

1 [Disapprove certification of Laguna Honda Hospital Replacement FEIR]

2

3 **Motion disapproving the certification by the Planning Commission of the Final**
4 **Environmental Impact Report for the Laguna Honda Hospital Replacement Project at**
5 **Assessor's Block 2842, Lot 7, generally bounded by Laguna Honda Boulevard,**
6 **Clarendon Avenue, and Woodside Avenue.**

7

8 WHEREAS, The Laguna Honda Hospital Replacement Project at Assessor's Block
9 2842, Lot 7 (the "Project") involves the demolition of portions of the existing hospital and
10 related facilities; retention and renovation of a portion of the existing main hospital building;
11 demolition of existing structures on Clarendon Hill and replacement with new structures at this
12 location; construction of new hospital facilities and buildings that are in compliance with
13 federal, state, and local health care, fire, seismic, and life safety requirements; reconfiguration
14 of existing parking lots and construction of a new parking lot; construction of Americans with
15 Disability Act compliant access; beautification of campus features; and continued provision of
16 long-term health services for more than 1,000 elderly and disabled patients residing at the
17 existing hospital. Overall, the build-out of the Project will include 1,200 hospital beds, a 140-
18 bed assisted living facility, and an expansion of existing outpatient programs and services by
19 approximately 25 percent; and

20 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Public Health Commission and Department of Public
21 Health (collectively "the project sponsor") submitted an application for environmental review of
22 the Project to the Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the
23 "Department") (Department File No. 2000.005E); and

24

25

1 WHEREAS, The Department determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR")
2 was required, and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper
3 of general circulation on February 3, 2001; and

4 WHEREAS, On December 1, 2001, the Department published the Draft Environmental
5 Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project; and

6 WHEREAS, On January 10, 2002, the City Planning Commission ("Commission") held
7 a duly noticed public hearing on the DEIR, at which time opportunity for public comment was
8 received on the DEIR, and written comments were received through January 16, 2002; and

9 WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public
10 hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text
11 of the DEIR and published a Draft Summary of Comments and Responses on June 17, 2002;
12 and

13 WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was
14 prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments
15 received during the review process, any additional information that became available and the
16 Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, and an errata thereto, all as required by law;
17 and

18 WHEREAS, On June 27, 2002, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR
19 and, by Motion No. 16452, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through
20 which the FEIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the provisions of the
21 California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31
22 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; and

23 WHEREAS, By Motion No. 16452, the Commission found that the FEIR was
24 adequate, accurate and objective and reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the
25 Department and the Commission, determined that the Summary of Comments and

1 Responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR, adopted findings relating to
2 significant impacts associated with the Project, and certified the completion of the FEIR in
3 compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and Administrative Code Chapter 31;
4 and

5 WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated July 16, 2002,
6 Barbara Goode, Ed Cavagnaro, Gladys Bloedow, Duane Bloedow, Paula Groshong, Anne
7 Poirier, and Timothy Poirier (collectively “appellants”) filed a timely appeal of the FEIR
8 certification to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Administrative Code Section 31.16(a);
9 and

10 WHEREAS, The FEIR files and all correspondence and other documents have been
11 made available for review by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission and the public; and

12 WHEREAS, The abovementioned materials are available for public review by
13 appointment at the Department offices at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record
14 before the Board of Supervisors; and

15 WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the FEIR and heard testimony
16 and received public comment regarding the adequacy of the FEIR; now, therefore, be it

17 MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors disapproves the Commission’s certification of
18 the FEIR in its Motion No. 16452.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25