Item 4 Files 10-1051

Department: Department of Public Works (DPW)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objective

• Ordinance approving an alternative contracting process for the Department of Public Works' (DPW) Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project.

Key Points

- The Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project (MCCIP) is a \$55,500,000 capital project of interior improvements to maintain the facility as a modern, competitive location for conventions, including (a) painting, bathroom renovations, and lighting, (b) elevator and escalator repairs, and (c) renovations to provide increased accessibility for persons with disabilities.
- The subject Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project is a joint project between DPW and the Tourism Improvement District (TID), a non-profit business improvement district established by the Board of Supervisors (File 08-1517) to fund, through assessments charged to San Francisco hotels, (a) the marketing operations of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau, and (b) a portion (see below) of the Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project.
- Because the existing contracting process in Chapter 6 was not written to accommodate jointly funded projects between the City and a private entity such as the non-profit TID, DPW is requesting the proposed alternative contracting process, in which, (a) the TID, as project leader, would award and exclusively fund contracts for the General Contractor and various Trade Subcontractors (Trade Subcontractors provide specific trade construction services such as painting or electrical work), (b) the City would, according to a competitive bidding process, award and exclusively fund additional Trade Subcontracts, and (c) all Trade Subcontractors. According to the General Contractor, with direct control over all the Trade Subcontractors. According to Mr. Edgar Lopez, Project Manager for DPW, the proposed alternative contracting process is materially the same as the alternative contracting process approved by the Board of Supervisors for construction of the California Academy of Sciences (File 04-1459).
- At the end of construction, in order to provide DPW with the right to pursue damages against the General Contractor or any Trade Subcontractor for problems arising from their work on the Moscone Convention Center, a public asset, all contracts previously assigned to the General Contractor or the TID would be assigned back to the City.

Fiscal Impacts

• The \$55,500,000 MCCIP would be funded by (a) \$20,500,000 in private funds from the TID, and (b) \$35,000,000 from the issuance of Certificates of Participation (COPs), with such COPs debt service paid from General Fund monies, as previously approved by the Board of Supervisors (File 08-1515).

Recommendations

- In order to provide the City with direct control over all contractors performing work on a City-owned facility after all Trade Subcontracts have been assigned to the General Contractor, amend the proposed ordinance to require that the scope of work for all contracts awarded by, or assigned to, the TID for work on the Moscone Convention Center, and any changes to such scope of work, be subject to the review and approval in writing by DPW.
- Approve the proposed ordinance, as amended.

MANDATE STATEMENT

Chapter 6 of the City's Administrative Code, as previously approved by the Board of Supervisors, specifies the contracting process for public works projects¹ under an Integrated Project Delivery method:

"...is an approach to the procurement of construction services whereby a construction manager / general contractor is retained during the design process to review and provide comments as to the constructability of the Architect/Engineer's design within the established budget."

The contracting process for the General Contractor established by Chapter 6 for public works projects, to be completed under an Integrated Project Delivery method, requires that the City must:

(a) pre-qualify prospective General Contractor firms,

(b) issue a Request For Proposals to the pool of pre-qualified General Contractors, advertising the opportunity at least ten days prior to the RFP deadline,

- (c) award the contract to the lowest qualified General Contractor, and
- (d) allow for an award protest period of at least five days.

After the design phase is complete, and the scope of trade services (such as plumbing, electrical, and lighting work) which is necessary for the project has been determined, the Human Rights Commission establishes the Local Business Enterprise (LBE) subcontracting goal for the General Contractor, and the General Contractor can award Trade Subcontracts. Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code requires that in order to award such Trade Subcontracts, the General Contractor must:

- (a) pre-qualify no fewer than three qualified Trade Subcontractors for each type of trade,
- (b) request bids from the pre-qualified Trade Subcontractors, and
- (c) award the bid to the lowest bidding qualified Trade Subcontractor.

Chapter 6 also requires all contractors to (a) pay workers according to the prevailing wage, (b) make a good-faith effort to use local hiring, and (c) maintain specified insurance and bonds.

The proposed ordinance would create an alternative contracting process for the Moscone Center Improvement Project (see Details of Proposed Ordinance Section below).

¹ According to Chapter 6, a public works project is "any erection, construction, renovation, alteration, improvement, demolition, excavation, installation, or repair of any public building, structure, infrastructure, bridge, road, street, park, dam, tunnel, utility or similar public facility performed by or for the City and County of San Francisco, the cost of which is to be paid wholly or partially out of moneys deposited in the treasury of the City and County."

BACKGROUND

The Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project (MCCIP) is a \$55,500,000 capital project to provide interior upgrades and improvements to the Moscone Convention Center including (a) interior finishes (such as painting, carpeting, bathroom renovations, and lighting), (b) elevator and escalator repairs, (c) renovations to provide increased accessibility for persons with disabilities, and (d) upgraded mechanical equipment. According to Mr. Edgar Lopez, Project Manager at DPW, such improvements are needed in order to maintain the convention facility as a modern and competitive location to hold conventions.

The MCCIP is a joint project between DPW and the Tourism Improvement District (TID), a non-profit business improvement district established by the Board of Supervisors (File 08-1517) for the purposes of funding, through assessments charged to San Francisco hotels, (a) the marketing operations of the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau, and (b) a portion of the \$55,000,000 Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project. According to Mr. Lopez, the existing Integrated Project Delivery method contracting process as specified in Chapter 6 of the City's Administrative Code does not include a contracting process which would apply to joint projects between the City and private entities, such as the nonprofit Tourism Improvement District. Therefore, because the MCCIP is a joint project to be funded by both a non-profit entity which receives project funds from assessments to hotels and public monies, DPW is now requesting an alternative contracting procedure to the City's existing Integrated Project Delivery Method contracting requirements described in the Mandate Statement Section above.

As shown in Table 1 below, the \$55,500,000 MCCIP would be funded by (a) \$20,500,000 in private funds from the non-profit Tourism Improvement District, with the TID serving as the project leader, and (b) \$35,000,000 from the issuance by the City of Certificates of Participation, with the debt service on such Certificates of Participation paid from the City's General Fund monies. Such COPs were previously approved by the Board of Supervisors (File 08-1515).

| Table 1. Estimated 110jeet Costs | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | TID | DPW | | | | |
| | Budget | Budget | Total | | | |
| Architecture | \$2,861,000 | \$0 | \$2,861,000 | | | |
| Project Management | 1,765,000 | 762,000 | 2,527,000 | | | |
| Legal Fees | 80,000 | 25,000 | 105,000 | | | |
| Surveys, Inspections, and Construction Scope Validation | 187,000 | 23,000 | 210,000 | | | |
| Building Permits | 0 | 552,000 | 552,000 | | | |
| LEED Registration ² | 90,000 | 0 | 90,000 | | | |
| Reimbursements for ADA Compliance ³ | 285,000 | 0 | 285,000 | | | |
| General Contractor Construction Costs | 2,430,000 | 0 | 2,430,000 | | | |
| Trade Subcontractor Construction Costs | 10,335,000 | 29,438,000 | 39,773,000 | | | |
| Contingency | 2,467,000 | 4,200,000 | 6,667,000 | | | |
| Total | \$20,500,000 | \$35,000,000 | \$55,500,000 | | | |

Table 1: Estimated Project Costs

According to Mr. Lopez, DPW anticipates beginning construction of the MCCIP in October of 2010 and completing the project in August of 2012, with work only being performed during periods when the convention facilities are vacant.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Under the proposed ordinance, an alternative contracting process would be authorized for the Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project such that there would be three types of contractors (a) the TID General Contractor, whose contract would be awarded and entirely funded by the TID, (b) "TID Trade Subcontractors" (for the purposes of this report, firms awarded Trade Subcontracts by the TID are referred to as "TID Trade Subcontractors"), whose contracts would also be awarded and entirely funded by the TID, and (c) "City Trade Subcontractors" (for the purposes of this report, firms awarded Trade Subcontracts by DPW are referred to as "City Trade Subcontractors"), whose contracts would be awarded and entirely funded by the City. The proposed alternative contracting process also allows for the assignment of all types of contracts between DPW and the TID. A discussion of each type of contract, and the planned assignment of each contract, follows.

The TID General Contractor

According to Mr. Lopez, the duties of the TID General Contractor are (a) during the design phase, to provide feedback from a construction perspective on methods to implement designs and design alternatives, cost validation, and construction schedule validation to the design team, and (b) during the construction phase, to manage all construction of the project including oversight of all Trade Subcontractors.

On July 15, 2010, the TID awarded a contract for General Contracting services for the MCCIP to Webcor Builders (Webcor) with an estimated cost of \$2,430,000 (see Table 1 above),

² Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) is a certification available for buildings which meet a minimum number of "environmentally friendly" criteria.

³ The Moscone Convention Center is operated by the Moscone Center Joint Venture, under an agreement approved by the Board of Supervisors (Resolution 895-90). In order to bring the Moscone Convention Center into compliance with requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act, the Moscone Center Joint Venture funded \$285,000 in accessibility improvements which would be reimbursed by the TID under the MCCIP.

including (a) \$1,800,000 in fixed fees for general services, and (b) \$630,000 in estimated Trade Subcontractor management costs (such costs can fluctuate according to the amount of the Trade Subcontracts). Because the General Contractor contract with Webcor has been, and will continue to be, entirely funded through assessments to private hotels, the selection of the General Contractor was not subject to any of the City's competitive bidding requirements established in Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code.

However, although the award of the General Contractor contract was not subject to the City's competitive bidding requirements under Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code, according to Mr. Lopez, the contract with Webcor requires Webcor to (a) have sufficient certified Local Business Enterprise (LBE) subcontractors to meet the Human Rights Commission (HRC) LBE subcontracting goal of 18.0 percent, with all City Trade Subcontracts and TID Trade Subcontracts awarded to LBE firms included in this LBE subcontracting goal, (b) maintain sufficient insurance and bonds, subject to the review and approval from the City's Risk Manager, (c) pay workers the prevailing wage, and (d) make a good-faith effort to use local hiring.

TID Trade Subcontractors

According to Mr. Lopez, the duties of the TID Trade Subcontractors are to perform construction work which is specialized to the convention industry, such as the construction of information kiosks, customized signage, and installation of convention related furniture, fixtures, and equipment, under the direct management of the General Contractor. Because all TID Trade Subcontracts will be entirely funded by private funds obtained by the TID, the selection of the TID Trade Subcontracts would not be subject to any of the City's competitive bidding requirements established in Chapter 6 of the Administrative Code. According to page 3 line 18 of the proposed ordinance, the TID can select TID Trade Subcontractors "on whatever basis they determine to be in the best interest of the Project, so long as no City Funds will be used to make payments for costs incurred…" under such contracts.

However, although the award of such contracts would not be subject to the City's competitive bidding requirements of Chapter 6, according to Mr. Lopez, all TID Trade Subcontractors would be required to (a) maintain sufficient insurance and bonds, subject to the review and approval from the City's Risk Manager, (b) pay workers the prevailing wage, and (c) make a good-faith effort to use local hiring.

As discussed above, any TID Trade Subcontracts awarded to certified LBEs would count towards Webcor's LBE subcontracting goal.

City Trade Subcontractors

According to Mr. Lopez, the duties of the City Trade Subcontractors are to perform trade specific construction work such as lighting, electrical, and plumbing under the direct management of the General Contractor. Mr. Lopez noted that generally, the City Trade Subcontractors are those who provide more commonly required construction trade services when compared to the TID Trade Subcontractors who provide more convention facility-specific trade services.

Under the proposed alternative contracting process, DPW would (a) prequalify at least three trade subcontractors, (b) award various City Trade Subcontracts subsequent to a competitive bidding process among those prequalified trade subcontractors requiring advertisement of the upcoming bid opportunity and a protest period of five days, and (c) assign the City Trade Subcontracts to the TID, such that the City's Trade Subcontractors become subcontractors under the TID's General Contractor, Webcor. City Trade Subcontractors would be required to (a) maintain sufficient insurance and bonds, subject to the review and approval from the City's Risk Manager, (b) pay workers the prevailing wage, and (c) make a good-faith effort to use local hiring.

DPW would award a portion of the City Trade Subcontracts to Micro-LBEs under the existing Micro-LBE Set-Aside Program established under Section 14B(k) of the City's Administrative Code. The Micro-LBE Set-Aside Program allows public works contracts under \$400,000 to be awarded to the lowest qualified bidder subsequent to receiving quotes from at least three firms. According to Mr. Lopez, the proposed alternative contracting process would not alter the existing contracting requirements of the Micro-LBE Set-Aside Program, however, the proposed alternative contracting process would provide for the assignment of such contracts, along with all other City Trade Subcontracts, as described in the Assignment of Contracts Section below.

Any City Trade Subcontracts awarded to certified LBEs would count towards Webcor's LBE subcontracting goal.

Assignment of Contracts

The proposed alternative contracting process allows all contracts for both the General Contractor and all types of Trade Subcontractors, to be assigned⁴ between DPW, the TID, and the General Contractor.

According to Mr. Lopez, the proposed ability to assign contracts, as described below, is the most significant difference between the existing contracting process established in Chapter 6 of the City's Administrative Code and the proposed alternative contracting process. Assignment would occur in two phases, pre-construction and post-construction.

Pre-Construction Assignment

As discussed above, the duties of the General Contractor include the direct control and management over all trade subcontractors, including TID Trade Subcontractors and City Trade Subcontractors. Under the proposed alternative contracting process, all trade subcontracts would be awarded by either the TID or the City, such that the General Contractor would not have a direct contractual relationship with any of the Trade Subcontractors it is required to manage.

Therefore, in order to provide the General Contractor with a contractual relationship over all Trade Subcontractors, the proposed alternative contracting process allows for the following: (a) DPW would assign all City Trade Subcontracts to the TID, and (b) the TID would assign all such City Trade Subcontracts, as well as all TID Trade Subcontracts, to the General Contractor, Webcor. After such assignments, DPW would continue to fund all costs incurred by the City Trade Subcontractors and related costs, up to the estimated amount of \$35,000,000 (see Table 1

⁴ According to Mr. Lopez, such assignments allow for the party who awards a contract for services to transfer (or "assign") their rights for such services to another party.

above) in available City funds, and the TID would fund the costs incurred by Webcor, the General Contractor, and all TID Trade Subcontractors and related costs up to the estimated amount of \$20,500,000 (see Table 1 above). According to Mr. Lopez, without such assignments, it would not be possible to provide the TID General Contractor with direct oversight over all Trade Subcontractors.

Notably, such assignments are not necessary under the conventional contracting process because, as discussed in the Mandate Statement Section above, the General Contractor awards contracts directly to Trade Subcontractors.

Post-Construction Assignment

According to Mr. Lopez, at the end of construction, in order to provide DPW with the right to pursue potential damages against Webcor or any Trade Subcontractors for problems arising from their work on the Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project, Webcor's contract and all Trade Subcontracts would be assigned back to the City.

FISCAL IMPACTS

The proposed ordinance to create an alternative bidding process, in and of itself, has no fiscal impact. However, approval of the proposed resolution would result in the expenditure of an estimated \$35,000,000 from the proceeds of Certificates of Participation to be issued by the City.

On December 16, 2008, the Board of Supervisors approved File 08-1515 which authorized the issuance of up to \$45,000,000 in Certificates of Participation, including (a) \$35,000,000 in project costs, (b) \$6,908,429 in costs of issuance, and (c) \$3,091,571 in additional issuance authority to allow for potential fluctuations in market conditions.

According to the November 24, 2008 memorandum to the Budget and Finance Committee provided by Ms. Nadia Sesay, Director of the Controller's Office of Public Finance, which accompanied File 08-1515, the COPs are estimated to be issued with an interest rate of 6.0 percent and a term of six years. Total debt service for the \$41,908,429 in COPs (\$45,000,000 less the \$3,091,571 in additional issuance authority to allow for potential fluctuations in market conditions) is estimated at \$46,950,000, including \$5,041,571 in interest and \$41,908,429 in principal, such that average annual debt service over the six year term of the COPs would be \$7,825,000. The debt service on the COPs will be paid from the City's General Fund monies.

According to Mr. Lopez, should the actual cost of the project exceed the estimated cost of \$55,500,000, DPW will reduce the scope of the project to eliminate such cost overruns.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The proposed alternative contracting process differs from the existing contracting process in Chapter 6 because the existing contracting process is not intended for joint projects between the City and private entities, such as the non-profit Tourism Improvement District (TID).

Table 2 below provides a comparison of the existing and the proposed alternative contracting processes.

| Contracting Process | Existing Contracting Process | | Proposed Alternative Contracting Process | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Column | Α | В | С | D | Е | |
| Contract | General Contractor | Trade Subcontractors | TID General Contractor | City Trade Subcontractors | TID Trade Subcontractors | |
| Awarded and Entirely Paid By | City | General Contractor | TID | City | TID | |
| Prequalification | Required, but no minimum amount of firms | At least 3 firms for each type of trade | Not required | At least 3 firms for each type of trade | Not required | |
| Advertisement of Bid Opportunity | 10 Days | Not required | Not required | 10 days | Not required | |
| Award Protest Period | 5 Days | Not required | Not required | 5 days | Not required | |
| LBE Trade Subcontracting | Goal established by HRC. | LBE Firms count towards subcontracting goal. | Goal established by HRC. | LBE Firms count towards subcontracting goal. | LBE Firms count towards subcontracting goal. | |
| Pre- Construction Assignment | Not assignable. | Not assignable. | Not assignable. | Assignable to the General Contractor, through the TID. | Assignable to the General Contractor. | |
| Post- Construction Assignment | Not assignable. | Not assignable. | Assignable to City | Assignable back to City. | Assignable to the City. | |

Table 2: Comparison of the City's Existing and the Proposed Alternative Contracting Processes

As shown in Table 2 above, and as discussed above, the TID's selection process for the General Contractor and the TID Trade Subcontractors and the assignment provisions included in the proposed alternative contracting method are the most significant difference between the existing contracting process established in Chapter 6 of the City's Administrative Code and the proposed alternative contracting process proposed for the Moscone Convention Center Improvement Project (MCCIP).

The proposed alternative contracting process is similar to an alternative contracting process previously approved by the Board of Supervisors for the Recreation and Park Department's California Academy of Sciences renovation project

According to Mr. Lopez, the proposed alternative contracting process described above, including the assignment of City Trade Subcontracts to a private party's General Contractor, is

materially the same as the alternative contracting approach previously approved by the Board of Supervisors for construction of the California Academy of Sciences facility (File 04-1459), a joint project between the Recreation and Park Department and the California Academy of Sciences, a private non-profit entity.

However, the Budget and Legislative Analyst notes that the assignment of contracts back to DPW at the end of construction included in the proposed alternative contracting process was not included in the California Academy of Sciences Project. According to Mr. Lopez, this was not included in the California Academy of Sciences Project because the California Academy of Sciences is not anticipated to cease operations, while the TID is anticipated to cease operations when its authority to levy assessments to private hotels terminates on December 31, 2024.

During construction, and after the City Trade Subcontracts have been assigned to the General Contractor, the City would have no direct control over the day-today construction activities of any of the contractors working on the Moscone Convention Center, a City-owned facility.

As discussed above in the Assignment of Contracts Section, in order to provide the General Contractor, Webcor, who is under a contract with TID, with direct control of all Trade Subcontractors, including TID Trade Subcontractors and City Trade Subcontractors, during the construction process, the proposed alternative contracting process would allow the following assignments of contracts: (a) DPW would assign all City Trade Subcontractors to the TID, and (b) in turn, the TID would assign such City Trade Subcontracts, as well as all TID Trade Subcontracts, to Webcor.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst notes that such assignments would result in a situation where the City has no direct control over the day-to-day construction activities of any of the contractors working on the Moscone Convention Center.

Therefore, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends amending the proposed ordinance to require that the scope of work for all contracts awarded by or assigned to the TID for work on the Moscone Convention Center, and any changes to such scope of work, be subject to the review and approval in writing by DPW.

Mr. Lopez advises that he concurs with the recommendation of the Budget and Legislative Analyst and DPW will be submitting an amended ordinance to the Budget and Finance Committee to reflect the recommended amendment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Amend the proposed ordinance to require that the scope of work for all contracts awarded by, or assigned to, the TID for work on the Moscone Convention Center, and any changes to such scope of work, be subject to the review and approval in writing by DPW.
- 2. Approve the proposed ordinance, as amended.

Hay in Porc

Harvey M. Rose

cc: Supervisor Avalos Supervisor Mirkarimi Supervisor Elsbernd President Chiu Supervisor Alioto-Pier Supervisor Campos Supervisor Chu Supervisor Daly Supervisor Daly Supervisor Mar Supervisor Mar Supervisor Maxwell Clerk of the Board Cheryl Adams Controller Greg Wagner