

2003-04 Civil Grand Jury Report:

Incarceration And Beyond: Where Do We Go From Here?

California Penal Code Sections 933.05(a) and (b) requires the responding party to report for each recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury one of the following actions:

<p>1. Recommendation Implemented</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Date Implemented - Summary of Implemented Action 	<p>2. Will Be Implemented in the Future</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Anticipated Timeframe for Implementation 	<p>3. Requires Further Analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation - Timeframe <p>(Not to exceed six months from date of publication of grand jury report)</p>	<p>4. Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation
---	---	---	---

For each recommendation below, indicate which action you have taken or plan to take and provide the required information.

Recommendation	Responses Required From:	Response: 1, 2, 3, or 4
----------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

<p>1a. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should fund in-custody programs at current or higher levels.</p>	<p>Mayor Board of Supervisors</p>	<p>The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee held a hearing on Wednesday, September 22, 2004 on the Civil Grand Jury Report. Representatives from the Civil Grand Jury and the Sheriff's Department were present for the hearing. Per Jack McNulty, Civil Grand Jury Foreperson, a written response from the Sheriff's Department had not been received and requested to continue the matter. The hearing is continued until October 6, 2004.</p> <p>The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee continued the hearing on Wednesday, October 6, 2004 on the Civil Grand Jury Report. Sheriff Mike Hennessey commended the Civil Grand Jury for its findings and recommendations. The Sheriff discussed the Five Keys Program High School for incarcerated juveniles, which is a Charter High School in county jails, and several of the programs that they have in jails that could be a resource after release. Sheriff Hennessey also pointed out due to budget reductions they are faced with a 15% reduction in jail</p>
--	---------------------------------------	--

2003-04 Civil Grand Jury Report:
Incarceration And Beyond: Where Do We Go From Here?

*California Penal Code Sections 933.05(a) and (b) requires the responding party to report for each recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury **one** of the following actions:*

1. Recommendation Implemented - Date Implemented - Summary of Implemented Action	2. Will Be Implemented in the Future - Anticipated Timeframe for Implementation	3. Requires Further Analysis - Explanation - Timeframe (Not to exceed six months from date of publication of grand jury report)	4. Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable - Explanation
---	---	---	---

For each recommendation below, indicate which action you have taken or plan to take and provide the required information.

Recommendation	Responses Required From:	Response: 1, 2, 3, or 4
		programs. Public comment was heard and the matter was filed.
2a. The Mayor's office should determine the needs of individuals exiting the jails to assist in formulating effective programs. 2b. Develop a computer program to track recidivism of individuals entering and exiting the county jail system. 2c. Prioritize services needed by individuals released from the county jails when planning for the homeless.	Sheriff Mayor	
3a. A mentor/sponsor program should be created to assist this population for a limited period after they return to the community from the jails. 3b. An audit of all agencies currently charged with providing services to the homeless should be undertaken.	Sheriff Mayor Office of the Controller Board of Supervisors	See above response.