File	No.	.10	1	20	)9

Committee	Item	No.	
<b>Board Item</b>	No.	33	

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date September 28, 2010
Cmte Board	
☐ ✓ Motion	
Resolution	
Ordinance	
Legislative Digest	
Budget Analyst Report	
Legislative Analyst Repo	ort
☐ Youth Commission Repo	
Introduction Form (for he	
☐ Department/Agency Cov	
□ MOU	
Grant Information Form	
Grant Budget	
Subcontract Budget	
Contract/Agreement	
Award Letter	
Application	•
Public Correspondence	
abito conceptuation	
OTHER (Use back side if addition	nal space is needed)
	,
Completed by: Andrea Ausberry	Date 9/23/10
Completed by:	Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

F	П	F	N	1. 1	1	1	2	n	Q
		\$		 	١.	, 1			

#### MOTION NO.

[Directing the Clerk of the Board to Agendize Consideration to Override Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee Veto]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to agendize consideration to override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee (File No. 100865) at the Regular Meeting of September 28, 2010.

WHEREAS, The Clerk of the Board is in receipt of correspondence, dated September 21, 2010, from the Mayor communicating the Mayor's Veto of legislation contained in File No. 100865 which would establish an Alcohol Recovery Fee; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the Board to agendize consideration to override veto of this matter pursuant to Charter Section 2.106 at the Regular Meeting of September 28, 2010.

### Office of the Mayor City & County of San Francisco



c: coB, Leg Dep, And PN. the cpage, Bos-11
Gavin Newsom

September 21, 2010

Members, Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102

### Dear Supervisors:

This letter communicates my veto of the ordinance pending in File Number 100865, finally passed by the Board of Supervisors today, September 21, 2010. This ordinance proposes an Alcohol Mitigation Fee to be imposed on alcoholic beverage wholesalers and others who sell or distribute alcoholic beverages in San Francisco.

I cannot support this unnecessary and harmful new fee that will hurt our City's economy and cost us jobs at a time when we most need them.

In this economy, I fundamentally believe that we need to be encouraging local businesses – large and small – to continue to work and operate in our neighborhoods, to continue to provide jobs and security to the residents of San Francisco, and to continue to support our City's economy in its recovery. It is in these times of struggle that we need to stimulate our local economy – not pursue policies that will stifle growth and put our county at a competitive disadvantage with every other county in California.

In addition, while we have faced significant budget deficits for the last three years, we consistently have supported the provision of critical health care services to our residents most in need – at a much higher rate than surrounding counties. And, we will continue to do so. Therefore, I do not accept the premise that, but for this fee, we will be slashing our health care programs.

I also strongly believe that we are in questionable legal territory due to state preemption issues, and that passing this ordinance would risk millions of dollars in attorney's fees that we can ill afford. I prefer to hold those battles for creative policy areas where we believe we are in strong legal standing.

I remain committed to working with the Board of Supervisors and City departments to continue to identify impactful programs to help chronic inebriates in San Francisco. However, I do not believe that an alcohol impact fee is the best approach in achieving that policy goal. Our best hope for continued strong financial standing of this City and support for public health services is to help our local economy grow and thrive.

Sincerely,

Gavin Neva

Mayor

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

OIO SEP 21 PM 4:

SAN FRANCISCO

# **INTRODUCTION FORM**

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor



Time Stamp or Meeting Date

SUBJECT: _Motion directing the Clerk of override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2 Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee (File No. 100)  The text is listed below or attached:  Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an
override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2 Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee (File No. 100)	2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an
override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2 Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee (File No. 100)	2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an
override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2 Alcohol Cost Recovery Fee (File No. 100)	2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an
override veto pursuant to Charter Section 2	2.106 of an ordinance which would establish an
	of the Board to agendize consideration to
Sponsor: Supervisor Avalos	
☐ Building Inspection Commission  Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution	not on the printed agenda), use a different form.]
☐ Ethics Commission	☐ Planning Commission
☐ Small Business Commission	□Youth Commission
Please check the appropriate boxes. The p following:	roposed legislation should be forwarded to the
5. City Attorney request 6. Call file from Committee 7. Budget Analyst request (attach v 8. Substitute Legislation File Nos 9. Request for Closed Session 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of	ò <b>.</b>
4 Request for letter hearning "St	mervisor indilites
3. Request for Committee hearing	without reference to Committee
<ul><li>X 2. Request for next printed agenda</li><li>3. Request for Committee hearing</li></ul>	otion, or charter amendment
3. Request for Committee hearing	

434

COB/Adm

Revised 5/05/09