000 for eligible affordable
- 25 housing projects in fiscal year 2021-22 as an advance of future year Housing Trust

- 1 Fund allocations, and shall credit such advance against required appropriations to that
- 2 fund for a period of five years, beginning in fiscal year 2023-24, in an annual amount of
- 3 \$3,520,000.

- 5 SECTION 11.26 Refuse Rate Order Changes.
- 6 The Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations from the Solid Waste Impound
- 7 Account to reconcile with the final adopted refuse rate order established by the Refuse
- 8 Rate Board, provided that such adjustments shall not result in a total increase in
- 9 appropriations from the fund.

10

11 SECTION 12. Special Situations.

12

- 13 **SECTION 12.1 Revolving Funds.**
- 14 Surplus funds remaining in departmental appropriations may be transferred to fund
- increases in revolving funds up to the amount authorized by the Board of Supervisors
- 16 by ordinance.

17

- 18 **SECTION 12.2 Interest Allocations.**
- 19 Interest shall not be allocated to any special, enterprise, or trust fund or account unless
- 20 said allocation is required by Charter, state law, or specific provision in the legislation
- 21 that created said fund. Any interest earnings not allocated to special, enterprise or trust
- 22 funds or accounts shall be credited, by the Controller, to General Fund Unallocated
- 23 Revenues.

24

1 SECTION 12.3 Property Tax.

Consistent with state Teeter Plan requirements, the Board of Supervisors elects to continue the alternative method of distribution of tax levies and collections in accordance with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4701. The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to maintain the Teeter Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount not less than 1% of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for that year for participating entities in the county as provided by Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4703. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller to make timely property tax distributions to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, and City Infrastructure Financing Districts as approved by the Board of Supervisors through the budget, through development pass-through contracts, through tax increment allocation pledge agreements and ordinances, and as mandated by State law.

The Controller is authorized to adjust the budget to conform to assumptions in final approved property tax rates and to make debt service payments for approved general obligation bonds accordingly.

The Controller is authorized and directed to recover costs from the levy, collection, and administration of property taxes. The tax rate for the City's General Obligation Bond Fund, approved annually by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, includes a collection fee of 0.25% of the fund collected for the purpose of the General Obligation Bond debt service. An amount sufficient to pay this fee is hereby appropriated within the General Obligation Bond Fund and the Controller is hereby authorized to pay this fee into the General Fund from the General Obligation Bond Fund.

SECTION 12.4 New Project Reserves.

- 2 Where the Board of Supervisors has set aside a portion of the General Reserve for a
- 3 new project or program approved by a supplemental appropriation, any funds not
- 4 required for the approved supplemental appropriation shall be returned to the General
- 5 Fund General Reserve by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to allocate project
- 6 budgets appropriated in Citywide accounts to the department where the expense will be
- 7 incurred.

8

9

1

SECTION 12.5 Aid Payments.

- 10 Aid paid from funds herein provided and refunded during the fiscal year hereof shall be
- 11 credited to, and made available in, the appropriation from which said aid was provided.

12

- 13 SECTION 12.6 Department of Public Health Transfer Payments, Indigent Health
- 14 Revenues, and Realignment Funding to Offset for Low Income Health Programs.
- 15 To more accurately reflect the total net budget of the Department of Public Health, this
- ordinance shows net revenues received from certain state and federal health programs.
- 17 Funds necessary to participate in such programs that require transfer payments are
- hereby appropriated. The Controller is authorized to defer surplus transfer payments,
- 19 indigent health revenues, and Realignment funding to offset future reductions or audit
- 20 adjustments associated with funding allocations for health services for low income
- 21 individuals.

22

23

SECTION 12.7 Municipal Transportation Agency.

- 24 Consistent with the provisions of Article VIIIA of the Charter, the Controller is authorized
- 25 to make such transfers and reclassification of accounts necessary to properly reflect the

- 1 provision of central services to the Municipal Transportation Agency in the books and
- 2 accounts of the City. No change can increase or decrease the overall level of the City's
- 3 budget.

5

- SECTION 12.8 Treasure Island Authority.
- 6 Should the Treasure Island property be conveyed and deed transferred from the federal
- 7 government, the Controller is hereby authorized to make budgetary adjustments
- 8 necessary to ensure that there is no General Fund impact from this conveyance, and
- 9 that expenditures of special assessment revenues conform to governmental accounting
- 10 standards and requirements of the special assessment as adopted by voters and
- 11 approved by the Board of Supervisors.

12

13

- **SECTION 12.9 Hetch Hetchy Power Stabilization Fund.**
- 14 Hetch Hetchy has entered into a long-term agreement to purchase a fixed amount of
- power. Any excess power from this contract will be sold back to the power market.

16

- 17 To limit Hetch Hetchy's risk from adverse market conditions in the future years of the
- 18 contract, the Controller is authorized to establish a power stabilization account that
- 19 reserves any excess revenues from power sales in the early years of the contract.
- 20 These funds may be used to offset potential losses in the later years of the contract.
- 21 The balance in this fund may be reviewed and adjusted annually.

- 23 The power purchase amount reflected in the Public Utility Commission's expenditure
- 24 budget is the net amount of the cost of power purchased for Hetch Hetchy use. Power
- 25 purchase appropriations may be increased by the Controller to reflect the pass through

1 costs of power purchased for resale under long-term fixed contracts previously 2 approved by the Board of Supervisors.

SECTION 12.10 Closure of Special Funds, Projects, and Accounts

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-1(d), if there has been no expenditure activity for the past two fiscal years, a special fund or project can be closed and repealed. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to reconcile and balance funds, projects and accounts, and to close completed projects. The Controller is directed to create a clearing account for the purpose of balancing surpluses and deficits in such funds, projects and accounts, and funding administrative costs incurred to perform such reconciliations.

This budget ordinance appropriates fund balance from active project closeouts in continuing funds in the General Fund and a number of special revenue and enterprise funds. The Controller is directed to deappropriate projects to realize the fund balance used as a source in the adopted budget.

SECTION 12.11 Charter-Mandated Baseline Appropriations.

The Controller is authorized to increase or reduce budgetary appropriations as required by the Charter for baseline allocations to align allocations to the amounts required by formula based on actual revenues received during the fiscal year. Departments must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by increasing baseline allocations as required under the Charter and the Municipal Code.

SECTION 12.12 Parking Tax Allocation.

- 2 The Controller is authorized to increase or decrease final budgetary allocation of
- 3 parking tax in-lieu transfers to reflect actual collections to the Municipal Transportation
- 4 Agency. The Municipal Transportation Agency must obtain Board of Supervisors'
- 5 approval prior to any expenditure supported by allocations that accrue to the Agency
- 6 that are greater than those already appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

SECTION 12.13 Former Redevelopment Agency Funds.

9 Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 215-12, the Successor Agency to the San

Francisco Redevelopment Agency (also known as the Office of Community Investment

and Infrastructure, or OCII) is a separate legal entity from the City and its budget is

subject to separate approval by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is

authorized to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts

related to former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) fund balances to serve

the accounting requirements of the OCII, the Port, the Mayor's Office of Housing and

Community Development and the City Administrator's Office and to comply with state

requirements and applicable bond covenants.

18

19

20

21

22

The Purchaser is authorized to allow the OCII and departments to follow applicable

contracting and purchasing procedures of the former SFRA and waive inconsistent

provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code when managing contracts and

purchasing transactions related to programs formerly administered by the SFRA.

23

24

25

If, during the course of the budget period, the OCII requests departments to provide

additional services beyond budgeted amounts and the Controller determines that the

- 1 Successor Agency has sufficient additional funds available to reimburse departments
- 2 for such additional services, the departmental expenditure authority to provide such
- 3 services is hereby appropriated.
- 4 When 100% of property tax increment revenues for a redevelopment project area are
- 5 pledged based on an agreement that constitutes an enforceable obligation, the
- 6 Controller will increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for
- 7 the project area.

- 9 The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development is authorized to act as the
- 10 fiscal agent for the Public Initiatives Development Corporation (PIDC) and receive and
- 11 disburse PIDC funds as authorized by the PIDC bylaws and the PIDC Board of
- 12 Directors.

13

14

SECTION 12.14 CleanPowerSF.

- 15 CleanPowerSF customer payments and all other associated revenues deposited in the
- 16 CleanPowerSF special revenue fund are hereby appropriated in the amounts actually
- 17 received by the City in each fiscal year. The Controller is authorized to disburse the
- 18 revenues appropriated by this section as well as those appropriated yet unspent from
- 19 prior fiscal years to pay power purchase obligations and other operating costs as
- 20 provided in the program plans and annual budgets, as approved by the Board of
- 21 Supervisors for the purposes authorized therein.

22

23

SECTION 12.15 Unclaimed Funds Escheatment Noticing & Accounting

24 Procedures

25 Pursuant to Government Code Sections 50055 and 50057, the Board of Supervisors

authorizes the Treasurer to transfer to the General Fund without publication of a notice in a newspaper the following amounts that remain unclaimed in the treasury of the City or in the official custody of an officer of the City for a period of at least one year: (1) any individual items of less than \$15; and (2) any individual items of \$5,000 or less if the depositor's name is unknown. The Treasurer shall notify the Controller of transfers performed using this authorization.

SECTION 12.16 City Attorney Gifts and Grants for Federal Response

The City Attorney is authorized to accept and expend gifts or grants of funds and gifts of in-kind services, including but not limited to in-kind outside counsel services and expert consultant or witness services, to support the City Attorney's legal advice, advocacy, and litigation in preparation for or response to policies, actions, threats, and other decisions by the federal government. The City Attorney shall report all such grants and gifts to the Controller and the Mayor's Budget Office, in addition to any other applicable reporting requirements in the Administrative Code and the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code.

SECTION 14. Departments.

The term department as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, agency, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term department head as used herein shall be the chief executive duly appointed and acting as provided in the Charter. When one or more departments are reorganized or consolidated, the former entities may be displayed as separate units, if, in the opinion of the Controller, this will facilitate accounting or reporting.

(a) The Public Utilities Commission shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds within each of the enterprises. The entity shall retain its enterprises, including Water, Hetch Hetchy, Wastewater, and the Public Utilities Commission, as separate utility fund enterprises under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission and with the authority provided by the Charter. This section shall not be construed as a merger or completion of the Hetch Hetchy Project, which shall not be deemed completed until a specific finding of completion has been made by the Public Utilities Commission. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority, and transfers or reappropriation of funds.

(b) There shall be a General Services Agency, headed by the City Administrator, including the Department of Telecommunication and Information Services, and the Department of Administrative Services. The City Administrator shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds.

(c) There shall be a Human Services Agency, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Human Services Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Department of Human Services, under the Human Services Commission, and (2) the Department of Disability and Aging Services ("DAAS"), under the Disability and Aging Services Commission, which includes Adult Protective Services, the Public Administrator/Public Guardian, the Mental Health Conservator, the Department of Disability and Aging Services, the County Veterans' Service Officer, and the In-Home Supportive Services Program. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The

1 Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees,

2 positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one

department to the other. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of

determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or

5 reappropriation of funds.

6

9

10

11

3

4

7 The departments within the Human Services Agency shall coordinate with each other

8 and with the Disability and Aging Services Commission to improve delivery of services,

increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they

may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority

of the Disability and Aging Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of

12 the Commission.

13

15

16

17

18

19

14 The Director of the Disability and Aging Services Commission also may serve as the

department head for DAAS, and/or as a deputy director for the Department of Human

Services, but shall receive no additional compensation by virtue of an additional

appointment. If an additional appointment is made, it shall not diminish the authority of

the Disability and Aging Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the

Commission.

20

21

22

23

24

(d) There shall be a Film, Grants, and Arts Agency (FGAA), whose formal name

shall be determined at a later date, which shall be considered one entity for budget

purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the FGAA shall be two departments and

one division of the General Services Agency: (1) the Arts Commission department, led

by the Director of Cultural Affairs under its Commission, (2) the Film Commission

department, led by the Executive Director under its Commission, and (3) Grants for the Arts ("GFTA"), under the Director. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal

status or structure of the two departments or GFTA. The Human Resources Director

and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order

to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department or division to the other.

The consolidated agency will be reorganized for purposes of determining employee

seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of

8 funds.

under their respective jurisdictions.

The Director of the Agency, appointed by the Mayor, will lead the FGAA. The Director will ensure that the departments and division within the Agency shall coordinate with each other to improve delivery of services to and for artists and the arts, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of the two commissions—the Arts Commission and the Film Commission—over matters

(e) There shall be an Agency for Human Rights, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Human Rights Commission, led by the Executive Director under its Commission, and (2) the Department on the Status of Women, led by the Director under the Commission on the Status of Women. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department or division to the

1 other. The consolidated agency will be reorganized for purposes of determining

employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or

reappropriation of funds.

4

7

8

9

10

2

3

5 The Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission will lead the Agency for

6 Human Rights. The Executive Director will ensure that the departments within the

Agency shall coordinate with each other to improve delivery of services, increase

administrative efficiencies, and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may

share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of

the two commissions—the Human Rights Commission and the Commission on the

11 Status of Women—over matters under their respective jurisdictions.

12 Neither the Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission nor the Director of the

Department on the Status of Women shall receive additional compensation by virtue of

their role in the Agency.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

14

(f) The Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) is a separate legal entity established under state law and is not a department or agency of the City. Because the City has a legal obligation to provide funds to LAFCo, this ordinance includes an appropriation for that purpose. Although LAFCo is not part of the Board of Supervisors or subject to the Board's oversight and direction, this ordinance includes appropriations to LAFCo in the Board of Supervisors budget for administrative reasons related to the format of this ordinance. Any transfers of funds to LAFCo from other appropriations in the budget are prohibited without approval by a subsequent ordinance. City staff, including but not limited to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, may not perform work for LAFCo, except as authorized by a memorandum of understanding between the City

Administrative Provisions

1 and LAFCo, subject to any required approvals.

SECTION 15. Travel Reimbursement and Cell Phone Stipends.

The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable for travel for officers and employees, and for the presentation of such vouchers as the Controller shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to this Section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless funds have been appropriated or set aside for such expenses in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City, and failure on the part of the person involved to make such accounting shall be sufficient cause for the Controller to withhold from such persons pay check or checks in a sum equivalent to the amount to be accounted.

In consultation with the Human Resources Director, the Controller shall establish rules and parameters for the payment of monthly stipends to officers and employees who use their own cells phones to maintain continuous communication with their workplace, and who participate in a Citywide program that reduces costs of City-owned cell phones.

SECTION 15.1 State of California Travel Program.

To ensure cost effective rates and charges and reduce administrative burdens and costs associated with expense reimbursement for City business-related travel and field

expenses, the Controller's Office is authorized to implement rules and regulations required of departments that participate in the State of California's Statewide Travel Program, administered by the California Department of General Services, which provides access to state-negotiated rates with hotel, airline, and car rental providers in adherence with the state's competitive procurement solicitation and contract award rules and regulations. In compliance with rules and regulations established by the Controller, which may be updated from time to time, departments are permitted to participate in the State of California Travel Program as per the pre-established terms and conditions required by the State for local governments. The Controller's Accounting Policies and Procedures manual shall include the State of California Travel Program rules and regulations. This provision shall satisfy San Francisco Administrative Code approval, including Section 21.16 Use of Purchasing Agreements and Reciprocal Agreements with Other Public and Non-Profit Agencies, for travel and related services procured through the State of California Travel Program.

SECTION 16. Contributed Revenue Reserve and Audit and Adjustment Reserve.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish a Contributed Revenue and Adjustment Reserve to accumulate receipts in excess of those estimated revenues or unexpended appropriations stated herein. Said reserve is established for the purpose of funding the budget of the subsequent year, and the receipts in this reserve are hereby appropriated for said purpose. The Controller is authorized to maintain an Audit and Adjustment Reserve to offset audit adjustments and to balance expenditure accounts to conform to year-end balancing and year-end close requirements.

SECTION 17. Airport Service Payment.

2 The moneys received from the Airport's revenue fund as the Annual Service Payment

3 provided in the Airline-Airport Lease and Use Agreement are in satisfaction of all

obligations of the Airport Commission for indirect services provided by the City to the

Commission and San Francisco International Airport and constitute the total transfer to

the City's General Fund.

7

1

4

5

6

8 The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer to the City's General Fund

9 from the Airport revenue fund with the approval of the Airport Commission funds that

constitute the annual service payment provided in the Airline - Airport Lease and Use

Agreement in addition to the amount stated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

12

15

16

17

18

19

10

11

13 On the last business day of the fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport

14 Commission, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all moneys

remaining in the Airport's Contingency Account to the Airport's Revenue Fund. The

Controller is further authorized and directed to return such amounts as were transferred

from the Contingency Account, back to the Contingency Account from the Revenue

Fund Unappropriated Surplus on the first business day of the succeeding fiscal year,

unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission.

2021

23

24

SECTION 18. Pooled Cash, Investments.

22 The Treasurer and Controller are hereby authorized to transfer available fund balances

within pooled cash accounts to meet the cash management of the City, provided that

special and non-subsidized enterprise funds shall be credited interest earnings on any

25 funds temporarily borrowed therefrom at the rate of interest earned on the City Pooled

- 1 Cash Fund. No such cash transfers shall be allowed where the investment of said funds
- 2 in investments such as the pooled funds of the City is restricted by law.

- 4 SECTION 19. Matching Funds for Federal or State Programs.
- 5 Funds contributed to meet operating deficits or to provide matching funds for federal or
- 6 state aid (e.g. Medicaid under SB 855 or similar legislation for Zuckerberg San
- 7 Francisco General Hospital) are specifically deemed to be made exclusively from local
- 8 property and business tax sources.

9

- 10 SECTION 20. Advance Funding of Bond Projects City Departments.
- 11 Whenever the City has authorized appropriations for the advance funding of projects
- which may at a future time be funded from the proceeds of general obligation, revenue,
- or lease revenue bond issues or other legal obligations of the City, the Controller shall
- 14 recover from bond proceeds or other available sources, when they become available,
- 15 the amount of any interest earnings foregone by the General Fund as a result of such
- 16 cash advance to disbursements made pursuant to said appropriations. The Controller
- 17 shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on City Pooled Cash Fund
- during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the
- amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

20

- **SECTION 21. Advance Funding of Projects Transportation Authority.**
- 22 Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance
- 23 funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and
- 24 County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from
- 25 proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of the Business and

Tax Regulations Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The
Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they
become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the
City General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use
the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on General City Pooled Cash funds
during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the

SECTION 22. Controller to Make Adjustments, Correct Clerical Errors.

amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to adjust interdepartmental appropriations, make transfers to correct objects of expenditures classifications, and to correct clerical or computational errors as may be ascertained by the Controller to exist in this ordinance. The Controller shall file with the Clerk of the Board a list of such adjustments, transfers, and corrections made pursuant to this Section.

The Controller is hereby authorized to make the necessary transfers to correct objects of expenditure classifications, and corrections in classifications made necessary by changes in the proposed method of expenditure.

SECTION 22.1 Controller to Implement New Financial and Interfacing

21 Subsystems.

In order to further the implementation and adoption of the Financial and Procurement System's modules, the Controller shall have the authority to reclassify departments' appropriations to conform to the accounting and project costing structures established in the new system, as well as reclassify contract authority utilized (expended) balances

1 and unutilized (available) balances to reflect actual spending.

2

- 3 SECTION 23. Transfer of State Revenues.
- 4 The Controller is authorized to transfer revenues among City departments to comply
- 5 with provisions in the state budget.

6

- 7 SECTION 24. Use of Permit Revenues from the Department of Building
- 8 Inspection.
- 9 Permit revenue funds from the Department of Building Inspection that are transferred to
- 10 other departments as shown in this budget shall be used only to fund the planning,
- 11 regulatory, enforcement, and building design activities that have a demonstrated nexus
- with the projects that produce the fee revenues.

13

- 14 SECTION 25. Board of Supervisors Official Advertising Charges.
- 15 The Board of Supervisors is authorized to collect funds from enterprise departments to
- 16 place official advertising. The funds collected are automatically appropriated in the
- 17 budget of the Board of Supervisors as they are received.

- 19 **SECTION 26. Work Order Appropriations.**
- 20 The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to establish work orders pursuant to
- 21 Board-approved appropriations, including positions needed to perform work order
- 22 services, and corresponding recoveries for services that are fully cost covered, including
- but not limited to services provided by one City department to another City department,
- 24 as well as services provided by City departments to external agencies, including but not
- 25 limited to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island

- 1 Development Authority, the School District, and the Community College District.
- 2 Revenues for services from external agencies shall be appropriated by the Controller in
- 3 accordance with the terms and conditions established to perform the service.

5

SECTION 26.1 Property Tax System

- 6 In order to minimize new appropriations to the property tax system replacement project,
- 7 the Controller is authorized and directed to apply operational savings from the offices of
- 8 the Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller to the project. No later than June 1, 2018.
- 9 the Controller shall report to the Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office and Budget and
- 10 Finance Committee on the specific amount of operational savings, including details on
- 11 the source of such savings, in the budgets of Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller
- that are re-allocated to the Property Tax System Replacement Project.

13

14

SECTION 26.2 Assessment Appeals System

- 15 In order to minimize appropriations to the online assessment appeals application filing
- 16 system project, the Controller is authorized and directed to apply any operating
- 17 balances from the Assessment Appeals Board of the Board of Supervisors to the project
- 18 through Fiscal Year 2029-30.

19 20

SECTION 27. Revenue Reserves and Deferrals.

- 21 The Controller is authorized to establish fee reserve allocations for a given program to
- 22 the extent that the cost of service exceeds the revenue received in a given fiscal year.
- 23 including establishment of deferred revenue or reserve accounts. In order to maintain
- 24 balance between budgeted revenues and expenditures, revenues realized in the fiscal
- 25 year preceding the year in which they are appropriated shall be considered reserved for

1 the purposes for which they are appropriated.

2

3

SECTION 28. Close-Out of Reserved Appropriations.

- 4 On an annual basis, the Controller shall report the status of all reserves, their remaining
- 5 balances, and departments' explanations of why funding has not been requested for
- 6 release. Continuation of reserves will be subject to consideration and action by the
- 7 Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee. The
- 8 Controller shall close out reserved appropriations that are no longer required by the
- 9 department for the purposes for which they were appropriated.

10

11 SECTION 28.1. Reserves Placed on Expenditures by Controller.

- 12 Consistent with Charter Section 3.105(d), the Controller is authorized to reserve
- 13 expenditures in the City's budget equal to uncertain revenues, as deemed appropriate
- by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to remove, transfer, and update reserves
- to expenditures in the budget as revenue estimates are updated and received in order
- 16 to maintain City operations.

17

18 SECTION 29. Appropriation Control of Capital Improvement Projects and

19 Equipment.

- 20 Unless otherwise exempted in another section of the Administrative Code or Annual
- 21 Appropriation Ordinance, and in accordance with Administrative Code Section 3.18,
- 22 departments may transfer funds from one Board-approved capital project to another
- 23 Board-approved capital project. The Controller shall approve transfers only if they do
- 24 not materially change the size or scope of the original project. Annually, the Controller
- shall report to the Board of Supervisors on transfers of funds that exceed 10% of the

1 original appropriation to which the transfer is made.

2

- 3 The Controller is authorized to approve substitutions within equipment items purchased
- 4 to equip capital facilities providing that the total cost is within the Board-approved capital
- 5 project appropriation.

6

- 7 The Controller is authorized to transfer approved appropriations between departments
- 8 to correctly account for capitalization of fixed assets.

9

- 10 The Controller is authorized to shift sources among cash and Certificate of Participation
- 11 (COP)-funded capital projects across General Fund departments to ensure the most
- 12 efficient and cost-effective administration of COP funds, provided there is no net
- 13 increase or decrease in project budgets.

14

- 15 The Controller is hereby authorized to revise COP debt service appropriations within
- 16 General Fund supported COP debt service funds for authorized but unissued debt, in
- 17 order to make final debt service payments due upon issuance of authorized debt. Such
- 18 revisions shall not increase or decrease approved expenditure authority and shall only
- be done for the purpose of reducing interest costs in future years. Such revisions shall
- 20 only be made if the Controller determines it is financially advantageous to do so.

21

22

SECTION 30. Business Improvement Districts.

- 23 Proceeds from all special assessments levied on real property included in the property-
- 24 based business improvement districts in the City are hereby appropriated in the
- 25 respective amounts actually received by the City in such fiscal year for each such

1 district.

2

The Controller is authorized to disburse the assessment revenues appropriated by this section to the respective Owners' Associations (as defined in Section 36614.5 of the Streets and Highways Code) for such districts as provided in the management district plans, resolutions establishing the districts, annual budgets, and management agreements, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each such district, for the purposes authorized therein. The Tourism Improvement District and Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District assessments are levied on gross hotel room

11

10

12 SECTION 31. Infrastructure Financing, Infrastructure Revitalization and

revenue and are collected and distributed by the Tax Collector's Office.

- 13 Financing, and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts.
- 14 Within the City, the Board of Supervisors has formed certain voluntary tax increment
- 15 financing districts under state legislation:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the
 Board of Supervisors formed Infrastructure Financing Districts (IFD)
- Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53369 et seq. (IRFD Law), the Board of Supervisors formed Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing Districts (IRFD) within the City.
 - Pursuant to California Government Code Section 55398.50 et seq. (EIFD Law), the Board of Supervisors and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1 formed an Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD).

The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Controller to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to City and County of San Francisco IFDs, IRFDs, and EIFDs to serve accounting and state requirements, the latest approved Infrastructure Financing Plan for a District, and applicable bond covenants. When 100% of the portion of property tax increment normally appropriated to the City's General Fund or Special Revenue Fund or to the County's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) is instead pledged, based on Board of Supervisors Ordinance or Resolution approving the Infrastructure Financing Plan, the Controller may increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the IFD. IRFD, or EIFD. Any increases to appropriations would be consistent with the Financing

IFD/IRFD/EIFD No / Title	Ordinance/ Resolution	Estimated Tax Increment *			
		FY 2025-26		FY 2026-27	
IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District					
Subproject Area Pier 70 G-1 Historic Core	27-16	\$	811,000	\$	827,000
IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District					
Subproject Areas Pier 70 G-2, G-3, and G-4	220-18	\$	7,975,000	\$	8,134,000
IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District					
Subproject Area I (Mission Rock)	34-18	\$	11,035,000	\$	13,470,000
IRFD 1 Treasure Island Infrastructure and					
Revitalization Financing District	21-17	\$	13,538,000	\$	15,947,000
IRFD 2 Hoedown Yard Infrastructure and					
Revitalization Financing District	348-18	\$	1,867,000	\$	2,748,000
EIFD 1 Power Station Enhanced Infrastructure and					
Financing District**	113-24	\$	-	\$	197,000

^{*}Estimated tax increment per approved Infrastructure Financing Plans.

Plan previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

^{**}Increment allocated beginning FY2026-27.

1 SECTION 32. Community Facilities and Special Tax Districts.

2 Pursuant to California Government Code 53311 et seg. (Mello-Roos Community

3 Facilities Act of 1982) and Chapter 43, Article X of the San Francisco Administrative

4 Code, which incorporates the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982, the Board

5 of Supervisors formed Community Facilities Districts (CFDs) and Special Tax Districts

(STDs) within the City. Proceeds from special taxes levied on property in the

CFDs/STDs are hereby appropriated in the respective amounts actually received by the

8 City in such fiscal year for each such district.

9

10

12

13

6

7

The Controller is authorized to disburse the special tax revenues appropriated by this

11 section as provided in the Joint Community Facilities Agreements, Development

Agreements, Disposition and Development Agreements, Resolutions of Formation, and

Ordinances levying special taxes, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each

14 such district for the purposes authorized therein.

15

16

17

18

19

20

The Controller may transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within

accounts related to CFDs and STDs to serve accounting requirements, pay authorized

expenditures described in the Board of Supervisors approved Resolution of Formation

for each district (as approved in the referenced Ordinances), and comply with applicable

bond covenants.

21

22

23

24

The table below provides estimated special tax revenues for informational purposes;

only amounts actually received by the City and County of San Francisco for each district

in any given fiscal year are authorized to be expended.

1	

			Projected Special Tax Levy*		
2	District	Ordinance	(FY 2025-26)	(FY 2026-27)	
_	STD No. 2009-1 - Improvement Area No. 1	16-10	\$108,735	\$114,038	
	STD No. 2009-1 - Improvement Area No. 2	16-10	\$167,903	\$167,903	
	CFD No. 2014-1 (Transbay) (1)	001-15	\$34,033,224	\$34,713,889	
/1	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 1 (2)(3)	022-17	\$3,747,554	\$3,822,505	
	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 2 (2)(3)	022-17	\$3, 202, 140	\$3,266,183	
_	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 3 (2)(3)(4)	022-17	\$1,319,405	\$1,345,793	
5	STD No. 2018-1 (Central SoMa)	021-19	-	-	
	STD No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condos) (5)	027-20			
6	Facilities Special Tax		\$1,228,621	\$1,253,193	
	Arts Building Special Tax		-	-	
7	Services Special Tax		-	-	
	STD No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased) (6)	028-20			
8	Facilities Special Tax		\$584,803	\$596,499	
Ū	Arts Building Special Tax		-	-	
9	Shoreline Special Tax		-	-	
_	Services Special Tax		-	-	
10	STD No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock) (7)	079-20			
10	Development Special Tax (8)		\$7,077,075	\$7,218,616	
4.4	Office Special Tax		\$1,310,741	\$1,336,955	
11	Shoreline Special Tax		\$1,242,473	\$1,267,322	
	Contingent Services Special Tax				
12	STD No. 2022-1 (Power Station)	061-22	-	-	

*Source:Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. Prelim inary; subject to change.

- (1) Special tax projection are subject to change if additional properties issue a COO as of the fiscal year cut-off date.
- 14 (2) Based on building permits issued as of May 5, 2025. Actual special tax levy may be lower.
 - (3) Special tax projections are subject to change if additional parcels issue building permits prior to the June 30th cut-off date.
- 15 (4) Special tax estim ates based on Attachment 2 of the RMA.
 - (5) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. PKN is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date, no property has issued a COO. Special tax estimates based on Attachment 3 of the RMA.
- 16

 (6) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. Building 12 is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date and issued a COO.
- 17 (7) Based on Parcel Lease Execution Dates as of April 5, 2024. Parcel G was Developed as of FY 2022-23 and the rem aining Tax Zone 1 parcels are Developed as of FY 2023-24.
- 18 (8) Reflects the maximum special tax on Developed Property. Does not reflect the tax increment offset of Assessed Parcels.

19

20

SECTION 32.1. Exclusion of Projected Unassigned Fund Balance from Budget

21 Stabilization Reserve.

- 22 One hundred thirty-nine million, nine hundred sixty thousand and seven hundred
- 23 fourteen dollars (\$139,960,714) of projected but unbudgeted, unassigned fund balance
- 24 from fiscal year 2024-26 is designated for balancing future budget shortfalls in FY 2027-
- 25 28 and after. This amount shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the

1 Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

SECTION 32.2. Federal and State Emergency Revenue Revisions.

The Controller is authorized to revise approved revenue budgets for federal and state emergency-related revenues to manage timing differences and cash flow needs driven by changing granting agencies' guidance and approvals, provided that such adjustments shall not change cumulative total revenue budgets in a given fund for the period from fiscal years 2020-21 through 2025-26. Such revisions shall not change approved expenditure authority. The Controller shall report any such revisions to the Mayor and Board within 30 days of their enactment.

SECTION 32.3. Federal and State Revenue Risk Reserve.

Administrative Provision 32 of the fiscal year 2021-22 budget designated fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 to the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to reimbursement disallowances from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other state and federal agencies. Administrative Provision 32.1 of the fiscal year 2021-22 budget designated fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. The fiscal year 2024-25 ending balances of these reserves are hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to changes in federal funding. In addition, all revenue escheated to the General Fund from City Option Medical Reimbursement

25

1 Accounts in fiscal year 2025-26 is hereby appropriated for deposits to this reserve. 2 These revenues shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget 3 Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c). 4 5 SECTION 33. Federal, State and Local Match Sources & Uses Accounting for 6 COVID-19 Emergency. 7 The Controller is authorized to adjust federal and state sources appropriations to reflect 8 eligible costs by authorized spending category, to ensure cost reimbursement recovery 9 revenues are maximized, and to align eligible costs to the appropriate federal or state 10 fund, provided there is no net increase or decrease to COVID-19 emergency response 11 revenues or expenditures. Adjustments may be made across fiscal years. 12 13 **SECTION 34. Transbay Joint Powers Authority Financing.** 14 Sources received for purposes of payment of debt service for the approved and issued 15 Transbay Community Facilities District special tax bonds and the approved and drawn 16 City bridge loan to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are hereby appropriated. 17 18 SECTION 35. Police Department and Sheriff's Department Overtime Reporting. 19 The Police Department and Sheriff's Department shall provide quarterly reports of 20 overtime spending to the Board of Supervisors, including the types of activities 21 performed on overtime. 22 23

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney	
By:/s/	_

Deputy City Attorney

BRADLEY A. RUSSI

SECTION 3. General Authority.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

SECTION 3.1 Two-Year Budget.

For departments for which the Board of Supervisors has authorized, or the Charter requires, a fixed two-year budget, appropriations in this ordinance shall be available for allotment by the Controller on July 1st of the fiscal year in which appropriations have been approved. The Controller is authorized to adjust the two-year budget to reflect transfers and substitutions consistent with City's policies and restrictions for such transfers. The Controller is further authorized to make adjustments to the second year budgets consistent with Citywide estimates for salaries, fringe benefits, and work orders.

SECTION 4. Interim Budget Provisions.

All funds for equipment and new capital improvements shall be held in reserve until final enactment of the budget. No new equipment or capital improvements shall be authorized during the interim period other than equipment or capital improvements that, in the discretion of the Controller, is reasonably required for the continued operation of existing programs or projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Authorization for the purchase of such equipment may be approved by the Board of

4 During the period of the interim Annual Appropriation Ordinance and interim Annual

5 Salary Ordinance, no transfer of funds within a department shall be permitted without

approval of the Controller, Mayor's Budget Director and the Chair of the Budget and

Finance Committee.

When the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee reserves selected expenditure items pending receipt of additional information from departments, upon receipt of the required information to the satisfaction of that committee, the Controller may release the previously reserved funds with no further

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee recommends a budget that increases funding that was deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller shall have the authority to continue to pay these expenses until final enactment of the budget.

SECTION 4.1 Interim Budget – Positions.

action required by the Board of Supervisors.

No new position may be filled in the interim period with the exception of those positions which in the discretion of the Controller are critical for the operation of existing programs or for projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or are required for emergency operations or where such positions would result in a net increase in revenues or where such positions are required to comply with law. New positions shall be defined as those positions that are enumerated in the Mayor's budget for the current

5

6

7

8

2 fiscal year but were not enumerated in the appropriation and salary ordinances for the

3 prior fiscal year, as amended, through June 30 of the prior fiscal year. In the event the

Mayor has approved the reclassification of a position in the department's budget for the

current fiscal year, the Controller shall process a temporary or "tx" requisition at the

request of the department and subject to approval of the Human Resources Director.

Such action will allow for the continued employment of the incumbent in their former

position pending action on the proposed reclassifications.

9

10

11

12

13

14

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the

Board of Supervisors recommends a budget that reinstates positions that were deleted

in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller and the Human Resources Director shall have the

authority to continue to employ and pay the salaries of the reinstated positions until final

enactment of the budget.

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

SECTION 5. Transfers of Functions and Duties.

17 Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any

other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from

one department to another, the Controller is authorized and directed to make the related

transfer of funds, provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are

herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of

departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be

made in excess of the actual cost of such service.

24

25

Where a duty or performance has been transferred from one department to another or departmental reorganization is effected as provided in the Charter, in addition to any

SECTION 5.1 Agencies Organized uUnder One Department.

Where one or more offices or agencies are organized under a single appointing officer or department head, the component units may continue to be shown as separate agencies for budgeting and accounting purposes to facilitate reporting. However, the entity shall be considered a single department for purposes of employee assignment and seniority, position transfers, and transfers of monies among funds within the department, and reappropriation of funds.

reflect said reorganization, transfer of duty or performance between departments.

SECTION 5.2 Continuing Funds Appropriated.

In addition to the amount provided from taxes, the Controller shall make available for expenditure the amount of actual receipts from special funds whose receipts are continuously appropriated as provided in the Municipal Codes.

- 2 In connection with money received in one fiscal year for departmental services to be
- 3 performed in a subsequent year, the Controller is authorized to establish an account for
- 4 -depositing revenues that are applicable to the ensuing fiscal year, ssaid revenue shall
- 5 be carried forward and become a part of the funds available for appropriation in said
- 6 ensuing fiscal year.

- 8 **SECTION 5.4 Contracting Funds.**
- 9 All money received in connection with contracts under which a portion of the moneys
- 10 received is to be paid to the contractors and the remainder of the moneys received
- 11 inures to the City shall be deposited in the Treasury.

12

- 13 (a) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contract inures to
- 14 the City shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriate fund.

15

- 16 (b) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contracts is to be
- 17 paid to the contractor shall be deposited in special accounts and is hereby appropriated
- 18 for said purposes.

19

- 20 SECTION 5.5 Real Estate Services.
- 21 Rents received from properties acquired or held in trust for specific purposes are hereby
- 22 appropriated to the extent necessary for maintenance of said properties, including
- 23 services of the General Services Agency.

24

25 Moneys received from lessees, tenants or operators of City-owned property for the specific purpose of real estate services relative to such leases or operating agreements are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to provide such services.

SECTION 5.6 Collection Services.

In any contracts for the collection of unpaid bills for services rendered to clients, patients, or both by the Department of Public Health in which said unpaid bills have not become delinquent pursuant to Article V of Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the various divisions and institutions of the Department of Public Health to record such recoveries. Any percentage of the amounts, not to exceed 25 percent, recovered from such unpaid bills by a contractor is hereby appropriated to pay the costs of said contract. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contract payments relating to such unpaid bills.

SECTION 5.7 Contract Amounts Based on Savings.

When the terms of a contract provide for payment amounts to be determined by a percentage of cost savings or previously unrecognized revenues, such amounts as are actually realized from either said cost savings or unrecognized revenues are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to pay contract amounts due. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record such transactions.

SECTION 6. Bond Interest and Redemption.

In the event that estimated receipts from other than utility revenues, but including amounts from ad-valorem taxes, shall exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption, said excess shall be transferred to a General Bond Interest and 1 Redemption Reserve account. The Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve is hereby

appropriated to meet debt service requirements including printing of bonds, cost of bond

3

4

5

2

rating services, funds due to the Internal Revenue Service, and the legal opinions

approving the validity of bonds authorized to be sold not otherwise provided for herein.

6

7

9

Issuance, legal, and financial advisory service costs, including the reimbursement of

8 departmental services in connection therewith, for debt instruments issued by the City,

to the extent approved by the Board of Supervisors in authorizing the debt, may be paid

from the proceeds of such debt and are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

To the extent bond rating fees are incurred and payable prior to the issuance of Board

of Supervisors authorized Certificates of Participation due to unexpected changes in

market conditions causing a delay in issuance, such fees may be paid from funds

appropriated for annual Certificates of Participation debt service that exceed the actual

requirements for bond interest and redemption.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECTION 7. Allotment Controls.

Since several items of expenditures herein appropriated are based on estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, of such duration as the Controller may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates periodically. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage, the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all

1 cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied

for any department the amount of appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall

not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for such department.

The Controller, in issuing payments or in certifying contracts, purchase orders, or other encumbrances pursuant to Section 3.105 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of allotment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred, and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by transfers or supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 9.105 of the Charter.

SECTION 7.1 Prior Year Encumbrances.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish reserves for the purpose of providing funds for adjustments in connection with liquidation of encumbrances and other obligations of prior years.

SECTION 7.2 Equipment Purchases.

Funds for the purchase of items of equipment having a significant value of over \$20,000 and a useful life of three years and over shall only be purchased from appropriations specifically provided for equipment or lease-purchased equipment, including equipment from capital projects. Departments may purchase additional or replacement equipment from previous equipment or lease-purchase appropriations, or from citywide equipment and other non-salary appropriations, with approval of the Mayor's Office and the Controller.

Where appropriations are made herein for the purpose of replacing automotive and other equipment, the equipment replaced shall be surrendered to the General Services Agency and shall be withdrawn from service on or before delivery to departments of the new automotive equipment. When the replaced equipment is sold, in lieu of being traded-in, the proceeds shall be deposited to a revenue account of the related fund. Provided, however, that so much of said proceeds as may be required to affect the purchase of the new equipment is hereby appropriated for the purpose. Funds herein appropriated for automotive equipment shall not be used to buy a replacement of any automobile superior in class to the one being replaced unless it has been specifically authorized by original appropriation ordinance.

Appropriations of for equipment from current funds shall be construed to be annual appropriations and unencumbered balances shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year.

SECTION 7.3 Enterprise Deficits.

6 Funds appropriated herein to meet estimated enterprise deficits shall be made available

to each such enterprise only to the extent that an actual deficit shall exist and not to exceed the amount herein provided. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year unless otherwise appropriated by ordinance.

SECTION 7.4 Public Utilities Commission Debt Service.

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission shall, in coordination with the Controller's Office, record and report the use of debt service appropriations in their respective debt service funds consistent with the Schedule of Bond Redemption and Interest Statement included herein and as required pursuant to Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) accounting rules, requirements and practices. The Controller is hereby authorized to make all associated net-zero appropriation transfers to ensure compliant financial reporting.

SECTION 8. Expenditure Estimates.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of the department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller.

2 the ensuing period.

3

4

SECTION 8.1 State and Federal Funds.

- 5 The Controller is authorized to increase Ffederal and Sstate funds that may be claimed
- 6 due to new General Fund expenditures appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The
- 7 Human Resources Director is authorized to add civil service positions required to
- 8 implement the programs authorized by these funds. The Controller and the Human
- 9 Resources Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors any actions taken under this
- 10 authorization before the Board acts on the Annual Appropriation and Annual Salary
- 11 Ordinances.

12

13

SECTION 8.2 State and Federal Funding Restorations.

- 14 If additional Sstate or Ffederal funds are allocated to the City to backfill Sstate or federal
- 15 reductions, the Controller shall backfill any funds appropriated to any program to the
- 16 General Reserve.

17

18

SECTION 8.3 Process for Addressing General Fund Revenue Shortfalls

- 19 Upon receiving Controller estimates of revenue shortfalls that exceed the value of the
- 20 General Reserve and any other allowances for revenue shortfalls in the adopted City
- 21 budget, the Mayor shall inform the Board of Supervisors of actions to address this
- 22 shortfall. The Board of Supervisors may adopt an ordinance to reflect the Mayor's
- 23 proposal or alternative proposals in order to balance the budget.

24

25

SECTION 9. Interdepartmental Services.

1 The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in

making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of

Section 3.105 of the Charter, and to provide for the establishment of interdepartmental

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2

3

5 reserves which may be required to pay for future obligations which result from current

performances. Whenever, in the judgment of the Controller, the amounts which have

been set aside for such purposes are no longer required or are in excess of the amount

which is then currently estimated to be required, the Controller shall transfer the amount

no longer required to the fund balance of the particular fund of which the reserve is a

part. Provided further that no expenditure shall be made for personnel services, rent,

equipment, and or capital outlay purposes from any interdepartmental reserve or work

order fund without specific appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

13

14

15

16

The amount detailed in departmental budgets for services of other City departments

cannot be transferred to other spending categories without prior agreement from both

the requesting and performing departments.

17

18

19

20

21

The Controller, pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 3.105, shall review and

may adjust charges or fees for services that may be authorized by the Board of

Supervisors for the administration of the Technology Marketplace. Such fees are hereby

appropriated for that purpose.

22

23

SECTION 10. Positions in the City Service.

24 Department heads shall not make appointments to any office or position until the

25 Controller shall certify that funds are available.

1 Funds provided herein for salaries or wages may, with the approval of the Controller, be

used to provide for temporary employment when it becomes necessary to replace the

occupant of a position while on extended leave without pay, or for the temporary filling

4

5

6

8

9

2

3

of a vacancy in a budgeted position. The Controller is authorized to approve the use of

existing salary appropriations within departments to fund permanent appointments of up

7 to six months to backfill anticipated vacancies to ensure implementation of successful

succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission critical knowledge. The

Controller shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every six months

enumerating permanent positions created under this authority.

11

12

13

10

Appointments to seasonal or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which

the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

14

The Controller shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in any position.

16

17

19

21

15

SECTION 10.1 Positions, Funds, and Transfers for Specific Purposes.

18 Funds for personnel services may be transferred from any legally available source on

the recommendation of the department head and approval by the City Administrator,

20 Board, or Commission, for departments under their respective jurisdiction, and on

authorization of the Controller with the prior approval of the Human Resources Director

22 for:

2324

25

(a) Lump sum payments to officers, employees, police officers and fire fighters other

than elective officers and members of boards and commissions upon death or

retirement or separation caused by industrial accident for accumulated sick leave

benefits in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules.

personnel service appropriations.

2 (b) Payment of the supervisory differential adjustment, out of class pay, or other
3 negotiated premium to employees who qualify for such adjustment provided that the
4 transfer of funds must be made from funds currently available in departmental

7 (c) Payment of any legal salary or fringe benefit obligations of the City, including 8 amounts required to fund arbitration awards.

10 (d) The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust salary appropriations for positions
11 administratively reclassified or temporarily exchanged by the Human Resources
12 Director provided that the reclassified position and the former position are in the same
13 functional area.

(e) Positions may be substituted or exchanged between the various salary appropriations or position classifications when approved by the Human Resources Director as long as said transfers do not increase total departmental personnel service appropriations.

(f) The Controller is hereby authorized and directed upon the request of a department head and the approval by the Mayor's Office to transfer from any legally available funds amounts needed to fund legally mandated salaries, fringe benefits, and other costs of City employees. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose set forth herein.

(g) The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer any legally available funds to adjust salary and fringe benefit appropriations as required under reclassifications

2 -recommended by the Human Resources Director and approved by the Board of

3 Supervisors in implementing the Management Compensation and Classification Plan.

4

Amounts transferred shall not exceed the actual amount required including the cost to 5

6 the City of mandatory fringe benefits.

departments' salary account.

7

8

9

Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 4850.4, the Controller is authorized to (h) make advance payments from departments' salary accounts to employees participating 10 in CalPERS who apply for disability retirement. Repayment of these advanced disability retirement payments from CalPERS and from employees are hereby appropriated to the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

12

(i) For purposes of defining terms in Administrative Code Section 3.18, the Controller is authorized to process transfers where such transfers are required to administer the budget through the following certification process: In cases where expenditures are reduced at the level of appropriation control during the Board of Supervisors phase of the budget process, the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, on recommendation of the Controller, may certify that such a reduction does not reflect a deliberate policy reduction adopted by the Board. The Mayor's Budget Director may similarly provide such a certification regarding reductions during

23

24

SECTION 10.2 Professional Services Contracts.

the Mayor's phase of the budget process.

25 Funds appropriated for professional service contracts may be transferred to the account for salaries on the recommendation of the department head for the specific purpose of

- 1 using City personnel in lieu of private contractors with the approval of the Human
- 2 Resources Director and the Mayor and the certification by the Controller that such
- 3 transfer of funds would not increase the cost of government.

- 5 **SECTION 10.3 Surety Bond Fund Administration.**
- 6 The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate funds from capital project appropriations
- 7 to the San Francisco Self-Insurance Surety Bond Fund, as governed by Administrative
- 8 Code Section 10.100-317 and in accordance with amounts determined pursuant to
- 9 Administrative Code Section 14B.16.

10

- 11 SECTION 10.4 Salary Adjustments, Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).
- 12 The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Salary and Benefits
- 13 Reserve, or any legally available funds, amounts necessary to adjust appropriations for
- 14 salaries and related mandatory fringe benefits of employees whose compensation is
- pursuant to Charter Sections A8.403 (Registered Nurses), A8.404 (Transit Operators),
- 16 A8.409 (Miscellaneous Employees), A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-5 (Police and
- 17 Firefighters), revisions to Sstate Lawlaw, and/or collective bargaining agreements
- 18 adopted pursuant to the Charter or arbitration award. The Controller and Human
- 19 Resources Director are further authorized and directed to adjust the rates of
- 20 compensation to reflect current pay rates for any positions affected by the foregoing
- 21 provisions.

- 23 Adjustments made pursuant to this section shall reflect only the percentage increase
- 24 required to adjust appropriations to reflect revised salary and other pay requirements
- above the funding level established in the base and adopted budget of the respective
 - departments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from reserves or any legally available funds amounts necessary to provide costs of non-salary benefits in ratified Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration awards or Board of Supervisors approved employee and retiree health and dental rates. The Controller's Office shall report to the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee on the status of the Salary and Benefits Reserve, including amounts transferred to individual City departments and remaining Reserve balances, as part of the Controller's Six and Nine Month Budget Status Reports.

SECTION 10.5 MOUs to be Reflected in Department Budgets.

Should the City adopt an MOU with a recognized employee bargaining organization during the fiscal year which has fiscal effects, the Controller is authorized and directed to reflect the budgetary impact of said MOU in departmental appropriations by transferring amounts to or from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or, for self-supporting or restricted funds, to or from the respective unappropriated fund balance account. All amounts transferred pursuant to this section are hereby appropriated for the that purpose.

SECTION 10.6 Funding Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has ratified by ordinance or resolution Memoranda of Understanding with recognized employee organizations or an arbitration award has become effective, and said memoranda or award contains provisions requiring the expenditure of funds, the Controller, on the recommendation of the Human Resources Director, shall reserve sufficient funds to comply with such provisions and such funds are hereby appropriated for such purposes. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such transfers from funds hereby reserved or legally available as may be required to

1 make funds available to departments to carry out the purposes required by the

Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration award.

SECTION 10.7 Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustments.

Appropriations herein made for fringe benefits may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect revised amounts required to support adopted or required contribution rates. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to transfer between departmental appropriations and the General Reserve or other unappropriated balance of funds any amounts resulting from adopted or required contribution rates and such amounts are

10 hereby appropriated to said accounts.

When the Controller determines that prepayment of the employer share of pension contributions is likely to be fiscally advantageous, the Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations and transfers in order to make and reconcile such prepayments.

SECTION 10.8 Police Department Uniformed Positions.

Positions in the Police Department for each of the various ranks that are filled based on the educational attainment of individual officers may be filled interchangeably at any level within the rank (e.g., Patrol Officer Q2, Q3 or Q4, Sergeant Q50, Q51, Q52). The Controller and Human Resources Director are hereby authorized to adjust payrolls, salary ordinances, and other documents, where necessary, to reflect the current status of individual employees; provided however, that nothing in this section shall authorize an increase in the total number of positions allocated to any one rank or to the Police Department.

- 2 Whenever the Mayor formally declares that any day is a holiday for City employees
- 3 under the terms of a Memorandum of Understanding, the Controller, with the approval
- 4 of the Mayor's Office, is hereby authorized to make such transfer of funds not to exceed
- 5 the actual cost of said holiday from any legally available funds.

7

SECTION 10.10 Litigation Reserve, Payments.

- 8 The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Reserve for Litigation
- 9 Account for General Fund supported departments or from any other legally available
- 10 funds for other funds, amounts required to make payments required to settle litigation
- against the City that has been recommended by the City Attorney and approved by the
- 12 Board of Supervisors in the manner provided in the Charter. Such funds are hereby
- appropriated for the purposes set forth herein.

14

15

- Amounts required to pay settlements of claims or litigation involving the Public Utilities
- 16 Commission are hereby appropriated from the Public Utilities Commission Wastewater
- 17 Enterprise fund balance or the Public Utilities Commission Water Enterprise fund
- 18 balance, as appropriate, for the purpose of paying such settlements following final
- 19 approval of those settlements by resolution or ordinance.

20

21

SECTION 10.11 Changes in Health Services Eligibility.

- 22 Should the Board of Supervisors amend Administrative Code Section 16.700 to change
- 23 the eligibility in the City's Health Service System, the Controller is authorized and
- 24 directed to transfer from any legally available funds or the Salary and Fringe Reserve
- 25 for the amount necessary to provide health benefit coverage not already reflected in the

1 -departmental budgets.

agree to terminate the Agreements.

Section 10.12 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

Resolutions 85-19 and 86-19 authorized the Department of Human Resources to enter
Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Labor-Management
Agreements with the San Francisco Firefighters' Association, Local 798, and San
Francisco Police Officers Association, respectively. These Agreements require the City
to allocate an amount equal to 50% of the ADR program estimated net savings, as
determined by actuarial report, for the benefit of active employees. The Controller is
authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds the amount

SECTION 11. Funds Received for Special Purposes, Trust Funds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing special and trust funds, revolving funds, and reserves. and tThe receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

necessary to make the required allocations. This provision will terminate if the parties

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional special and trust funds and reserves as may be created either by additional grants and bequests or under other conditions, and the receipts in each fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law for the purposes and subject to the conditions under which each such fund was is established.

SECTION 11.1 Special and Trust Funds Appropriated; Approval of Certain Grant

2 Agreements under Charter Section 9.118

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive for a special purpose from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall establish a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the special purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held., which sSaid account or fund shall be maintained by the Controller as long as any portion of said moneys or property remains.

Recurring grant funds which are detailed in departmental budget submissions and approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors in the annual budget shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Administrative Code Section 10.170 for the approval to apply for, receive, and expend said funds and shall be construed to be funds received for a specific purpose as set forth in this section. Where the amount of a recurring grant that is detailed in a departmental budget submission exceeds \$1 million or the duration exceeds ten years, the grant agreement shall be deemed approved by the Board of Supervisors under Charter Section 9.118. Positions specifically approved by granting agencies in said grant awards may be filled as though said positions were included in the annual budget and Annual Salary Ordinance, provided however that the tenure of such positions shall be contingent on the continued receipt of said grant funds. Individual grants may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect actual awards made if granting agencies increase or decrease the grant award amounts estimated in budget submissions.

- 1 The expenditures necessary from said funds or said accounts as created herein, in
- 2 order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys or orders have been received or
- 3 for which said accounts are being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and
- 4 said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions
- 5 under which said moneys or orders have been received by the City, and in accordance
- 6 with the conditions under which said funds are maintained.

- 8 The Controller is authorized to adjust transfers to the San Francisco Capital Planning
- 9 Fund, established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-286, to account for final
- 10 capital project planning expenditures reimbursed from approved sale of bonds and other
- 11 long term financing instruments.

12

- 13 **SECTION 11.2 Insurance Recoveries.**
- 14 Any moneys received by the City pursuant to the terms and conditions of any insurance
- policy are hereby appropriated and made available to the general city City or specific
- 16 departments for associated costs or claims.

17

- 18 **SECTION 11.3 Bond Premiums.**
- 19 Premiums received from the sale of bonds are hereby appropriated for bond interest
- and redemption purposes of the issue upon which it was received.

21

- 22 **SECTION 11.4 Ballot Arguments.**
- 23 Receipts in and expenditures for payment for the printing of ballot arguments, are
- 24 hereby appropriated.

SECTION 11.5 Tenant Overtime.

2 Whenever employees of departments are required to work overtime on account of

3 services required by renters, lessees, or tenants of City-owned or occupied properties,

4 or recipients of services from City departments, the cost of such overtime employment

shall be collected by the departments from the requesters of said services and shall be

deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of departmental appropriations. All moneys

deposited therein are hereby appropriated for such purpose.

SECTION 11.6 Refunds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the Treasury in excess of amounts due, and the receipts and expenditures from each are hereby appropriated in accordance with law. Whereby <code>Ss</code>tate statute, local ordinance, or court order, interest is payable on amounts to be refunded, in the absence of appropriation therefore, such interest is herewith appropriated from the unappropriated interest fund or interest earnings of the fund involved. The Controller is authorized, and funds are hereby appropriated, to refund overpayments and any mandated interest or penalties from <code>Ss</code>tate, <code>Ff</code>ederal, <code>and_or</code> local agencies when audits or other financial analyses determine that the City has received payments in excess of amounts due.

SECTION 11.7 Arbitrage.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund excess interest earnings on bond proceeds (arbitrage) when such amounts have been determined to be due and payable under applicable Internal Revenue Service regulations. Such arbitrage refunds shall be charged in the various bond funds in which the arbitrage earnings were

1 recorded and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

2

- 3 If bond indentures or fiscal agent agreements require interest earnings to be used to
- 4 offset annual lease financing payments, the Controller is authorized to make payments
- 5 to the IRS from annual budget appropriations for lease payments based on expected
- 6 savings amounts.

7

8

- SECTION 11.8 Damage Recoveries and Restitution.
- 9 Moneys received as payment for damage to City-owned property and equipment are
- 10 hereby appropriated to the department concerned to pay the cost of repairing such
- 11 equipment or property. Moneys received as payment for liquidated damages in a City-
- 12 funded project are appropriated to the department incurring costs of repairing or abating
- 13 the damages. Any excess funds, and any amount received for damaged property or
- 14 equipment which is not to be repaired shall be credited to a related fund.

15

- 16 Moneys received as restitution in a criminal proceeding to reimburse the City for losses
- 17 caused by an employee or third party are appropriated to the departments that incurred
- 18 the losses.

19

20

- SECTION 11.9 Purchasing Damage Recoveries.
- 21 That portion of funds received pursuant to the provisions of Administrative Code Section
- 22 21.33 failure to deliver article contracted for as may be needed to affect the required
- 23 procurement are hereby appropriated for that purpose and the balance, if any, shall be
- 24 credited to the related fund.

2 Whenever the Board of Supervisors has authorized the execution of agreements with 3 corporations for the construction of off-street parking and other facilities under which the 4 City guarantees the payment of the corporations' debt service or other payments for 5 operation of the facility, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to reserve from 6 parking meter or other designated revenues sufficient funds to provide for such 7 guarantees. The Controller is hereby authorized to make payments as previously 8 guaranteed to the extent necessary and the reserves approved in each Annual 9 Appropriation Ordinance are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller shall 10 notify the Board of Supervisors annually of any payments made pursuant to this

12

13

11

Section.

SECTION 11.11 Hotel Tax – Special Situations.

- The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make such interfund transfers or other adjustments as may be necessary to conform budget allocations to the requirements of the agreements and indentures of the 1994 Lease Revenue and/or San
- 17 Francisco Redevelopment Agency Hotel Tax Revenue Bond issues.

18

19

SECTION 11.12 Local Transportation Agency Fund.

20 Local transportation funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to the Government Code.

21

22

SECTION 11.13 Insurance.

- 23 The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer to the Risk Manager any amounts
- 24 indicated in the budget estimate and appropriated hereby for the purchase of insurance
- or the payment of insurance premiums.

2 Support Services, Homelessness and Supportive Housing, and Children, Youth

3 and their Families

The Department of Disability and Aging Services and the Department of Child Support Services are authorized to receive and expend available federal and state contributions and grant awards for their target populations. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make the appropriate entries to reflect the receipt and expenditure of said grant award funds and contributions. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is authorized to apply surpluses among subgrants within master HUD grants to shortfalls in other subgrants. The Department of Children, Youth and Their Families is authorized to receive and expend funds in instances where funds from grants

appropriated herein are not fixed and exceed the estimates contained in the budget.

SECTION 11.15 FEMA, OES, Other Reimbursements.

Whenever the City recovers funds from any federal or state agency as reimbursement for the cost of damages resulting from earthquakes and other disasters for which the Mayor has declared a state of emergency, such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller is authorized to transfer such funds to the credit of the departmental appropriation which initially incurred the cost, or, if the fiscal year in which the expenses were charged has ended, to the credit of the fund which incurred the expenses. Revenues received from other governments as reimbursement for mutual aid provided by City departments are hereby appropriated for services provided.

Whenever the City is required to designate agents authorized to obtain state and or federal disaster and emergency assistance funding, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors

2 Controller, and the Deputy Controller to be the agents authorized to execute

agreements for and on behalf of the City, for disaster and emergency assistance

funding from SState and Ffederal agencies, for all open and future disasters.

5

8

9

10

11

3

4

6 Any remaining balances in the Give2SF-COVID-19 Fund, including amounts from

7 previously identified and unidentifiable donors, are hereby appropriated for COVID-19

related costs and programs. Give2SF-COVID-19 Donation balances and uses of funds

shall be included in the San Francisco Disaster and Emergency Response and

Recovery Fund annual report to the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to Administrative

Code Sec. 10.100-100(d).

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

SECTION 11.16 Interest on Grant Funds.

16 Whenever the City earns interest on funds received from the State of California or the

federal government and said interest is specifically required to be expended for the

purpose for which the funds have been received, said interest is hereby appropriated in

accordance with the terms under which the principal is received and appropriated.

20

21

23

24

25

SECTION 11.17 Treasurer – Banking Agreements.

22 Whenever the Treasurer finds that it is in the best interest of the City to use either a

compensating balance or fee for service agreement to secure banking services that

benefit all participants of the pool, any funds necessary to be paid for such agreement

are to be charged against interest earnings and such funds are hereby appropriated for

the purpose.

4

5

6

2 The Treasurer may offset banking charges that benefit all participants of the investment

pool against interest earned by the pool. The Treasurer shall allocate other bank

charges and credit card processing to departments or pool participants that benefit from

those services. The Controller may transfer funds appropriated in the budget to General

Fund departments as necessary to support allocated charges.

7

8 SECTION 11.18 City Buildings-Acquisition with Certificates of Participation

- 9 (COPs).
- 10 Receipts in and expenditures from accounts set up for the acquisition and operation of
- 11 City-owned buildings including, but not limited to 25 Van Ness Avenue and 1660
- 12 Mission Street, are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth in the various bond
- indentures through which said properties were acquired.

14

15

18

19

20

21

SECTION 11.19 Generally Accepted Principles of Financial Statement

16 Presentation.

17 The Controller is hereby authorized to make adjustments to departmental budgets as

part of the year-end closing process to conform amounts to the Charter provisions and

generally accepted principles of financial statement presentation, and to implement new

accounting standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and

other changes in generally accepted accounting principles.

22

23

25

SECTION 11.20 Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions.

24 The Controller is authorized to establish or adjust fund type definitions for restricted,

committed or assigned revenues and expenditures, in accordance with the

requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 54. These

1 -changes will be designed to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by

2 providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and

3 by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Reclassification of funds

shall be reviewed by the City's outside auditors during their audit of the City's financial

5 statements.

6

7

9

10

11

4

SECTION 11.21 State Local Public Safety Fund.

8 Amounts received from the State Local Public Safety Fund (Sales Taxes) for deposit to

the Public Safety Augmentation Fund shall be transferred to the General Fund for use in

meeting eligible costs of public safety as provided by Sstate law and said funds are

appropriated for said purposes.

12

13

14

15

16

Said funds shall be allocated to support public safety department budgets, but not

specific appropriation accounts, and shall be deemed to be expended at a rate of 75%

of eligible departmental expenditures up to the full amount received. The Controller is

hereby directed to establish procedures to comply with state reporting requirements.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SECTION 11.22 Health Care Security Ordinance Agency Fund.

employees pursuant to the provisions of Labor & Employment Code Articles 21 and 121, the Health Care Security Ordinance and the Health Care Accountability Ordinance are maintained in the Health Care Security Ordinance Fund, an agency fund maintained by the City for the benefit of City Option account holders. Interest earnings in the fund are hereby appropriated for the administrative costs incurred to manage participant

Irrevocable health care expenditures made to the City by employers on behalf of their

are hereby appropriated for the administrative costs incurred to manage participa

25 accounts.

2 Loan repayments, proceeds of property sales in cases of defaulted loans, and interest

earnings in special revenue funds designated for affordable housing are hereby

appropriated for affordable housing program expenditures, including payments from

loans made by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and transferred to the

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the designated the housing

successor agency. Expenditures shall be subject to the conditions under which each

such fund was established.

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

8

3

4

5

6

7

SECTION 11.24 Development Agreement Implementation Costs.

11 The Controller is hereby authorized to appropriate reimbursements of City costs

incurred to implement development agreements approved by the Board of Supervisors,

including but not limited to City staff time, consultant services, and associated overhead

costs to conduct plan review, inspection, and contract monitoring, and to draft,

negotiate, and administer such agreements. This provision does not apply to

development impact fees or other payments approved in a development agreement,

which shall be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

18

19

21

SECTION 11.25 Housing Trust Fund.

20 The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust appropriations as necessary to implement

the movement of Housing Trust Fund revenues and expenditures from the General

22 Fund to a special revenue fund.

23

24 The Controller shall account for appropriation of \$17,600,000 for eligible affordable

25 housing projects in fiscal year 2021-22 as an advance of future year Housing Trust

- 1 Fund allocations, and shall credit such advance against required appropriations to that
- 2 fund for a period of five years, beginning in fiscal year 2023-24, in an annual amount of
- 3 \$3,520,000.

- 5 SECTION 11.26 Refuse Rate Order Changes.
- 6 The Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations from the Solid Waste Impound
- 7 Account to reconcile with the final adopted refuse rate order established by the Refuse
- 8 Rate Board, provided that such adjustments shall not result in a total increase in
- 9 appropriations from the fund.

10

11

SECTION 12. Special Situations.

12

- 13 **SECTION 12.1 Revolving Funds.**
- 14 Surplus funds remaining in departmental appropriations may be transferred to fund
- increases in revolving funds up to the amount authorized by the Board of Supervisors
- 16 by ordinance.

17

- 18 **SECTION 12.2 Interest Allocations.**
- 19 Interest shall not be allocated to any special, enterprise, or trust fund or account unless
- said allocation is required by Charter, state law, or specific provision in the legislation
- 21 that created said fund. Any interest earnings not allocated to special, enterprise or trust
- 22 funds or accounts shall be credited, by the Controller, to General Fund Unallocated
- 23 Revenues.

24

1 SECTION 12.3 Property Tax.

Consistent with the Sstate Teeter Plan requirements, the Board of Supervisors elects to continue the alternative method of distribution of tax levies and collections in accordance with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4701. The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to maintain the Teeter Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount not less than 1% of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for that year for participating entities in the county as provided by Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4703. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller to make timely property tax distributions to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, and City Infrastructure Financing Districts as approved by the Board of Supervisors through the budget, through development pass-through contracts, through tax increment allocation pledge agreements and ordinances, and as mandated by State law.

The Controller is authorized to adjust the budget to conform to assumptions in final approved property tax rates and to make debt service payments for approved general obligation bonds accordingly.

The Controller is authorized and directed to recover costs from the levy, collection, and administration of property taxes. The tax rate for the City's General Obligation Bond Fund, approved annually by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, includes a collection fee of 0.25% of the fund collected for the purpose of the General Obligation Bond debt service. An amount sufficient to pay this fee is hereby appropriated within the General Obligation Bond Fund and the Controller is hereby authorized to pay this fee into the General Fund from the General Obligation Bond Fund.

- 2 Where this the Board of Supervisors has set aside a portion of the General Reserve for
- 3 a new project or program approved by a supplemental appropriation, any funds not
- 4 required for the approved supplemental appropriation shall be returned to the General
- 5 Fund General Reserve by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to allocate project
- 6 budgets appropriated in citywide accounts to the department where the expense will
- 7 be incurred.

9

12

- SECTION 12.5 Aid Payments.
- 10 Aid paid from funds herein provided and refunded during the fiscal year hereof shall be
- 11 credited to, and made available in, the appropriation from which said aid was provided.
- 13 SECTION 12.6 Department of Public Health Transfer Payments, Indigent Health
- 14 Revenues, and Realignment Funding to Offset for Low Income Health Programs.
- 15 To more accurately reflect the total net budget of the Department of Public Health, this
- 16 ordinance shows net revenues received from certain Sstate and Ffederal health
- 17 programs. Funds necessary to participate in such programs that require transfer
- payments are hereby appropriated. The Controller is authorized to defer surplus transfer
- 19 payments, indigent health revenues, and Realignment funding to offset future
- 20 reductions or audit adjustments associated with funding allocations for health services
- 21 for low income individuals.

22

- **SECTION 12.7 Municipal Transportation Agency.**
- 24 Consistent with the provisions of Article VIIIA of the Charter, the Controller is authorized
- 25 to make such transfers and reclassification of accounts necessary to properly reflect the

1 -provision of central services to the Municipal Transportation Agency in the books and

accounts of the City. No change can increase or decrease the overall level of the City's

3 budget.

4

5

2

SECTION 12.8 Treasure Island Authority.

6 Should the Treasure Island property be conveyed and deed transferred from the

7 Ffederal Government, the Controller is hereby authorized to make budgetary

8 adjustments necessary to ensure that there is no General Fund impact from this

conveyance, and that expenditures of special assessment revenues conform to

governmental accounting standards and requirements of the special assessment as

adopted by voters and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

12

13

9

10

11

SECTION 12.9 Hetch Hetchy Power Stabilization Fund.

14 Hetch Hetchy has entered into a long-term agreement to purchase a fixed amount of

power. Any excess power from this contract will be sold back to the power market.

16

18

19

15

17 To limit Hetch Hetchy's risk from adverse market conditions in the future years of the

contract, the Controller is authorized to establish a power stabilization account that

reserves any excess revenues from power sales in the early years of the contract.

20 These funds may be used to offset potential losses in the later years of the contract.

21 The balance in this fund may be reviewed and adjusted annually.

22

24

23 The power purchase amount reflected in the Public Utility Commission's expenditure

budget is the net amount of the cost of power purchased for Hetch Hetchy use. Power

25 purchase appropriations may be increased by the Controller to reflect the pass through

-costs of power purchased for resale under long-term fixed contracts previously
 approved by the Board of Supervisors.

SECTION 12.10 Closure of Special Funds, Projects, and Accounts

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-1(d), if there has been no expenditure activity for the past two fiscal years, a special fund or project can be closed and repealed. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to reconcile and balance funds, projects and accounts, and to close completed projects. The Controller is directed to create a clearing account for the purpose of balancing surpluses and deficits in such funds, projects and accounts, and funding administrative costs incurred to perform such reconciliations.

This budget ordinance appropriates fund balance from active project closeouts in continuing funds in the General Fund and a number of special revenue and enterprise funds. The Controller is directed to deappropriate projects to realize the fund balance used as a source in the adopted budget.

SECTION 12.11 Charter-Mandated Baseline Appropriations.

The Controller is authorized to increase or reduce budgetary appropriations as required by the Charter for baseline allocations to align allocations to the amounts required by formula based on actual revenues received during the fiscal year. Departments must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by increasing baseline allocations as required under the Charter and the Municipal Code.

5

6

7

SECTION 12.12 Parking Tax Allocation.

3 The Controller is authorized to increase or decrease final budgetary allocation of

4 parking tax in-lieu transfers to reflect actual collections to the Municipal Transportation

Agency. The Municipal Transportation Agency must obtain Board of Supervisors'

approval prior to any expenditure supported by allocations that accrue to the Agency

that are greater than those already appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

SECTION 12.13 Former Redevelopment Agency Funds.

10 Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 215-12, the Successor Agency to the San

Francisco Redevelopment Agency (also known as the Office of Community Investment

and Infrastructure, or OCII) is a separate legal entity from the City and its budget is

subject to separate approval by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is

authorized to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts

related to former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) fund balances to serve

the accounting requirements of the OCII, the Port, the Mayor's Office of Housing and

Community Development and the City Administrator's eOffice and to comply with Sstate

requirements and applicable bond covenants.

19

20

21

22

23

The Purchaser is authorized to allow the OCII and departments to follow applicable

contracting and purchasing procedures of the former SFRA and waive inconsistent

provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code when managing contracts and

purchasing transactions related to programs formerly administered by the SFRA.

24

25

If. during the course of the budget period, the OCII requests departments to provide additional services beyond budgeted amounts and the Controller determines that the

1 -Successor Agency has sufficient additional funds available to reimburse departments

for such additional services, the departmental expenditure authority to provide such

services is hereby appropriated.

4

6

7

8

2

3

5 When 100% of property tax increment revenues for a redevelopment project area are

pledged based on an agreement that constitutes an enforceable obligation, the

Controller will increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for

the project area.

9

10

11

12

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development is authorized to act as the

fiscal agent for the Public Initiatives Development Corporation (PIDC) and receive and

disburse PIDC funds as authorized by the PIDC bylaws and the PIDC Board of

13 Directors.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECTION 12.14 CleanPowerSF.

CleanPowerSF customer payments and all other associated revenues deposited in the

CleanPowerSF special revenue fund are hereby appropriated in the amounts actually

received by the City in each fiscal year. The Controller is authorized to disburse the

revenues appropriated by this section as well as those appropriated yet unspent from

prior fiscal years to pay power purchase obligations and other operating costs as

provided in the program plans and annual budgets, as approved by the Board of

Supervisors for the purposes authorized therein.

23

24

SECTION 12.15 Unclaimed Funds Escheatment Noticing & Accounting

25 **Procedures**

Pursuant to Government Code Sections 50055 and 50057, the Board of Supervisors

-authorizes the Treasurer to transfer to the General Fund without publication of a notice in a newspaper the following amounts that remain unclaimed in the treasury of the City or in the official custody of an officer of the City for a period of at least one year: (1) any individual items of less than \$15; and (2) any individual items of \$5,000 or less if the depositor's name is unknown. The Treasurer shall notify the Controller of transfers performed using this authorization.

SECTION 12.16 City Attorney Gifts and Grants for Federal Response

The City Attorney is authorized to accept and expend gifts or grants of funds and gifts of in-kind services, including but not limited to in-kind outside counsel services and expert consultant or witness services, to support the City Attorney's legal advice, advocacy, and litigation in preparation for or response to policies, actions, threats, and other decisions by the federal government. The City Attorney shall report all such grants and gifts to the Controller and the Mayor's Budget Office, in addition to any other applicable reporting requirements in the Administrative Code and the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code.

SECTION 14. Departments.

The term department as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, agency, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term department head as used herein shall be the chief executive duly appointed and acting as provided in the Charter. When one or more departments are reorganized or consolidated, the former entities may be displayed as separate units, if, in the opinion of the Controller, this will facilitate accounting or reporting.

(b) There shall be a General Services Agency, headed by the City Administrator, including the Department of Telecommunication and Information Services, and the Department of Administrative Services. The City Administrator shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds.

(c) There shall be a Human Services Agency, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Human Services Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Department of Human Services, under the Human Services Commission, and (2) the Department of Disability and Aging Services ("DAAS"), under the Disability and Aging Services Commission, which includes Adult Protective Services, the Public Administrator/Public Guardian, the Mental Health Conservator, the Department of Disability and Aging Services, the County Veterans' Service Officer, and the In-Home Supportive Services Program. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The

-Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees,
 positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one

department to the other. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of

determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or

5 reappropriation of funds.

6

7

8

9

10

11

3

4

The departments within the Human Services Agency shall coordinate with each other and with the Disability and Aging Services Commission to improve delivery of services, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they

may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority

of the Disability and Aging Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of

12 the Commission.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The Director of the <u>Disability and Aging and Adult Services Commission also may serve</u> as the department head for DAAS, and/or as a deputy director for the Department of Human Services, but shall receive no additional compensation by virtue of an additional appointment. If an additional appointment is made, it shall not diminish the authority of the <u>Disability and Aging and Adult Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.</u>

20

21

22

23

24

25

(d) There shall be a Film, Grants, and Arts Agency (FGAA), whose formal name shall be determined at a later date, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the FGAA shall be two departments and one division of the General Services Agency: (1) the Arts Commission department, led by the Director of Cultural Affairs under its Commission, (2) the Film Commission

-department, led by the Executive Director under its Commission, and (3) Grants for the Arts ("GFTA"), under the Director. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments or GFTA. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department or division to the other. The consolidated agency will be reorganized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.

The Director of the Agency, appointed by the Mayor, will lead the FGAA. The Director will ensure that the departments and division within the Agency shall coordinate with each other to improve delivery of services to and for artists and the arts, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of the two commissions—the Arts Commission and the Film Commission—over matters under their respective jurisdictions.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

There shall be an Agency for Human Rights, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Human Rights Commission, led by the Executive Director under its Commission, and (2) the Department on the Status of Women, led by the Director under the Commission on the Status of Women. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department or division to the

1 -other. The consolidated agency will be reorganized for purposes of determining

2 employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or

3 reappropriation of funds.

4

7

9

10

11

5 The Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission will lead the Agency for

6 Human Rights. The Executive Director will ensure that the departments within the

Agency shall coordinate with each other to improve delivery of services, increase

8 <u>administrative efficiencies, and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may</u>

share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of

the two commissions—the Human Rights Commission and the Commission on the

Status of Women—over matters under their respective jurisdictions.

12

14

13 Neither the Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission nor the Director of the

Department on the Status of Women shall receive additional compensation by virtue of

15 <u>their role in the Agency.</u>

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) is a separate legal entity established under Sstate law and is not a department or agency of the City. Because the City has a legal obligation to provide funds to LAFCo, this ordinance includes an appropriation for that purpose. Although LAFCo is not part of the Board of Supervisors or subject to the Board's oversight and direction, this ordinance includes appropriations to LAFCo in the Board of Supervisors budget for administrative reasons related to the format of this ordinance. Any transfers of funds to LAFCo from other appropriations in the budget are prohibited without approval by a subsequent ordinance. City staff, including but not limited to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, may not perform work for LAFCo, except as authorized by a memorandum of understanding between the City

-and LAFCo, subject to any required approvals.

3

4

SECTION 15. Travel Reimbursement and Cell Phone Stipends.

- 5 The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable for travel for
- 6 officers and employees, and for the presentation of such vouchers as the Controller
- 7 shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said this Section.
- 8 No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless
- 9 funds have been appropriated or set aside for such expenses in accordance with the
- 10 provisions of the Charter.

11

- 12 The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper
- 13 account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving
- 14 the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City, and failure on the
- 15 part of the person involved to make such accounting shall be sufficient cause for the
- 16 Controller to withhold from such persons pay check or checks in a sum equivalent to the
- 17 amount to be accounted.

18

19

- In consultation with the Human Resources Director, the Controller shall establish rules
- and parameters for the payment of monthly stipends to officers and employees who use
- 21 their own cells phones to maintain continuous communication with their workplace, and
- 22 who participate in a Citywide program that reduces costs of City-owned cell phones.

23

24

SECTION 15.1 State of California Travel Program.

To ensure cost effective rates and charges and reduce administrative burdens and costs associated with expense reimbursement for City business-related travel and field

-expenses, the Controller's Office is authorized to implement rules and regulations required of departments that participate in the State of California's Statewide Travel Program, administered by the California Department of General Services, which provides access to Sstate-negotiated rates with hotel, airline, and car rental providers in adherence with the Sstate's competitive procurement solicitation and contract award rules and regulations. In compliance with rules and regulations established by the Controller, which may be updated from time to time, departments are permitted to participate in the State of California Travel Program as per the pre-established terms and conditions required by the State for local governments. The Controller's Accounting Policies and Procedures manual shall include the State of California Travel Program rules and regulations. This provision shall satisfy San Francisco Administrative Code approval, including Section 21.16 Use of Purchasing Agreements and Reciprocal Agreements with Other Public and Non-Profit Agencies, for travel and related services procured through the State of California Travel Program.

SECTION 16. Contributed Revenue Reserve and Audit and Adjustment Reserve.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish a Contributed Revenue and Adjustment Reserve to accumulate receipts in excess of those estimated revenues or unexpended appropriations stated herein. Said reserve is established for the purpose of funding the budget of the subsequent year, and the receipts in this reserve are hereby appropriated for said purpose. The Controller is authorized to maintain an Audit and Adjustment Reserve to offset audit adjustments, and to balance expenditure accounts to conform to year-end balancing and year-end close requirements.

- 2 The moneys received from the Airport's revenue fund as the Annual Service Payment
- 3 provided in the Airline-Airport Lease and Use Agreement are in satisfaction of all
- 4 obligations of the Airport Commission for indirect services provided by the City to the
- 5 Commission and San Francisco International Airport and constitute the total transfer to
- 6 the City's General Fund.

1

- 8 The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer to the City's General Fund
- 9 from the Airport revenue fund with the approval of the Airport Commission funds that
- 10 constitute the annual service payment provided in the Airline Airport Lease and Use
- 11 Agreement in addition to the amount stated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

12

- 13 On the last business day of the fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport
- 14 Commission, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all moneys
- 15 remaining in the Airport's Contingency Account to the Airport's Revenue Fund. The
- 16 Controller is further authorized and directed to return such amounts as were transferred
- 17 from the Contingency Account, back to the Contingency Account from the Revenue
- 18 Fund Unappropriated Surplus on the first business day of the succeeding fiscal year,
- 19 unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission.

20

21

SECTION 18. Pooled Cash, Investments.

- 22 The Treasurer and Controller are hereby authorized to transfer available fund balances
- 23 within pooled cash accounts to meet the cash management of the City, provided that
- 24 special and non-subsidized enterprise funds shall be credited interest earnings on any
- 25 funds temporarily borrowed therefrom at the rate of interest earned on the City Pooled

1 -Cash Fund. No such cash transfers shall be allowed where the investment of said

funds in investments such as the pooled funds of the City is restricted by law.

- 4 SECTION 19. Matching Funds for Federal or State Programs.
- 5 Funds contributed to meet operating deficits and/or to provide matching funds for
- 6 federal or Sstate aid (e.g. Medicaid under SB 855 or similar legislation for Zuckerberg
- 7 San Francisco General Hospital) are specifically deemed to be made exclusively from
- 8 local property and business tax sources.

9

- 10 SECTION 20. Advance Funding of Bond Projects City Departments.
- 11 Whenever the City has authorized appropriations for the advance funding of projects
- which may at a future time be funded from the proceeds of general obligation, revenue,
- or lease revenue bond issues or other legal obligations of the City, the Controller shall
- 14 recover from bond proceeds or other available sources, when they become available,
- 15 the amount of any interest earnings foregone by the General Fund as a result of such
- 16 cash advance to disbursements made pursuant to said appropriations. The Controller
- 17 shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on City Pooled Cash Fund
- 18 during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the
- amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

21

22

- **SECTION 21. Advance Funding of Projects Transportation Authority.**
- 23 Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance
- 24 funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and
- 25 County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from
 - proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of the Business and

1 -Tax Regulations Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The

2 Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they

become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the

City General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use

the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on General City Pooled Cash funds

during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the

amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

8

9

11

12

13

3

4

5

6

7

SECTION 22. Controller to Make Adjustments, Correct Clerical Errors.

10 The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to adjust interdepartmental

appropriations, make transfers to correct objects of expenditures classifications, and to

correct clerical or computational errors as may be ascertained by the Controller to exist

in this ordinance. The Controller shall file with the Clerk of the Board a list of such

adjustments, transfers, and corrections made pursuant to this Section.

15

16

17

18

The Controller is hereby authorized to make the necessary transfers to correct objects

of expenditure classifications, and corrections in classifications made necessary by

changes in the proposed method of expenditure.

19

20

23

24

SECTION 22.1 Controller to Implement New Financial and Interfacing

21 Subsystems.

22 In order to further the implementation and adoption of the Financial and Procurement

System's modules, the Controller shall have the authority to reclassify departments'

appropriations to conform to the accounting and project costing structures established in

25 the new system, as well as reclassify contract authority utilized (expended) balances

1 and unutilized (available) balances to reflect actual spending.

2

3

- SECTION 23. Transfer of State Revenues.
- 4 The Controller is authorized to transfer revenues among City departments to comply
- 5 with provisions in the Sstate budget.

6

- 7 SECTION 24. Use of Permit Revenues from the Department of Building
- 8 Inspection.
- 9 Permit revenue funds from the Department of Building Inspection that are transferred to
- 10 other departments as shown in this budget shall be used only to fund the planning,
- 11 regulatory, enforcement, and building design activities that have a demonstrated nexus
- with the projects that produce the fee revenues.

13

- 14 SECTION 25. Board of Supervisors Official Advertising Charges.
- 15 The Board of Supervisors is authorized to collect funds from enterprise departments to
- 16 place official advertising. The funds collected are automatically appropriated in the
- 17 budget of the Board of Supervisors as they are received.

18

- **SECTION 26. Work Order Appropriations.**
- 20 The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to establish work orders pursuant to
- 21 Board-approved appropriations, including positions needed to perform work order
- 22 services, and corresponding recoveries for services that are fully cost covered, including
- but not limited to services provided by one City department to another City department,
- 24 as well as services provided by City departments to external agencies, including but not
- 25 limited to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island

- 1 Development Authority, the School District, and the Community College District.
- 2 Revenues for services from external agencies shall be appropriated by the Controller in
- 3 accordance with the terms and conditions established to perform the service.

5

SECTION 26.1 Property Tax System

- 6 In order to minimize new appropriations to the property tax system replacement project,
- 7 the Controller is authorized and directed to apply operational savings from the offices of
- 8 the Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller to the project. No later than June 1, 2018,
- 9 the Controller shall report to the Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office and Budget and
- 10 Finance Committee on the specific amount of operational savings, including details on
- 11 the source of such savings, in the budgets of Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller
- that are re-allocated to the Property Tax System Replacement Project.

13

14

SECTION 26.2 Assessment Appeals System

- 15 In order to minimize appropriations to the online assessment appeals application filing
- 16 system project, the Controller is authorized and directed to apply any operating
- 17 balances from the Assessment Appeals Board of the Board of Supervisors to the project
- through Fiscal Year 2029-30.

19 20

SECTION 27. Revenue Reserves and Deferrals.

- 21 The Controller is authorized to establish fee reserve allocations for a given program to
- 22 the extent that the cost of service exceeds the revenue received in a given fiscal year.
- 23 including establishment of deferred revenue or reserve accounts. In order to maintain
- 24 balance between budgeted revenues and expenditures, revenues realized in the fiscal
- year preceding the year in which they are appropriated shall be considered reserved for

3

SECTION 28. Close-Out of Reserved Appropriations.

- 4 On an annual basis, the Controller shall report the status of all reserves, their remaining
- 5 balances, and departments' explanations of why funding has not been requested for
- 6 release. Continuation of reserves will be subject to consideration and action by the
- 7 Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee. The
- 8 Controller shall close out reserved appropriations that are no longer required by the
- 9 department for the purposes for which they were appropriated.

10

11 SECTION 28.1. Reserves Placed on Expenditures by Controller.

- 12 Consistent with Charter Section 3.105(d), the Controller is authorized to reserve
- 13 expenditures in the City's budget equal to uncertain revenues, as deemed appropriate
- by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to remove, transfer, and update reserves
- 15 to expenditures in the budget as revenue estimates are updated and received in order
- 16 to maintain City operations.

17

18

SECTION 29. Appropriation Control of Capital Improvement Projects and

19 Equipment.

- 20 Unless otherwise exempted in another section of the Administrative Code or Annual
- 21 Appropriation Ordinance, and in accordance with Administrative Code Section 3.18.
- 22 departments may transfer funds from one Board-approved capital project to another
- 23 Board-approved capital project. The Controller shall approve transfers only if they do
- 24 not materially change the size or scope of the original project. Annually, the Controller
- shall report to the Board of Supervisors on transfers of funds that exceed 10% of the

1 original appropriation to which the transfer is made.

3

2

4 The Controller is authorized to approve substitutions within equipment items purchased

to equip capital facilities providing that the total cost is within the Board-approved capital

project appropriation.

7

5

6

8 The Controller is authorized to transfer approved appropriations between departments

to correctly account for capitalization of fixed assets.

10

12

13

14

9

11 The Controller is authorized to shift sources among cash and Certificate of Participation

(COP)-funded capital projects across General Fund departments to ensure the most

efficient and cost-effective administration of COP funds, provided there is no net

increase or decrease in project budgets.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The Controller is hereby authorized to revise COP debt service appropriations within

General Fund supported COP debt service funds for authorized but unissued debt, in

order to make final debt service payments due upon issuance of authorized debt. Such

revisions shall not increase or decrease approved expenditure authority and shall only

be done for the purpose of reducing interest costs in future years. Such revisions shall

only be made if the Controller determines it is financially advantageous to do so.

22

23

25

SECTION 30. Business Improvement Districts.

24 Proceeds from all special assessments levied on real property included in the property-

based business improvement districts in the City are hereby appropriated in the

respective amounts actually received by the City in such fiscal year for each such

2 district.

3

The Controller is authorized to disburse the assessment revenues appropriated by this section to the respective Owners' Associations (as defined in Section 36614.5 of the Streets and Highways Code) for such districts as provided in the management district plans, resolutions establishing the districts, annual budgets, and management agreements, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each such district, for the purposes authorized therein. The Tourism Improvement District and Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District assessments are levied on gross hotel room

12

11

13 SECTION 31. Infrastructure Financing, Infrastructure Revitalization and 14 Financing, and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts.

revenue and are collected and distributed by the Tax Collector's Office.

Within the City, the Board of Supervisors has formed certain voluntary tax increment financing districts under Sstate legislation:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the Board of Supervisors formed Infrastructure Financing Districts (IFD)
- Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53369 et seq. (IRFD Law), the Board of Supervisors formed Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing Districts (IRFD) within the City.
- Pursuant to California Government Code Section 55398.50 et seq. (EIFD Law), the Board of Supervisors and Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1 formed an Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD).

The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Controller to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to City and County of San Francisco IFDs, IRFDs, and EIFDs to serve accounting and Sstate requirements, the latest approved Infrastructure Financing Plan for a District, and applicable bond

7-16 \$ 20-18 \$ 4-18 \$ 1-17 \$	FY 2025-2 8 811,0 6 7,975,0 6 11,035,0 6 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	26 F 000 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$	827,000 8,134,000 13,470,000 15,947,000 2,748,000
7-16 \$ 20-18 \$ 4-18 \$ 1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	8 811,0 6 7,975,0 6 11,035,0 6 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	000 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$	827,000 8,134,000 13,470,000 15,947,000
20-18 \$ 4-18 \$ 1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	3 7,975,0 3 11,035,0 6 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	000 \$	8,134,000 13,470,000 15,947,000
20-18 \$ 4-18 \$ 1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	3 7,975,0 3 11,035,0 6 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	000 \$	8,134,000 13,470,000 15,947,000
4-18 \$ 1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	3 11,035,0 5 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	000 \$	13,470,000
4-18 \$ 1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	3 11,035,0 5 13,538,0 6 1,867,0	000 \$	13,470,000
1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	5 13,538,0 5 1,867,0	000 \$	15,947,000
1-17 \$ 48-18 \$	5 13,538,0 5 1,867,0	000 \$	15,947,000
18-18 \$	3 1,867,0		
18-18 \$	3 1,867,0		
· · · · · · ·		000 \$	2,748,00
· · · · · · ·		000 \$	2,748,00
: <i>(</i>	Catina ata		
	Catina ata		
inance/ olution	Estimated Tax Increment *		
<u> </u>	FY 2025-	26 F	Y 2026-27
7-16	811,0	000 \$	827,000
20-18	7,975,0	000 \$	8,134,000
4-18 9	11.035.0	000 \$	13.470.00
1-17 9	13.538.0	000 \$	15.947.00
	, , ,	-	-, ,
10 10 4	1,867.0	000 \$	2,748,000
+0-10 3	,===,	-	
+0-10 3			197,000
2	21-17 \$ 48-18 \$	21-17 \$ 13,538,0 48-18 \$ 1,867,0	21-17 \$ 13,538,000 \$

2

5

6

7

8

9

SECTION 32. Community Facilities and Special Tax Districts.

3 Pursuant to California Government Code 53311 et seq. (Mello-Roos Community

4 Facilities Act of 1982) and Chapter 43, Article X of the San Francisco Administrative

Code, which incorporates the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982, the Board

of Supervisors formed Community Facilities Districts (CFDs) and Special Tax Districts

(STDs) within the City. Proceeds from special taxes levied on property in the

CFDs/STDs are hereby appropriated in the respective amounts actually received by the

City in such fiscal year for each such district.

10

12

13

14

11 The Controller is authorized to disburse the special tax revenues appropriated by this

section as provided in the Joint Community Facilities Agreements, Development

Agreements, Disposition and Development Agreements, Resolutions of Formation, and

Ordinances levying special taxes, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each

15 such district for the purposes authorized therein.

16

17

18

19

20

21

The Controller may transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within

accounts related to CFDs and STDs to serve accounting requirements, pay authorized

expenditures described in the Board of Supervisors approved Resolution of Formation

for each district (as approved in the referenced Ordinances), and comply with applicable

bond covenants.

22

24

23 The table below provides estimated special tax revenues for informational purposes;

only amounts actually received by the City and County of San Francisco for each district

in any given fiscal year are authorized to be expended.

Contingent Services Special Tax				
STD No. 2022-1 (Power Station)	061-22	-	-	

^{*}Source: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. Preliminary; subject to change.

- (1) Special tax projection are subject to change if additional properties issue a COO as of the fiscal year cut-off date.
- (2) Based on building permits issued as of May 5, 2025. Actual special tax levy may be lower.
- (3) Special tax projections are subject to change if additional parcels issue building permits prior to the June 30th cut-off date.
- (4) Special tax estimates based on Attachment 2 of the RMA.

2

3

15

18

19

21

22

- (5) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. PKN is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date, no property has issued a COO. Special tax estimates based on Attachment 3 of the RMA.
- (6) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. Building 12 is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date and issued a COO. (7) Passed on Parcel Lagge Evacution Dates as of April 5, 2024, Parcel C was Davidaged as of EV 2022, 22 and the remaining Tay Zone 1 as

3	.(7) Based on Parcel Lease Execution Dates as of Anril 5, 2024. Parcel G was Deve	PIONER AS OF EV 2	Projected Special Tax Levy*		
4	District	Ordinance	(FY 2025-26)	(FY 2026-27)	
•	STD No. 2009-1 - Improvement Area No. 1	16-10	\$108,735	\$114,038	
5	STD No. 2009-1 - Improvement Area No. 2	16-10	\$167,903	\$167,903	
O	CFD No. 2014-1 (Transbay) (1)	001-15	\$34,033,224	\$34,713,889	
6	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 1 (2)(3)	022-17	\$3,747,554	\$3,822,505	
O	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 2 (2)(3)	022-17	\$3,202,140	\$3,266,183	
7	CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) - Improvement Area No. 3 (2)(3)(4)		\$1,319,405	\$1,345,793	
1	STD No. 2018-1 (Central SoMa)	021-19	-	-	
•	STD No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condos) (5)	027-20			
8	Facilities Special Tax		\$1,228,621	\$1,253,193	
_	Arts Building Special Tax		-	-	
9	Services Special Tax	000.00	-	-	
	STD No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased) (6)	028-20	EEO 4 000	@E06.400	
10	Facilities Special Tax		\$584,803	\$596,499	
	Arts Building Special Tax		-	-	
11	Shoreline Special Tax Services Special Tax		-	-	
	STD No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock) (7)	079-20	-	-	
12	Development Special Tax (8)	075-20	\$7,077,075	\$7,218,616	
	Office Special Tax		\$1,310,741	\$1,336,955	
13	Shoreline Special Tax		\$1,242,473	\$1,267,322	
10	Contingent Services Special Tax		Ψ1,272,710	ψ1,201,022	
14	STD No. 2022-1 (Power Station)	061-22	_	_	

- *Source: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. Preliminary; subject to change.
- (1) Special tax projection are subject to change if additional properties issue a COO as of the fiscal year cut-off date. 16
 - (2) Based on building permits issued as of May 5, 2025. Actual special tax levy may be lower.
 - (3) Special tax projections are subject to change if additional parcels issue building permits prior to the June 30th cut-off date.
- 17 (4) Special tax estim ates based on Attachment 2 of the RMA.
 - (5) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. PKN is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date, no property has issued a COO. Special tax estimates based on Attachment 3 of the RMA.
 - (6) Based on VDDA Execution Dates and COOs as of April 5, 2024. Building 12 is the only property that has had a VDDA Execution Date and issued a COO.
 - (7) Based on Parcel Lease Execution Dates as of April 5, 2024. Parcel G was Developed as of FY 2022-23 and the remaining Tax Zone 1 parcels are Developed as of FY 2023-24.
- (8) Reflects the maximum special tax on Developed Property. Does not reflect the tax increment offset of Assessed Parcels. 20

SECTION 32.1. Exclusion of Projected Unassigned Fund Balance from Budget

23 Stabilization Reserve.

- 24 One hundred fifty-fourthirty-nine million, eight-nine hundred sixty thousand and nine
- seven hundred forty-three fourteen dollars (\$154,860,943139,960,714) of projected but 25 unbudgeted, unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 20234-246 is designated for

16

14

SECTION 32.3. Fiscal Cliff Reserve.

Mayor and Board within 30 days of their enactment.

- 17 Administrative Provision 32.1 of the fiscal year 2021-22 budget designated fund balance
- 18 from fiscal year 2020-21 to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve for the purpose of managing
- 19 projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds
- 20 and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year
- 21 2022-23 budget. In addition to that purpose, the Fiscal Cliff Reserve is hereby amended
- 22 to include that it also serves the purpose of managing business tax revenue shortfalls.
- 23 This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget
- 24 Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

25

SECTION 32.3. Federal and State Revenue Risk Reserve.

1 Administrative Provision 32 of the fiscal year 2021-22 budget designated fund balance 2 from fiscal year 2020-21 to the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance 3 Reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to reimbursement 4 disallowances from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other 5 state and federal agencies. Administrative Provision 32.1 of the fiscal year 2021-22 6 budget designated fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 to the Fiscal Cliff Reserve for 7 the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of 8 federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal 9 year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. The fiscal year 2024-25 ending balances 10 of these reserves are hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose 11 of managing revenue shortfalls related to changes in federal funding. In addition, all 12 revenue escheated to the General Fund from City Option Medical Reimbursement 13 Accounts in fiscal year 2025-26 is hereby appropriated for deposits to this reserve. 14 These revenues shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget 15 Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECTION 33. Federal, State and Local Match Sources & Uses Accounting for COVID-19 Emergency.

The Controller is authorized to adjust federal and state sources appropriations to reflect eligible costs by authorized spending category, to ensure cost reimbursement recovery revenues are maximized, and to align eligible costs to the appropriate federal or state fund, provided there is no net increase or decrease to COVID-19 emergency response revenues or expenditures. Adjustments may be made across fiscal years.

24

25

23

SECTION 34. Transbay Joint Powers Authority Financing.

1	Sources received for purposes of payment of debt service for the approved and issued
2	Transbay Community Facilities District special tax bonds and the approved and drawn
3	City bridge loan to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are hereby appropriated.
4	
5	SECTION 35. Police Department and Sheriff's Department Overtime Reporting.
6	The Police Department and Sheriff's Department shall provide quarterly reports of
7	overtime spending to the Board of Supervisors, including the types of activities
8	performed on overtime.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
17	
18	
19	By:/s/
20	ANNE PEARSONBRADLEY A. RUSSI
21	Deputy City Attorney
22	
23	
24	
25	

Office of the Mayor San Francisco



DANIEL LURIE MAYOR

RECEIVED AK
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SON FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PM04:21

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Interim Exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance

Dear Madam Clerk,

I herein present exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO) and Annual Appropriations Ordinance (AAO) for consideration by the Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors. The City's standard practice is to budget new positions beginning in pay period 7, at 0.79 FTE. Where there is justification for expedited hiring and spending, however, the Board may authorize exceptions to the Interim ASO and AAO, which allow new positions to be filled, prior to final adoption of the budget.

Exceptions are being requested for the following positions:

General Fund Positions (56.3 FTE)

• Office of the Controller (CON)
1688 Inspector General, Controller's Office (1.0 FTE). This position was created by voters through the passage of Proposition C in November 2024.

• Department of Human Resources (HRD)

1042 IS Engineer-Journey (3.0 FTE); 1053 IS Business Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE). These filled positions have been previously funded through project-based funding and are critical to support ongoing Hiring Modernization projects approved by the Committee on Information Technology (COIT).

• Department of Public Health (DPH)

2230 Physician Specialist (0.4 FTE); 0932 Manager IV (1.0 FTE); 1636 Health Care Billing Clerk II (1.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1932 Assistant Storekeeper (1.0 FTE); 2232 Senior Physician Specialist (1.0 FTE); 2593 Health Program Coordinator III (1.0 FTE); 2909 Hospital Eligibility Worker Supervisor (1.0 FTE); 2920 Medical Social Worker (1.0 FTE); P103 Special Nurse (1.4 FTE); 2328 Nurse Practitioner (1.5 FTE); 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE); 2588 Health Worker IV (2.0 FTE); 2586 Health Worker II (3.0 FTE); 2587 Health Worker III (3.0 FTE); 2312 Licensed Vocational Nurse (6.0 FTE); 2320 Registered Nurse (10.0 FTE); 2930 Behavioral Health Clinician (13.0 FTE). These positions are part of the City's investment in transformed behavioral health and homelessness response, with greater accountability, integrated service delivery, and prioritization of compassionate care.

Non-General Fund Positions (10.5 FTE)

• Office of the Public Defender (PDR)

8177 Attorney (Civil/Criminal) (1.0 FTE). An interim exception is required as this is a

new grant for an existing Clean Slate Attorney position at PDR.

• Department of Public Health (DPH)

2320 Registered Nurse (0.5 FTE); 2586 Health Worker II (1.0 FTE); 2587 Health Worker III (2.0 FTE); 2588 Health Worker IV (2.0 FTE); 2930 Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE; 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE). These positions are part of the City's investment in transformed behavioral health and homelessness response, with greater accountability, integrated service delivery, and prioritization of compassionate care.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding the requested interim exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Sophia Kittler

Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee

Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office

Controller

Office of the Mayor San Francisco



DANIEL LURIE
MAYOR
RECEIVED AK
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2025 MAY 30 PMO4:20

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Minimum Compensation Ordinance and the Mayor's FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27

Proposed Budget

Madam Clerk,

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, SEC 12P.3, the minimum compensation for nonprofit corporations will be \$21.55 as of July 1, 2025, eventually reaching \$23.00 by January 1, 2026.

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, SEC 12P.3, the minimum compensation for public entities will be \$22.50 as of July, 1, 2025, eventually reaching \$23.00 by January 1, 2026.

This letter provides notice to the Board of Supervisors that the Mayor's proposed budget for Fiscal Years (FY) FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 contains funding to support these minimum compensation age levels for nonprofit corporations and public entities.

If you have any questions, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

Sophia Kittler Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors

Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office

Controller

Office of the Mayor San Francisco



DANIEL LURIE Mayor

RECEIVED AK BOARD OF SUPERVISORS GAN FRANCISCO 2025 MAY 30 PMO4:20

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Notice of Transfer of Functions under Charter Section 4.132

This memorandum constitutes notice to the Board of Supervisors under Charter Section 4.132 of transfers of functions between departments within the Executive Branch. All positions are regular positions unless otherwise specified. The positions include the following:

- One position (1.0 FTE 1093 IT Operations Support Administrator III) from the War Memorial (WAR) to the Department of Technology (TIS) in response to TIS's proposal to take on IT management responsibilities.
- Two positions (1.0 FTE 1054 IS Business Analyst-Principal; 1.0 FTE 1052 IS Business Analyst) to be transferred from the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families (CHF) to TIS to streamline IT service provision citywide, consolidating IT functions under the Department of Technology to improve efficiency and service delivery..
- Two positions (1.0 FTE 0922 Manager I; 1.0 FTE 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst) from the Human Rights Commission (HRC) to the Police Department (POL), which make up the Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) team, to be transferred from HRC to POL as the final move to transition SHARP to POL.
- Four positions (2.0 FTE 1777 Media/Security Systems Specialist; 2.0 FTE 1781 Media/Security Systems Supervisor) to be transferred from the Office of the City Administrator to TIS to align functions under the most appropriate teams. Currently, A/V services are split between Media Services and SFGovTV. Consolidating all A/V responsibilities under SFGovTV would improve service delivery, increase staffing flexibility, and better align technical expertise. Media Services also oversees security systems in Real Estate-managed buildings, and this responsibility would remain with Real Estate as part of its facilities management role.
- Fifteen positions, including all 7 Commissioners, (0.7 FTE 0111 Board/Commission Members, Group II; 0.09 FTE 0931 Manager III; 0.51 FTE 1823 Administrative Analyst; 2.0 FTE 2998 Representatives, Commission on the Status of Women; 1.0 FTE 0961 Department Head; 1.0 FTE 1840 Junior Management Assistant; 1.0 FTE 1822 Administrative Analyst; 1.0 FTE 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst from the Department on the Status of Women (WOM) to HRC). This list includes six General Fund and two Non-General Fund positions. These changes are made through the Department and do not affect the Commission on the Status of Women. The changes are made at the Mayor's request to consolidate the two Departments into a singular agency to

share financial resources that will benefit the communities of San Francisco and allow the Commissions to effectively follow their Charter mandates.

• Eleven positions (1.0 FTE 2593 Health Program Coordinator III; 1.0 FTE 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician; 2.0 FTE Behavioral Health Clinician; 7.0 FTE 2587 Health Worker III) from the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Services (HOM) to the Department of Public Health (DPH) to integrate staff providing physical and mental health support to people experiencing homelessness or transitioning out of homelessness as part of Whole Person Integrated Care.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely,

Sophia Kittler

Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee

Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office

Controller



BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC)

Department of Building Inspection Voice (628) 652 -3510 49 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor San Francisco, California 94103

March 11, 2025

Daniel Lurie Mayor

COMMISSION

Alvsabeth Alexander-Tut President

Evita Chavez Catherine Meng

Bianca Neumann **Kavin Williams**

Sonya Harris Secretary

Monique Mustapha Asst. Secretary

Patrick O'Riordan. C.B.O., Director

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Board of Supervisors. City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Dear Honorable Supervisors:

RE: Building Inspection Commission's official recommendation on Positions and Code Enforcement Grants included in DBI's Proposed **Budget**

The Building Inspection Commission (BIC) respectfully submits this letter as a supplemental request regarding staff positions and clarification of the BIC's official position regarding the general fund line in our budget that currently funds code enforcement outreach efforts in apartments and single room occupancy (SRO) buildings.

Positions:

The BIC commends Mayor Lurie for his bold leadership in enacting a hiring and contracting freeze to address the historic General Fund deficit. It is in the spirit of economic recovery and homelessness prevention that the BIC submits these requests for your consideration.

As an enterprise department, DBI staff and programs are funded by our fee revenue and service charges, our hiring does not impact the General Fund deficit.

The work done by the department is critical to economic recovery, health and safety, emergency preparedness, and emergency response. The department does this work by reviewing and approving plans for everything from a single family home renovation to a new hospital, by holding landlords to account for unsafe living conditions, through the soft story program, high-rise window inspections, and responding when disaster strikes; It is DBI's responsibility to ensure the safety and habitability of our City.

The BIC requests your support to fill the positions requested in DBI's budget submission to maintain, and increase the efficiency of providing core public services.

Please approve DBI's positions as requested in the proposed budget.

Code Enforcement Grants (Currently General Fund line)

The BIC passed one motion that approved forwarding the staff's budget to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors with the recommendation that the Code Enforcement Grants be fully funded. The BIC considered two pathways for funding this budget line by restoring FY 25-26 10% reduction and the FY 26-27 additional 15% reduction:

- 1. General Fund: The Mayor and Board of Supervisors could restore the Code Enforcement Grants to full funding using the General Fund; or
- 2. 1.5% Fee Increase: DBI could increase fees across the board by 1.5% as part of its fee legislation to compensate for the proposed General Fund reductions in support.

For decades, DBI funded community-based enforcement programming. However, most recently the General Fund has supported these programs. This change in funding source was, in part, a result of a budget shortfall.

The work of these Code Enforcement Grants is important and supports the core mission of DBI. These programs reach residents that that are living in unsafe living conditions that do not know where to start or are too afraid to report. They are often the most vulnerable SRO residents, immigrant families, and low-income seniors. The BIC supports and recognizes the value of the work done by these programs and the necessity for culturally competent, multi-lingual, direct services to vulnerable populations. These providers go to the tenants as well as take complaints. Reduction in outreach services will not mean a reduction in need, it will mean more tenants leave inhabitable apartments and end up homeless or people will suffer health conditions as a result of uninhabitable housing.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these two important elements to keep city services running. We appreciate your time and attention to these services.

Sincerely,

Building Inspection Commissioners

Alysabeth Alexander-Tut Evita Chavez Catherine Meng Bianca Neumann Kavin Williams cc: Patrick O'Riordan, Director Mayor Daniel Lurie Board of Supervisors



June 3, 2025

Budget and Appropriations Committee Supervisors Connie Chan

Matt Dorsey

Joel Engardio

Shamann Walton

Rafael Mandelman

Dear Budget and Appropriations Committee:

It has come to my attention that the Legacy Business program is in jeopardy of losing its Program Manager position. From the very beginning, Richard Kurylo has exemplified his role in the program and has identified over 400 legacy businesses in San Francisco.

Due to Rick's advocacy, there are currently 13 legacy businesses in Japantown, and we are presently working on identifying additional businesses. Rick has been very instrumental in supporting our legacy small businesses and we could not have accomplished this arduous task without his dedicated support.

We understand that the current budget crisis is staggering but we urge you to please consider retaining this important position that will help keep San Francisco one of the top tourist destinations in the country especially as we rebuild the city's economic future.

Sincerely,

Richard Hashimoto

President

Cc: Supervisor Bilal Mahmood

From: Jon T

To: <u>Jalipa, Brent (BOS)</u>

Subject: Public comment on Mayor"s FY26 Proposed budget

Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:50:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, currently working at City Planning in the finance and administration division as the Financial Operations Manager and my 1825 Principal Administrative Analyst II position and five others from my department are slated to be laid off in the Mayor's proposed FY26 budget.

This is my 19th year working for the city and county of San Francisco. I got my first start by participating in the accounting internship program hosted by the Controller's Office in 2006. Through the years I've taken many civil service exams and been placed on multiple hiring lists which has guided me to five different departments and five different promotive classifications.

The team I manage is small with only four members; it was 30% larger when I started four years ago, but it is mighty. Whenever a team member goes on any type of extended leave, we are forced to shift priorities and job roles.

In January we were slated to fill a vacated 1654 supervisory accounting III position but that was put on hold with the hiring freeze announced by the Mayor. Since then, another team member has gone on leave, and they will not be back until 2026.

With uncertainty around hiring next fiscal year from the mayor's office, we are asking the Controller's office to help us to hire one accountant intern instead.

In this current scenario, We would be laying off the person managing the finance operations for the department and replacing them with an accounting intern. The same position that I was hired for 19 years ago.

Please take some action so that we won't have to risk our fiscal operations.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

tso318@gmail.com

From: <u>Diana Kaytun</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diana Kaytun

Email corex123@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely, Diana From: Ruth Levy

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

<u>SauterStaf</u>

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:19:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ruth Levy

Email rjlevy50@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Ruth J. Levy

From: Firas Bukhari

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Firas Bukhari

Email firasbukhari@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely, Firas Bukhari From: David Wise

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterSta

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Wise

Email namaste@sonic.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>James Earhart</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

<u>SauterSta</u>

Subject: jwearhart17@gmail.com

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Earhart

Email jwearhart17@gmail.com

jwearhart17@gmail.com

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>JEFFREY NIGH</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:55:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JEFFREY NIGH

Email JANIGH@COMCAST.NET

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Sona Sondh

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sona Sondhi

Email sonya@sondhi.ca

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Lara Witte

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Lara Witter

Email larawitter@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Peter Fortune

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:06:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Fortune

Email pt4tune@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Neville Morcom

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Neville Morcom

Email nmorcom@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Denise Foley

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Denise Foley

Email denisemrf79@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Barry Rede

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Barry Reder

Email unclbar@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Gianmatteo Costanza

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:45:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gianmatteo Costanza

Email gianmatteo.costanza@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Geoff Motlow

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Geoff Motlow

Email geoff.motlow@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Hatun Noguera</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Email noguera@changes.world

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>JeNeal Granieri</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 6:29:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri

Email jenealann@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Devon Johnson

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 7:17:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Devon Johnson

Email dpj@FangJohnson.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Jim Connelly

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 8:20:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

Email jim-connelly@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Amir Talebi

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:
Date:

I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Amir Talebi

Email AmirTalebi123@Gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: John Riley

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John Riley

Email johnjriley@mindspring.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

John Riley

From: Ronald Albuche

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ronald Albucher

Email ronalbucher@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Vera Poon</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Mayor Lurie"s Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF"s Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:42:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Vera Poon

Email vjpoon@gmail.com

I Support Mayor Lurie's Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget! It is time to end San Francisco's structural deficit.

Thank you Mayor Lurie for taking on structural budget reform.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M in inflation-adjusted dollars since 2012 (the last time we had the same population). We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that collaborate with city agencies and then lobby those agencies.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. Public safety underpins everything else in a healthy city.

From: <u>James Bertana</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Bertana

Email jimbertana@icloud.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely, Jim Bertana From: Peter Philipp

To: Mayor, MYR (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Support for Mayor Lurie's Budget Proposal **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 6:10:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mandelman, and Members of the Board,

I'm writing as a resident of the Mission Dolores neighborhood to express my strong support for Mayor Lurie's proposal to reduce the city workforce by approximately 1,400 positions to help close San Francisco's budget deficit.

It's clear that the city is facing serious fiscal challenges, and difficult decisions are necessary to restore financial discipline and ensure long-term sustainability. I appreciate the Mayor's willingness to take responsible, proactive steps—even when they're not politically easy.

I hope the Board will work constructively with the Mayor to implement this proposal and take further measures to focus the city's resources on core services and measurable outcomes. As a constituent, I would like to see city government operate more efficiently, with greater accountability and a renewed focus on outcomes that improve quality of life for residents.

Thank you for your leadership during this critical time.

All the best, Peter Philipp 350 Cumberland St San Francisco CA 94114 From: Marion Novasio

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 7:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marion Novasic

Email mn20001@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: David Nolley

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 9:01:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Nolley

Email danolley@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

My wife and I fully support right-sizing the San

Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now instead of delaying this very much-needed process any longer.

It is clear to residents like us, having lived here for over 40 years, that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would surely support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget as you "call the shots".

All fraud should be rooted out. For examples,

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated Immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery while also protecting us elders from adversity.

From: Dianne Brock

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 11:52:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dianne Brock

Email diannebrock@mac.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Nancy Zajac

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 1:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nancy Zajac

Email njzajac@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Michelle Cody

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 1:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michelle Cody

Email wise8689@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Donna Brown

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Friday, May 30, 2025 3:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Donna Brown

Email donna.brown05@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Tobias H.Dodge</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance

Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 10:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Title: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance

Prepared for: [Insert Name of Mayor, Department, or Foundation]

Prepared by: Tobias Hafenecker-Dodge

Humboldt Growers Network

tobiasdodge@mac.com

415-583-3555

Date: [Insert Date]

For review and discussion only. Not for redistribution without permission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

San Francisco is facing a \$1 billion annual budget shortfall. Rather than rely on austerity, federal subsidies, or ever-increasing property taxes, this proposal outlines a Civic Wealth Strategy: a citywide public ownership model that allows San Francisco to earn what it needs to invest in its people, restore public trust, and create long-term economic resilience.

This strategy identifies nine key revenue-generating assets—ranging from public broadband and storefront leasing to bathhouses and solar leases—and outlines how the City can scale public ownership to reach \$1.5 billion in annual recurring revenue. With an emphasis on equity, fiscal autonomy, and collaborative governance, the Civic Wealth Strategy is a blueprint for rebuilding civic infrastructure from the ground up.

REVENUE STREAM STRATEGY

The Civic Wealth Strategy is built on the following nine revenue streams:

- 1. **Value Capture Districts** Generate public revenue by capturing the rise in land value from public investments in transit, parks, and zoning.
- 2. **Storefront Activation** Acquire and lease ground-floor retail space to local businesses and community institutions.
- 3. **Municipal Broadband Utility** Establish a city-owned internet service to provide affordable, high-speed access and recurring revenue.
- 4. **Civic REIT** Consolidate income-generating assets into a public real estate investment trust.

- 5. **Waterfront Redevelopment** Transform underused shoreline into a public destination and commercial corridor.
- 6. **The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)** Convert city buildings into civic wellness centers with equity-based pricing.
- 7. **Parking Infrastructure** Build and operate garages with dynamic pricing to support city centers.
- 8. **Green Energy Leases** Lease rooftops and land for solar development and civic resilience.
- 9. **Lifeline Hubs** Repurpose civic buildings into community infrastructure for mentorship, jobs, and public care.

Together, these streams can replace regressive funding models, reduce reliance on enforcement systems, and stabilize neighborhood economies.

IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

Phase 1: Foundational (Year 1–2)

- Establish Civic Wealth Office and fund pilot staff positions
- Identify and acquire initial sites for storefronts, bathhouses, broadband, and Lifeline
- Launch bond initiative or REIT structuring study

Phase 2: Expansion (Year 3–7)

- Implement full-scale broadband and storefront leasing models
- Activate Value Capture Districts in tandem with major public works
- Expand Lifeline across key neighborhoods
- Launch Civic REIT and publish annual public dividend model

Phase 3: Institutionalization (Year 8–20)

- Reach 25% city ownership of SF built environment
- Integrate revenue into general fund strategy
- Sustain Lifeline and REIT as core city platforms

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

• Civic Wealth Office – Oversees interagency coordination, investment, and revenue

- **REIT Governance Board** Manages civic real estate and reports to public
- **Lifeline Local Councils** Neighborhood boards to run Lifeline hubs and manage care systems
- Public Accountability Reports Annual fiscal reporting and citizen oversight dashboards

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Revenue Stream	Annual Net Revenue	Startup Investment
Value Capture Districts	\$200M	\$1B
Storefront Activation	\$200M	\$4.2B
Municipal Broadband	\$150M	\$250M
Civic REIT	\$150M	\$2B
Waterfront Redevelopment	\$100M	\$1B
The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)	\$75M	\$300M
Parking Infrastructure	\$75M	\$500M
Green Energy Leases	\$50M	\$200M
Lifeline Hubs	High SROI (2–3x)	\$150K-\$300K per site

Total Target Revenue: \$1.5B annually

Investment Strategy: Bond issuance + phased asset acquisition

NEXT STEPS

- Secure pilot funding and legislative support
- Begin interagency coordination for Civic Wealth Office
- Identify initial acquisition targets and properties
- Launch public campaign around Civic Ownership and Lifeline

CLOSING STATEMENT

This proposal is intended as a foundation for dialogue, policy coordination, and civic innovation. We welcome questions, modifications, and collaboration with departments, foundations, and community stakeholders interested in realizing a fiscally self-reliant, equity-driven future for San Francisco.

Attachments available upon request:

- Appendix A: Sample Pilot Concepts
- Appendix B: Letters of Support / Use Cases
- Appendix C: Financial Modeling

From: Robb Fleischer

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robb Fleischer

Email rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>itorres1950</u>

To: <u>Lurie, Daniel (MYR)</u>; <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Cc: joefitz@sfstandard.com; ggreschler@sfstandard.com; nbaustin@sfstandard.com

Subject: SF Budget Decision

Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 5:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

After reading the piece from <u>SF Standard on the budget</u>, it's obvious we need people fiscally responsible making decisions concerning a \$16B budget.

We cannot allow city employees to dictate financial decisions if their primary concern is protecting their own interests over the well-being of San Francisco. Those making budgetary choices should be free of personal debt, have a proven track record of managing finances responsibly, and understand the importance of saving and spending within their means. These reasons are why some of you may not qualifications to balance our budget.

We do not need individuals engaging in personal attacks, whether in private or public discourse. Nor should budgetary decisions be left to those living paycheck to paycheck when they are responsible for the financial stability of an entire city.

As we work toward a sound budget, financial literacy must be a focus—ensuring city employees learn to spend responsibly within their means. Employee salaries should be tied to the financial health of San Francisco, meaning cost-of-living adjustments should reflect both economic highs and lows. Furthermore, a policy should be implemented to prevent city employees—particularly police—from publicly disparaging the city they serve.

For years, the police have voiced concerns about low morale, rising crime, and a liberal district attorney. Yet, despite these claims, officers have consistently padded their base salaries through excessive overtime—often earning double to quadruple their standard pay—while statistics indicate that San Francisco is safer than ever. It's time to educate both city employees and the public to prevent taxpayers from being misled by self-serving narratives.

We've seen troubling instances, such as an unhoused individual recording an SFPD officer stating his intent to clear RVs simply to satisfy the mayor. The union leader and officers openly defy the public, tactlessly giving the middle finger while knowingly getting recorded and undermining elected officials by saying they are only doing a job. These same individuals —who prioritize self-interest over civic duty—are contributing to the city's fiscal crisis. The fox is guarding the hen house.

We also have other City agencies under scrutiny for corruption and misallocation of funds. These agencies should have no say in the budget.

I long for the days when public employees were underpaid because a lifetime pension balanced the lower salaries. Now we have public employees with salaries that compete with the private sector and we are on a fiscal cliff.

Balancing the budget is a challenging task. I hope you all recognize that some of you may not

be the right people for the job and should defer to those with the proven capability to handle it effectively.

Sent with <u>Proton Mail</u> secure email.

From: Eugene Galvir
To: Board of Supe

Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 10:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Eugene Galvin

Email eggalvin@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

Why is San Francisco's number of city employees so very much larger per population than any other city in the state even taking into consideration that it also a county?

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,	

From: Carmen Woo

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

<u>SauterStaf</u>

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:12:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carmen Woo

Email carmenwoo68@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely, Carmen Woo From: Jane Ma

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Ma

Email mischama13@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: cynthia brown

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent cynthia brown

Email cymphany@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Leland Faus

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Monday, June 2, 2025 12:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Leland Faust

Email Ifaust@taylorfaust.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Naeemah Charles
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:32:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Naeemah Charles ncharles@glide.org 899 pine street, apartment 1902 San Francisco, California 94108 From: <u>Erick Arguello</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:41:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erick Arguello eriq94110@aol.com 1065 Hampshire St. San Francisco, California 94110 From: <u>Joyce Sabel</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel voicejoy@hotmail.com 2508 Lake St San Francisco, California 94121 From: Cheryl Sinclair

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net 332 Genevieve Avenue Pacifica, California 94044 From: Byron Gordon

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:56:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Byron Gordon Marketing Manager GLIDE

Byron Gordon bgordon@glide.org 579 Texas Street San Francisco, California 94102 From: <u>Kirsten Smith</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kirsten Smith kirstensmith.lcsw@gmail.com 1600 Walnut Street San Carlos, California 94070 From: louise pattersor

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Monday, June 2, 2025 4:39:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent louise patterson

Email Imuhlfeld@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Justin Truong</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaff

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Monday, June 2, 2025 6:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

Email justintruong56@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Jordan Santana</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Save Glide Social Justice Academy- Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:04:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello Supervisor Chan,

I am a D1 resident and I writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy.

This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thank you, Jordan Santana

Jordan Santana jordan@guitarsushi.com 2304 Clement Street San Francisco, California 94121 From: George Sutton

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:47:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

George Sutton georgethefree77@gmail.com 1760 Bush Street Apt 203 San Francisco, California 94109 From: <u>Leroy Parkinson</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget **Date:** Monday, June 2, 2025 10:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leroy Parkinson Iman650@yahoo.com 140 Juanita Ave Pacifica, 9404 From: <u>Vanessa S. Cline-Davis</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Urgent: Restore Funding for GLIDE"s Social Justice Academy

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed elimination of funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This vital program empowers marginalized communities, providing advocacy and leadership opportunities for individuals facing food insecurity, homelessness, and substance use issues.

Defunding the Academy would be a disservice to the very people San Francisco strives to uplift. Our city must prioritize programs that strengthen communities, foster equity, and offer tangible solutions to systemic challenges. I urge you to reconsider the budget proposal and restore funding to this essential initiative.

I ask that you stand with the residents who rely on the Academy and ensure it continues its transformative work. Thank you for your time and commitment to our city.

Sincerely, Vanessa

Vanessa S. Cline-Davis vclinedavis@gmail.com 400 McAlister Not Hispanic or Latino, California 94102 From: <u>Shiba Bandeeba</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:25:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shiba Bandeeba shibabandeeba@gmail.com 1968 Great Highway Apt. A San Francisco and 94103, California 94116 From: <u>Juliana</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Against tennis court fee

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Hi there,

I am a tennis player in the city and will be attending the meeting later today virtually. I heard we cannot make comments virtually so wanted to express my thoughts prior.

"I am an avid tennis player in the city and feel it's very important that the courts are accessible to all. Over the past year, I have reignited my love for tennis, play multiple times a week, and it has genuinely changed my life. Imposing a \$5 individual fee and \$20 nonprofit fee would constrict the amount of tennis I can play. There are many other ways to prevent no-shows, including having QR codes at the courts and requiring people to check in. Please do not pass this legislation."

Thanks, Juliana Gensheimer From: Charlotte Ashlock

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Charlotte Ashlock charlotte.ashlock@protonmail.com 758 Kingston Ave 3209 Oakland, California 94611 From: <u>Joshua Siebalt</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:54:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joshua Siebalt jsiebalt@yahoo.com 1186 Eddy St, A San Francisco , California 94109 From: Anna Sojourner

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Glide Social Justice Prgram Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 11:20:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Please continue the essential funding GLIDE to continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anna Sojourner bear.smokey@gmail.com 601 Van Ness Ave., Apt 852 San Francisco, California 94102-3260 From: Adrienne Hoyer

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Adrienne Hoyer

Email amhoyet@sbcglobal.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Donna Stewart

Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; To:

Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent **Donna Stewart**

Email donnasever@sbcglobal.net

Subject Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate

Homeless Shelters in Every District

Message: Dear Supervisors,

> San Francisco needs more shelter beds, but it is financially irresponsible, especially now with a looming deficit, to mandate placing shelter facilities in every district. Implementing shelters and behavioral health centers throughout our city will only create more problems and safety issues for everyday

residents of San Francisco.

It risks disrupting stable communities without meaningfully addressing the root causes of homelessness concentrated in areas like the Tenderloin and SOMA. And I agree, SOMA, Tenderloin, Bayview should not bear the sole

burden,

San Francisco officials would be wise to consider looking at land outside the city to provide shelter facilities, or at

I urge you to oppose District 5 Supervisor Bilal Mahmood's proposed legislation that would require the city to approve at least one shelter or behavioral health center in each district in the next 18 months.

Rather I encourage you to expand the search and implementation of shelters and behavioral health centers to pockets of underutilized or lightly used

light industrial areas of San Francisco or outside of San Francisco. For example: Log Cabin Ranch (a 600 acre property the city of San Francisco owns in Santa Cruz County.

From: Cornell Lee

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:47:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cornell Lee

Email corny1215@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Alejandra Navarro
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alejandra Navarro navarro.ala@gmail.com 128 Eureka St SF, California 94114 From: <u>Carmel Passani</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

Email carmel2710@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Patrick Cannon
To: Board of Superv

Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patrick Cannon

Email pecannon1@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: fivelez73@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

fjvelez73@gmail.com 824 Palmer Road Yonkers, New York 10708 From: <u>Tiffany Meyers</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiffany Meyers tiffariel@gmail.com 21 Thomas Ave, Apt. 10 Brisbane, California 94005-1701 From: <u>Jeffrey Hurwitz</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz jahurwitzhome@cs.com 584 42nd Ave San Francisco, California 94121 From: <u>Justin Philipps</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps jphilipps1259@gmail.com 1385 independence Court Newark, Ohio 43055 From: <u>Martin Horwitz</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Martin Horwitz martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com 1326 23rd Ave San Francisco, California 94122 From: <u>James Phelps</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

James Phelps chezphelps@juno.com 7511 Teasdale Ave. St. Louis, Missouri 63130 From: <u>Kicab Castaneda-Mendez</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez kicabcm@yahoo.com 878 Fearrington Post Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312 From: Sharon Longyear

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:09:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sharon Longyear sharonmlongyear@gmail.com 21 Rondout Harbor Port Ewen, New York 12466 From: Richard Stern

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
1nycgator@gmail.com
11 Riverside Dr, 1NW
New York, New York 10023-2504

From: Stephan Donovan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan stephandonovan@aol.com 11900 N. Labyrinth Drive Oro Valley, Arizona 85737 From: Phillip Mixon

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Mixon phillipmixon1970@gmail.com 1468 Briarwood Rd NE Unit 1902 Atlanta, Georgia 30319 From: <u>Vinodkumar Gadley</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley vgadley2003@yahoo.com 2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135 Las Vegas, Nevada 89108 From: Aj Cho

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aj Cho amenoartemis@gmail.com 159 Santa Teresa San Leandro, California 94579 From: <u>Dudley and Candace Campbell</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:50:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell cdcampbl@roadrunner.com 13167 Ortley Pl Valley Glen, California 91401 From: Birgit Hermann

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Birgit Hermann bhermannsf@aol.com 627 Page St San Francisco , California 94117 From: <u>Steven Vogel</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Steven Vogel steven.j.vogel@earthlink.net 449 Hampton Court Falls Church, Virginia 22046-4121 From: <u>Michael Palmieri</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com 9431 SW 1st PI # 3 Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420 From: Robb Fleischer

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:43:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robb Fleischer

Email rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: TYREE LESLIE

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Center for social justice Academy

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TYREE LESLIE
leslietyree0@gmail.com
376 ELLIS APT 507
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: melvin taylor

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:03:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

melvin taylor melvin-taylor@usa.net 6585 CalvineRoad Sacramento, California 95823 From: Giselle Flores

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:19:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead. Thank you.

Giselle Flores giselle.05f@gmail.com 535 Minna Street San Francisco , California 94103 From: <u>Jeffrey Allen</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Allen jallen@tenderloinmuseum.org 398 Eddy Street San Francisco, California 94102 From: Giselle Flores

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead. Thank you.

With deep sincerity, Giselle Flores A fellow in Social Justice Academy giselle.05f@gmail.com

Giselle Flores giselle.05f@gmail.com 535 Minna Street San Francisco , California 94103 From: JL Angell

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: Robert Strelke

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: <u>Tom Flint</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget! **Date:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tom Flint

Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Susan Abby

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Susan Abby mssueabby@aol.com 2117 Judah Street San Francisco, CA 94122 From: <u>Tiziana Perinotti</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti tgp_2001@hotmail.com 1111 Jones St. San Francisco, California 94109 From: Mary Anne .Paul

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:46:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne .Paul maryannepaul@hotmail.com 186 Crestview Court Watsonville, California 95076 From: <u>Melissa Morales</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melissa Morales melissamoralesm@gmail.com 7224 Dolores St San Francisco, California 94114 From: <u>iambasque@gmail.com</u>
To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget **Date:** Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

iambasque@gmail.com 2775 SW 107th Avenue Portland, Oregon 97225 From: <u>Tony Segura</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tony Segura segura2112@yahoo.com 1700 Alta Dr Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 From: <u>Lacey Hicks</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 8:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lacey Hicks
laceyhicks@hotmail.com
4463 Hyde
Fremont, California 94538

From: Angela Griffin

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:13:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin angelagriffin3535@gmail.com 260 30th street Oakland, 96611 From: <u>Lauren Murdock</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: Ashley Ouellette

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ashley Ouellette agirl1018@gmail.com 311 Granite Street Biddeford, Maine 04005 From: (MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:36:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

(MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS pickensclairence159@gmail.com 62MontvilleSt, B Hartford, Connecticut 06120 From: <u>Janet Maker</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker janet29018@gmail.com 925 Malcolm Av. Los Angeles, California 90024 From: Lena Fine

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lena Fine lenafine7@gmail.com 265 Union Campbell , California 95008 From: <u>Elizabeth</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>; <u>Lurie</u>, <u>Daniel (MYR)</u>; <u>MahmoodStaff</u>

Subject: Zoo audit problem!!!

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:04:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a voter and tax payer in San Francisco, I urge you to **stop paying \$4 million a year to an organization (the Zoo)** which does NOT comply with an audit! It is critical for San Francisco to take care of its finances -- especially in a year where the Mayor and Supervisors have to approve a lot of cuts to the budget! The **Zoo is NOT** a priority for San Francisco taxpayers!

We want you to cut their funding until they comply with the audit!!! Or to cut funding completely. Thank you.

Elizabeth Stahl San Francisco From: thenumber1murf@aol.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:18:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

thenumber1murf@aol.com 4400 W. riiverside Dr. Ste 110-309 Burbank, California 91505

From: Melanie Rogers

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melanie Rogers mrogers@glide.org 330 Ellis Street San Francisco, California 94102

From: Sonny Lee

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:31:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Sonny Lee

Email hobosf2@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: Anne Hoye

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Anne Hoyer

Email amhoyer2@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: Anant Handa

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:17:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Anant Handa

Email ananthanda@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco

Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous

mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: <u>Jay Rice</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jay Rice jaysrice@comcast.net 72 Holstrom Cir Novato, California 94947-2075

From: <u>Joyce Sabel</u>

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel voicejoy@hotmail.com 2508 Lake St San Francisco, California 94121

From: Regina Islas

To:Board of Supervisors (BOS)Subject:Budget Proposal 2025/6

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:44:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Clerk and Supervisors,

- 1. NO OT THIS YEAR for the SFPD, not One Dime. Make it YOUR MANTRA The 10B program must be eviscerated FULL STOP it is a cesspool of corruption as we learned by the Asst Chief's presentation here in APR. ALL THE NON-PROFS SERVING SF VULNERABLE citizens COULD'VE BEEN FULLY FUNDED WITH the wasted OT MONEY! THERE MUST BE CONSEQUENCES FOR OT VIOLATORS -
- 2. Spend the 500M FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING that has amassed FROM to Prop I THAT WE APPROVED BY OUR VOTES, NOW, TODAY, WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY OR FOOT DRAGGING BY THE MAYOR OR ANYONE ELSE. THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED IS URGENT AS YOU KNOW.
- 3. NO FURTHER CUTS TO MUNI PERSONNEL OR CURTAILING LINES WE SHOULD BE EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THIS VITAL & CRITICAL SERVICE TO OUR CITY USE THE GENERAL FUNDS THIS IS THE RAINY DAY! I JUST RETURNED FROM A TRIP TO CHICAGO WHERE I COULD TAKE TRAINS AND BUSES

THAT ARRIVED IN LESS THAN 20-30 MINS TO GET EVERYWHERE-WE EXPECT NO LESS OF OUR TRANSIT HERE!

Onward together,

Regina Islas regina.islas@gmail.com 650.484.7706

From: <u>jtorres1950</u>

To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR)

Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com

Subject: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:50:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,

The San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over \$280 million in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With over 150,000 emergency calls annually, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.

Optimizing Emergency Response

Despite their primary training for firefighting, over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies rather than fires. Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases. San Francisco has over 1,500 firefighters, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could ensure faster, more efficient medical responses. Seeing a firefighter, who makes \$200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million)**, annual fire incidents average just 4,000, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000)** reports under 1,500 fires annually—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide a more effective and cost-efficient system for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters work three-day weeks, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In 2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over \$150,000,

with some topping \$250,000 after overtime. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making \$500K/year. With mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to more equitable pay distribution. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to purchase large fire trucks costing over \$1.5 million each, despite declining fire incidents. More than 60% of fire engines and 90% of fire trucks and ambulances in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after 50 years. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits, many under questionable circumstances. Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks can protect workers while reducing abuse of public funds.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience. Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models. With medical emergencies now the dominant service need, the city should modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions—ensuring resources go where they're needed most.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Gloria Fooks

To: <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks gloriafooks@att.net 450 Park Dr Saint Clair, Missouri 63077 From: <u>Jackie Fletcher</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject:I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!Date:Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

From: <u>Jackie Fletcher</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: Denise Selleck

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Denise Selleck

Email deniselleck@sbcglobal.net

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous

mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: Mitchell Smith

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:16:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco

Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous

mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: Barbara Dwyer

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStat

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Barbara Dwyer

Email montereydivingwoman@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

which then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco

Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous

mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer District 8 From: Tom Flint

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA Date:

Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Tom Flint

Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco

Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous

mayor.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: Judi Gorsk

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:35:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Judi Gorski

Email judigorski@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski, SF Resident 45+ years From: michael ryan
To: Board of Sup

Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent michael ryan

Email mmryan2@hotmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: <u>Jasmine Madatian</u>
To: Board of Superviso

Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Jasmine Madatian

Email madatian.j@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies. Their agendas often work AGAINST

the needs of seniors and the disabled.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From: ROBERT GEASE

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;

SauterStaf

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent ROBERT GEASE

Email robgease@yahoo.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

From:

Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; To:

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA Date:

Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Cornell Lee

Email corny1215@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and

then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and

Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations

who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive

substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials

and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Office of the Mayor San Francisco



DANIEL LURIE MAYOR

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director

Date: May 30, 2025

Re: Mayor's FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Budget Submission

RECEIVED AK
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PNO4:18

Madam Clerk,

In accordance with City and County of San Francisco Charter, Article IX, Section 9.100, the Mayor's Office hereby submits the Mayor's proposed budget by May 30th, corresponding legislation, and related materials for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-26 and FY 2026-27.

In addition to the Mayor's Proposed FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Budget Book, the following items are included in the Mayor's submission:

- Proposed *Interim* Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO)
- Proposed *Interim* Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO)
- Proposed Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO)
- Proposed Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO)
- Administrative Provisions for both, but separate documents of the AAO and ASO, in tracked changes, and on pleading paper
- Proposed Budget for the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
- A Transfer of Function letter detailing the transfer of positions from one City department to another
- An Interim Exception letter to the ASO
- PUC Capital Amendment and Debt Authorization
- Prop J Certification Letters
- A letter addressing funding levels for consumer price index increases for nonprofit corporations or public entities for the coming two fiscal years
- 40 pieces of trailing legislation
- Memo to the Board President requesting for 30-day rule waivers on ordinances

Please note the following:

• Technical adjustments to the June 1 budget are being prepared, but are not submitted with this set

Sincerely,

Sophia Kittler

Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors

Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office

Controller

No	DEPT	Item	Description	Type of Legislation	File #
1	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to modify the fees for the use of City Hall	Ordinance	250591
2	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to transfer responsibilities for oversight of the collection of sexual orientation and gender identity data from the City Administrator to the Human Rights Commission and removing obsolete reporting requirements	RECEIVED AK D OF SUPERVISO AN FRANCISCO Ordinance AO4:1	250502
3	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to clarify the status of the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") as a City department	Ordinance	250594
4	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Convention Facilities Management	Resolution	250615
5	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Security Services for RED Buildings	Resolution	250615
6	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Custodial Services for RED Buildings	Resolution	250615
7	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Security Guard Service at Central Shops	Resolution	250615
8	BOS	Continuing Prop J	Budget and Legislative Analyst Services	Resolution	250615
9	CON	Access Line Tax	Resolution concurring with the Controller's establishment of the Consumer Price Index for 2025, and adjusting the Access Line Tax by the same rate.	Resolution	250612
10	CON	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to eliminate the Budget Savings Incentive Fund	Ordinance	250595
11	CON	Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax	Adopting the Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean- up Fund Tax designation ceiling for tax year 2025	Ordinance	250596
12	DBI	DBI Fee Changes	Amending the Building, Subdivision, and Administrative Codes to adjust fees charged by the Department of Building Inspection and to establish Subfunds within the Building Inspection Fund; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Ordinance	250592
13	DEC	Early Care and Education	Modifying the baseline funding requirements for early care and	Ordinance	250597

		Commercial Rents Tax Baseline	education programs in Fiscal Years (FYs) 2025-2026 and 2026- 2027, to enable the City to use the interest earned from the Early Care and Education Commercial Rents Tax for those baseline programs		cont'd 250597
14	DPH	State Recurring Grants FY25-36	Authorizing the acceptance and expenditure of Recurring State grant funds by the San Francisco Department of Public Health for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-2026	Resolution	250618
15	DPH	CCE Expansion Grant	Grant Agreement - California Department of Social Services - Community Care Expansion Program - Anticipated Revenue to the City \$9,895,834	Resolution	250619
16	DPH	Code Amendment	Various Codes - Environmental Health Permit, Fee, and Penalties Revisions	Ordinance	250606
17	DPH	HHIP Grant	Delegation of 9.118 Authority - Accept and Expend Grant - San Francisco Health Authority, a local governmental entity doing business as the San Francisco Health Plan ("Health Plan" or "SFHP") - Housing and Homelessness Incentive Program ("HHIP") Expanding San Francisco Department of Public Health Recuperative Care Community Supports - \$2,489,698.63	Resolution	250620
18	DPH	IPP Grant	Delegation of 9.118 Authority - Accept and Expend Grant - San Francisco Health Authority, a local governmental entity doing business as the San Francisco Health Plan ("Health Plan" or "SFHP") - Incentive Payment Program ("IPP") San Francisco Department of Public Health Epic Enhancement Implementation Project - \$6,000,000	Resolution	250621
19	DPH	Patient Revenues	Amending the Health Code to set patient rates for services provided by the Department of Public Health (DPH), for Fiscal Years 2025-2026 and 2026-2027; and authorizing DPH to waive or reduce fees to meet the needs of low-income patients through its	Resolution Ordinance	250607

			provision of charity care and other discounted payment programs		cont'd 250607
20	DPH	Continuing Prop J	Healthcare Security at Primary Care Clinics	Resolution	250615
21	DPW	DPW Fee Changes	Public Works, Subdivision Codes - Fee Modification and Waiver	Ordinance	250608
22	DPW	Continuing Prop J	Yard Operations and Street Tree Nursery	Resolution	250615
23	HOM	Continuing Prop J	Security Services	Resolution	250615
24	НОМ	Continuing Prop J	Homelessness and Supportive Housing security services	Resolution	250615
25	НОМ	Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund	Approving the FYs 2025-2026 and 2026-2027 Expenditure Plan for the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund	Resolution	250613
26	НОМ	Our City, Our Home Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax	Funding Reallocation - Our City, Our Home Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax - Services to Address Homelessness - \$88,495,000 Plus Future Revenue Through FY 2027-28	Ordinance	250609
27	LIB	Friends of the Library A&E	Annual Accept & Expend legislation for the SFPL's Friends of the Library Fund	Resolution	250614
28	МОНСО	Continuing Prop J	Treeline Security Inc services for City-owned properties in predevelopment for affordable housing sites	Resolution	250615
29	OCII	OCII Budget Resolution	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2025-26 Budget	Resolution	250611
30	OCII	OCII Interim Budget Resolution	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2025-26 Interim Budget	Resolution	250610
31	PDR	Crankstart Foundation Grant A&E	Accept and Expend Grant - Retroactive - Immigration Defense Unit - Crankstart Foundation - Amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance for FYs 2024-25 and 2025-26 - \$3,400,000	Ordinance	250598
32	POL	Code Amendment	Registration Fees and Fingerprint ID Fund	Ordinance	250599
33	PUC	Fixed Budget Amendment	Continues waiving certain small business first-year permit, license, and business registration fees	Ordinance	250602

34	REC	Bobo Estate A&E	Accept and Expend Bequest - Estate of William Benjamin Bobo - Benches, Park Furnishings and Park Improvements Across San Francisco - \$3,600,000	Resolution	250616
35	REC	Code Amendment	Amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge fees for reserving tennis/pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Ordinance	250603
36	REC	Authorizing Paid Parking in Golden Gate Park	Authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to set parking rates in Golden Gate Park in accordance with Park Code provisions that authorize SFMTA rate-setting on park property; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Resolution	250617
37	REC	Code Amendment	PUC Cost Recovery Fee	Ordinance	250604
38	REC	Code Amendment	Scholarship Recovery Fee	Ordinance	250605
39	REG	Continuing Prop J	Assembly and mailing of vote-by-mail ballot packets	Resolution	250615
40	SHF	Continuing Prop J	Jail Food Service	Resolution	250615