

File No. 110308

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

Board Item No. 17

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date April 12, 2011

#### Cmte Board

- |                          |                                     |                                              |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Resolution                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Ordinance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Budget Analyst Report                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | MOU                                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Public Correspondence                        |

#### OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Joy Lamug

Date April 7, 2011

Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

1 [Affirming the Exemption Determination - 1653 Grant Street]

2  
3 **Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the project located**  
4 **at 1653 Grant Street is exempt from environmental review.**

5  
6 WHEREAS, the Planning Department has determined that a project located at 1653  
7 Grant Street (aka 501 Greenwich Street) is exempt from environmental review under the  
8 California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco  
9 Administrative Code Chapter 31. The proposed work involves installation of a wireless  
10 telecommunications service facility to an existing building. The Appellants provided a copy a  
11 Historical Resources Review Form, issued by the Planning Department on June 3, 2010,  
12 finding the project exempt under Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. §15000  
13 et seq.). Appellant also provided a copy of a Planning Department memorandum from  
14 Planning Commission Secretary Linda Avery, dated February 24, 2011, indicating that the  
15 Planning Commission had heard a request for discretionary review of the proposed project, on  
16 February 17, 2011, but had declined to take discretionary review and instead directed staff to  
17 approve the project as proposed. Accordingly, the appeal is ripe for review. By letter to the  
18 Clerk of the Board Julie Jaycox and Termeh Yeghiazarian, on behalf of the Telegraph Hill  
19 Dwellers("Appellants"), received by the Clerk's Office on March 4, 2011, appealed the  
20 exemption determination; and

21 WHEREAS, On April 12, 2011, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
22 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants, and following the  
23 public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

24 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board  
25 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to

1 concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before  
2 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to  
3 the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board  
4 of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written  
5 record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in  
6 support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and  
7 opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing  
8 before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the  
9 appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.  
10 110307 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

11           MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
12 hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set  
13 forth, the exemption determination; and be it

14           FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole  
15 record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project  
16 circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the  
17 conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the  
18 proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

19           FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption  
20 determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the  
21 public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption  
22 determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a exemption determination  
23 under CEQA.  
24  
25

