

1 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Safe and Accessible Parks for All]
2 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings**
3 **and recommendations contained in the 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled**
4 **“Safe and Accessible Parks for All;” and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation**
5 **of accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads and through**
6 **the development of the annual budget.**

7
8 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
9 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
10 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

11 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
12 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
13 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
14 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
15 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
16 which it has some decision making authority; and

17 WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of
18 Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the
19 findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate
20 past foreperson of the Civil Grand Jury when such hearing is scheduled; and

21 WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b),
22 the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of
23 recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held
24 by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

1 WHEREAS, The 2021-2022 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Safe and Accessible
2 Parks for All” (“Report”) is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
3 220722, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

4 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
5 to Finding Nos. F1, F2, and F3, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1.1, R1.2, R1.3, R2, R3.1,
6 and R3.2, contained in the subject Report; and

7 WHEREAS, Finding No. F1 states: “Published *Hardscape* feature scores for the City’s
8 parks fail to reflect the true surface conditions of pathways for pedestrian and wheelchair
9 traffic, thus providing misguided information to the RPD for setting maintenance priorities, and
10 to the public about a park’s accessibility;” and

11 WHEREAS, Finding No. F2 states: “The RPD doesn’t integrate the park scores into
12 each park’s description;” and

13 WHEREAS, Finding No. F3 states: “The RPD fails to provide park accessibility
14 information on RPD’s website and at all park entrances;” and

15 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1.1 states: “The Jury recommends the Controller’s
16 Office create a *Pathway Condition* feature from the existing park scoring systems that
17 specifically assesses pathway surface conditions by December 31, 2022;” and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1.2 states: “The Jury recommends the RPD set a
19 baseline for the Pathway Condition scores defined in R1.1 by March 31, 2023;” and

20 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1.3 states: “If a park’s Pathway Condition score
21 falls below the baseline defined in R1.2, the Jury recommends the RPD improve that park’s
22 pathway to raise this score to be above the baseline within a reasonable time;” and

23 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2 states: “The Jury recommends the RPD
24 incorporate the most recent park feature scores under each park’s description on the RPD’s
25 website by December 31, 2022;” and

1 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3.1 states: “The Jury recommends the RPD
2 include accessibility information on the RPD’s website by July 1, 2023;” and

3 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3.2 states: “The Jury recommends the RPD post
4 accessibility information at all park entrances by July 1, 2024;” and

5 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of
6 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
7 Court on Finding Nos. F1, F2, and F3, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1.1, R1.2, R1.3,
8 R2, R3.1, and R3.2 contained in the subject Report; now, therefore, be it

9 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
10 Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F1 for reason as follows:
11 _____; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
13 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F2 for reason as follows:
14 _____; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
16 of the Superior Court that they _____ with Finding No. F3 for reason as follows:
17 _____; and, be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
19 No. R1.1 _____; and, be it

20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
21 No. R1.2 _____; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
23 No. R1.3 _____; and, be it

24 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
25 No. R2 _____; and, be it

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
2 No. R3.1 _____; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
4 No. R3.2 _____; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
6 implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads
7 and through the development of the annual budget.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25