From: <u>Jared goldfine</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); PrestonStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS);

Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com

Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment Date: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment Wednesday, June 26, 2024 5:44:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Jared goldfine

Email jared_goldfine@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

From: Marcie Lude:

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); PrestonStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS);

Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com

Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Date: Tuesday, June 25, 2024 2:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Marcie Ludes

Email marcie.ludes@yahoo.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

From: Lynne Sloar

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); PrestonStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS);

Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com

Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Date: Saturday, June 22, 2024 10:45:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Lynne Sloan

Email lynnesloan@gmail.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

From: Hannah Song

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); PrestonStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS);

Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com

Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Date: Saturday, June 22, 2024 5:51:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Hannah Song

Email hhjsong@gmail.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

From: Lynne Sloar

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS): Breed, Mayor London (MYR); PrestonStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS);

Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com

Subject: Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Date: Thursday, June 20, 2024 4:07:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Lynne Sloan

Email lynnesloan@gmail.com

I live in District

Vote No on the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment

Message: Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.