

From: [Steven Lee](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Friday, July 18, 2025 3:45:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Steven Lee

Email bdlineman@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Mark Felix](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:55:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mark Felix
Email mafelix86@yahoo.com

Mayor Lurie Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Susan Wolff](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Friday, July 18, 2025 8:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Susan Wolff
Email SunRose7818@gmail.com

Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Lucy Ho](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Friday, July 18, 2025 5:00:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Lucy Ho

Email lucyho888@gmail.com

Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Linda Mathews](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Friday, July 18, 2025 6:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Linda Mathews

Email linda.mathews@yahoo.com

Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Griffin Lee](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 6:36:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Griffin Lee

Email griffin.gregory.lee@gmail.com

Mayor Lurie: Please Don't Make Parks Inaccessible! Stop or Pause Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

This effectively blocks families on a budget from going to Golden Gate Park.

I'm writing to ask you to put residents first and not implement the package of new charges approved by the Board of Supervisors in San Francisco's public parks, which includes a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space and disproportionately impacts low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please restore access to our beloved parks by rescinding these new fees.

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Thomas Christianson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:09:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
-Tom

Enviado desde mi iPhone

From: [Marina Roche](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email marinaroche@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Marie Hurabiell](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marie Hurabiell
Email mhurabie@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Cassidy Hurabiell Trader](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:43:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cassidy Hurabiell Trader
Email cassidyhurabiell.caht@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Stephanie Lehman](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:45:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Stephanie Lehman
Email slehman21@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Ann Iannuccillo](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ann Iannuccillo
Email iannuccillo@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,
Ann

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Angelique Mahan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Angelique Mahan
Email Angelmahan@hotmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Judi Gorski](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:50:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judi Gorski
Email judigorski@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Linda Mathews](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:53:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Linda Mathews

Email linda.mathews@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Melissa Rockefeller](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:59:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Melissa Rockefeller

Email melissa.rockefeller@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Halle Cane](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:01:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Halle Cane

Email hallecane@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Michele Bell](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michele Bell
Email michelebell@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:03:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Teresa Shaw
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Schuyler Kandarian](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Schuyler Kandarian
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Keith Kandarian](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Keith Kandarian
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Rika Gill](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:08:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rika Gill
Email rikagill@outlook.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Stephen Gorski](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Stephen Gorski
Email sjgorskilaw@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,
Stephen J Gorski, D4 resident/voter for 45+ years

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Christina Pappas](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:16:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Christina Pappas
Email scoutca66@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Nada Perrone](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nada Perrone

Email nbperrone@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Eddy Sapiro](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:17:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Eddy Sapiro
Email eddysapiro@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Cole Sapiro](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:19:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cole Sapiro
Email riptidelax31@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Tessa Sapiro](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tessa Sapiro

Email tessorca9@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Selena Chu](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:21:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Selena Chu
Email selenachu10@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Shraddha Mehta](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:30:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Shraddha Mehta

Email shraddham@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Terri Klein](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:52:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Terri Klein

Email turby1234@aol.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [James Patterson](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:58:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Patterson
Email centermid526837@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Harry Wong](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:00:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Harry Wong
Email hoarser_aphid.0i@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Mike Regan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:12:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mike Regan
Email myoldgoat@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

You guys waste more money in a day than most people make in a year. No more money for you live within your means like the rest of have to.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Renee Lazear](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:12:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Renee Lazear
Email redpl@aol.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [susan Wong](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent susan Wong
Email susanreichertwong@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Alyse Ceirante](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Alyse Ceirante
Email honorlabor@hotmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Jan Diamond](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:28:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jan Diamond

Email janmdiamond@pacbell.net

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,
Jan Diamond

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Chris Chang](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 4:56:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Chris Chang
Email chriskchang@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Mari Eliza](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 5:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mari Eliza
Email zrants@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Eddie chin](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Eddie chin
Email eychin.chin@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [John Hurabiell](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:40:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John Hurabiell
Email Lotusman@pacbell.net

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Judi Hurabiell](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:45:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judi Hurabiell
Email jmhurabiell1@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Heather Luongo](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:49:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Heather Luongo
Email heather.luongo@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Jennifer Z Yan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 8:48:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jennifer Z Yan
Email popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Annegret Sellers](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 8:53:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Annegret Sellers
Email sellersannegret@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely, Annegret Sellers

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Peter Elden](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Elden

Email peterelden@sbcglobal.net

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Robert Chan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:53:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robert Chan
Email RobertYChan@aol.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Dorothy Chan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:54:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dorothy Chan
Email dorothywaichan@aol.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Jenny Stegall](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 11:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jenny Stegall

Email stegalls@mac.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Melissa Rubin](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 12:26:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Melissa Rubin

Email melissaerubin@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Gina Tse-Louie](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 12:31:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gina Tse-Louie

Email informed168@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Linda Chong](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:05:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Linda Chong
Email lindakang@yahoo.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Margaret de Clercq](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:26:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Margaret de Clercq
Email ninadeclercq@gmail.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Sincerely,

Acquisition Method

Mobilization Method

From: [Doug McKirahan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:49:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Doug McKirahan
Email ratt57@pacbell.net

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Mary Jung](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 10:47:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mary Jung
Email mary@sfrealtors.com

Say NO to Court Fees, Golf Hikes, and Paid Parking in our Parks!

Message:

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the package of new fees being proposed for San Francisco's public parks, including a \$5 per hour reservation fee for tennis and pickleball courts, increased golf fees, and paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

These are public goods, funded by taxpayers, and they should remain free and accessible to all. Imposing additional charges creates a paywall on public space, undermining equity and disproportionately impacting low-income residents, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments through fees that limit access.

Please vote no on these measures and protect public space for everyone.

Acquisition Method Mobilization Method

From: [Maurice Rivers](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Paid Parking Policy in Golden Gate Park (June 2025)
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 10:32:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the SF Budget & Appropriations Committee / Board of Supervisors,

Respectfully, on behalf of the OMI Cultural Participation Project, I hope that you will reject the proposed policy to enforce paid parking in Golden Gate Park.

I understand the department is under pressure to raise funds and manage traffic, but placing that financial burden on park visitors (many of whom are low-income families, elders, and essential workers) is not the path forward. For communities like the ones we serve in District 11, the park isn't just green space: it's one of the last remaining places where people can gather, heal, celebrate, and simply breathe without worrying about what it costs.

Adding parking fees may seem like a small ask to some, but for folks already juggling rent, bills, and rising transportation costs, it's one more barrier that quietly tells them: this space isn't for you.

We also have to be honest about the context we're all in right now. The recent unraveling of the San Francisco Parks Alliance, and the troubling financial mismanagement tied to it (under the direct oversight of SF Rec & Parks), has eroded a lot of public trust. Many residents are wondering: if our parks funding is in trouble, how did it get that way? And why are working families the ones expected to plug the gap?

It's hard to support new fees, when accountability around past finances is still unclear. Trust, once broken, needs to be rebuilt before asking everyday San Franciscans to pay more for what should be public, equitable space.

I'd love to see the department explore more creative, community-informed alternatives. Perhaps tiered pricing models based on income, targeted fundraising from the private sector, or deeper investment in MUNI access to the park. There are solutions that don't involve charging a grandmother from the OMI or Excelsior to park, so she can attend her grandchild's birthday picnic.

We remain committed to working with City departments & partners to create an inclusive, sustainable San Francisco. But we can't get there by pricing out the very people who built this city's spirit, so please reject this proposed measure and keep Golden Gate Park accessible for all.

Sincerely,

Maurice Rivers

Executive Director

OMI Cultural Participation Project

photo



Maurice Rivers

Executive Director, OMI Cultural Participation Project

415-729-3658 | www.omicpp.org | [We Rise By Lifting Others](#)

[P.O. Box 12263 - San Francisco, CA 94112](#)



Create your own [email signature](#)



From: [Tom Radulovich](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Madland, Sarah \(REC\)](#); [Bishop, Lamonte" \(REC\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Madison.Tam@sfgov.org](#); [Ildiko Polony](#); [Peter Belden](#); [Kirschbaum, Julie \(MTA\)](#); [Eaken, Amanda \(MTA\)](#)
Subject: Livable City supports Recreation and Parks" budget and revenue proposals, and R&P support community stewardship
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 10:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As the City budget shrinks, it's crucial to preserve essential services for San Franciscans. Access to green spaces for active recreation, socializing, and quiet enjoyment of nature is essential for our physical and mental health. Public parks allow us to exercise our bodies, relax and reduce stress, and connect with people. Research shows we are biophilic by nature, and being around trees and plants is essential to human well-being.

We have reviewed the Recreation and Parks budget proposals, and are impressed by how the department has sought to preserve essential services consistent with San Francisco's equity, health, and environmental goals.

We are municipalists, and believe that City government should play a robust role in providing the public infrastructure and services essential for human and biospheric well-being. However it is important to distinguish between public goods, which should be provided to equitably and at high quality free of charge, and services which ought to be publicly provided on a fee-for-service or cost-recovery basis. The latter include services which have high costs, generate negative externalities, make large demands on limited resources. Everyone should be able to access green and well-maintained parks and open spaces within a short walk of one's home, and enjoy ample opportunities for recreation, connection with nature, and structured and unstructured play. However storing one's private car in a public park is not a public good. Cars are large and space in parks is limited. Cars create health, safety, and environmental liabilities for other users of public space. Charging for parking is both fair and effective. It recovers some of the public cost of providing and maintaining automobile infrastructure, reduces conflicts over limited space, and encourages people to choose sustainable transportation alternatives more often. We have been urging SFMTA, which has chosen to cut essential transit and sustainable mobility while refusing to consider greater cost recovery for private car storage, to follow Recreation and Parks's equitable and sustainable approach. Greater cost-recovery for golf courses is also fair - golf courses require enormous amounts of public space, water, chemicals, and maintenance and serve relatively few users.

San Francisco's park system is excellent – something we should all be proud of, and a model for how we should deliver other public services. It is made possible by the diligence of Recreation and Parks staff, and R&P staffing should not be cut. Thousands of San Franciscans contribute their time and their money to caring for and improving parks and natural areas. Stewardship of public places is good for us individually - it gets us outdoors moving our bodies. It builds community. It is good for the city as a whole, including the native plants and animals we share this place with. Community stewardship of public places is far more effective with city support, including staff support (gardeners, natural resource specialists, and the community garden program, etc), design, planning, and administrative support from professional staff, and grants which can be matched with donations, philanthropy, and volunteer labor and expertise. Community stewardship has taken a hit in the last year from corruption in the Community Challenge Grant program and the collapse of San Francisco Parks Alliance. It's essential that the City continue supporting community stewardship through its programs, Recreation and Parks' partnership division, and making grants available to community groups with minimal rigamarole. Even though budget times are tough, the City should do more, not less, to support community stewardship, understanding that it's an investment rather than an expense.

The R&P budget proposal is equitable and sustainable, maintains essential services for San Franciscans, and preserves the jobs of hard-working and effective public employees. It deserves your full support.

Sincerely,

Tom Radulovich
Livable City

From: [Sonya Dreizler](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [ChenStaff](#)
Subject: Budget Public Comment
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 3:06:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget Committee, and CCing Supervisor Chen (my supervisor),

I came to the budget committee meeting today to voice my support for Rec & Park. I arrived at 10am and had to leave before 2pm so did not get to provide comment in person. Below is a copy of my 1 minute of remarks I prepared. Thank you for including them in your consideration.

Kindly,
Sonya

My name is Sonya Dreizler and I'm here to urge full financial support for Rec & Park programs. I have lived in The City for 23 years and raised a family here for the last 15 of those. When my kids were little we saw lots of families leave for the suburbs because they wanted a backyard, or more community, or they wanted their kids to join a swim team.

Like many other families, my family stayed. And Rec & Park has offered all of those amenities - and more - to our kids.

— The parks offer a **collective backyard** for all city families.

— The programs - from art classes to rock climbing, summer camps to sports teams (even a **swim team!**) - are amazing for both kids and adults.

— And the sense of **community** - though hard to articulate - may be the most valuable thing Rec & Park provides. All over the city, my kids see people they know - from Rec & Park baseball teams, art camp, swim lessons, or Camp Mather. And the instructors and park staff know and look out for all the kids.

In a busy and increasingly tech focused city, Rec & Park programs and people foster *in real life* community and a deep sense of belonging. Please fully fund these people, programs, and places that make The City a great place to live.

Sonya Dreizler

she/ her
sonyadreizler.com

From: [Tom Radulovich](#)
To: [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Madland, Sarah \(REC\)](#); [Bishop, Lamonte" \(REC\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Madison.Tam@sfgov.org](#); [Ildiko Polony](#); [Peter Belden](#); [Kirschbaum, Julie \(MTA\)](#); [Eaken, Amanda \(MTA\)](#)
Subject: Livable City supports Recreation and Parks" budget and revenue proposals, and R&P support community stewardship
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 4:00:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As the City budget shrinks, it's crucial to preserve essential services for San Franciscans. Access to green spaces for active recreation, socializing, and quiet enjoyment of nature is essential for our physical and mental health. Public parks allow us to exercise our bodies, relax and reduce stress, and connect with people. Research shows we are biophilic by nature, and being around trees and plants is essential to human well-being.

We have reviewed the Recreation and Parks budget proposals, and are impressed by how the department has sought to preserve essential services consistent with San Francisco's equity, health, and environmental goals.

We are municipalists, and believe that City government should play a robust role in providing the public infrastructure and services essential for human and biospheric well-being. However it is important to distinguish between public goods, which should be provided to equitably and at high quality free of charge, and services which ought to be publicly provided on a fee-for-service or cost-recovery basis. The latter include services which have high costs, generate negative externalities, make large demands on limited resources. Everyone should be able to access green and well-maintained parks and open spaces within a short walk of one's home, and enjoy ample opportunities for recreation, connection with nature, and structured and unstructured play. However storing one's private car in a public park is not a public good. Cars are large and space in parks is limited. Cars create health, safety, and environmental liabilities for other users of public space. Charging for parking is both fair and effective. It recovers some of the public cost of providing and maintaining automobile infrastructure, reduces conflicts over limited space, and encourages people to choose sustainable transportation alternatives more often. We have been urging SFMTA, which has chosen to cut essential transit and sustainable mobility while refusing to consider greater cost recovery for private car storage, to follow Recreation and Parks's equitable and sustainable approach. Greater cost-recovery for golf courses is also fair - golf courses require enormous amounts of public space, water, chemicals, and maintenance and serve relatively few users.

San Francisco's park system is excellent – something we should all be proud of, and a model for how we should deliver other public services. It is made possible by the diligence of Recreation and Parks staff, and R&P staffing should not be cut. Thousands of San Franciscans contribute their time and their money to caring for and improving parks and natural areas. Stewardship of public places is good for us individually - it gets us outdoors moving our bodies. It builds community. It is good for the city as a whole, including the native plants and animals we share this place with. Community stewardship of public places is far more effective with city support, including staff support (gardeners, natural resource specialists, and the community garden program, etc), design, planning, and administrative support from professional staff, and grants which can be matched with donations, philanthropy, and volunteer labor and expertise. Community stewardship has taken a hit in the last year from corruption in the Community Challenge Grant program and the collapse of San Francisco Parks Alliance. It's essential that the City continue supporting community stewardship through its programs, Recreation and Parks' partnership division, and making grants available to community groups with minimal rigamarole. Even though budget times are tough, the City should do more, not less, to support community stewardship, understanding that it's an investment rather than an expense.

The R&P budget proposal is equitable and sustainable, maintains essential services for San Franciscans, and preserves the jobs of hard-working and effective public employees. It deserves your full support.

Sincerely,

Tom Radulovich
Livable City

From: [frantz glasz](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:02:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [judith wing](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:42:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Judy Wing
District 2 resident
159 Parker Ave
94118

From: [Allison Stratton](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Allison Stratton

From: [ken garcia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:10:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Mary DeVries](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 8:54:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries
415.307.6122

From: [angie.glielmi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:54:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Angie Glielmi

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mary Kane](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:39:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

From: [Peter Mueller](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 12:20:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Mueller

Sent from my iPad

From: [Mary DeVries](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:51:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries
415.307.6122

From: [Kelsey](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:30:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks.

From: [debbie you](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:46:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Debbie

From: [Jennifer Leong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 3:04:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Leong

From: [Helen Vasquez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:15:02 PM
Attachments: [PastedGraphic-1.tiff](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,
Helen Vasquez, RA, NCARB
Associate | Project Manager



2325 3rd st. studio 426
san francisco, ca 94107
415.977.0194 x102
matthollis.com

From: [Ellen Dai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:35:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Ellen

From: [Margie Rogerson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:51:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Margie Rogerson
(415) 734-7305 cel
(415) 921-4389

From: [Cyuaka Vu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 6:13:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Cyuaka

From: [Billy Volkmann](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 6:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Billy Volkmann
1 Locust.
SF CA 94118

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Luisa Riccardi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:53:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Dave Hollenberg](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, June 20, 2025, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between maintaining employment and fees (specifically, court fees for tennis and pickleball). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

The presentation by RPD was made after public comment, and there was no opportunity for the public to respond. We are not against all the fees. We are against RPD unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) compared to the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in public comments, an adjustment of just **thirty (30)** cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke on Friday, including golf course employees, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

I continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] Better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented to the BOS.

Thank you,

Dave Hollenberg
District 7 Resident
david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com | (203) 984-9764

From: [Jimmy Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:33:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jimmy Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:32:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Nancy Jones](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:29:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the Board of Supervisors

During the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on linking employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

RPD's presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Please take a moment to really consider this request to not charge fees for one type of recreation facility—tennis/pickleball courts.

Thank you.
Nancy Jones

From: [Springer Teich](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:28:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tony Oliver](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 7:45:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Mein En Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:58:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [ANN CAPITAN](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:24:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members:

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks & please don't charge us for the use of public courts; it will cost you more to keep track of the small amount of fees you'll collect.

Sincerely,

Ann V. Capitan
Native San Franciscan
& Tennis Player

From: shwang34@mail.ccsf.edu
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I do not feel it is fair to charge fees for use of the public courts. Technically the courts at GGP are public, and they already charge fees albeit with a nicely maintained facility. Paying for court fees I think would only be anywhere remotely fair IF all of the public courts for reservations are in good condition; some would need to be resurfaced. Having to pay the same amount for older courts and recently resurfaced courts makes no sense, there would be such an enormous discrepancy. Those are my two cents. Growing up as a kid I played on free public courts, it's just normal to me. But I digress. I don't want to be charged any court fees, and would only consider it marginally justifiable if older courts are resurfaced and all maintained to a high standard across the board.

~ Sara

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

From: [Kim Fleming](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:29:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [jennifer Lavins](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Lavins
1926 47th Avenue, SF, CA 94116
415-753-1140

From: [jennifer Lavins](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:26:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jenn

From: [Carlo Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 4:01:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Carlo

From: maywcbb@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:57:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
May Chong

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Louis Topper](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Louis

From: [Suzette Safdie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 2:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carlos Casellas g](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:41:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Carlos Casellas Garza

From: [Stacie Johnson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:43:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Stacie

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sophia Luna](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:40:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sophia

Envoyé de mon iPhone

From: [Celina Fine](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Cc: sa207332@atsu.edu
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:31:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Celina Fine PA-S

303-912-4580

Sa207332@atsu.edu or Celinafine@gmail.com

Central Coast Physician Assistant Program

A.T. Still University School of Health Sciences Class of 2023

From: [Farah Shirzadi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:41:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

--

Farah Shirzadi
[LinkedIn](#)

From: [Amy Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:37:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Marshall Lambertson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:34:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Marshall lambertson

From: [Vivienne Chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:52:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Amadeia Rector](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Amadeia Rector
Resident of Potrero Hill
Frequent user of the Jackson Park tennis courts and Potrero Hill Recreation Center tennis courts

From: [Thejas Prasad](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:22:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Thejas

From: [Beth Bedel](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:08:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Beth

From: [Stacy Suen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Best,
Stacy

From: [Erica Santos](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:48:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jacob Anderson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:47:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Lance Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:45:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Lance Zhou

Lance Zhou
Email: lance.j.zhou@gmail.com | Phone: +1 857-210-6925 |

From: [Brandon Martinez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:41:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Brandon Martinez, District 8 citizen

From: [Andrés Barraza](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:36:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Andrés Barraza

From: devin.r.liu@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:15:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Devin

From: [Akshay Jha](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:52:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Akshay Jha

From: [Raaghav Devgon](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:46:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Arthur Lai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:28:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Hazel Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:14:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Adriana Angelini](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:12:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jatin Bhatia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:10:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Miranda Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:07:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Vince Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:06:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Clara Aguiar Benedett](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:22:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Clara Aguiar Benedett

415 515 3878
claraabenedett@gmail.com

From: [Riss D](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:04:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Peter Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:34:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Su

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jina Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:23:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jina

From: [Sean Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:51:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sean Lee

From: [Sophia Mola](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:41:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Stephen Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Stephen Chang

From: [Juliana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Yajun Gao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:06:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Yajun

From: [Eva Sinha](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:02:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [PC](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 4:38:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Patrick Colville
3565 Market St, San Francisco 94131

From: [Toby Sachs-Quintana](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Esteemed Board Of Supervisors

I noticed that in the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). The revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

The framing is misleading; these points are not mutually exclusive. The RPD presentation occurred after public comment, with no chance for response. This denied the public a fair opportunity to address or rebut their statements.

As noted in public comment, raising Golden Gate parking meter rates by just 30 cents would replace all lost court fee revenue. This solution would protect jobs and preserve recreational programs. Please reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] and consider alternatives that support community recreation.

Thanks,
Toby

From: [Elizabeth Silvers](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:42:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

All my best,
Elizabeth

From: [Chris Wilson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Chris Wilson

From: [Flávia Oliveira](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:27:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Flávia Oliveira
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Danielle Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:15:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Danielle Fang

From: [Benjamin Malone](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:47:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Ben

From: [Mimi Dang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:21:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Mimi

From: [harris nash](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:17:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

harris nash

From: [Kavya Ravikanti](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:21:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Kavya

From: [Anthony Bagnulo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Anthony

From: [Vanessa C](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:14:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Morgan Scofield](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:05:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Morgan

From: [Julie Calnero](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:36:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Czero100](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:19:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Margot

From: [Andre Natal](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:18:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,

André Natal
andrenatal.com

From: [Indra Rucker](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:05:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Indra Rucker

From: [Lindsey Murphy](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [judy chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Magen Krage](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:01:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Magen Krage

From: [Jake Whinnery](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:48:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jake

From: [Danny](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:44:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Matthew Protacio](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:43:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Matthew Protacio
Protac7@gmail.com

From: westleyc30@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:37:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Westley Cho

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Christine Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:36:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Michael O'Reilly](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:34:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday,

RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Michael O'Reilly

From: [Christi Warren](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren
Noe Valley

From: [Christi Warren](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren
Noe Valley

From: [Victor Levin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:28:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just the tennis and pickleball court fees.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance-250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Victor Levin

From: [Collin Smith](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:23:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond.

We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Collin Smith

From: [Sharon Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:20:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Anna Abrams](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:19:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Anna Abrams
Inner Sunset

From: [Bianca Alexis Villegas](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Bianca

From: [Christian Rhally](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christian

From: [Sanuja Das](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sunny

From: [Sanjay Prasad](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Billy Kurniawan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

From: [Daniel Dang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Eric Jackson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: [Nakul Chakrapani](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:11:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Nakul

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Arianna Aldebot](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:08:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arianna Aldebot, District 11

From: [Arjun Rao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Subject: Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:26:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of iust 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke yesterday, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arjun Rao

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: GGP meter proposal
Date: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 9:23:06 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Susan Wilpitz regarding File No. 250617, which is Item No. 27 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

File No. 250617: Resolution authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to set parking rates in Golden Gate Park in accordance with Park Code provisions that authorize SFMTA rate-setting on park property; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. (Mayor)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: fogtownsf1 <fogtownsf1@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 9:10 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Nancy Pelosi Pelosi <info@pelosiforcongress.org>
Subject: GGP meter proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie,

Personally I've appreciated your vision, progress here in our beautiful city.

Please reject the proposal for new meters in Golden Gate Park as this will be an unfair financial burden on our citizens, seniors!

We've seen our electric, water and recology rates continue to increase.

Having to pay for parking around the Lisa and Douglas Goldman Tennis Center

will have our choices move to the other free public courses around the city.

If this proposal passes you will deter other bay area residents who incur increased bridge tolls, the entrance fees visiting our wonderful museums in GGP

Vehicles will inundate the neighborhoods surrounding GGP for free parking spaces

- **Kind regards**
- **susan wilpitz**
- **Native San Franciscan**