

File Number: 240200
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: **Probation Specialized Supervision Program – Violence Against Women Act**
2. Department: **San Francisco Adult Probation Department**
3. Contact Person: **Tonya Jones** Telephone: **628-652-2348**
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: **Not to exceed \$300,000 for 3 years (\$100,000 for FY2023/24; \$100,000 for FY2024-25; \$100,000 for FY2025-26)**
6. a. Matching Funds Required: **\$99,999**
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): **Adult Probation General Fund Project ID 10001628**
7. a. Grant Source Agency: **California Office of Emergency Services (Cal OES)**
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): **N/A**

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: **The San Francisco Adult Probation Department (SFAPD) will continue to enhance the Domestic Violence Unit by utilizing a victim centered approach that is guided by evidence based practices to supervise probationers who reside in the Bayview/Hunters Point district of San Francisco. The Bayview/Hunters Point district represents 25% of domestic violence cases in the DV unit.**

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: **10/01/2023** End-Date: **09/30/2026**

10. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: **\$0**
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? **N/A**
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? **N/A**
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? **N/A**
11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
 Yes No
b. 1. If yes, how much? **\$ 0.00**
b. 2. How was the amount calculated? **N/A**
c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
 Not allowed by granting agency To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
 Other (please explain):
c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? **\$44,884**

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: **We respectfully request for an expedited Resolution. The California Emergency Management Agency requires a copy of the Resolution to issue a fully executed agreement.**

****Disability Access Checklist** (Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Michele Nieve _____ Michele Nieve
(Name)

Manager, Human Resources & Payroll Division _____
(Title)

Date Reviewed: 1/18/2024 _____ Michele Nieve _____
(Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

_____ Cristel M. Tullock
(Name)

Chief Adult Probation Officer _____
(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____ Cristel M. Tullock _____
(Signature Required)