

**From:** [Steven Lee](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 3:45:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Steven Lee  
Email                              bdlineman@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [Mark Felix](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Mayor Lurie Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:55:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Mark Felix  
Email                              mafelix86@yahoo.com

### **Mayor Lurie Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Susan Wolff](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 8:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Susan Wolff  
Email                              SunRose7818@gmail.com

### **Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Lucy Ho](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 5:00:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Lucy Ho  
Email                              lucyho888@gmail.com

### **Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Linda Mathews](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 6:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Linda Mathews  
Email                              linda.mathews@yahoo.com

### **Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Griffin Lee](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 6:36:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Griffin Lee  
Email                              griffin.gregory.lee@gmail.com

### **Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space and disproportionately impacts low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Thomas Christianson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:09:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
-Tom

Enviado desde mi iPhone

**From:** [Thomas Christianson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:09:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
-Tom

Enviado desde mi iPhone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation - File No. 250603  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 12:48:56 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from a member of the public regarding:

File No. 250603 - Ordinance amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge fees for reserving tennis/pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Christianson <[thomaslorne@icloud.com](mailto:thomaslorne@icloud.com)>  
Sent: Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:09 PM  
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff (BOS) <[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <[matt.dorsey@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.dorsey@sfgov.org)>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <[joel.engardio@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.engardio@sfgov.org)>; Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <[daniel.lurie@sfgov.org](mailto:daniel.lurie@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; ChenStaff <[ChenStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:ChenStaff@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <[waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org)>; FielderStaff <[FielderStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:FielderStaff@sfgov.org)>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <[melgarstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:melgarstaff@sfgov.org)>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <[DorseyStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:DorseyStaff@sfgov.org)>; MahmoodStaff <[MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org)>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <[EngardioStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:EngardioStaff@sfgov.org)>; SauterStaff <[SauterStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:SauterStaff@sfgov.org)>; SherrillStaff <[SherrillStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:SherrillStaff@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
-Tom

Enviado desde mi iPhone

**From:** [Marina Roche](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Marina Roche  
Email                              marinaroche@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Marie Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Marie Hurabiell  
Email                              mhurabie@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Cassidy Hurabiell Trader](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:43:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Cassidy Hurabiell Trader  
Email                              cassidyhurabiell.caht@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:45:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Stephanie Lehman  
Email                              slehman21@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Ann Iannuccillo](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Ann Iannuccillo  
Email                              iannuccillo@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,  
Ann

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Angelique Mahan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Angelique Mahan  
Email                              Angelmahan@hotmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Judi Gorski](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Judi Gorski  
Email                              judigorski@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Linda Mathews](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:53:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Linda Mathews  
Email                              linda.mathews@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Melissa Rockefeller](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:59:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Melissa Rockefeller  
Email                              melissa.rockefeller@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Halle Cane](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:01:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Halle Cane  
Email                              hallecane@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

**From:** [Michele Bell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Michele Bell  
Email                              michelebell@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:03:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Teresa Shaw  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Schuyler Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Schuyler Kandarian  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Keith Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Keith Kandarian  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Rika Gill](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:08:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Rika Gill  
Email                              rikagill@outlook.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

**From:** [Stephen Gorski](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Stephen Gorski  
Email                              [sjgorskilaw@gmail.com](mailto:sjgorskilaw@gmail.com)

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,  
Stephen J Gorski, D4 resident/voter for 45+ years

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Christina Pappas](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:16:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Christina Pappas  
Email                              scoutca66@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Nada Perrone](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Nada Perrone  
Email                              nbperrone@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Eddy Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:17:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Eddy Sapiro  
Email                              eddysapiro@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Cole Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:19:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Cole Sapiro  
Email                              riptidelax31@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Tessa Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Tessa Sapiro

Email                              tessorca9@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Selena Chu](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:21:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Selena Chu  
Email                              selenachu10@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Shraddha Mehta](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:30:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Shraddha Mehta  
Email                              shraddham@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Terri Klein](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:52:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Terri Klein  
Email                              turby1234@aol.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [James Patterson](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:58:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      James Patterson  
Email                              centermid526837@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Harry Wong](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:00:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Harry Wong  
Email                              hoarser\_aphid.0i@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Mike Regan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:12:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Mike Regan  
Email                              myoldgoat@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

You guys waste more money in a day than most people make in a year. No more money for you live within your means like the rest of have to.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method



**From:** [Renee Lazear](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:12:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Renee Lazear

Email                              redpl@aol.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [susan Wong](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      susan Wong  
Email                              susanreichertwong@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Alyse Ceirante](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Alyse Ceirante  
Email                              honorlabor@hotmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Jan Diamond](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:28:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jan Diamond  
Email                              janmdiamond@pacbell.net

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,  
Jan Diamond

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Chris Chang](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:56:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Chris Chang  
Email                              chriskchang@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Mari Eliza](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 5:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Mari Eliza  
Email                              zrants@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Eddie chin](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Eddie chin  
Email                              eychin.chin@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

**From:** [John Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:40:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      John Hurabiell  
Email                              Lotusman@pacbell.net

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Judi Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:45:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Judi Hurabiell  
Email                              [jmhurabiell1@gmail.com](mailto:jmhurabiell1@gmail.com)

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Heather Luongo](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:49:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Heather Luongo  
Email                              heather.luongo@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Jennifer Z Yan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 8:48:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jennifer Z Yan  
Email                              popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Annegret Sellers](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 8:53:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Annegret Sellers  
Email                              sellersannegret@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely, Annegret Sellers

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Peter Elden](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Peter Elden  
Email                              peterelden@sbcglobal.net

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

**From:** [Robert Chan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:53:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Robert Chan  
Email                              RobertYChan@aol.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Dorothy Chan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:54:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Dorothy Chan  
Email                              dorothywaichan@aol.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Jenny Stegall](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 11:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jenny Stegall  
Email                              stegalls@mac.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Melissa Rubin](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 12:26:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Melissa Rubin  
Email                              melissaerubin@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Gina Tse-Louie](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 12:31:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Gina Tse-Louie  
Email                              informed168@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Linda Chong](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:05:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Linda Chong  
Email                              lindakang@yahoo.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

**From:** [Margaret de Clercq](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:26:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Margaret de Clercq  
Email                              ninadeclercq@gmail.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Doug McKirahan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:49:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Doug McKirahan  
Email                              ratt57@pacbell.net

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

**From:** [Mary Jung](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 10:47:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Mary Jung  
Email                              mary@sfrealtors.com

### **Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method              Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [Caroline Matthews](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:12:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Caroline Matthews  
Email                              carolinem\_matthews@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [Tiffanhy Waugh](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:06:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Tiffanhy Waugh  
Email                              tiffany@connectedsf.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [Ian Novosel](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 1:32:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Ian Novosel  
Email                              thembeast25@gmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [Janine Watson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Friday, June 27, 2025 5:44:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

As pickleball players, you want to charge \$5 for courts, many of which have no nets, no wind screen, lines we cannot make out as they are a light blue, no bathrooms, no water. So a piece of concrete. With no system for refunding in rain or wet fog that is fair and for charging seniors, children, and taxpayers already contributing to these parks. Vote no until a reasonable system can be applied. We are not against paying if fair.

the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Janine Watson

**From:** [Angelique Mahan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 5:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Angelique Mahan  
Email                              Angelmahan@hotmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Griffin Lee](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 5:20:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Griffin Lee  
Email                              griffin.gregory.lee@gmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Sarah B McCourt](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 5:35:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Sarah B McCourt  
Email                              sarah@connectedsf.com

### Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Alex Dworetzky](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 5:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Alex Dworetzky  
Email                              alex.dworetzky@gmail.com

### Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Julie Sonnen](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:00:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Julie Sonnen  
Email [aoicielo7@gmail.com](mailto:aoicielo7@gmail.com)

### Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You,  
Julie Sonnen

**From:** [Cecil Wong](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:30:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Cecil Wong  
Email                              cec8719@gmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Lance Mock](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:45:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Lance Mock  
Email                              I\_c\_mock@hotmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Charles Chou](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:51:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Charles Chou  
Email                              topspincc@gmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Jeff Gee](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 7:44:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jeff Gee  
Email                              jas1883@sbcglobal.net

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Susan Wheeler](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Susan Wheeler  
Email                              [swheeler47@comcast.net](mailto:swheeler47@comcast.net)

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Cassidy Trader](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:07:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Cassidy Trader  
Email                              cassidygboobear@gmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Christina Lim](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:08:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Christina Lim  
Email                              christinayn@gmail.com

### **Say No to Charging for Use of Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. If the ordinance moves forward, please include:

- Automatic exemption for nonprofits, youth-serving groups, and volunteer-led programs
- A racial and economic equity impact assessment
- A transparent public plan for how revenue will be used

Thank You

**From:** [Roberta Economidis](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 7:14:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Roberta Economidis  
Email                              reconomidis@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Jeff Fong](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 7:23:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jeff Fong  
Email                              lindajeff2000@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition (AGAIN !!!) to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee..... AGAIN!!!

Thank You

**From:** [Marie Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 11:22:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Marie Hurabiell  
Email                              mhurabie@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:43:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Stephanie Lehman  
Email                              slehman21@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee. It's not the public's job to bail out an organization from the negative consequences of their fiscal irresponsibility. We are becoming our own worst enemy as a city.

Thank You,  
Stephanie Lehman

**From:** [Christina Pappas](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:46:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Christina Pappas  
Email                              scoutca66@gmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:53:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Teresa Shaw  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Mark Felix](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 3:43:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Mark Felix  
Email                              mafelix86@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Linda Mathews](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 3:55:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Linda Mathews  
Email                              Linda.mathews@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Judi Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 4:18:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Judi Hurabiell  
Email                              [jmhurabiell1@gmail.com](mailto:jmhurabiell1@gmail.com)

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Kelly Vinther](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 4:29:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Kelly Vinther  
Email                              kvercellino@sbcglobal.net

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Ann Iannuccillo](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 5:01:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Ann Iannuccillo  
Email                              aiannuccillo@hotmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee as it is unfair and unwarranted!

Thank You

**From:** [Keith Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 5:27:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Keith Kandarian  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Daphne Alden](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 11:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Daphne Alden  
Email                              daphne.alden@me.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Celeste Lee](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 8:58:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Celeste Lee  
Email                              cleebobroff@yahoo.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Jennifer Z Yan](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 9:32:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jennifer Z Yan  
Email                              popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Tom Radulovich](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Madland, Sarah \(REC\)](#); [Bishop, Lamonte" \(REC\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Madison.Tam@sfgov.org](#); [Ildiko Polony](#); [Peter Belden](#); [Kirschbaum, Julie \(MTA\)](#); [Eaken, Amanda \(MTA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Livable City supports Recreation and Parks" budget and revenue proposals, and R&P support community stewardship  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 10:11:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As the City budget shrinks, it's crucial to preserve essential services for San Franciscans. Access to green spaces for active recreation, socializing, and quiet enjoyment of nature is essential for our physical and mental health. Public parks allow us to exercise our bodies, relax and reduce stress, and connect with people. Research shows we are biophilic by nature, and being around trees and plants is essential to human well-being.

We have reviewed the Recreation and Parks budget proposals, and are impressed by how the department has sought to preserve essential services consistent with San Francisco's equity, health, and environmental goals.

We are municipalists, and believe that City government should play a robust role in providing the public infrastructure and services essential for human and biospheric well-being. However it is important to distinguish between public goods, which should be provided to equitably and at high quality free of charge, and services which ought to be publicly provided on a fee-for-service or cost-recovery basis. The latter include services which have high costs, generate negative externalities, make large demands on limited resources. Everyone should be able to access green and well-maintained parks and open spaces within a short walk of one's home, and enjoy ample opportunities for recreation, connection with nature, and structured and unstructured play. However storing one's private car in a public park is not a public good. Cars are large and space in parks is limited. Cars create health, safety, and environmental liabilities for other users of public space. Charging for parking is both fair and effective. It recovers some of the public cost of providing and maintaining automobile infrastructure, reduces conflicts over limited space, and encourages people to choose sustainable transportation alternatives more often. We have been urging SFMTA, which has chosen to cut essential transit and sustainable mobility while refusing to consider greater cost recovery for private car storage, to follow Recreation and Parks's equitable and sustainable approach. Greater cost-recovery for golf courses is also fair - golf courses require enormous amounts of public space, water, chemicals, and maintenance and serve relatively few users.

San Francisco's park system is excellent – something we should all be proud of, and a model for how we should deliver other public services. It is made possible by the diligence of Recreation and Parks staff, and R&P staffing should not be cut. Thousands of San Franciscans contribute their time and their money to caring for and improving parks and natural areas. Stewardship of public places is good for us individually - it gets us outdoors moving our bodies. It builds community. It is good for the city as a whole, including the native plants and animals we share this place with. Community stewardship of public places is far more effective with city support, including staff support (gardeners, natural resource specialists, and the community garden program, etc), design, planning, and administrative support from professional staff, and grants which can be matched with donations, philanthropy, and volunteer labor and expertise. Community stewardship has taken a hit in the last year from corruption in the Community Challenge Grant program and the collapse of San Francisco Parks Alliance. It's essential that the City continue supporting community stewardship through its programs, Recreation and Parks' partnership division, and making grants available to community groups with minimal rigamarole. Even though budget times are tough, the City should do more, not less, to support community stewardship, understanding that it's an investment rather than an expense.

The R&P budget proposal is equitable and sustainable, maintains essential services for San Franciscans, and preserves the jobs of hard-working and effective public employees. It deserves your full support.

Sincerely,

Tom Radulovich  
Livable City

**From:** [Jane Gaito](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 11:51:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Jane Gaito  
Email                              janeibrahimgaito@gmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Sonya Dreizler](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [ChenStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Budget Public Comment  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 3:06:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget Committee, and CCing Supervisor Chen (my supervisor),

I came to the budget committee meeting today to voice my support for Rec & Park. I arrived at 10am and had to leave before 2pm so did not get to provide comment in person. Below is a copy of my 1 minute of remarks I prepared. Thank you for including them in your consideration.

Kindly,  
Sonya

---

My name is Sonya Dreizler and I'm here to urge full financial support for Rec & Park programs. I have lived in The City for 23 years and raised a family here for the last 15 of those. When my kids were little we saw lots of families leave for the suburbs because they wanted a backyard, or more community, or they wanted their kids to join a swim team.

Like many other families, my family stayed. And Rec & Park has offered all of those amenities - and more - to our kids.

— The parks offer a **collective backyard** for all city families.

— The programs - from art classes to rock climbing, summer camps to sports teams (even a **swim team!**) - are amazing for both kids and adults.

— And the sense of **community** - though hard to articulate - may be the most valuable thing Rec & Park provides. All over the city, my kids see people they know - from Rec & Park baseball teams, art camp, swim lessons, or Camp Mather. And the instructors and park staff know and look out for all the kids.

In a busy and increasingly tech focused city, Rec & Park programs and people foster *in real life* community and a deep sense of belonging. Please fully fund these people, programs, and places that make The City a great place to live.

---

**Sonya Dreizler**

she/ her  
[sonyadreizler.com](http://sonyadreizler.com)

**From:** [Tom Radulovich](#)  
**To:** [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Madland, Sarah \(REC\)](#); [Bishop, Lamonte" \(REC\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Madison.Tam@sfgov.org](#); [Ildiko Polony](#); [Peter Belden](#); [Kirschbaum, Julie \(MTA\)](#); [Eaken, Amanda \(MTA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Livable City supports Recreation and Parks" budget and revenue proposals, and R&P support community stewardship  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 4:00:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As the City budget shrinks, it's crucial to preserve essential services for San Franciscans. Access to green spaces for active recreation, socializing, and quiet enjoyment of nature is essential for our physical and mental health. Public parks allow us to exercise our bodies, relax and reduce stress, and connect with people. Research shows we are biophilic by nature, and being around trees and plants is essential to human well-being.

We have reviewed the Recreation and Parks budget proposals, and are impressed by how the department has sought to preserve essential services consistent with San Francisco's equity, health, and environmental goals.

We are municipalists, and believe that City government should play a robust role in providing the public infrastructure and services essential for human and biospheric well-being. However it is important to distinguish between public goods, which should be provided to equitably and at high quality free of charge, and services which ought to be publicly provided on a fee-for-service or cost-recovery basis. The latter include services which have high costs, generate negative externalities, make large demands on limited resources. Everyone should be able to access green and well-maintained parks and open spaces within a short walk of one's home, and enjoy ample opportunities for recreation, connection with nature, and structured and unstructured play. However storing one's private car in a public park is not a public good. Cars are large and space in parks is limited. Cars create health, safety, and environmental liabilities for other users of public space. Charging for parking is both fair and effective. It recovers some of the public cost of providing and maintaining automobile infrastructure, reduces conflicts over limited space, and encourages people to choose sustainable transportation alternatives more often. We have been urging SFMTA, which has chosen to cut essential transit and sustainable mobility while refusing to consider greater cost recovery for private car storage, to follow Recreation and Parks's equitable and sustainable approach. Greater cost-recovery for golf courses is also fair - golf courses require enormous amounts of public space, water, chemicals, and maintenance and serve relatively few users.

San Francisco's park system is excellent – something we should all be proud of, and a model for how we should deliver other public services. It is made possible by the diligence of Recreation and Parks staff, and R&P staffing should not be cut. Thousands of San Franciscans contribute their time and their money to caring for and improving parks and natural areas. Stewardship of public places is good for us individually - it gets us outdoors moving our bodies. It builds community. It is good for the city as a whole, including the native plants and animals we share this place with. Community stewardship of public places is far more effective with city support, including staff support (gardeners, natural resource specialists, and the community garden program, etc), design, planning, and administrative support from professional staff, and grants which can be matched with donations, philanthropy, and volunteer labor and expertise. Community stewardship has taken a hit in the last year from corruption in the Community Challenge Grant program and the collapse of San Francisco Parks Alliance. It's essential that the City continue supporting community stewardship through its programs, Recreation and Parks' partnership division, and making grants available to community groups with minimal rigamarole. Even though budget times are tough, the City should do more, not less, to support community stewardship, understanding that it's an investment rather than an expense.

The R&P budget proposal is equitable and sustainable, maintains essential services for San Franciscans, and preserves the jobs of hard-working and effective public employees. It deserves your full support.

Sincerely,

Tom Radulovich  
Livable City

**From:** [Nancy Jones](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Tennis Courts But Not Grass Fields, Really  
**Date:** Friday, June 27, 2025 4:55:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Lurie and the Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are not serious about a plan to charge residents of SF who use tennis/pickleball courts but not charge residents of SF who use the basketball courts or grass fields. I hope you realize that the tennis/pickleball courts require no maintenance. Grass fields used for soccer or baseball or running around require maintenance. Charge for the use of those fields, especially if you are going to charge to use tennis and/or pickleball courts. How did you decide to charge to use tennis/pickleball courts but not charge to use basketball courts or racquetball courts? If the answer is that those facilities do not require reservations, then remove the reservation system for tennis/pickleball courts.

OR charge for any RPD property that has a functional bathroom, e.g., Presidio Wall, Richmond, Parkside.

I heard that fees are being considered so there need not be layoffs. The places that use staff are the places that have facilities. Think about the Arboretum. Beautiful place that requires a lot of maintenance but is free to residents of San Francisco. That's a wonderful gift to residents of San Francisco, but it makes no sense to charge to use a concrete court that requires no maintenance and not charge for the arboretum that requires a tremendous amount of staff time.

Please think about the illogical step you plan to take.

Thank you.

Nancy Jones

**From:** [hello now](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 25, 2025 12:46:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      hello now  
Email                              hellonowforyou@gmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method      Mobilization Method

---

**From:** [frantz glasz](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:02:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [judith wing](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:42:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Judy Wing  
District 2 resident  
159 Parker Ave  
94118

**From:** [Allison Stratton](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:48:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Allison Stratton

**From:** [ken garcia](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:10:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Mary DeVries](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 8:54:32 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries  
415.307.6122

**From:** [angie.glielmi](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:54:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Angie Glielmi

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Mary Kane](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:39:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

**From:** [Peter Mueller](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 24, 2025 12:20:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Mueller

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Mary DeVries](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 10:51:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries  
415.307.6122

**From:** [Kelsey](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 10:30:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

#### BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks.

**From:** [debbie you](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 4:46:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Debbie

**From:** [Jennifer Leong](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 3:04:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Leong

**From:** [Helen Vasquez](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 12:15:02 PM  
**Attachments:** [PastedGraphic-1.tiff](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,  
Helen Vasquez, RA, NCARB  
Associate | Project Manager



2325 3rd st. studio 426  
san francisco, ca 94107  
415.977.0194 x102  
matthollis.com



**From:** [Ellen Dai](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 10:35:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Ellen

**From:** [Margie Rogerson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 9:51:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Margie Rogerson  
(415) 734-7305 cel  
(415) 921-4389

**From:** [Cyuaka Vu](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 6:13:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Cyuaka

**From:** [Billy Volkmann](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 6:11:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Billy Volkmann  
1 Locust.  
SF CA 94118

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Luisa Riccardi](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:53:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Dave Hollenberg](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:06:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, June 20, 2025, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between maintaining employment and fees (specifically, court fees for tennis and pickleball). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

The presentation by RPD was made after public comment, and there was no opportunity for the public to respond. We are not against all the fees. We are against RPD unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) compared to the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in public comments, an adjustment of just **thirty (30)** cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke on Friday, including golf course employees, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

I continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] Better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented to the BOS.

Thank you,

Dave Hollenberg  
District 7 Resident  
[david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com](mailto:david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com) | (203) 984-9764

**From:** [Jimmy Lin](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:33:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jimmy Lin](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:32:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Nancy Jones](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:29:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the Board of Supervisors

During the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on linking employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

RPD's presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Please take a moment to really consider this request to not charge fees for one type of recreation facility—tennis/pickleball courts.

Thank you.  
Nancy Jones

**From:** [Springer Teich](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:28:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Tony Oliver](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 7:45:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Mein En Lee](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:58:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [ANN CAPITAN](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:24:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members:

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks & please don't charge us for the use of public courts; it will cost you more to keep track of the small amount of fees you'll collect.

Sincerely,

Ann V. Capitan  
Native San Franciscan  
& Tennis Player

**From:** [shwang34@mail.ccsf.edu](mailto:shwang34@mail.ccsf.edu)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:33:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I do not feel it is fair to charge fees for use of the public courts. Technically the courts at GGP are public, and they already charge fees albeit with a nicely maintained facility. Paying for court fees I think would only be anywhere remotely fair IF all of the public courts for reservations are in good condition; some would need to be resurfaced. Having to pay the same amount for older courts and recently resurfaced courts makes no sense, there would be such an enormous discrepancy. Those are my two cents. Growing up as a kid I played on free public courts, it's just normal to me. But I digress. I don't want to be charged any court fees, and would only consider it marginally justifiable if older courts are resurfaced and all maintained to a high standard across the board.

~ Sara

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

**From:** [Kim Fleming](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:29:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [jennifer Lavins](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:28:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Lavins  
1926 47th Avenue, SF, CA 94116  
415-753-1140

**From:** [jennifer Lavins](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:26:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jenn

**From:** [Carlo Wong](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 4:01:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Carlo

**From:** [maywcbb@gmail.com](mailto:maywcbb@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:57:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
May Chong

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Louis Topper](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:10:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Louis

**From:** [Suzette Safdie](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 2:55:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Carlos Casellas g](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:41:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Carlos Casellas Garza

**From:** [Stacie Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:43:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Stacie

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Sophia Luna](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:40:32 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Sophia

Envoyé de mon iPhone

**From:** [Celina Fine](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Cc:** [sa207332@atsu.edu](mailto:sa207332@atsu.edu)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:31:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Celina Fine PA-S

303-912-4580

[Sa207332@atsu.edu](mailto:Sa207332@atsu.edu) or [Celinafine@gmail.com](mailto:Celinafine@gmail.com)

**Central Coast Physician Assistant Program**

A.T. Still University School of Health Sciences Class of 2023

**From:** [Farah Shirzadi](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:41:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

--

Farah Shirzadi

[LinkedIn](#)

**From:** [Amy Xu](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:37:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Marshall Lambertson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:34:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Marshall lambertson

**From:** [Vivienne Chow](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:52:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Amadeia Rector](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:52:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Amadeia Rector  
Resident of Potrero Hill  
Frequent user of the Jackson Park tennis courts and Potrero Hill Recreation Center tennis courts

**From:** [Thejas Prasad](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:22:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Thejas

**From:** [Beth Bedel](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:08:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Beth

**From:** [Stacy Suen](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:06:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Best,  
Stacy

**From:** [Erica Santos](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:48:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jacob Anderson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:47:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Lance Zhou](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:45:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Lance Zhou

Lance Zhou  
Email: [lance.j.zhou@gmail.com](mailto:lance.j.zhou@gmail.com) | Phone: +1 857-210-6925 |

**From:** [Brandon Martinez](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:41:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Brandon Martinez, District 8 citizen

**From:** [Andrés Barraza](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:36:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Andrés Barraza

**From:** [devin.r.liu@gmail.com](mailto:devin.r.liu@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:15:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Devin

**From:** [Akshay Jha](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:52:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Akshay Jha

**From:** [Raaghav Devgon](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:46:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Arthur Lai](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:28:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Hazel Sun](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:14:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Adriana Angelini](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:12:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jatin Bhatia](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:10:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Miranda Chen](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:07:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Vince Wong](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:06:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Clara Aguiar Benedett](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:22:06 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**Clara Aguiar Benedett**

415 515 3878  
[claraabenedett@gmail.com](mailto:claraabenedett@gmail.com)

**From:** [Riss D](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:04:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Peter Su](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:34:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Su

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jina Zhu](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:23:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Jina

**From:** [Sean Lee](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:51:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Sean Lee

**From:** [Sophia Mola](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:41:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Stephen Chang](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Stephen Chang

**From:** [Juliana](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Yajun Gao](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:06:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Yajun

**From:** [Eva Sinha](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:02:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [PC](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 4:38:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Patrick Colville  
3565 Market St, San Francisco 94131

**From:** [Toby Sachs-Quintana](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrilStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:56:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Esteemed Board Of Supervisors

I noticed that in the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). The revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

The framing is misleading; these points are not mutually exclusive. The RPD presentation occurred after public comment, with no chance for response. This denied the public a fair opportunity to address or rebut their statements.

As noted in public comment, raising Golden Gate parking meter rates by just 30 cents would replace all lost court fee revenue. This solution would protect jobs and preserve recreational programs. Please reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] and consider alternatives that support community recreation.

Thanks,  
Toby

**From:** [Elizabeth Silvers](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:42:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

All my best,  
Elizabeth

**From:** [Chris Wilson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:31:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Chris Wilson

**From:** [Flávia Oliveira](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:27:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Flávia Oliveira  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Danielle Fang](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:15:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Danielle Fang

**From:** [Benjamin Malone](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:47:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Ben

**From:** [Mimi Dang](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:21:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Mimi

**From:** [harris nash](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:17:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

harris nash

**From:** [Kavya Ravikanti](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:21:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Kavya

**From:** [Anthony Bagnulo](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:19:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Anthony

**From:** [Vanessa C](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:14:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Morgan Scofield](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:05:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Morgan

**From:** [Julie Calnero](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:36:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Czero100](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:19:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Margot

**From:** [Andre Natal](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:18:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-----

Best,

André Natal  
[andrenatal.com](http://andrenatal.com)

**From:** [Indra Rucker](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:05:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Indra Rucker

**From:** [Lindsey Murphy](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [judy chow](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Magen Krage](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:01:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Magen Krage

**From:** [Jake Whinnery](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:48:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Jake

**From:** [Danny](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:44:13 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Matthew Protacio](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:43:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Matthew Protacio  
Protac7@gmail.com

**From:** [westleyc30@gmail.com](mailto:westleyc30@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:37:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Westley Cho

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Christine Mai](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:36:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Michael O'Reilly](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:34:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday,

RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Michael O'Reilly

**From:** [Christi Warren](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren  
Noe Valley

**From:** [Christi Warren](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:12 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren  
Noe Valley

**From:** [Victor Levin](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:28:51 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just the tennis and pickleball court fees.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance-250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Victor Levin

**From:** [Collin Smith](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:23:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond.

We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Collin Smith

**From:** [Sharon Wong](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:20:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Anna Abrams](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:19:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Anna Abrams  
Inner Sunset

**From:** [Bianca Alexis Villegas](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Bianca

**From:** [Christian Rhally](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:07 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christian

**From:** [Sanuja Das](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,  
Sunny

**From:** [Sanjay Prasad](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Billy Kurniawan](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:03 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

**From:** [Daniel Dang](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Eric Jackson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

**From:** [Nakul Chakrapani](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:11:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Nakul

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Arianna Aldebot](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:08:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arianna Aldebot, District 11

**From:** [Arjun Rao](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:26:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke yesterday, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arjun Rao

**From:** [Rick Yee](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Recreation and Parks Department Fee Proposal  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 9:30:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am against RPD's proposal to charge a 'reservation fee' to use public facilities, especially pickleball and tennis courts. I am especially put off by their idea to charge pickleball 4 times as much to use the same court as tennis players. My reasoning: A single tennis court can accommodate 4 pickleball courts. To reserve the tennis court will cost \$5, but using the same court for pickleball will cost \$20. I think this is very unfair.

This fee will have a disproportionate impact on low income residents and seniors. It will discourage people on a fixed income from using facilities for exercise, recreation and social activities.

Thank You for your time,

Ricky Yee

**From:** [Nick Podell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 8:00:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Nick Podell  
Email                              nick@podell.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Leslie Podell](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Monday, June 23, 2025 7:59:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Leslie Podell  
Email                              lusher\_heckle\_0s@icloud.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

**From:** [Ltw Jones](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16  
**Date:** Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

**From:** [Ted Wint](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:10:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident in the Marina and I'm writing to oppose the proposed fees on public tennis and pickleball courts.

Public courts provide affordable, accessible recreation and should not be the source of balancing the budget. I respectfully request that you vote against these fees at the upcoming meeting this Wednesday.

Thank you for your time and for keeping these public spaces free and open to everyone.

Sincerely,

Ted Wint



**Ted Wint**

[hello@tedwint.com](mailto:hello@tedwint.com)

510.493.1767 | [tedwint.com](http://tedwint.com)

Sent via [Superhuman iOS](#)

**From:** [Danny Talavera](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Please Keep Tennis Reservations Free for All  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:27:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I grew playing tennis with my dad and two brothers in the city. I would never had had such an opportunity if there were financial barriers to accessing the courts.

Please reject this proposed barrier to our public spaces and vote no on court reservation fees.

Thank you,

Danny

**From:** [Maurice Rivers](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts  
**Date:** Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:26:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent      Maurice Rivers  
Email                              jumpstreet1983@gmail.com

### **Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts**

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed \$5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Respectfully,  
Maurice Rivers  
OMI Cultural Participation Project

**From:** [Celina](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Tennis rec fee,  
**Date:** Friday, June 20, 2025 2:27:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Celina Fine & I live in SF in district 5.

I wanted to communicate my high love for tennis & the court fee possibility,

I am one of 2k members of The Mission Athletic club, a volunteer led tennis club based in Mission SF. We have existed for >3yrs and are a club that focuses on community n& tennis.

Without tennis my mental health would be at risk, I am a primary care provider and being 1yr outside grad school & 1yr into living in SF my mental health, wellbeing and work success is due to this tennis club.

My dad also just passed about a month ago & this club has stepped up and been supportive -- moving my body through exercise has also helped increase my guilt path when negative neurotransmitters would have otherwise taken over.

In addition the courts are free: this means the foster kids mentioned today to the elderly trying to stay fit to the common SF population use this as a free resource that provides a vital impact on health.

As a medical primary care provider I understand and preach the importance of exercise, community and happiness as a core role in health longevity. Exercise is highly impactful in preventing cardiac risks - as my dad just passed from a cardiac event I understand this importance.

Some research for you from the New England Journal of Medicine,

- exercise, laughing with friends increases positive neurotransmitters: dopamine, serotonin which contribute to improved mood, resilience and neuroprotection and also decrease cardiac risk and prevent dementia.
- regular exercise is associated with 20-30% decrease in risk of heart attacks
- regular positive social interactions and laughing is associated with 45% decrease in coronary heart disease in individuals.
- Improved mental health through positive social engagement and enhanced positive neurotransmitters lowered mortality causes of death by 19-31%.

Please consider my plea to vote no against the tennis court & park rec fees.

Thank you,  
Celina Fine PA-C Primary Care district 5

**From:** [Nancy Jones](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Tennis Courts But Not Grass Fields, Really  
**Date:** Friday, June 27, 2025 4:55:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Mayor Lurie and the Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are not serious about a plan to charge residents of SF who use tennis/pickleball courts but not charge residents of SF who use the basketball courts or grass fields. I hope you realize that the tennis/pickleball courts require no maintenance. Grass fields used for soccer or baseball or running around require maintenance. Charge for the use of those fields, especially if you are going to charge to use tennis and/or pickleball courts. How did you decide to charge to use tennis/pickleball courts but not charge to use basketball courts or racquetball courts? If the answer is that those facilities do not require reservations, then remove the reservation system for tennis/pickleball courts.

OR charge for any RPD property that has a functional bathroom, e.g., Presidio Wall, Richmond, Parkside.

I heard that fees are being considered so there need not be layoffs. The places that use staff are the places that have facilities. Think about the Arboretum. Beautiful place that requires a lot of maintenance but is free to residents of San Francisco. That's a wonderful gift to residents of San Francisco, but it makes no sense to charge to use a concrete court that requires no maintenance and not charge for the arboretum that requires a tremendous amount of staff time.

Please think about the illogical step you plan to take.

Thank you.

Nancy Jones

**From:** [Janine Watson](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Friday, June 27, 2025 5:44:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

As pickleball players, you want to charge \$5 for courts, many of which have no nets, no wind screen, lines we cannot make out as they are a light blue, no bathrooms, no water. So a piece of concrete. With no system for refunding in rain or wet fog that is fair and for charging seniors, children, and taxpayers already contributing to these parks. Vote no until a reasonable system can be applied. We are not against paying if fair.

the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Janine Watson

**From:** [Debbie Wong](#)  
**To:** [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation  
**Date:** Thursday, June 26, 2025 8:20:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Debbie Wong :)