



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

The Honorable Daniel Lurie  
Mayor of San Francisco  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 200  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Lurie,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than August 16, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board, San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Ms. Connie Chan  
Supervisor - District 1  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Chan,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Ms. Chyanne Chen  
Supervisor - District 11  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Chen,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Matt Dorsey  
Supervisor - District 6  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Dorsey,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Joel Engardio  
Supervisor - District 4  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Engardio,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Ms. Jackie Fielder  
Supervisor - District 9  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Bilal Mahmood  
Supervisor - District 5  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Mahmood,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson





## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Rafael Mandelman  
President of the Board and Supervisor - District 8  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Mandelman,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Ms. Myrna Melgar  
Supervisor - District 7  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Melgar,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Danny Sauter  
Supervisor - District 3  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Sauter,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Stephen Sherrill  
Supervisor - District 2  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Sherrill,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Mr. Shamann Walton  
Supervisor - District 10  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Walton,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

California Penal Code §933(c) requires a response to be submitted to the Presiding Judge no later than September 15, 2025.

California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Julie Kirschbaum  
Director, Municipal Transportation Agency  
One South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Director Kirschbaum,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

While we request that you respond to the findings and recommendations of this report, you are not required to respond. California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

If you choose to respond, please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512, no later than August 16, 2025.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Paul Yep  
Interim Chief, San Francisco Police Department  
SFPD Headquarters  
1245 3rd Street, 6th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94158

Dear Interim Chief Yep,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

While we request that you respond to the findings and recommendations of this report, you are not required to respond. California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

If you choose to respond, please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512, no later than August 16, 2025.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Greg Wagner  
Controller, Office of the Controller  
City Hall, Room 316  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Controller Wagner,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

While we request that you respond to the findings and recommendations of this report, you are not required to respond. California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
2. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
2. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

If you choose to respond, please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512, no later than August 16, 2025.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson





## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### 2024-2025 CIVIL GRAND JURY

June 12, 2025

Cindy Elias  
President, San Francisco Police Commission  
SFPD Headquarters  
1245 3rd Street, 6th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94158

Dear President Elias,

The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury will release a report entitled, "Failed Vision - Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets," to the public on June 17, 2025. Enclosed is an advance copy. By order of the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court, Hon. Rochelle C. East, this report is to be kept confidential until the date of release.

While we request that you respond to the findings and recommendations of this report, you are not required to respond. California Penal Code §933.05 states that as to each finding, the response must indicate one of the following:

3. The respondent agrees with the finding; or
4. The respondent disagrees with the finding, wholly or partially, with an explanation.

As to each recommendation, the response must indicate one of the following:

5. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary of the implementation;
6. The recommendation has not yet been, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation;
7. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation, scope, and parameters of that analysis, and a timeframe for discussion not more than six months from the publication of the grand jury report; or
8. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

If you choose to respond, please e-mail your response to Presiding Judge Rochelle C. East at [SFGrandJury@sftc.org](mailto:SFGrandJury@sftc.org) or mail to 400 McAllister Street, Room 008, San Francisco, CA 94102-4512, no later than August 16, 2025.

Respectfully,

*Michael E Carboy*

Michael Edsall Carboy, Foreperson