

File Number: 170766
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: **Proposition 1B: Goods Movement Emission Reduction Grant Program/
National Clean Diesel Funding Assistance**
2. Department: **Port of San Francisco**
3. Contact Person: **Meghan Wallace** Telephone: **415-274-0426**
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: **\$ 1,371,250**
6. a. Matching Funds Required: **\$515,563**
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): **Port Project Funding**
7. a.(i) Grant Source Agency: **California Air Resource Board (CARB)**
a.(ii) Grant Pass-Through Agency: **Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)**
b.(i) Grant Source Agency: **United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**
b.(ii) Grant Pass-Through Agency: **Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)**

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: **\$1,886,813 for the procurement and delivery of a new Tier 4 low emissions locomotive to replace existing 1946 locomotive for transport of freight at the Port's rail facility. Funding includes \$1,371,250 BAAQMD grant funding and a \$515,563 contribution from the Port of San Francisco.**

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: **May 1, 2017** End-Date: **December 31, 2018**

10. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: **\$0**
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? **N/A**
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? **N/A**
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? **N/A**
11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?
 Yes No
b. 1. If yes, how much?
b. 2. How was the amount calculated?
c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
 Not allowed by granting agency To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
 Other (please explain):
c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: **Disposal of engine of the locomotive that will be replaced and rendering the old locomotive unable to operate.**

****Disability Access Checklist***(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)**

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing Structure(s) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Existing Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitated Structure(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Program(s) or Service(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Site(s) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure(s) | |

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:

1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.

If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:

Comments: N/A

Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Wendy Proctor
(Name)

Senior Architect, ADA Coordinator
(Title)

Date Reviewed: 4/25/2017

Wendy Proctor
(Signature Required)

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:

Elaine Forbes
(Name)

Executive Director
(Title)

Date Reviewed: April 25, 2017

[Signature]
(Signature Required)