Amendment of the Whole in Board 4/13/04 MOTION NO.

FILE NO. 040390

1	[Findings for disapproval of the mitigated negative declaration for 8 Washington Street.]
2	
3	Motion setting forth findings to disapprove the decision by the Planning Commission
4	to issue a mitigated negative declaration for the proposed project located at 8
5	Washington Street.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On November 1, 2003, the Environmental Review Officer of the Planning
8	Department issued a preliminary mitigated negative declaration for 8 Washington Street in
9	accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and
10	San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31; and
11	WHEREAS, On November 21, 2003, Friends of Golden Gateway appealed the
12	decision to issue a Mitigated Negative Declaration; and
13	WHEREAS, On January 29, 2004, amendments were made to the Preliminary
14	Mitigated Negative Declaration, and the Planning Commission determined that such
15	amendments did not include new undisclosed environmental impacts and did not change the
16	conclusions reached in the Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration; and
17	WHEREAS, on February 5, 2004, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed and
18	advertised public hearing on the appeal of the Preliminary Mitigated Negative Declaration, at
19	which testimony on the merits of the appeal was received; and
20	WHEREAS, after consideration of the appeal, a staff memorandum dated January 29,
21	2004, the testimony received, the correspondence, documents and materials which constitute
22	the record of proceedings ("Record"), the Planning Commission affirmed the decision to issue
23	a Mitigated Negative Declaration as amended and found, on the basis of the Record, that
24	there was no substantial evidence that the proposed project as mitigated could have a
25	significant effect on the environment; and

1	WHEREAS, On March 25, 2004, the Redevelopment Agency became aware of a
2	dispute over whether the Redevelopment Agency has land use jurisdiction over two out of
3	three parcels that comprise the proposed project analyzed in the negative declaration; and
4	WHEREAS, On March 29, 2004, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency informed
5	the Project Sponsor that the Redevelopment Agency retains land use jurisdiction over two of
6	the three blocks that comprise the proposed project analyzed in the negative declaration; and
7	WHEREAS, The Redevelopment Agency thus must review the environmental analysis
8	as well as exercise its authority under State law and the Redevelopment Plan over the
9	proposed project; and
10	WHEREAS, The mitigated negative declaration does not provide any information
11	regarding the Redevelopment Plan, the Redevelopment Agency's authority over the proposed
12	project, or the project's consistency with the applicable Redevelopment Plan; and
13	WHEREAS, This Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing on March 30,
14	2004 to consider the mitigated negative declaration in connection with its consideration of a
15	conditional use permit for the proposed project located at 8 Washington Street; and
16	WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the mitigated negative
17	declaration, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
18	and is incorporated by reference herein, the Record, the comments on the Mitigated Negative
19	Declaration, additional materials submitted to the Board, and the testimony received on the
20	mitigated negative declaration; and
21	WHEREAS, The mitigated negative declaration files, the Record, all correspondence
22	and other documents have been made available for review by this Board and the public.
23	These files are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices
24	at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record before this Board by reference herein; now,
25	therefore, be it

1	MOVED, That this Board disapproves the mitigated negative declaration because it
2	does not contain information that portions of the project are located within the Embarcadero-
3	Lower Market (Golden Gateway) Redevelopment Area, and the negative declaration should
4	include information about the Redevelopment Area and the Redevelopment Agency's
5	jurisdiction over the proposed project; and be it further
6	MOVED, That the mitigated negative declaration should include information about the
7	proposed project and its relationship to the Redevelopment Plan and the Redevelopment
8	Area; and be it further
9	MOVED, The Planning Department should ensure that the Redevelopment Agency has
10	adequate ability to review the environmental analysis and provide information and comments
11	on the proposed project's relationship to the Redevelopment Plan and Redevelopment Area.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	