

File Number: _____
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: **San Francisco Family Intervention, Reentry and Supportive Transitions Program (FIRST)**

2. Department: **San Francisco Department of Public Health**

3. Contact Person: **Emily Gerber** Telephone: **(415) 255-3448**

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

Approved by funding agency

Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: **\$749,967**

6a. Matching Funds Required: \$ 750,000

b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):

San Francisco Department of Public Health General Fund- \$324,896; Mental Health Services Act- \$120,439; Public Safety Realignment- Drug Court- \$154,561; Department of Children, Youth, and Families work order to San Francisco Department of Public Health- \$100,000; Department of Children, Youth, and Families General Fund- \$50,104

7a. Grant Source Agency: **U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention, Second Chance Act Juvenile Reentry Program**

b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

The requested Second Chance Act grant will support the FIRST program to provide evidence-based, intensive family therapy services for 100 youth and their families during its one to two-year pilot phase. If the FIRST program is successful in further reducing recidivism rates for this high-risk population, SFJPD will identify local and other sources of funding to sustain program operation over the longer term.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: **10/1/2013**

End-Date: **09/30/2014**

10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: **\$554,865 (\$156,612 for UCSF Young Adult & Family Center; \$398,253 for Seneca Family of Agencies)**

b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? **No. The \$156,612 contract with UCSF YAFC is For the development and adaptation of an Intensive Family Therapy Model for engagement and therapy using Brief Strategic Family Therapy and training clinical teams to deliver these ongoing services with diverse youth and families in residential and home-based settings; training teams to provide multifamily skill building groups in the community based on Dialectical behavior Therapy (DBT); and providing Reflecting Team Collaborative Consultation to clinical teams. Within San Francisco, YAFC is uniquely qualified to adapt BSFT for use in juvenile reentry with diverse youth and families. By leveraging local talents and expertise to build capacity within the Child, Youth and Family System of Care, we reduce the repeated training costs and sustainability issues that typically thwart the effectiveness of EBP implementation. For those reasons, a sole source contract with YAFC is justified.**

The \$398,253 contract with Seneca is to fund a clinical team to provide pre- and post-release family intervention for youth placed in long-term detention facilities. Reentry planning, assessment, and treatment begin with the youth and family so that the family is prepared for his or her return. The team will be based within the well-established SF AIIM Higher Unit at the SF Juvenile Justice Center. AIIM is a successful collaboration among SFJPD, CYF and the Seneca Family of Agencies. In 2010, Seneca was awarded a contract to deliver SF AIIM Higher Services through a competitive RFP for California Mental Health Services Act Funding. This funding will expand services to meet needs of high risk juveniles and their families with substance use disorders.

c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements?

d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? **One-time for the grant period.**

11a. Does the budget include indirect costs? Yes No

b1. If yes, how much? **\$12,496**

b2. How was the amount calculated? **@11% of grant-funded project director salary (\$80,000) and benefits (\$33,600) to maximize funding for direct services**

c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

Not allowed by granting agency

To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

Other (please explain):

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

We respectfully request for approval to accept and expend these funds retroactive to November 1, 2013. We ran into some unforeseeable delays in award notification and in communication with the funder, U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention.

GRANT CODE (Please include Grant Code and Detail in FAMIS): HMCH05-14

****Disability Access Checklist** (Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments:

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Ron Weigelt
(Name)

Director of Human Resources and Interim Director, EEO, and Cultural Competency Programs
(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____ (Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

Barbara A. Garcia, MPA
(Name)

Director of Health
(Title)

Date Reviewed: _____ (Signature Required)