## PREPARED IN COMMITTEE 1/17/2018

FILE NO. 170662 MOTION NO.

1	[Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - The San Francisco Retirement System - Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight]
2	System moreasing officerstanding and Adding Voter Oversight
3	Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the
4	Board of Supervisor's response to Recommendation No. R2.2 contained in
5	the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "The San Francisco Retirement System
6	- Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight."
7	
8	WHEREAS, The 2016-2017 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
9	"The San Francisco Retirement System - Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter
10	Oversight" ("Report") on June 16, 2017; and
11	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee
12	("GAO") conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on
13	September 20, 2017; and, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 360-17 on
14	September 26, 2017 reflecting the GAO responses to the Report; a copy of which is on file
15	with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 170663; and
16	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2.2 states: "That by the end of 2018, the Mayor
17	and Board of Supervisors submit a Charter amendment proposition to the voters to add three
18	additional public members who are not Retirement System members to the Retirement
19	Board;" and
20	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 20, 2017, responded in
21	Resolution No. 360-17 that Recommendation No. R2.2 requires further analysis as the Board
22	of Supervisors needs to investigate the consequences of adding members to the Retirement
23	Board, and will report back to the Civil Grand Jury by December 16, 2017; and
24	

25

1	WHEREAS, The GAO Committee conducted an additional hearing on
2	January 17, 2017, to receive an update from City departments on Recommendation No. R2.2;
3	now, therefore, be it
4	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. R2.2 will not
5	be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable; the Board of Supervisors may
6	consider alteration of the composition of the Retirement Board in an alternative manner.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	